# List of Figures

All photographs, diagrams, images and sketches by author, unless indicated otherwise

### 01\_Introduction

02\_

UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA

fig. 0	0.4 % of population incarcerated
	(Statistics from Department of Correctional Services: Annual Report. 2010)
fig. 0.	
	(Statistics from Department of Correctional Services: Annual Report. 2010)
fig. 0	
	(Statistics from Department of Correctional Services: Annual Report. 2010)
fig. 04	
	(Programme according to the Department of Correctional Services: Annual Report. 2010: p.81-84)
fig. 0	5 5
fig. 0	
	(http://www.dcs.gov.za/homepage_paia/Images/main/DCSLogo.jpg)
fig. 0	
	(http://www.humanjobs.co.za/uploads/humancommunications/clients/458/logo4586440.jpg)
fig. 08	
	(http://www.propertycharter.co.za/logos/publicworks.jpg)
fig. 0	
fig. 10	
fig. 1	-
fig. 12	—
fig. 1	
fig. 14	5
fig. 1	5
fig. 10	
fig. 1	<sup>7</sup> Sustainable industrial environment by strategically balancing economic, social, and environmental needs
_itera	ture Review
fig. 18	N.J. Habraken, the comparison between an infill package in a support structure and a car on the highway, 1965.
	(http://www.architectuurgeschiedenis.nl/projecten/images/p9_4_kl.gif)
fig. 19	9 Shugakuin Imperial Villa: modular dwelling for the Japanese Emperor, 17th Century.
	(http://www.travelinstyle.com/japan/KyotoImperial.htm)
fig. 20	Siena, Italy. Developed according to Piecemal growth
	(http://www.jpfolks.com/forum/ubbthreads.php)

fig. 21 Panopticon, 1790. Jeremy Bentham

(http://www.rijksgebouwendienst.nl/monumentenregister/detail.asp?rgdnr=301525)

#### fig. 22 Museum of the Moving Image Hospitality Pavilion

(http://www.london-se1.co.uk/news/imageuploads/1246956360\_62.49.27.213.jpg)

fig. 23 Museum of the Moving Image Hospitality Pavilion (Assembly procedure) (Kronenburg, Robert. 2000. Portable architecture: p.69)



#### 03 \_ Mapping & Contextual Analysis

Geographical location of site fia. 24 Image from Rebecca bridge (overlooking West) fia. 25 fia. 26 Mapping as design generator Diagrammatic illustration of the Gauteng Metro rail infrastructure fig. 27 Adapted by author from (http://www.metrorail.co.za/maps/GAU\_RailMap.ipg) Origin of rail commuters and densification of train stations throughout Pretoria fia. 28 Usage of stations throughout the day fig. 29 Certain places and buildings citizens relate to within Pretoria fia. 30 Rebecca station with adjacent built and natural context fia. 31 Elementary layered components forming existing industrial environment fig. 32 Combined layers illustrating current urban fabric fig. 33 Monotonous environment of Pretoria West due to escalating economic needs and urban gentrification fig. 34 Transportation connections around Rebecca station fig. 35 Birds eye view of Pretoria West (southwest - northwest) fig. 36 Birds eye view of Pretoria West (northwest - northeast) fia. 37 fig. 38 Birds eye view of Pretoria West (northeast - eastwest) fig. 39 Typical industrial textures found in Pretoria West fig. 40 Typical east-west streetscape (Mitchell street) (Initially submitted by author's urban framework group 2010) -Bertus van Sittertfig. 41 Typical north-south streetscape (Rosetta street) (Initially submitted by author's urban framework group 2010) -Bertus van Sittertfig. 42 View from Rebecca bridge (overlooking East) (Initially submitted by author's urban framework group 2010) -Bertus van Sittert-

### 04 \_ Context Development & Programme

- fig. 43 Aerial view of site and adjacent context
- fig. 44 Perspective illustrating site context
- fig. 45 Dilapidated warehouses currently on site (looking West)
- fig. 46 Assessing the built environment of Pretoria West (SBAT)
- fig. 47 Social needs
- fig. 48 Environmental needs
- fig. 49 Economic needs
- fig. 50 Proposed residential development
- fig. 51 Diagrammatic section illustrating proposed residential development in Pretoria West
- fig. 52 Revitalising strategy
- fig. 53 Intervention acting as a revitalising process
- fig. 54 Waste accumulated
- fig. 55 Production process of the 'halfway house'
- fig. 56 Giving back to society
- fig. 57 Site development concept and production process
- fig. 58 Production concept as recycling process



- fig. 59 Site development proposal
- fig. 60 Newspaper extract supporting production programme (Anon. 2011. News 24: Beeld: 5, July 28.)
- fig. 61 Model by author illustrating the reassembly of recyclable waste into a new useful product
- fig. 62 Production programme logo
- fig. 63 Housing programme log
- fig. 64 'Flexible' programme logo

# 05 \_ Precedents

fig. 65	Rail track leading to Rebecca station
fig. 66	Extention to the Centre Georges Pompidou, Paris (1959), by Yona Friedman
	(Ley, S. & Richter, M. 2008. M <i>egastructure Reloaded:</i> p.118)
fig. 67	Ville Spatiale , Paris (1959), by Yona Friedman
	(http://1.bp.blogspot.com/_T2oNM-298EQ/THOOn3hgF3I/AAAAAAAAABg/nP-52ipulnl/s1600/3.jpg)
fig. 68	Parti diagram of 'Ville Spatiale'
fig. 69	Series of diagrams illustrating concept for 'La ville Spatiale'
	(Ley, S. & Richter, M. 2008. M <i>egastructure Reloaded.</i> London: Laurence King. McGraw-Hill. New York: p.123)
fig. 70	Parti diagram of 'Potteries Thinkbelt Project'
fig. 71	Perspective from railway module
	(http://www.cityofsound.com/photos/uncategorized/2008/09/16/thinkbelt.jpg)
fig. 72	Perspective of 'Potteries Thinkbelt Project'
	(http://i261.photobucket.com/albums/ii58/pmiyada/64_POT_prp4_cha_64.jpg?t=1242059902)
fig. 73	Artist's illustration of the High Line public park
	(http://4.bp.blogspot.com/_auXxyM3z6IA/SecrJvOUGDI/AAAAAAAAgg/-X6rb6FcbKc/s400/highline_pd02.jpg)
fig. 74	Parti diagram of NYC High Line Park
fig. 75	NYC High Line Park
	(http://i.telegraph.co.uk/multimedia/archive/01419/HighLine-9_1419978i.jpg)
fig. 76	Perspective of pedestrian walk route
	(http://www.thedailygreen.com/cm/thedailygreen/images/zP/the-high-line-lg.jpg)
fig. 77	Parti diagram of Micro Compact Village
fig. 78	Design drawings of modular housing unit
	(Kronenburg, Robert. 2008. <i>Portable architecture. Design and Technology</i> : p.112)
fig. 79	Concept model of 'Micro Compact Village'
	(http://inhabitat.com/files/microcompact.jpg)
fig. 80	Crane transporting 'Micro Compact Module'
	(Siegal, Jennifer. 2008. <i>More Mobile: Portable Architecture for Today:</i> p.80)
fig. 81	Clustered modules achieving 'The Compact Village'
	(Siegal, Jennifer. 2008. <i>More Mobile: Portable Architecture for Today:</i> p.81)



### 06 \_ Concept development

- fig. 82 Dumping containers adjacent to site
- fig. 83 Aerial view of site illustrating location of redundant recyclable materials
- fig. 84 328 Dumping containers available
- fig. 85 1600 Rhodesian teak sleepers available
- fig. 86 4200 m Rail tracks available
- fig. 87 4515 m<sup>2</sup> Steel sheeting from existing warehouses available
- fig. 88 1300 m Ductile iron water pipes available (currently stored in dilapidated warehouses)
- fig. 89 The erection sequence of a 'Terrapin' structure

(Terrapin bungalo of 1948, Archigram no. 3, August 1963).

- fig. 90 Concept sketch based on theoretical principles (southern elevation)
- fig. 91 Concept model '01' illustrating intervention constructed over existing warehouses' footprint
- fig. 92 Axonometric drawing illustrating housing module assembly
- fig. 93 Hexagonal housing module constructed from three dumping containers
- fig. 94 Concept model '02' illustrating proposed living environment cantilevering over existing warehouse footprint
- fig. 95 Northern elevation illustrating working environment at ground level, and residential environment at upper levels
- fig. 96 Section A-A (housing concept)
- fig. 97 Phase 1: structural columns & service core
- fig. 98 Phase 2: vertical accessibility & structural beams (rail tracks)
- fig. 99 Phase 3:high tensile steel cables & hexagonal housing modules (east & west)
- fig. 100 Phase 4: Floor programmes
- fig. 101 Phase 5: Structure capable of various arrangements
- fig. 102 Ideology of a 'bee-hive-structure'
- fig. 103 Perspective view between existing warehouses (looking East)
- fig. 104 'Flexible' social spaces determined by modular configuration
- fig. 105 'Flexible' spaces determined by lightweight partitioning
- fig. 106 Hexagonal form vs. conventional cubical form
- fig. 107 Chronological phases of a recycling / production process
- fig. 108 Recycling logo
- fig. 109 Each warehouse representing a sequential phase in the recycling / production process.
- fig. 110 Concept model '03' illustrating perspective view from new pedestrian walk route (looking East)
- fig. 111 Concept model '03' illustrating perspective view of southern facade (principal facade)
- fig. 112 Disorientated meandering process
- fig. 113 Orientated linear process
- fig. 114 Southern elevation reading as a linear process from East to West
- fig. 115 Summary of concept development process
- fig. 116 Most influential concept sketch illustrating the integration of the working and living environment



# 07 \_ Design & Technical Development

- fig. 117 Perspective view of southern facade fig. 118 New axis over existing warehouses
- fig. 119 Axonometric of site development (view from northwest)
- fig. 120 Metro-Rail employee helping author to cut a rail track
- fig. 121 Axonometric of primary structure (view from northwest)
- fig. 122 Stair location
- fig. 123 Axonometric illustrating circulation routes throughout intervention (view from northwest)
- fig. 124 Heat extraction concept
- fig. 125 Axonometric of services throughout intervention (view from northwest)
- fig. 126 housing modules & structure
- fig. 127 recycled steel sheeting from existing warehouses (view from northwest)

# 08 \_ Drawings

- fig. 128 Axonometric of proposed intervention (view from southwest)
- fig. 129 Axonometriic section through access route
- fig. 130 Site Development Plan
- fig. 131 Ground floor structure & movement
- fig. 132 First floor structure & movement
- fig. 133 Roof plan
- fig. 134 Cross Section
- fig. 135 Axonometric Detailed Section
- fig. 136 Elevation \_ North (from Carl street)
- fig. 137 Elevation \_ South (from Courtyard)
- fig. 138 Elevation \_ South (from Rebecca station)
- fig. 139 Elevation \_ North (from Courtyard)
- fig. 140 Section (through access route)

# \_ Physical Model

- fig. 141 Southern facade from courtyard
- fig. 142 Perspective of central courtyard
- fig. 143 North-western aerial view
- fig. 144 Complete site proposal (both students)

# References



Abel, C. 1998. *Evolutionary Planning*. Architectural Design, December: 563—4

Alexander, Christopher. 1975. *The Oregon Experiment.* New York: Oxford University Press.

Anderson, M. & Anderson, P. 2007. *Prefab Prototypes: Site Specific Design for Offsite Construction*. Princeton Architectural Press. New York, New York.

Arieff, A. & Burkhart, B. 2002. *Prefab.* 1st ed. Salt Lake City: Gibbs Smith.

Brad, G. & Ciarimboli, N. 2006. *Design for Disassembly in the Built Environment: A Guide to Closed-loop Design and Building*. Seattle: Hamer Center for Community Design, The Pennsylvania State University.

Brand, Stewart. 1994. *How buildings learn : What happens after they're built.* New York, NY: Viking.

Casteus, M. 1996. *The Rise of Network Society.* Cambridge M/A.

City of Tshwane, 2005. *City of Tshwane Compaction and Densification Strategy.* www.tshwane.gov.za/documents/Compaction\_and\_DensificationStrategy2005.pdf. [Accessed 10 April 2011]

City of Tshwane, 2007. *City of Tshwane Integrated Transport Plan 2006 - 2011.* www.tshwane.gov.za/itp2007.cfm. [Accessed 25 April 2011]

City of New York Parks & Recreation, 2009. The High Line. www.wsbtv.com/download/2010/0714/24254059.pdf. [Accessed 10 June 2011] Dewar, David & Uytenbogaardt, Roelof. S. 1991. *South African Cities: A Manifesto for Change.* Cape Town: Urban Problems Research Unit.

Dumaik, M. 2007. *A super-small house achieves an ideal state of design integration*. Architectural Record, 195(4): 53, April.

Fairweather, L. & McConville, S. 2003. *Prison Architecture: Policy, Design and Experience*. Oxford: Architectural Press

Fox, Micheal. & Kemp, Miles. 2009. *Interactive Architecture*. New York: Princeton Architectural Press.

Friedman, Yona. 2006. Pro Doma. Barcelona

Freidman, Yona. 1975. *Towards a Scientific Architecture*. Cambridge, Mass.

Friedman, Mildred; ed. 2002. *Gehry Talks: Architecture + Process*. New York: Universe Publishing.

Goodale, B. 2009. *Crossfit Design: Maximizing Building Potential Across Broad Time And Modal Domains*, PhD thesis, University of Massachusetts, US

Gregotti, Vittorio. 1996. *The Exercise of Detailing*. In Theorizing a New Agenda for Architecture by Nesbitt, Kate. Princeton Architectural Press. New York, New York.

Groák, Steven. 1992. *The idea of building: thought and action in the design and production of buildings*. London.

Habraken, N. J. 1999. *Supports: an alternative to mass hous-ing*. U.K: The Urban International Press.

Hill, Jonathan. 2001. *The Use of Architects.* Urban Studies. Feb, Vol. 38 Issue 2, pp. 351-365

Johnson, N. B. 2000. *Forms of Constraint: a History of prison architecture.* Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, US.

Jones, J. Christopher. 1992. *Design Methods*. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Keating, L. 2000. *Redeveloping Public Housing: Relearning Urban Renewal's Lessons*. Journal of the American Planning Association, 66(4), 384-397.

Kendall, Stephen. 2001. *An Open Building Strategy for Balancing Production Efficiency and Consumer Choice in Housing*. Building Futures Institute. Ball State University.

Kieran, Stephen. & Timberlake, James. 2004. *Refabricating Architecture.* McGraw-Hill. New York.

Kronenburg, Robert. and Inc ebrary. 1998. *Transportable environments*. London ; New York: E & Fn Spon.

Kronenburg, Robert. 2000. *Portable architecture.* Architectural press new technology series. 2nd ed. Oxford ; Boston: Architectural Press.

Kronenburg, Robert. 2008. *Portable architecture. Design and Technology*. Berlin: The Architectural Press.

Kronenburg, Robert. 2007. *Flexible : Architecture that responds to change*. London: Laurence King.



Le Corbusier 1927 Towards a New Architecture The Architectural Press. London, England. Ley, S. & Richter, M. 2008. Megastructure Reloaded. London: Laurence King, McGraw-Hill, New York. ture. London: The MIT Press Lobsinger, M. L. 2000. Cedric Price. An Architecture of the Performance, Diadalos 74: 22-29 Mendell, E. 2005. Architecture Alive: Buildings that Evolve tural Press, London. in Response to Changing Needs. PhD thesis, University of Cincinnati, US. Mutchler, M. 2006. 'lean-architecture'. PhD thesis, University of Cincinnati, US. Oosterhuis, Kas. 2007. iA Interactive Architecture vol 1. Rotterdam, Episode Publishers. [Accessed 18 March 2011] Parsley, C. M. 2009. Anticipating Change: Integrating Off-Site Fabrication With Adaptable Design Strategies. PhD thesis, University of Cincinnati, US. Pearson, C. A. 2009. James Corner Field Operations and 33.2007 *Diller Scofidio + Renfro change our perspective on parks* with the High Line . Architectural Record, 197(10): 86, October

134

Riana du Plessis Urban Planners & Gary White Architects and Urban Planners. 2008. *Integrated Compaction and Densification strategy for Pretoria West Residential Precinct*. City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality. Redfern, P. A. 2003. *What Makes Gentrification 'Gentrification'*? Urban Studies, 40(12), 2351-2366.

Sadler, S. 2005. *Arhigram: Architecture without Architecture*. London: The MIT Press

Scott, D. F. 2007. *Arhitecture or Techno-utopia. Politics after Modernism*. London: The MIT Press

Sheppard, R. 1946, *Prefabrication in Building*. The Architectural Press, London.

Siegal, Jennifer. 2008. *More Mobile: Portable Architecture for Today.* New York: Princeton Architectural Press.

South Africa. Department of Correctional Services. 2010. *Annual Report.* [Online] www.dcs.gov.za/Annual%20Reports/ DCS%20Annual%20Report%202010.pdf [Accessed 18 March 2011]

Stark, F. 1952. *Power of Pretoria. In Pretoria one hundred years.* Pretoria: Municipal Public Relations Bureau.

Tayona, Nova. 2007. *Young Modern*. Canadian Architect. v. 33. 2007

Travis, J. Solomon, A. L., Waul, M. 2001. *From prison to home: the dimensions and consequences of prisoner reentry*. Washington, DC: Urban Inst.

Zuk, William and Roger H. Clark. 1970. *Kinetic architecture.* New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.