

Conservation assessment of South African mammals

by

Mark Keith

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy (Zoology)

In the Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Sciences
University of Pretoria

January 2005

Tables of Contents

Content	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Disclaimer	iv
CHAPTER 1: General Introduction	1
CHAPTER 2: Regional IUCN Red List assessments for South African terrestrial and marine mammals: An overview	24
CHAPTER 3: Incorporating measures of anthropogenic threat in regional conservation assessments: A case study based on South African mammals	51
CHAPTER 4: Conservation priority-setting at a regional scale: a case study based on South African terrestrial mammals	85
CHAPTER 5: Taxonomic and phylogenetic distinctiveness in regional conservation assessments: A case study based on extant South African Chiroptera and Carnivora	115
CHAPTER 6: The Orange List: a safety net for biodiversity in South Africa	141
CHAPTER 7: Revisiting Green Data Species Lists	151
CHAPTER 8: Conclusion and a synopsis of the conservation assessment of South African mammals	158
APPENDIX 1: Regional IUCN Red List assessments for South African terrestrial and marine mammals: An overview	170
APPENDIX 2: Incorporating measures of anthropogenic threat in regional conservation assessments: A case study based on South African mammals	183
APPENDIX 3: The Orange List: a safety net for biodiversity in South Africa	192

Conservation assessment of South African mammals

- Student:** Mark Keith
- Supervisors:** Prof. Chris T. Chimimba¹, Prof. Albert S. van Jaarsveld² and Dr. Belinda Reyers^{2,3}.
- Departments:** ¹ Mammal Research Institute (MRI), Department of Zoology & Entomology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 0002 South Africa
- ² Department of Botany & Zoology, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Stellenbosch, 7602 South Africa
- ³ Current address: Environmentek, Centre for Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), P.O. Box 320, Stellenbosch, 7599 South Africa
- Degree:** Doctor of Philosophy (Zoology)

Abstract

Clearly established conservation priorities are urgently required for taxa and ecosystems in critical need of conservation. This helps to identify and document taxa most in need of conservation attention, and provides an index of the state of degeneration of biodiversity. Including as much relevant information as possible in a prioritisation assessment will deliver the most accurate classification, yet these variables should not overly complicate the prioritisation process. Conservation assessments depend not just on the taxon's susceptibility to threat (i.e. risk of extinction, or Red List assessments), but also the conservation value, irreplaceability and nature and intensity of the threats. Research into the value and applicability of conservation prioritisation tools at a regional scale, allowed for the assessment of the extinction risk as well as subsequent priority ranking of South African mammals.

At the outset research was directed towards investigating South African mammals in accordance with their respective regional and global World Conservation Union (IUCN) Red List and Red Data Book assessments. The regional Red List assessment drastically improved local knowledge of the current extinction risk of various mammals, and identified 57 marine and terrestrial mammals to be highly threatened.

Up to date regional extinction risk assessments, allowed for the investigation of whether a human activity threat index derived from six human activity variables across South Africa could be used to

highlight mammals threatened with extinction while also being exposed to high human activity. Evidence indicated various threatened and lower risk mammals were exposed to high human activity throughout their range, pointing to high potential threat and future increase in extinction risk.

For relevant prioritisation to take place, components of vulnerability (IUCN Red List assessments, and occupancy data), irreplaceability (endemism and taxonomic distinctiveness), and threat measures (body mass and human density in a taxa distributional range) was introduced into relational priority assessment which allowed for a simplified approach in determining conservation priorities for taxa under various region-specific conditions. The use of different sets of information clearly affected the priority rankings.

South African Chiroptera and Carnivora was used as a case study to address whether a simple measure of taxonomic diversity can be used as a proxy for different measures of phylogenetic diversity in determining regional conservation priority of taxa, when such information is limited. Evidence does suggest that the utilisation of the simple taxonomic diversity measure may provide the appropriate information on evolutionary diversity.

Two theoretical concepts were proposed to address some potential shortcomings in the conservation prioritisation arena. The Orange List method offers a system to identify “species [or taxa] of high national importance or of high conservation value” (South African National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 2004). In turn the Green Data List essentially represents a radical shift in the traditional approach to the management of both threatened and invasive taxa.

Throughout this thesis, evidence do point to smaller mammals being of high conservation concern in South Africa, with the members from the Orders Rodentia, Chiroptera and Insectivora being constantly identified as high conservation priority. Apart from contributing to our current understanding of the conservation importance/priority of South Africa mammals, this current thesis has resulted in a robust understanding of various assessment techniques.

Key words: Regional conservation prioritisation, IUCN Red List, Red Data Book, vulnerability, irreplaceability, threat assessments, taxonomic distinctiveness, phylogenetic diversity, Orange List, Green Data List

Acknowledgements

This project was conducted under the auspices of the Department of Zoology and Entomology of the University of Pretoria, South Africa. This work was supported by grants provided by the South African National Research Foundation and the University of Pretoria, and is gratefully acknowledged. ESRI and GIMS® are thanked for the Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software, training and support.

My sincerest thanks and appreciation goes to my supervisors Albert van Jaarsveld, Belinda Reyers and Chris Chimimba. Thank you for your advice, invaluable time, input and mentorship, which has made this study enjoyable and also a learning experience that, is unsurpassed by any means. My gratitude cannot be realistically expressed and extended in any form or words. Additional thanks for allowing me the opportunity to attend international conferences and inevitably see so many parts of the world through encouragement and financial support.

To all my friends and colleagues at various Universities, Jane Olwoch, Mieke Barry, Marna Herbst, Tony Knowles, Erin Bohensky, Cheryl Tosh, Nico de Bruyn, Paul Odendaal and Marinda Dobson to name just a few. I extend my sincere appreciation and thanks for all your help, support and friendship throughout the duration of this study. My friends and colleagues at the South African National Biodiversity Institute, Erich van Wyk, Collin Smit, and Janine Victor, who made my time there enjoyable and taught me that the world is not made up of just mammals, but also plants. Special thanks to Barend Erasmus and Berndt Janse van Rensburg for your friendship, sharing ideas and aspirations, and invaluable input into my study at times when my creativity and motivation ran low. Aimee Ginsburg, Jennifer Jones, Marie Warren, Lindie Janse van Rensburg, Kelly Wilson and Deshni Pillay, I cannot start to describe how much your friendship, advice and support meant to me, prior and during my thesis.

My warmest thanks to my family, especially to my parents, Max and Hilda, who were a pillar of support from the beginning.

Disclaimer

This thesis consists of a series of chapters that have been prepared for submission to, or publication in, a range of scientific journals. As a result styles may vary between chapters in the thesis and overlap may occur to secure publication.

In accordance with the 2001 IUCN Red List categories and criteria, the term “taxon” (pl. taxa) is used in this study to represent species, sub-species or sub-populations.