THEOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES ON THE CONCEPT OF ‘YAHWEH’S PEOPLE’ IN EZRA AND NEHEMIAH DURING THE EARLY POST-EXILIC PERIOD (539-350 BC)

BY

EMMANUEL ORDUE USUE

SUBMITTED AS PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

TO THE FACULTY OF THEOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA,

PRETORIA.

SEPTEMBER 2005

PROMOTER: PROF. D J HUMAN
APPROVED BY

PROF. D J HUMAN
(PROMOTER)
DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to the memory of my late parents Dinah Vembera Usue and Daniel Usue Atonko who have gone to be with the Lord on February 11th, 2004 and June 18th, 2005 respectively and to my wife Joyce Member Ordue who has stayed by my side during the course of my studies at the University of Pretoria in South Africa. May this work be used for the building of the Kingdom of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The God of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ deserves my thanks and praise. I have received theological training abroad by his mercy and grace. God has shown me his love, care and kindness during the course of my academic journey. The journey has been coloured with mixed experiences, namely, joy and gladness but also, pain, tears, loss of friends, family members and colleagues. In all of these, I am deeply grateful to God because my spiritual life has grown and matured. Today, through his grace this work, I hope, will contribute to the building of the Kingdom of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.

I thank my wife Joyce Member Ordue for her patient endurance during the long years of my studies abroad. True love between two persons can be measured and discerned when both of them consciously stay and walk together in love and peace during a turbulent period. Our marriage has been tested by winds and storms of life during this academic journey but both of us have remained committed to each other and have endured all the shocks and thunders of marriage as well as Christian life. Thank you Joyce for staying by my side as you had promised to do. Your support has indeed given me a sense of worth and direction. The result of it is this work.

My promoter, Professor Dirk J Human has done an extra-ordinary supervisory work on the content of this dissertation. His constructive criticism and
compassionate supervision has enabled me to work hard without getting bored. Thank you very much professor for all the support I have received from you. The external examiners also deserve my thanks for all the constructive comments they have made on my work. Their comments have been very helpful to me and to the final shape of this dissertation.

This research would not have been achieved without the financial assistance from friends, Churches and institutions. In view of this, I thank Oreland Presbyterian Church USA, the University of Pretoria, NKST Church, Mr. John and Mrs JoAnn Swart, Rev. Jeff and Mrs. Tracee Denlinger, the Old Testament Society of South Africa, Ms. Grace Mullen, Mr. Paul and Mrs. Nancy Tsou and their daughter Amy Tsou, Prof. N. Meki, Prof. Dick and Mrs. Jackie Miller, Atese Lilian Nguumbur and Ms. Ann Terlumun Kotze, Dr. Gordon and Mrs. Lessly Russell, Mr. du Toit and Mrs. Dolin Van der Merwe and family, Dr. Fanie and Mrs. Angela Cronje; Mr. Hawthrey and Mrs. JoAn Judd and Rev. Jack Kraus for all the financial, material and prayer support to me.

I thank Rev. Clifford and Mrs. Beverly Vick for their painstaking editorial work on the grammatical aspect of this dissertation. I thank also Ms. Elsa Gouws for her Library assistance. Other friends that have supported me in one way or the other include Rev. and Atese Gbiligh, Rev. and Atese Mbachirin, Mr. and Mrs. Nder Kuha, Mrs. Ngunan Orbunde, Mrs. Miriam P. Akpede (my mother in-law), Rev. J. N. and Atese Hinga.
Finally, I would like to thank those who have raised me up from childhood to adulthood and through whom I came to know and walk with my Lord Jesus Christ. My late mother Dinah Vembera Usue and father Daniel Usue Atonko deserve my thanks for their spiritual upbringing. My late mother Vembera encouraged me persistently to study hard and achieve God’s purpose for my life. Today, she has gone to be with the Lord but her prayers and dreams for me, I hope, have been realized. Mother, you have passed away but the memories of your motherly care lives with me. Late Evangelist Idyer Tor and Mr. Unyo Tov who have also departed to be with the Lord supported me during my teenage years. My public profession of faith was possible through the work of Rev. Samuel Gbor, the former Pastor of the NKST Church Buruku. I thank all of you for contributing to my spiritual and educational upbringing.

There are many other people who have supported me during the period of my studies but due to the brevity of this space, their names have not been mentioned here. However, I am very thankful to all of them for their support. God knows how much you mean to me. My prayer is that God should bless each one of you for the role you have played in my life and for all the kindness you have shown to me during the course of my academic journey. May this work be used for the glory of God.
ABSTRACT

This investigation is about the theological perspectives in Ezra and Nehemiah on the concept of ‘Yahweh’s people’ during the early post-exilic period (539-350 BC). The study has utilized literary and historical methods with a theological perspective since the text of the Bible is a literary, historical and theological document (cf Gorman 2001:8; McKenzie & Haynes 1999:20-21). The books of Ezra and Nehemiah formed the primary sources of the research. The Abrahamic and the Mosaic covenants, as well as the Ancient Near Eastern Treaty pattern have also been investigated as a background picture to the discussion in Ezra and Nehemiah.

The study reveals that there is both an exclusive and an inclusive perspective in Ezra and Nehemiah. Similar perspectives are found in the Abrahamic/Mosaic covenants. These perspectives concern the conception of ‘Yahweh’s people’ and other nations, foreigners and aliens.

On the one hand, the exclusive’ theological perspective in Ezra and Nehemiah looks at those who did not go into exile and essentially, the rest of the other people as ‘foreigners’ or aliens; but primarily as those who are not ‘Yahweh’s people’. Non-exiles were perceived as a threat to the religious, political, economic, social life, and progress of the early returned exiles.

On the other hand, the inclusive theological perspective in Ezra and Nehemiah viewed non-exiles or other nations/foreigners with sympathy and appreciation (cf Ezr 1:1-3; 3:7; 4:2; 6:13-14; 10:15; Neh 2:8-9). This group considered the so-called foreigners as partners, friends and human beings who could embrace Yahweh as their God.

In view of the dual perspectives, I have argued that the author(s)/editor(s) of the books of Ezra and Nehemiah re-interpreted certain passages from the Pentateuch and from the deuteronomic-deuteronomistic history in a peculiar way to support the exclusive religious and social reforms of Ezra and Nehemiah. Thus, this investigation has shown that Israel and essentially all other nations, races and people could become ‘Yahweh’s people’ through appropriate covenant means. These covenant processes included:

- Yahweh’s promise to become the God of the Patriarchs as well as the God of Israel (cf Gn 17:7-8);
- The notion of Abraham as the father of a multitude of nations (cf Gn 17:5);
- Circumcision (cf Gn 17:10-14);
- The blessing of other nations via Abraham and his descendants (cf Gn 12:3; 18:18; 22:18; 26:4; 28:14);
- Food provision (cf Ex 23:10-11; Lv 19:9-10; 23:22; 25:1-7; Dt 14:28-29; 24:19-21; 26:12-15);
- Sabbath keeping (cf Ex 20:8-11; 23:12; Dt 5:12-15);
- Celebration of Passover, feasts of Weeks and Tabernacles (cf Ex 12:17-20, 48-49; Nm 9:14; Dt 16:10-14);
- Equality of both the Israelites and the aliens before the law of Yahweh (cf Ex 12:49; Lv 24:22; Nm 9:14; 15:13-16, 29-30);
- Intermarriage (cf Tamar-Gn 38:6-30; Moses-Nm 12:1-2; Ruth-Rt 1:16-17; 4:13-22; Rahab-Jos 6:22-23 and Bathsheba-2 Sm 11:3, 26-27; 12:24-25);
- Sacrificial offering (cf Lv 22:17-20, 25; Nm 15:13-16) and
- Cities of refuge (cf Nm 35:14-15).
The reforms of Ezra and Nehemiah ignored this inclusive perspective of the two covenants. Ezra and Nehemiah adopted the exclusive perspective of both covenants as the basis for their reforms. This is a one-sided understanding of the Abrahamic and the Mosaic covenant perspective on ‘Yahweh’s people’. A close reading of the two covenants reveals the openness of Yahweh, the God of Israel, to all nations, races, peoples and ethnic groups. Yahweh accepted all people who embraced him as their God through appropriate covenant means. Yahweh cannot be confined to a single group of people as presupposed in Ezra and Nehemiah. He cannot be localized!

KEY TERMS
Yahweh, God, Israel, Jews, exiles, foreigners, nations, aliens, covenant, treaty, people and land.
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