"We must become sucked up, drawn into the system, the situation, the arrangement, the combination, the composition: a series of crossings of varying length, a network of knowledge made up of borrowings, detours, figures and passages that cross each other, creating unforeseeable connections and nodes. A conglomeration of different spaces and times, sometimes in harmony, sometimes in discord but always mobile — encountering — alive.”

[DELEUZE AND GUATTARI_1987:WWW.PUBLICACTS.COM]
We exist within a formal urban fabric, which encompasses a fixed physical urban fabric: built environment, transport infrastructure, information and telecommunication systems. Emerging evidence of frustration reveals the obsolete nature of ground surface infrastructure, aggravating fragmented spatial disconnections, and tension with the public urban territories within which we subsist. Examples of resistances can be seen in up and coming car-sharing movements, such as Zip Cars; or bicycle-sharing schemes evident from the Storefront exhibit in New York City more formally known as Yellow-cycles. Even the subversive taxi-bus system in South Africa proves ample confirmation.

Modern society lives at a time of increasing speed and immediacy. Our progressive behaviour was made probable by recent electronic-technological advancements. The accessibility and performance of telecommunication and transport systems are detrimental qualities for the fluid dynamics of our apparent mobility. Our mobile patterns stimulate growth of global cities that foster diversity, velocity, and connectivity. Global cities are intrinsically embedded in local fabric and ground networks, while continuously preserving universal activity. Consequentially establishing a cosmos pertinent for the endurance of modern society.
FIG 3: Spatial disconnect in public square.

FIG 4: Urban frustration: tourist carrying luggage.

FIG 5: Urban frustration: commuter on subway.

FIG 6: Ground surface infrastructure: Curitiba bus shelter.

FIG 7: Mobile society: commuters on subway.
Today the human quest for comfort is the search not only for security, protection and privacy but also for connection. Opportunities reside in these vibrant cosmopolitan cities, but they pass us unemployed. Transparent interactions reveal the apparent anonymity of our modern, virtual society. Albeit electronic technologies that facilitated our mobile behaviour; it detaches us from the physical, sensory experience of physical surroundings and collective collaboration thus, we reside in isolative co-existence.

Supportive ground surface infrastructure is presented within an archaic habitude. These conveniences include: public transport facilities, (bus stops or bicycles storage apparatus); information services, newspaper equipment and public amenities. Our current accelerated existence questions the relativity and ownership of these supportive ground surface infrastructures.

My intention is to employ intelligent mutation of the supportive ground surface infrastructure embedded with electronic resources. Facilitating a systemic prototypical egalitarian public territory strategically located as a structural spine of social-networks merged with the urban fabric of a contemporary city.
FIG 9: Ground surface infrastructure: bicycle storage.

FIG 10: Ground surface infrastructure: bus shelter.