

**Indirect interactions between elephants (*Loxodonta africana*)  
and mopane caterpillars (*Imbrasia belina*) through their shared  
food resource – mopane trees (*Colophospermum mopane*).**

by

**Helena De Nagy Köves Hrabar**

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Zoology)

in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

August 2005

**Indirect interactions between elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) and mopane caterpillars (*Imbrasia belina*) through their shared food resource – mopane trees (*Colophospermum mopane*).**

**Helena De Nagy Köves Hrabar**

Supervisor: Prof. J.T. du Toit

Director: Mammal Research Institute

Department of Zoology and Entomology

University of Pretoria

Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Zoology) in the Faculty of Natural and  
Agricultural Sciences

**Summary**

Mopane (*Colophospermum mopane*) trees are browsed upon by two key species, namely mopane caterpillars (*Imbrasia belina*) and African elephants (*Loxodonta africana*), which each inflict a different type of damage while feeding, namely defoliation (leaf removal) and pruning (branch and/or stem breakage). Damage type can have a significant influence on plant responses, and these induced changes in morphological and chemical characteristics of regrowth can influence the subsequent feeding behaviour by each species. The objective of this study was therefore partly to investigate the differential effect of defoliation by mopane caterpillars and pruning by elephants on mopane trees, and then to investigate whether these two taxonomically different species interact through

their shared food resource, by looking specifically at the effect of elephant utilisation of mopane on mopane caterpillar abundance.

To determine the comparative effect of each browsing type, mopane trees were subjected to simulated mopane caterpillar or elephant utilisation treatments, at various frequencies and times within the year. Regrowth characteristics were then measured on treatment and control trees, as well as on naturally utilised and unutilised trees. Reproductive investment was also recorded on naturally utilised and unutilised trees. Additionally, the impact of mopane caterpillar defoliation and elephant pruning on plant stress was investigated by measuring the level of fluctuating asymmetry (FA) in leaves. Then, to determine whether there is an interaction between elephants and mopane caterpillars, mopane caterpillar egg mass abundance in areas of high elephant impact was compared to that in areas of low elephant impact. Firstly, however, in areas without elephant damage, those tree characteristics determining host tree preference by ovipositing mopane moths were identified. From this, an understanding of how elephant utilisation may influence mopane caterpillar abundance could therefore be gained.

Defoliation and pruning had a significant different effect on mopane regrowth responses. Shoot and leaf length were significantly longer on pruned trees than control trees, for both naturally utilised and simulated elephant treatment trees, while there was no difference in shoot density. Defoliation, however, resulted in shorter shoots and leaves, particularly on naturally defoliated trees, which also had leaves of a higher nutritional value (tannin:protein ratio and total polyphenolic content) than control trees. A similar increase in leaf nutritional value was recorded in areas of high elephant impact in the Kruger National Park, but not after simulated or natural elephant damage in Venetia, where natural elephant utilization was less intense. Time since damage (i.e. first versus second flush) had a significant influence on regrowth after pruning, as shoot and leaf

length were significantly longer on trees flushing for the first time, while within-season timing of damage was important for defoliation, as late-season defoliation had a greater negative impact than mid-season defoliation. Late-season defoliation also had a negative effect on leaf carriage into the dry season, while pruning appeared to aid leaf retention.

Reproductive investment was found to be unaffected by mopane caterpillar defoliation or elephant pruning, as mean pod density and pod mass on utilised trees was no different to unutilised trees. Defoliation also had no influence on a plant's likelihood of flowering that same season, with flowering being determined more by tree height. Unlike pod production, however, mean leaf density was significantly reduced in the regrowth of defoliated trees, presumably due to the use of stored resources for reproduction prior to the onset of regrowth.

Neither simulated nor natural defoliation by mopane caterpillars and pruning by elephants was found to affect the level of leaf FA in mopane trees, even though the degree of damage inflicted on trees was considerably higher than in studies on other species where increases in FA were observed. Mopane therefore appears to be extremely tolerant of herbivory in comparison to other species. A positive relationship between leaf nutritional value (higher protein and lower tannin and polyphenolic content) and FA was detected, but only when trees from all study areas (i.e. a wide range of environmental conditions) were considered simultaneously. Environmental conditions, rather than herbivory, therefore appear to have a greater stressing affect on mopane.

In the absence of heavy elephant utilisation of mopane trees, tree size, rather than shoot length, leaf length, leaf FA or leaf nutritional value, was found to have the greatest influence on oviposition behaviour of mopane moths. Ovipositing moths showed a preference for the tall riverine habitat over the shorter woodland and scrub mopane. This preference for large trees was, however, not evident at the individual tree level, as even

though egg mass number per tree was positively related to tree height, large trees were not utilised more than expected according to the available canopy volume in each size class (resource availability).

Heavy elephant utilisation of mopane had a negative impact on the density of tall trees within an area, due to branch and stem breakage while feeding. Unsurprisingly then, mopane caterpillar egg mass abundance was also significantly reduced in these areas, even though the nutritional value of leaves was higher than in non-elephant impacted areas. Elephants therefore appear to have a negative effect on mopane caterpillar abundance, primarily due to their negative impact on the density of tall mopane trees. This megaherbivore and invertebrate do therefore interact through their shared food resource, mopane trees.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Most of all, I'd like to thank my parents for providing me with the opportunity to do this Ph.D., through their extended yet generous financial support, and their enthusiasm and genuine interest throughout. I realise how fortunate and truly spoiled I am.

Thank you to Johan du Toit, for coming up with the idea of this project, for approaching me to carry it out, and for his continual guidance and excellent help throughout. What I have learnt about being a scientist while under his supervision will be with me always.

I also thank Tim O'Connor for sharing his knowledge on mopane trees with me and for his help in teaching me data collection techniques prior to the start of my fieldwork. Additionally, I appreciate the time taken to look over part of this write up, as the comments were of much help.

Without funding and the study sites, this project would not have been possible. I thank the National Research Foundation for providing the necessary finances. Thank you to De Beers Consolidation Mines Ltd. for allowing me to work in the Venetia-Limpopo Nature Reserve, and for the generous provision of free accommodation and the freedom to carry out the necessary work. It was a wonderful environment to work in and I thoroughly enjoyed myself. All the staff were extremely helpful and friendly, and a special thanks to Warwick Mostert for his help in providing me with all the necessary resources and information I needed. Thank you too to Kruger National Park for providing the second study site, and to the Shingwedzi research camp staff for all their help.

Many long hours of measuring trees in the heat were considerably reduced and made a lot more fun due to the voluntary help from my enthusiastic field assistants. Thank you to my mom, dad, Eileen Covarr, Matthew Covarr, Sally Hofmeyer and Tandy Ellery.

My thanks also to Luanne Otter for all her work on the VOCs, in the field and in the lab, and to Dawood Hattas for doing the tannin and total polyphenol analyses.

Thanks to Harriet Davies for her constant willingness to help in problem solving during various aspects of the field work, and generally for listening to all my brainstorming sessions while in Venetia. Having someone to discuss the work with during those months was invaluable. Additionally, thanks to both Harriet and Warwick for their wonderful friendship, company and brilliant meals while in the field!

I will always have many warm memories from my time at the Mammal Research Institute. Thank you to everyone there whom I met over the years for making it such a wonderful place to work, and for the many great morning tea sessions. Thanks to Almarie Cronye and Elizabe Els for their secretarial assistance, and to Martin Haupt for his incredible willingness to help with just about anything. Also, thanks to my friend Dario Fornara for all his input during our discussions on plant-herbivore interactions.

Lastly, I thank Matthew Covarr for his love and endless patience and support during this period. The many long-distance phone calls and bunches of flowers are appreciated more than I can explain.

## CONTENTS

Summary .....	ii
Acknowledgements .....	vi
Contents .....	viii
List of Tables .....	xii
List of Figures .....	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1: General introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: Study sites and species .....</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1 Study sites .....	14
2.1.1 Venetia-Limpopo Nature Reserve .....	14
2.1.2 Kruger National Park .....	18
2.2 Mopane trees .....	21
2.2.1 Distribution and ecology .....	21
2.2.2 Phenology .....	23
2.2.3 Uses .....	24
2.3 Mopane moths and caterpillars .....	25
2.4 African elephants in mopane woodland .....	29
2.5 References .....	30
<b>CHAPTER 3: Differential effects of defoliation by mopane caterpillars and pruning by african elephants on the regrowth of <i>Colophospermum mopane</i> foliage .....</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	38



3.2 Methods . . . . .	41
3.2.1 Treatment transects . . . . .	41
3.2.2 Natural mopane caterpillar and elephant browsing . . . . .	44
3.2.3 Measuring regrowth . . . . .	44
3.2.4 Statistical analysis . . . . .	46
3.3 Results . . . . .	47
3.3.1 Simulated treatments . . . . .	47
3.3.2 Naturally browsed trees . . . . .	49
3.4 Discussion . . . . .	53
3.5 References . . . . .	59
<b>CHAPTER 4: Effects of pruning by elephants and defoliation by mopane caterpillars on reproduction in <i>Colophospermum mopane</i>.</b> . . . . .	67
4.1 Introduction. . . . .	67
4.2 Methods . . . . .	70
4.2.1 Field work . . . . .	70
4.2.2 Statistical analyses . . . . .	72
4.3 Results . . . . .	72
4.4 Discussion . . . . .	77
4.5 References . . . . .	81
<b>CHAPTER 5: Intraspecific host preferences of mopane moths (<i>Imbrasia belina</i>) in mopane (<i>Colophospermum mopane</i>) woodland</b> . . . . .	86
5.1 Introduction . . . . .	86
5.2 Methods . . . . .	89
5.2.1 Study site . . . . .	89

5.2.2 Habitat description . . . . .	90
5.2.3 Host preference – habitat level . . . . .	90
5.2.4 Host preference – tree level . . . . .	91
5.2.5 Statistical analyses . . . . .	93
5.3 Results . . . . .	93
5.3.1 Habitat description . . . . .	93
5.3.2 Host preference – habitat level . . . . .	95
5.3.3 Host selection – tree level . . . . .	98
5.3.4 Host preference – canopy volume . . . . .	98
5.4 Discussion . . . . .	102
5.5 References . . . . .	107
<b>CHAPTER 6: Elephants and mopane caterpillars : interactions through a shared resource . . . . .</b>	<b>113</b>
6.1 Introduction . . . . .	113
6.2 Methods . . . . .	116
6.2.1 Area description . . . . .	117
6.2.2 Utilisation by elephants . . . . .	118
6.2.3 Oviposition by mopane moths . . . . .	119
6.2.4 Statistical analyses . . . . .	121
6.3 Results . . . . .	121
6.4 Discussion . . . . .	127
6.5 References . . . . .	132
<b>CHAPTER 7: Elephant browsing, caterpillar defoliation and fluctuating asymmetry in <i>Colophospermum mopane</i> leaves . . . . .</b>	<b>138</b>

7.1 Introduction . . . . .	138
7.2 Methods . . . . .	142
7.2.1 Response to browsing . . . . .	142
7.2.2 Host selection . . . . .	145
7.2.3 Statistical procedure . . . . .	145
7.3 Results . . . . .	147
7.4 Discussion . . . . .	153
7.5 References . . . . .	157
<b>CHAPTER 8: General discussion . . . . .</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>APPENDIX A . . . . .</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>APPENDIX B : Shoot growth . . . . .</b>	<b>169</b>
B.1 The effect of browsing treatment on shoot growth rate of mopane . . . . .	169
B.2 Shoot growth rate over time . . . . .	171
<b>APPENDIX C . . . . .</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>APPENDIX D . . . . .</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>APPENDIX E : Volatile organic carbon emissions from mopane trees . . . . .</b>	<b>176</b>
E.1 Brief introduction and methods . . . . .	176
E.2 Results . . . . .	178
E.3 References . . . . .	180

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 4.1</b>	Pod and leaf production in mopane trees previously defoliated by mopane caterpillars or pruned by elephants, compared to unutilised trees (mean $\pm$ SE values).....	74
<b>Table 5.1</b>	The mean $\pm$ SE values of variables describing the three mopane habitat types found in the Venetia-Limpopo Nature Reserve, namely: riverine, woodland and scrub mopane.....	94
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Results from ANOVAS and Tukey tests for variables describing differences between riverine, woodland and scrub mopane habitat types.....	96
<b>Table 5.3</b>	Results from Wilcoxon matched-pairs tests, comparing characteristics of host and non-host mopane trees in woodland and riverine habitats.....	99
<b>Table 5.4</b>	The preference for different size mopane tree canopies by ovipositing mopane moths, firstly based on the number of trees within a canopy volume class and secondly, on the total canopy volume within a class.....	101
<b>Table 6.1</b>	The mean $\pm$ SE values for variables describing areas of mopane woodland with high and low elephant impact, and the presence of mopane moth egg masses within these areas. The relationship between areas is also shown for each variable (Wilcoxon matched-pairs tests).....	122
<b>Table 6.2</b>	The selection of different size mopane trees by ovipositing mopane moth females, based on the number of trees within each size class and using canopy volume and tree height as two measures of tree size.....	126
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Foliar FA in mopane (means $\pm$ SE) regrowth after various browsing treatments <sup>1</sup> and for trees with and without mopane moth egg masses <sup>2</sup> . Estimates are from measures of leaf length, as mean values of the signed difference between right and left sides of a leaf (R-L), and as absolute values	

of the difference between left and right sides (absolute leaf FA).....	149
<b>Table 7.2</b> The mean coefficient of variation (CV) in values of leaf FA within individual trees after natural and simulated herbivory.....	150
<b>Table B.1</b> Mean ( $\pm$ SE) shoot length values measured three times during the growing season on mopane trees previously subjected to various elephant pruning and mopane caterpillar defoliation treatments. Letters indicate significant differences between treatments at each time of measurement.....	170
<b>Table C.1</b> The mineral content and water pH of soil samples collected at each experimental ‘tree group’ along three different transects (for Chapter 3).....	173
<b>Table C.2</b> The mineral content and water pH of soil samples collected along transects in the three different mopane vegetation types.....	174

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1** Maps showing the location of the two study sites used in this study, namely the Venetia-Limpopo Nature Reserve and Kruger National Park .....15
- Figure 2.2** Annual seasonal rainfall (July- June) for Venetia from July 1995 to June 2005 (year indicates start of season) .....16
- Figure 2.3** Monthly rainfall in Venetia over the two year period during which the study took place. Arrows indicate when oviposition by mopane moths takes place (dashed arrow represents very few moths) .....17
- Figure 2.4** Annual seasonal rainfall (July- June) for Shingwedzi in the Kruger National Park from July 1982 to June 2003 (year indicates start of season)..... 19
- Figure 2.5** Distribution of *Colophospermum mopane* in Southern Africa (from Mapaire 1994).....22
- Figure 2.6** (a) An egg mass and newly hatched mopane caterpillars on a mopane leaf, and (b) a fully grown mopane caterpillar.....27
- Figure 3.1** A comparison of the effects of various elephant pruning and caterpillar defoliation treatments on (a) mean ( $\pm$  SE) shoot length and (b) leaf size of mopane regrowth. Treatments included: simulated elephant pruning in August 2002 (E'02) and August 2003 (E'03), controls (Con), and simulated caterpillar defoliation in November 2002 (CN'02), February 2003 (CF'03), November 2002 and February 2003 (CN&F), and November 2003 (CN'03).....48
- Figure 3.2** Seasonal leaf carriage of mopane trees after simulated caterpillar and elephant utilization treatments (refer to in Fig. 3.1 for treatment code details and the text for score details). DL = dead leaves, YL = yellow

	leaves, ML = mature leaves, IL = immature leaves, LB = leaf buds.....	50
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	The effect of natural elephant pruning and mopane caterpillar defoliation on the regrowth of mopane trees (mean $\pm$ SE values).....	52
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	The number of leaves versus pods per 50 cm length of branch on (a) non-defoliated, (b) mopane caterpillar defoliated, (c) non-pruned and (d) elephant pruned mopane trees. Data from all branches are shown here (5 branches were sampled per tree).....	76
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	The percentage of host trees per transect versus the mean tree height of the corresponding transect .....	97
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	The relationship between the number of egg masses per tree (score 0-3) and tree height. Heights are grouped into the following classes: 1 = 0 - 1.5 m, 2 = 1.6 - 3 m, 3 = 3.1 - 4.5 m, 4 = 4.6 - 6 m, 5 = 6.1 - 7.5 m, 7 = > 7.5 m.....	100
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	The mean ( $\pm$ SE) height of trees for each egg abundance score in mopane (a) riverine and (b) woodland habitats.....	100
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	A graphic representation of how tree height and canopy volume classes (a-d) were calculated.....	120
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	The density of mopane moth egg masses in areas of mopane woodland with high and low elephant impact (Wilcoxon matched-pairs test, $P < 0.05$ ).....	123
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	The relationship between the number of mopane moth egg masses/ha and mopane tree canopy volume/ha in mopane woodland ( $F_{1,12} = 14.5$ , $P < 0.01$ , $R^2_{adj} = 0.510$ ).....	124
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	Mopane woodland heavily impacted by elephants, resulting in a double-tiered woodland structure consisting of tall, intact trees and coppicing damaged trees (the photo was taken in Chobe National Park, Botswana).....	130

<b>Figure 7.1</b>	A diagram of a mopane leaf, showing the measurements taken to determine fluctuating asymmetry. Asymmetry (FA) in: length = $(A-B)$ and width = $(a-b)$ . Absolute asymmetry $_{FA}$ in: length = $_{A-B}$ and width = $_{a-b}$ .....	144
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	The relationship between mean fluctuating asymmetry in leaf length ( $_{\log R - \log L}$ ) and foliar (a) protein, (b) total polyphenolic and (c) tannin content in mopane trees, for all study trees combined.....	152
<b>Figure A.1</b>	The relationship between mopane leaf length and width (N = 2834).....	168
<b>Figure B.1</b>	The change in growth rate of mopane shoots with increasing time since defoliation by mopane caterpillars.....	172
<b>Figure D.1</b>	Canopy volume versus tree height for all mopane trees measured in the Kruger National Park.....	175
<b>Figure E.1</b>	A comparison of $_{\alpha}$ -pinene emission rates (mean $\pm$ SE) from the regrowth of mopane trees previously subjected to simulated elephant pruning and mopane caterpillar defoliation, as well as from unutilised trees.....	179
<b>Figure E.2</b>	The emission rate of $_{\alpha}$ -pinene (mean $\pm$ SE) from mopane leaves just prior to simulated caterpillar damage and 3 and 15 minutes after damage.....	180