



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

**A SYSTEMS APPROACH TO THE ASSESSMENT OF MENTAL WORKLOAD IN
A SAFETY-CRITICAL ENVIRONMENT**

by

Adele Krüger

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor (Bio-Systems)

in the

Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

PRETORIA

May 2008



SUMMARY

TITLE:	A systems approach to the assessment of mental workload in a safety-critical environment
CANDIDATE:	Adele Krüger
PROMOTOR:	Dr. P.J. Cilliers
CO-PROMOTOR:	Prof. J. A. van Tonder
DEPARTMENT:	Electrical, Electronic, and Computer Engineering
DEGREE:	Philosophiae Doctor (Bio-Systems)

The objective of this study is to develop a quantified method for determining the mental workload imposed on train control officers (TCOs) and to express this mental workload by means of an index that is objective and can stand up to the tests of validity and reliability. The method addresses an existing operational shortcoming in Spoornet train control operations and could be used as a tool for predicting the mental workload imposed on operators at particular train control centres. The method could be applied to manage and improve operational safety in the rail transport environment. A participative systems approach was followed in the development of the measuring methodology. A work group comprising expert users of the specific train control system was involved in identifying task factors and assigning weights for task and moderating factors. The newly developed Mental Workload Index (MWLI) consists of three task factors and eleven moderating factors, each with a different weight in terms of its contribution to overall mental workload. The work group performed several iterations to reach final consensus on the following task factors and their respective contributions to the MWLI: the number of data transactions, the number of authorisations, and the number of communications via telephone and radio. The systems approach used in the development process is discussed, and the final index with the task and moderating factors is presented. In conclusion, the value and possible application of the MWLI are discussed. The MWLI is shown to provide an objective method for the assessment and prediction of mental workload in the train control environment.

Keywords: *Mental workload, Mental workload assessment, Mental workload prediction, Workload, Stress, Cognitive load.*



OPSOMMING

TITEL:	A systems approach to the assessment of mental workload in a safety critical environment
KANDIDAAT:	Adele Krüger
PROMOTOR:	Dr. P.J. Cilliers
MEDE-PROMOTOR:	Prof. J.A. van Tonder
DEPARTEMENT:	Elektries-, Elektronies- en Rekenaaringenieurswese
GRAAD:	Philosophiae Doctor (Bio-Sisteme)

Die doel van die studie is die ontwikkeling van 'n gekwantifiseerde metode om die kognitiewe werkslas van treinbeheeramptenare (TBAs) te bepaal en om hierdie kognitiewe werkslas uit te druk as 'n indeks wat objektief en kwantifiseerbaar is en wat die toetse van geldigheid en betroubaarheid kan deurstaan. Hierdie metode spreek 'n bestaande operasionele tekortkoming aan en kan gebruik word as 'n instrument om die kognitiewe werkslas wat op 'n operateur geplaas word by 'n spesifieke treinbeheersentrum, te voorspel. Die metode kan gebruik word om operasionele veiligheid in die spoorverkeeromgewing te bestuur en verbeter. 'n Deelnemende sisteembenadering is gevolg in die ontwikkelingsproses. 'n Werkgroep, bestaande uit ekspert gebruikers van die spesifieke treinbeheerstelsel was betrokke by die identifisering van taak- en modererende faktore sowel as by die toekenning van gewigte aan hierdie faktore. Die nuut-ontwikkelde Mental Workload Index (MWLI) bestaan uit drie taakfaktore en elf modererende faktore, met verskillende gewigte na aanleiding van elkeen se bydrae tot die totale werkslas. Die werkgroep het verskillende iterasies uitgevoer ten einde konsensus te verkry oor die volgende taakfaktore en hul onderskeie bydrae tot MWLI: aantal datatransaksies, aantal magtigings en aantal kommunikasies oor die telefoon en radio. Die sisteembenadering wat gevolg is in die ontwikkelingsproses word bespreek en die finale indeks met die taak- en modererende faktore word aangebied. Ten slotte word die waarde en moontlike toepassing van die MWLI bespreek. Die MWLI word aangebied as 'n objektiewe metode vir die meting en voorspelling van kognitiewe werkslas in die treinbeheer omgewing.

Sleutelwoorde: *Mental workload, Mental workload assessment, Mental workload prediction, Workload, Stress; Cognitive load.*



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

Dedicated to my mother – a courageous woman

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank the following individuals who contributed to this study by generously sharing and giving their knowledge, experience, expertise, support and encouragement throughout the process:

- Dr. Pierre Cilliers, my study leader, for his continuous support, positive energy and constructive criticism.
- Prof. Jan van Tonder, the co-study leader, for being a source of help and support.
- Johann, for his unconditional love and understanding, tolerance, support and unselfish sacrifices.
- My mother, for her unwavering belief in me.
- Herman Lemmer and the SpoorNet expert work group, for their participation and assistance in the development phase of the Mental Workload Index.
- The SpoorNet Operational and Section Managers, for their support with arrangements in the validation process at the respective train control centres.
- The Train Control Officers, for their enthusiastic participation in the study.
- Prof. Retha Viljoen, Dr. Nico Claassen and Lynton Hazelhurst of the Physiology Department, University of Pretoria, for their guidance and hard work on the pilot study and the validation of the Mental Workload Index.
- Thys de Vries, operational researcher at the Defence Research Centre for facilitating the sessions with the workgroup.
- Dr. Piet Becker of the Medical Research Council for his expert input in the statistical analyses.
- Russell de la Porte, for his thorough and meticulous editing of this document.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFFTC	Air Force Flight Test Centre
ATC	Air Traffic Control
BMI	Body Mass Index
CNS	Central Nervous System
CTC	Centralised Traffic Control
DBP	Diastolic Blood Pressure
ECG	Electrocardiogram
EEG	Electroencephalogram
ERP	Event-related Potentials
ETA	Estimated Time of Arrival
ETD	Estimated Time of Departure
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration
HPA	Hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical
HRV	Heart Rate Variability
IWS	Integrated Workload Scale
MWLI	Mental Workload Index
NASA-TLX	National Aeronautical and Space Administration Task Load Index
ODEC	Operational Demand Checklist
PNS	Peripheral Nervous System
RTO	Radio Train Order
SA	Situation Awareness
SAM	Sympatho-adrenomedullary
SBP	Systolic Blood Pressure
SIMS	Spoornet Information Management System
STSS	Short-term Sensory Store
SWAT	Subjective Workload Assessment Technique
TCO	Train Control Officer
TLA	Timeline Analysis
TLI	Traffic Load Index
TRB	Transportation Research Board
TWS	Track Warrant System



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT	10
1.2 BACKGROUND	11
1.3 RESEARCH GAP	14
1.4 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE STUDY	14
1.5 AIMS OF THE RESEARCH	19
1.6 HYPOTHESIS AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS	20
1.7 OUTLINE OF THE THESIS	20
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE STUDY	
2.1 THE DEFINITION AND DESCRIPTION OF MENTAL WORKLOAD	22
2.2 MENTAL WORKLOAD AS RELATED TO OTHER OPERATOR STATES	30
2.3 AN OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF MENTAL WORKLOAD	34
2.4 VARIABLES THAT INFLUENCE MENTAL WORKLOAD	37
2.5 APPLICATION OF WORKLOAD THEORY	46
2.6 AN OVERVIEW OF MENTAL WORKLOAD MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES	48
2.7 CRITERIA FOR THE SELECTION OF MENTAL WORKLOAD ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES	51
2.8 DETAILED DISCUSSION OF MENTAL WORKLOAD ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES	53
2.9 CONTEXTUALISATION OF THE STUDY	101
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	
3.1 DEVELOPMENT APPROACH	116
3.2 RATIONALE FOR THE METHODOLOGY	117



3.3	SUMMARY OF DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	119
3.4	STEPS IN DEVELOPMENT PROCESS AND ASSOCIATED INSTRUMENTS	120
3.5	DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	122
3.6	DEVELOPMENT OF THE MENTAL WORKLOAD MODEL	130
3.7	IDENTIFICATION OF TASK AND MODERATING FACTORS	133
3.8	DEFINITION OF TASK AND MODERATING FACTORS	135
3.9	ALLOCATION OF WEIGHTS AND QUANTIFICATION OF MODERATORS	141
3.10	CALCULATION OF THE MENTAL WORKLOAD INDEX	151
3.11	CONCLUDING COMMENTS	151
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS		
4.1	CORRELATING THE MENTAL WORKLOAD INDEX WITH PSYCHO-PHYSIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS	155
4.2	THE VERIFICATION STUDY	156
4.3	MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES AND METHODOLOGY	159
4.4	DATA ANALYSIS APPROACH	161
4.5	RESULTS OF THE VERIFICATION STUDY	162
4.6	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND OPTIMISATION OF THE MENTAL WORKLOAD INDEX	167
4.7	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	174
5. DISCUSSION		
5.1	HYPOTHESIS AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS	175
5.2	CRITICAL EVALUATION OF THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE MENTAL WORKLOAD INDEX	178
5.3	APPLICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MENTAL WORKLOAD INDEX	182



5.4	APPLICATION OF A CRITICAL MENTAL WORKLOAD LEVEL	184
-----	---	-----

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS

6.1	SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS	190
-----	--------------------------------	-----

6.2	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	192
-----	--------------------------	-----

6.3	RECOMMENDATIONS	192
-----	-----------------	-----

	REFERENCES	195
--	-------------------	-----

APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Cohen's Perceived Stress Questionnaire
Appendix B:	Timeline Analysis Template
Appendix C:	Pilot Study Report
Appendix D:	Report on Validation Study