

A FOUNDER'S STRATEGY AND ENTREPRENEURIAL LEADERSHIP
ARE CRITICAL ELEMENTS IN GROWING AND DEVELOPING A MID-
SIZED VENTURE

By

CLIVE ADRIAN FYNN

Submitted in fulfilment of part of the requirements for the degree of

Philosophiae Doctor (Entrepreneurship)

in the

Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences

Department of Business Management

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

SUPERVISOR/STUDY LEADER: PROFESSOR JURIE J. VAN VUUREN

April 2005

Acknowledgements

Dedicated to Mayline, Herlaine and Kirsty Fynn (my family)

This doctoral thesis is the result of a combination of assistance, guidance and support offered by many individuals, companies and organisations in South Africa and across the rest of the world, coupled with/to my own working experiences as Chief Executive Officer and my membership of several Boards of Directors.

I am extremely grateful to Professor Jurie J. Van Vuuren, my research study supervisor, for the sterling work, dedication and three years of commitment he put into making sure that this thesis was a first on research into founder entrepreneurs in South Africa, and that the associated research for the investigation went beyond the norm in quality, content and style. His supervisory expertise and his friendship and support which he offered, at times when I felt that completion of the thesis was too tedious and deeply iterative, remain hallmarks of what a study leader for experience – based research work should be.

I am also highly appreciative of the time and energy spent by Schalk Human and Rina Owen, from the Department of Statistics (Statistical Research Support Unit) of the University of Pretoria. Their deeper insights and inputs into the fieldwork for the final measurement instrument of the thesis and their numerous verifications of the analyses and interpretations of the multiple iterations (done on the successive drafts of this doctoral thesis) are also recognised.

The research for this work was critically dependant on the earlier and recent works of Professor Robert (Bob) Baum, Director Academic Programs, Dingman Centre for Entrepreneurship, Robert H. Smith College of Business, at the University of Maryland, (College Park) in Washington. In graciously allowing me to adapt and use his questionnaire for the purpose of the present research and his special interest in seeing me successfully complete this thesis, show his true commitment to global research – and, for that I remain

equally appreciative. I thank Professor Robert Burgelman (of the Graduate School of Business at the University of Stanford) for submitting his responses timeously to my enquiries.

I also wish to express my gratitude to the following the entrepreneurship studies' programme teachers, research colleagues and institutions with whom I conferred at different times in my (doctoral) research:

- University of Pennsylvania's Wharton Business School;
- University of London's School of Economics (Sloan Programme);
- University of Stanford's Graduate School of Business
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology's Sloan School of Management.

In particular, I wish to thank Professor Randy Pietersen for his numerous, yet significant, comments on all the successive drafts of the thesis. I am indebted to him for his unfailing encouragement and comments to my research (which were sent from the USA and Europe and wherever he and his colleague, Paula James, were researching into entrepreneurship).

I also appreciate the initial proof reading and editing work done by the NKWH company, and I also thank David Levey (Senior Lecturer in the English Department at the University of South Africa) for doing both the proof reading and editing of the final thesis document.

I am also most grateful to all of the Chief Executive Officers and/or General Managers (CEOs) who were consulted and who willingly gave of their time to complete both the pilot – study and final questionnaires, and who met me (after hours) to assist in the follow – on interviews for this study. My many colleagues and Waymark Infotech Board members - with whom I shared constant concerns and issues on this research - are also recognised.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements

Abstract	1
Chapter 1 Introduction to Study	4
1.1. Research Context and Purpose	4
1.2. Research Objectives	9
1.3. Definition of Entrepreneurship For The Study	12
1.4. Linking the Study's Objective, Definition of Entrepreneurship and Hypotheses	14
1.4.1 Propositions, Constructs and Hypotheses Evolution	14
1.4.2 Entrepreneurship Model Applied within the Eight Domain Context	15
1.5. Outline of the Study	17
1.6. Importance of the Study	18
1.7. Summary of the Study	19
Chapter 2 Literature Review	20
2.1. Introduction	20
2.1.1 Measurement of Success and Entrepreneurship	21
2.1.2 Sociological Perspectives on Entrepreneurial Traits	22
2.1.2.1 Organisational Behaviour and Entrepreneurial Domains	22
2.1.2.2 Entrepreneurial Leaders as Managers in Intrepreneurial Environments	23
2.1.2.3 Management Orientations in Entrepreneurship	24
2.2. Literature Review of Entrepreneurship Contingency Theories	25
2.2.1 Supply and Demand-side Orientations in Entrepreneurship Studies	26
2.2.2 Historical Perspectives on Supply and Demand-side Orientations	27
2.2.3 Institutionalisation of Entrepreneurship and Macro - Culture	28
2.3. Motivation, Locus of Control and Risk Taking Propensity	29
2.3.1 Achievement Motivation	28

2.3.2	Locus of control	30
2.3.3	Risk Taking Propensity and Persistence	32
2.3.3.1	Risk Taking Propensity	32
2.3.3.2	Persistence/Tenacity	34
2.4.	Leadership and Entrepreneurial Vision	35
2.5.	Entrepreneurial Intent, Self-efficacy and Opportunity- Recognition and/or Formulation	38
2.5.1	Entrepreneurial Intent	38
2.5.2	Self-efficacy and Opportunity Recognition and/or Formulation	39
2.6.	Entrepreneurial Empowerment	41
2.7.	Approaches to Experiential Learning in Entrepreneurship	42
2.8.	Literature Review Summary	46
Chapter 3	Propositions, Constraints and Hypotheses	47
3.1.	Introduction	47
3.1.1	Structure and Generation of Pilot Test Questionnaire	47
3.1.2	Pilot Test Questionnaire Distribution and Analyses	49
3.1.2.1	Participant Selection Criteria for Pilot Test	50
3.1.2.2	Pilot Test Topology: Assumptions, Foundations and Generic Dimensions in Literature	51
3.2.	Proposition Set Development	59
3.2.1	Entrepreneurial Intent, Agentic Theory and Propositions Development	60
3.2.2	Primary Propositions for the Research	62
3.3.	Proposition Set Refinement & Hypothesis Formulation	62
3.4.	Designated Names For Variables Used In This Study	65
3.4.1	Additional Foundation for Designated Names For Variables Used	66

Chapter 4	Research Methodology	68
4.1.	Introduction	68
4.2.	Further Overview of Statistical Tests Applied in this Thesis	68
4.2.1	Chi – square	69
4.2.2	Probability (or P) -Value	71
4.2.3	Phi	72
4.2.4	Contingency Coefficient “C”	72
4.2.5	Likelihood Ratio Chi – Square	73
4.2.6	Fischer Exact Test	73
4.2.7	F-value (ANOVA)	74
4.2.8	Mann-Whitney (or Rank Sum) Test	76
4.3.	Data Collection Process	77
4.3.1.	Determining Factors for Company Vision, Tenacity, Risk-taking and Personal Involvement/Commitment	79
4.4.	Industry Choice and Participating Company Size	81
4.5.	Market Participants and Population for the Study	82
4.6.	Population Characteristics: Market Players and Dynamics of Entrepreneurial Founders versus Intrapreneurs	84
4.7.	Population Characteristics: Market Players and Dynamics of Entrepreneurial Founders	85
4.8.	Measures Relevant To The Thesis	88
Chapter 5	Research Analyses	90
5.1.	Introduction Research	90
5.2.	Objectives and Proposition Frameworks	90
5.3.	Demographics and Descriptive Statistics	93
5.3.1	Background to process	93
5.3.2	Description of Factors: Frequency Procedures for Eight Variables	93
5.3.3	Evolutionary Process For Factors Selected	97

5.3.3.1	Naming the Factors for the noted Constructs	98
5.3.3.2	Factor Correlations	103
5.3.3.2.1	Factor Correlations for Rotated Factors	103
5.3.3.2.2	Factor Score Covariance	103
5.3.3.2.3	Determining the optimum number of factors	103
Chapter 6 Conclusions on Analyses		105
6.1.	Introduction	105
6.2.	Factor Analysis	105
6.2.1	Factor Analysis In Construct Development	105
6.2.2	Correlations and Further Stepwise Applications	108
6.3.	Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) And Results	110
6.3.1	ANOVA Outputs for Factor 1	111
6.3.2	ANOVA Outputs for Factor 2	112
6.3.3	ANOVA Outputs for Factor 3	113
6.3.4	ANOVA Outputs and Significance of Source	114
6.3.4.1	ANOVA Outputs In Relation To Variable Source Tested For Factor 1	114
6.3.4.2	ANOVA Outputs In Relation To Variable Source Tested For Factor 2	114
6.3.4.3	ANOVA Outputs In Relation To Variable Source Tested For Factor 3	115
6.3.5	Remarks on Correlation significance	116
6.3.5.1	Moderator Variables and Multiple Regressions	116
6.4	Basic Statistical Measures for Variable 69 and F1 - F3)	117
6.5.	Concept validity	123
6.5.1	Evolutionary Process For Factors Selected	125
6.6.	Closing Commentary on Domains and Hypotheses	128
6.6.1	Concluding Remarks on Domain Specific Skill	128
6.6.2	Concluding Remarks on Hypotheses	128
6.6.2.1	Acceptance or Rejection of Hypotheses	130

Chapter 7	Research Conclusions, Directions and Implications	133
7.1.	Introduction	133
7.2.	Theoretical Frameworks To Be Addressed In Responding	133
7.3.	Proposed Future Research Questions To Be Addressed	134
7.4.	Concluding Remarks on Future Research	135
7.5.	Implications for Future Research	136
Bibliography		138
Appendix A:	CEOs' Questionnaire Submitted	158

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 3

Table 3.1: Correlations Sought And Hypotheses Formulated (From Proposition Sets)	64
Table 3.2: Designated Names For Variables	65

Chapter 4

Table 4.1: Analysis of Coefficients of Variants In Three Factors Across Two Groups	79
Table 4.2: Application of Rotated Factor Loadings to Three Groups	80
Table 4.3: Analysis within Inter-Correlations with Three Factors Across Two Groups	80
Table 4.4: Classification Matrix for Two Cases across Two Groups	81

Chapter 5

Table 5.1: Designated Names for Variables Subjected to Frequency Procedure	94
Table 5.2: Variables Subjected to Frequency Procedure & Outcomes Displayed	94
Table 5.3: Variables Read To Determine the Number of Relevant Factors & Squared Multiple Correlations (SMC)	98
Table 5.4: Loadings for Nominated Variables	99
Table 5.5: Factor Correlations for Rotated Factors	103
Table 5.6: Factor Score Covariance	103

Table 5.7: Variables Read To Determine The Number Of Relevant Factors & Squared Multiple Correlations (SMC)	103
---	-----

Chapter 6

Table 6.1: Three Foundation Constructs For Correlations Sought and (later) Hypothesis Testing	106
Table 6.2: ANOVA Test Results for F1	111
Table 6.3: ANOVA Test Results for F2	112
Table 6.4: ANOVA Test Results for F3	113
Table 6.5: ANOVA Outputs In Relation To Variable Source Tested For F1	114
Table 6.6: ANOVA Outputs In Relation To Variable Source Tested For F2	115
Table 6.7: ANOVA Outputs In Relation To Variable Source Tested For F3	115
Table 6.8: Simple Statistics of Location and Variability for F1; F2 and F3 (in V69)	117
Table 6.9: Classification Matrics	125
Table 6.10: Classification matrix for variable 31	126
Table 6.11: Classification Matrix for variable 31	127

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 6

Figure 1: Sample Characteristics: Age of Respondents	118
Figure 2: Sample Characteristics: Formal Academic Qualifications of Respondents	119
Figure 3: Sample: Respondents' Technical/Vocational Formal Education	120
Figure 4: Sample Characteristics: Number Courses Attended by Respondents	121
Figure 5: Sample Characteristics: Respondents' Shareholding Distribution	121
Figure 6: Sample Characteristics: Number of IT (or closely related) firms worked for by Respondents	123