

The integration of critical reflection as a learning

strategy in the training of health science practitioners

by

Marié Aletta van der Watt

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor (PhD)

in the

Department of Curriculum Studies

Faculty of Education

University of Pretoria

Supervisor: Dr. P. H. du Toit

April 2008



The integration of critical reflection as a learning strategy in the training of health science practitioners

by

Marié Aletta van der Watt

Degree: Philosophiae Doctor Department of Curriculum Studies Supervisor: Dr. P. H. du Toit

ABSTRACT

In South Africa today a constant stream of demands characterise higher education. The global employment market expects graduating students to be flexible, adoptable and prepared to take responsibility for their own learning and their own continuous professional development. The pace of technological change in health sciences and the volume of available information highlight the need to develop students' critical reflective thinking.

A paradigm shift is required in health science education from one of providing instruction to one of promoting effective and lifelong learning. Educators in health sciences need to revisit, rethink and evaluate criteria for health practice.

The challenge of this research is to investigate the integration of critical reflection as a learning strategy in the outcomes of learning programmes within a transformative education approach; the integration of theory and practice through a reflective learning approach; the development and implementation of different



learning tools to facilitate effective learning; the importance of establishing an understanding of the link between the learning styles of students and critical reflection as a learning strategy; and the value of the integration of critical reflection to promote lifelong learning.

A mixed methods research approach was primarily utilised to monitor facilitation of learning initiatives and appropriate activities for strengthening the learningcentred approach, through reflective journals and reflective learning groups. A quantitative and qualitative study was used in which a pilot study questionnaire, observations, structured interviews and questionnaires were conducted and completed.

The findings of this investigation indicate that critical reflection adds value to the effectiveness of learning. The investigation also revealed the value of sharing learning experiences in a small learning group and proved that the learning environment for radiography education allows enough opportunities to integrate an alternative learning strategy such as critical reflection.

All role players in health science education need to build their own skills to function effectively as whole brain thinkers with a view to maximizing learning effectiveness.



Reflective practice enhances lifelong learning and can also be utilised as a tool for quality control of the learning programme.

Key words: critical reflection, reflection, reflective practice, learning strategies, transformative learning, flexible learning styles, lifelong learning, metalearning, work-based learning, reflective learning groups



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Differences between traditional education and outcomes-based education (Olivier, 1999)	48
Table 3.1	Data collection methods	87
Table 3.2	Time schedule for the information sessions and consent process	88
Table 3.3	Time period of the10-week reflective cycle	90
Table 3.4	Structured focus group interview schedule	93
Table 3.5	Schedule for the completion of the questionnaires	94
Table 3.6	Names and numbers of respondents participating	96
Table 3.7	The different sectors the respondents are working in	102
Table 3.8	Understanding of terminology with regard to concepts applicable to reflective practice	102
Table 3.9	Reflection has the ability to improve the effectiveness of learning	103
Table 3.10	Opportunities to reflect on experiences to enhance effective and lifelong learning	104
Table 3.11	Tools/strategies/methods that might be useful to facilitate reflection	105
Table 3.12	The necessity for the role players in radiography education to be involved in the process of reflection	106
Table 3.13	Factors contributing to the current lack of utilising opportunities for reflective practice in radiography education	108
Table 3.14	Opportunities for students to think about their own personal development	109
Table 3.15	Coding system for observations during the weekly reflective group sessions	111
Table 3.16	Quotations of students' responses and observations during the weekly reflective discussion sessions	119



- Table 3.17Interview questions to student focus groups and their122purpose
- Table 3.18Interview questions and their purpose to staff members126
- Table 3.19.1Coding system for students' personal development128through reflective practice
- Table 3.19.2Coding system for disciplined documentation of 129
reflections
- Table 3.19.3Coding system for difficulties experienced while129keeping a reflective journal
- Table 3.19.4Coding system for the value attached to a reflective129learning group
- Table 3.19.5Coding system for basic reflective skills130
- Table 3.19.6Coding system for understanding the importance of the130concept of metalearning
- Table 3.19.7Coding system for reflective learning groups providing130an effective forum, where learning experiences can be
sharedshared
- Table 3.19.8Coding system for sufficient opportunities for sharing131personal reflections during the weekly reflective group
sessions
- Table 3.19.9Coding system for systematic reflection becoming an131integral part of daily learning activities
- Table 3.20.1Coding system for a developmental process for all role133players involved in radiography education
- Table 3.20.2Coding system for own value gained with regard to the
students' reflective practice through the weekly
observations
- Table 3.20.3Coding system for observing students dominating the133reflective discussion sessions
- Table 3.20.4Coding system for students' understanding of the134



importance of reflecting together as a learning group

- Table 3.20.5Coding system for the ideal size (number of students)134of a reflective learning group
- Table 3.20.6Coding system for sufficient time available for reflective134discussion group sessions
- Table 3.20.7aCoding system for the students' attitude towards135reflective practice in general
- Table 3.20.7bCoding system for the students' ability to master the135skill of reflection
- Table 3.20.7cCoding system for the students' discipline to keep a135reflective journal
- Table 3.20.7dCoding system for students taking responsibility for136their own learning
- Table 3.20.8Coding system for observing any growth in the136students' motivation and ability to reflect deeply
- Table 3.20.9Coding system for an aspect regarding reflective136practice as a process that facilitators of learning in the
context of training regard as outstanding
- Table 3.20.10Coding system for regarding reflective practice as137learning strategy to indicate evidence of a student's
development over time
- Table 3.20.11Coding system for aspects that contribute to the
apparent negligence of reflective practice in the
radiography learning environment
- Table 3.20.12Coding system for the necessity to update reflective137skills that would equip staff better to facilitatesuccessful reflective learning groups regularly
- Table 3.21Response rate of data collected (questionnaires)142
- Table 3.22Comparison of participants' average brain quadrant143dominance
- Table 3.23Representation of types of Brain Profiles of 149Radiography Students



Table 4.1	Positions held by participants in the context of radiography	180
Table 4.2	Institutions of higher learning	180
Table 4.3	Highest qualification obtained	181
Table 4.4	Availability of staff development programmes	182
Table 4.5	Attendance of programmes regarding new educational strategies	183
Table 4.6	Respondents' general approach to learning	184
Table 4.7	Perspective on the reflective process	189
Table 4.8	Academic and clinical staff members' perspective on reflection as a learning strategy	194
Table 4.9	Students' perspective on reflection as a learning strategy	199



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	An example of chest images to demonstrate the stance of the diaphragm	10
Figure 1.2	A learning-centred approach to teaching (Gravett & Henning, 1998)	16
Figure 2.1	Learning in adulthood, according to Mezirow (Gravett, 2005:28)	38
Figure 2.2	Integration of Critical Reflection in Teaching and Learning	42
Figure 2.3	Single-loop learning (Kolb, 1984)	44
Figure 2.4	Double-loop learning (Kolb, 1984)	46
Figure 2.5	Curriculum Development Model on Micro-level (adapted from Malan, Du Toit and Van Oostrum, 1996)	48
Figure 2.6	Thinking preferences: Alignment of expectations of students and planning of facilitator (adapted from Du Toit, 2004)	61
Figure 2.7 Figure 2.8	The Herrmann Whole Brain Model (Herrmann, 1998) Reflective process and integrated skills (Kloppers, 2001:37)	64 66
Figure 2.9	The Reflective Learning Cycle (adapted from Boud, Keogh & Walker, 1985)	67
Figure 3.1	Health science Research Model (adapted from Collins,1999; Denzin & Lincoln, 2000; Green, 1998)	83
Figure 3.2	Quadangulation: data collection methods	86
Figure 3.3	Triangulation: research participants	97
Figure 3.4	HBDI profiles of academic staff members	144
Figure 4.1	The opinion of the students regarding the influence of reflective practice on their own development	159
Figure 4.2	Value gained by staff members through observing reflective discussions	160



Figure 4.3	The students' discipline to keep a reflective journal	160
Figure 4.4	Staff members' opinion of students dominating reflective discussions	161
Figure 4.5	Difficulties experienced by students while keeping a reflective journal	163
Figure 4.6	Different values students attach to a reflective learning group	163
Figure 4.7	Students' basic reflective skills	164
Figure 4.8	Students' understanding of the importance of reflective discussion groups	165
Figure 4.9	The ideal number of students per reflective learning group	166
Figure 4.10	Availability of time for reflective discussion groups	167
Figure 4.11	The attitude of students towards reflective practice in general	168
Figure 4.12	The ability of students to master the skill of reflection	169
Figure 4.13	The discipline of students in keeping a reflective journal	169
Figure 4.14	Students' understanding of the concept of metalearning	171
Figure 4.15	The responsibility of students to take responsibility for their own learning (metalearning)	172
Figure 4.16	Reflective learning groups act as forum where learning experiences can be shared	172
Figure 4.17	Sufficient opportunities to share personal reflections	173
Figure 4.18	The students' growth in motivation and the ability to reflect deeply	174
Figure 4.19	Outstanding aspects of the reflective practice process as regarded by staff members	175



Figure 4.20	Critical reflection indicating evidence of a student's development	176
Figure 4.21	Aspects contributing to the negligence of reflective practice in the context of radiography	177
Figure 4.22	Regular updating of knowledge and skills to facilitate reflective practice successfully	178
Figure 4.23	Integration of critical reflection as part of daily learning activities	179



LIST OF ADDENDA

Addendum A	Ethical statement and approval
Addendum B	Letters to the Head of the Institutions of Higher Learning
Addendum C	Information and consent letter
Addendum D	Orientation sessions (PowerPoint presentation)
Addendum E	Pilot study questionnaire
Addendum F	Example of the format of the reflective journal
Addendum G	Example of the observation sheet
Addendum H	Interview questions (students, academic and clinical staff)
Addendum I	Questionnaires to academic and clinical staff members
Addendum J	Ethical clearance certificate



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest thanks are due to:

- God, for giving me strength, wisdom, hope and perseverance to complete this study.
- My family: my husband, Hendri, for his love and faith in my ability, and my three daughters Nicole, Madri and Magdali for their support and understanding.
- My supervisor, Dr. P. H. du Toit for his patience, his commitment and constructive comments when I seemed confused.
- Other family members and friends for their faith in me and their continuous encouragement and support.
- My colleagues for their moral and loyal support.
- Antoinette Lacante, Annelie Bronkhorst and Sunett van Tonder for working tirelessly to type and edit this document.
- Dr. L. Louw and Ms. J. Sommerville for helping with the data and statistical analyses.
- Prof. T. Kühn for the language editing and intervening when limitations in terms of my second language discourse became apparent.

I gratefully dedicate this work to my late father, Pieter Slabbert, for his love and for his shining example of what can be achieved without any complaints, with hard work, dedication and perseverance.