

Effect of food safety systems on the microbiological quality of beef

by

Papiso Ariette Tshabalala

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

in the

Department of Food Science

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science

University of Pretoria

Republic of South Africa

January 2011

Declaration of independent work

I, PAPISO ARIETTE TSHABALALA declare that the thesis herewith submitted for the degree of PhD (Food Science) at the University of Pretoria, is my own independent work and has not been previously submitted by me for a degree at any other institution of higher education.

Papiso Ariette Tshabalala

Signature of student

Date

Dedication

My husband, mother, children, siblings, nephews, nieces, and friends: you showed me everlasting love and supported me throughout, mostly by putting me under tremendous pressure. For all of you, the younger generation, a life strategy and formula: always strive to see the end to everything that you start. For the older generation: everything is possible.

Acknowledgements

- Professor Elna Buys and Dr Henriette de Kock for their priceless leadership and support during the study;
- Dr Duodu for just that smile and few words of encouragement;
- The Department of Agriculture for creating an enabling environment for me to even consider furthering my studies;
- Mr Dries Venter, of the Gauteng Veterinary Services, for being a source of valuable information;
- Mr Johan Du Preez for allowing me to use his pictures;
- Mandla, my husband, for always allowing me to fly as high as I possibly can;
- My mother, for words of encouragement and for always reminding me that being the elder child means one always needs to set a standard;
- All my friends for showing love and giving me immeasurable support and love, nothing has gone unnoticed;
- Mrs Francina Makhoane, a friend and a sister for all the help and immense support;
- My fellow study mates for helping me get started, helping with IT challenges and just keeping me going.

Above all, I have grown in faith and know that the strength, the perseverance and the blessing of all the wonderful people in my life are a gift from God the Almighty.

Abstract

Effect of food safety systems on the microbiological quality of beef

by

Papiso Ariette Tshabalala

Supervisor: Professor Elna M. Buys
Co-supervisor: Doctor Henriette L. de Kock
Degree: PhD Food Science

Contamination of meat with microorganisms during slaughter is inevitable. Hygiene management systems (HMSs) such as the Hygiene Assessment System (HAS) and Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) are used to prevent the contamination of beef with both spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms during slaughter. This study compared the effect of the HAS alone and a combination of HAS + HACCP on the microbiological quality of beef and investigated the survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 co-cultured with different levels of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* on fresh beef.

HAS alone and HAS combined with HACCP systems were each represented by two abattoirs. Sponge swab samples were collected from chilled beef carcasses for indicator organisms: Aerobic Plate Counts (APC), Enterobacteriaceae, *Pseudomonas* spp., and lactic acid bacteria. Swabs were also collected for pathogenic bacteria: *E. coli* O157:H7, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* spp. There was no significant difference between the microbiological quality of beef carcasses processed in the abattoirs with the HAS and that of beef carcasses processed in abattoirs with combined HAS + HACCP. *E. coli* O157:H7 was isolated from carcasses processed in an abattoir with the combined HAS + HACCP system. Moreover, although overall *S. aureus* counts at all abattoirs were comparable, a higher incidence (47% of carcasses) was obtained from an abattoir with combined HAS + HACCP. *Salmonella* spp. was not detected during the study. The microbiological quality of beef at HAS abattoirs is not significantly different to that of beef processed at HAS + HACCP abattoirs. The combined HAS + HACCP did not prevent contamination of beef carcasses with *E.*

iv

coli O157:H7 and *S. aureus*. Effective implementation of HAS can reduce contamination of beef with spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms.

The effect of different levels of *P. fluorescens* (10^2 and 10^6 log₁₀ cfu/ml) and *L. plantarum* (10^2 and 10^4 log₁₀ cfu/ml) on the survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 on beef loins was investigated. Sterile beef loins inoculated with *E. coli* O157:H7 and *P. fluorescens* were aerobically stored for 7 days at 4 °C, while those inoculated with *E. coli* O157:H7 and *L. plantarum* were vacuum-packaged and stored for 8 weeks at 4 °C. APC, *E. coli* O157:H7 and either *P. fluorescens* or *L. plantarum* counts were determined at different storage intervals. For the aerobically packaged beef loins, *E. coli* O157:H7 was detected throughout the 7-day storage period regardless of the *P. fluorescens* level in the inoculum. For the vacuum packaged beef loins, similar inoculum levels of *E. coli* O157:H7 and *L. plantarum* allowed *E. coli* O157:H7 to survive until week 5 of storage, while a higher inoculum level of *L. plantarum* inhibited *E. coli* O157:H7 from week 3. Once fresh beef has been contaminated with *E. coli* O157:H7 the level of *P. fluorescens* in the background flora does not inhibit its survival and growth. However, under vacuum storage, the application of *L. plantarum* as a biopreservative inhibits the survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 on beef. Comprehensive strengthening of preventive strategies is required to eliminate contamination of beef carcasses with *E. coli* O157:H7.

Bacterial contamination of carcasses during slaughter is inevitable. Effective implementation of HAS at abattoirs produces beef carcasses of microbiological quality comparable to that produced through the use of combined HAS and HACCP. While the level of *P. fluorescens* on beef does not inhibit the survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 on aerobically stored beef, the combination of *L. plantarum*, and low storage temperature inhibits the survival of this pathogen on beef under vacuum storage.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	7
2.1 <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7, a food pathogen	7
2.1.1 Origin of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7.....	7
2.2 Recorded <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 associated foodborne outbreaks	8
2.3 Characteristics of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	9
2.3.1 Acid tolerance	9
2.3.2 Antibiotic resistance	9
2.3.3 Carriage of a 60-MDa plasmid.....	10
2.4 Transmission of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	10
2.5 Classification of Family <i>Pseudomonadaceae</i>	11
2.6 Classification and physiology of Lactic Acid Bacteria	12
2.8 Sources and control of contamination of meat and meat products.....	13
2.8.1 Animals presented for slaughter.....	14
2.8.2 Abattoir waste	15
2.8.3 On-farm control strategies.....	16
2.8.4 Control measures at abattoir level.....	21
2.9 Hygiene management systems at abattoirs	23
2.9.1 Hygiene Assessment System.....	24
2.9.2 Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point.....	26
2.10 Common control measures used at HAS alone and HAS and HACCP abattoirs in South Africa	28
2.10.1 Hot water sanitation of slaughter equipment.....	28
2.10.2 Trimming of visible contamination.....	29
2.10.3 Steam vacuuming	29
2.10.4 Chilling.....	30
2.11 Competition between spoilage and food pathogens during beef storage.....	31
2.11.1 Bacterial attachment to meat	31
2.11.2 Factors influencing growth of bacteria on meat.....	32
2.12 Bacterial interactions on meat	33
2.12.1 Interactions between <i>P. fluorescens</i> and <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 when grown together on foods.....	33
2.12.2 Interactions between LAB and <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 when grown together on foods	34
2.13 Influence of storage conditions of meat on its microbiota.....	35
2.13.1 Microbiota of aerobically chilled stored beef	35
2.13.2 Micro biota of vacuum packaged chilled stored beef	37
2.13.3 Production of bacteriocins by <i>L. plantarum</i>	40
2.13.4 Activity spectrum of bacteriocins	41
2.13.5 Role of bacteriocin-sensitive LAB strains on the bacteriocin production of other LAB strains.....	42
2.13.6 Effect of heat on bacteriocins	42

2.13.7	Effect of pH on bacteriocins.....	43
2.14	Hypotheses.....	45
2.15	Objectives	45

CHAPTER THREE: EFFECT OF HYGIENE AND SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ON THE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF FRESH BEEF47

ABSTRACT.....	47	
3.1	Introduction.....	48
3.2	Materials and methods.....	51
3.2.1	Sampling protocol	51
3.2.2	Sample preparation and bacterial analysis	53
3.3	Statistical analysis of the results	57
3.4	Results.....	58
3.4.1	Effect of hygiene management systems on bacterial counts.....	58
3.4.2	Effect of abattoir on bacterial counts	60
3.4.3	Effect of visit at abattoirs on bacterial counts	61
3.5	Discussion.....	65
3.6	Conclusions.....	67

CHAPTER FOUR: SURVIVAL OF *E. COLI* O157:H7 CO-CULTURED WITH DIFFERENT LEVELS OF *PSEUDOMONAS FLUORESCENS* AND *LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM* ON FRESH BEEF.....69

ABSTRACT.....	69	
4.1	Introduction.....	70
4.2	Materials and methods.....	71
4.2.1	Bacterial strains	71
4.2.2	Preparation of beef loins	71
4.2.3	Preparation of working cultures	71
4.2.4	Inoculation of beef loins.....	72
4.2.5	Microbiological analysis of inoculated beef loins.....	73
4.2.6	Statistical analysis	73
4.3	Results.....	73
4.3.1	Effect of <i>P. fluorescens</i> on the survival and growth of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 on beef loins	73
4.3.2	Effect of <i>L. plantarum</i> on the survival and growth of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 on beef loins	77
4.4	Discussion.....	79
4.4.1	Effect of similar levels of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and <i>P. fluorescens</i> in the inoculum on the growth of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 on beef loins	79
4.4.2	Effect of different levels of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and <i>P. fluorescens</i> in the inoculum on growth of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 on beef loins	82
4.4.3	Effect of similar levels in the inoculum of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and <i>L. plantarum</i> on the growth of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 on beef loins.....	83
4.4.4	Effect of different levels of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and <i>L. plantarum</i> in the inoculum on the growth of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 on beef loins	84

4.4.5	Comparison of aerobic and vacuum packaging on beef loins.....	85
4.5	Conclusions.....	85
CHAPTER FIVE: GENERAL DISCUSSION.....		87
5.1	Review of methodology.....	87
5.1.1	Swabbing of carcasses.....	87
5.2	Isolation of Enterobacteriaceae from chilled beef carcasses	88
5.3	Isolation of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 from beef carcasses	89
5.4	Isolation of <i>Salmonella</i> spp. from chilled beef carcasses	90
5.5	Sample preparation for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 survival studies from artificially contaminated beef loins	91
5.6	Effect of HAS alone and HAS combined with HACCP on the microbiological quality of fresh beef.....	91
5.7	Survival of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 co-cultured with different levels of <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> and <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> on fresh beef.....	92
5.8	Areas for future research.....	93
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		95
CHAPTER SEVEN: REFERENCES		98

List of tables

Table 2.1:	Critical limits for steam vacuuming systems (Bolton <i>et al.</i> , 2001).....	30
Table 2.2:	Influence of pH on the inhibitory activity of CFS of selected LAB isolated from vacuum-packaged beef (n=9) against <i>L. acidophilus</i> , using the agar well-diffusion test (Oliveira <i>et al.</i> , 2008).	44
Table 3.1:	Details of the abattoirs included in this study	52
Table 3.2:	Bacterial counts (\log_{10} cfu/cm ²) obtained from the forequarters of chilled beef carcasses (n=30 per abattoir) at abattoirs with Hygiene Assessment System (A1 and A2) and those with Hygiene Assessment System combined with Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points (B1 and B2) systems	59
Table 3.3:	Pathogenic bacteria isolated from forequarters of beef carcasses (n=30 per abattoir) during slaughter and chilling at abattoirs with HAS (A1 and A2) and those with HAS combined with HACCP (B1 and B2) systems	63
Table 3.4:	Bacterial counts (\log_{10} cfu/cm ²) obtained from the forequarters of chilled beef carcasses (n=30 per abattoir) at abattoirs with HAS (A1 and A2) and Hygiene Assessment System combined with Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (B1 and B2) systems during the three visits (V1, V2 and V3)	64
Table 4.1:	Significance by ANOVA of the growth and survival of <i>E. coli</i> 0157:H7, <i>P. fluorescens</i> and Aerobic Plate Count on aerobically stored (7 days, at 4 °C) beef pieces inoculated with different levels of <i>P. fluorescens</i> , level 1 (10 ² cfu/ml) and level 2 (10 ⁶ cfu/ml), while the level of <i>E. coli</i> 0157:H7 was constant at 10 ² cfu/ml	74
Table 4.2:	Significance by ANOVA of the growth and survival of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7, <i>L. plantarum</i> and Aerobic Plate Count vacuum packaged beef pieces stored for 8 weeks at 4 °C), inoculated with different levels of <i>L. plantarum</i> , level 1 (10 ² cfu/ml) and level 2 (10 ⁴ cfu/ml), while the level of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 was constant at 10 ² cfu/ml	77

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Example of an abattoir hygiene rating scheme certificate	6
Figure 2.1: Feedlot animals during feeding and relaxation periods	20
Figure 2.2: A HAS form used at South African abattoirs (Du Preez, 2009)	25
Figure 4.1: Effect of inoculation levels of <i>P. fluorescens</i> (10^2 and 10^6) on the growth of inoculated <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 ($10^2 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml) on sterile beef, aerobically packaged and stored for 7 days at 4 °C. (a) Inoculum cocktail: $10^2 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and 10^2 cfu/ml <i>P. fluorescens</i> in the inoculum; (b) Inoculum cocktail: $10^2 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and $10^6 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml <i>P. fluorescens</i> in the inoculum.....	76
Figure 4.2: Effect of inoculation levels of <i>L. plantarum</i> (10^2 and 10^4 cfu/ml) on the growth of inoculated <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 ($10^2 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml) on sterile beef, vacuum packaged and stored for 8 weeks at 4 °C. (a) Inoculum cocktail: $10^2 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and $10^2 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml <i>L. plantarum</i> in the inoculum; (b) Inoculum cocktail: $10^2 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 and $10^4 \log_{10}$ cfu/ml <i>L. plantarum</i> in the inoculum.....	79

List of abbreviations

AHRS	Abattoir Hygiene Rating Scheme
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
APC	Aerobic Plate Count
CE	Competitive Exclusion
CCP	Critical Control Point
CFS	Cell free supernatants
CPS	Coagulase-positive staphylococcus
DWAF	Department of Water Affairs
DoA	Department of Agriculture
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EHEC	Enterohaemorrhagic <i>E. coli</i>
EIEC	Enteroinvasive <i>E. coli</i>
DAEC	Diffuse adhering <i>E. coli</i>
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EAEC	Enteropathogenic <i>E. coli</i>
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EPEC	Enteropathogenic <i>E. coli</i>
FITA	Federation of International Trade Associations
GPDoA:VPH	Gauteng Provincial Department of Agriculture: Veterinary Public Health
HACCP	Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point
HAS	Hygiene Assessment System
HMS	Hygiene Management System
LPS	Lipopolysaccharides
OM	Outer Membrane
RMAA	Red Meat Abattoir Association
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SAMIC	South Africa Meat Industries Company
TCC	Total coliform count
VPH	Veterinary Public Health
VTEC	Verotoxin-producing <i>E. coli</i>
VFA	Volatile Fatty Acids