

**An investigation of the National Building Regulations to promote
uniformity and sustainability in the South African built environment**

by
Jacques Laubscher

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE
PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR (ARCHITECTURE)
DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

Supervisor: Pieter Tobias Vosloo (Prof.)

**PRETORIA
2011**

In accordance with Regulation 4(e) of the General Regulations (G.57) for dissertations and theses, I declare that this thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree Philosophiae Doctor (Architecture) at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at this or any other tertiary institution.

I further state that no part of my thesis has already been, or is currently being, submitted for any such degree, diploma or other qualification.

I further declare that this thesis is substantially my own work. Where reference is made to the works of others, the extent to which that work has been used is indicated and fully acknowledged in the text and list of references.

The thesis is 107 308 words long (excluding the scanned items).



Jacques Laubscher

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PREFACE.....	X
	COPYRIGHT NOTICE	X
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XI
	LIST OF TABLES.....	XII
	LIST OF FIGURES.....	XIV
	LIST OF FIGURES.....	XIV
	LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	XVII
	LIST OF SELECTED TERMS.....	XVIII
	SYNOPSIS	XIX
	ABSTRACT	XXI
	EKSERP	XXIII
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	THE PROBLEM AND ITS SETTING	4
1.2	STATEMENT OF THE MAIN PROBLEM	6
1.3	SUB-PROBLEMS 1-4	7
1.4	HYPOTHESES 1-4	7
1.5	DELIMITATIONS.....	7
1.6	ASSUMPTIONS	8
1.8	PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	9
1.7	OBJECTIVES	10
1.8	THE RESEARCH CONTEXT.....	10
1.9	THE RESEARCH PARADIGM.....	11
1.10	THE RESEARCH DESIGN	17
1.11	THE TRIANGULATION RESEARCH APPROACH	20
1.12	THE IMPORTANCE AND BENEFITS OF THE STUDY.....	20
1.13	THE RESEARCHER’S EXPERTISE TO COMMENT ON THE TOPIC	21
1.14	SUMMARY OF CHAPTER 1.....	21
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW OF PERTINENT ASPECTS.....	22
2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	22
2.2	THE ORIGIN OF BUILDING REGULATIONS	22
2.2.1	The Code of Hammurabi.....	22
2.2.2	The growth of settlements.....	26
2.3	DEFINITIONS OF BUILDING REGULATIONS	27
2.3.1	The relationship between building regulations and building standards	28
2.3.2	Approaches to the formulation of building standards	29
2.3.2.1	The functional approach.....	30
2.3.2.2	The performance approach.....	30
2.3.2.3	The prescriptive approach.....	30
2.4	THE ORIGIN OF BUILDING REGULATIONS IN SOUTHERN AFRICA	31



2.5	ACT 103 OF 1977	38
2.5.1	Background	39
2.5.2	The development and evolvement of NBR legislation.....	39
2.5.3	Act 103 of 1977	42
2.5.3.1	Section 1: Definitions	44
2.5.3.2	Section 2: Application of Act 103 of 1977	44
2.5.3.3	Section 3: Duties of draughtsmen of plans, specifications, documents and diagrams.....	45
2.5.3.4	Section 4: Approval by LAs of applications in respect of erection of buildings.....	45
2.5.3.5	Section 5: Appointment of a BCO by the LA.....	45
2.5.3.6	Section 6: Functions of the BCO.....	46
2.5.3.7	Section 7: Approval by LAs in respect of erection of buildings.....	46
2.5.3.8	Section 8: Power of court in respect of approval by LAs	46
2.5.3.9	Section 9: Appeal against decision of LA	47
2.5.3.10	Section 10: Erection of buildings in certain circumstances subject to prohibition or conditions	47
2.5.3.11	Section 11: Erection of buildings subject to time limit.....	47
2.5.3.12	Section 12: Demolition or alteration of certain buildings.....	47
2.5.3.13	Section 13: Exception of buildings from NBR and the authorisation for erection thereof	47
2.5.3.14	Section 14: Certificates of occupancy in respect of buildings	48
2.5.3.15	Section 15: Entry by BCOs and certain other persons of certain buildings and land.....	48
2.5.3.16	Section 16: Report on adequacy of certain measures and building projects.....	48
2.5.3.17	Section 17: NBR and directives	48
2.5.3.18	Section 18: Deviation and exemption from NBR	49
2.5.3.19	Section 19: Prohibition on use of certain methods or materials	49
2.5.3.20	Section 20: Regulations	49
2.5.3.21	Section 21: Order in respect of erection and demolition of buildings	49
2.5.3.22	Section 22: Power of LAs relating to rates, taxes, fees and other moneys..	49
2.5.3.23	Section 23: Exemption from liability	49
2.5.3.24	Section 24: General penalty clause	50
2.5.3.25	Section 25: Presumption	50
2.5.3.26	Section 26: Payment of certain moneys to LAs.....	51
2.5.3.27	Section 27: Powers of Minister in Respect of Certain LAs	51
2.5.3.28	Section 28: Delegations of powers	51
2.5.3.29	Section 29: Repeal of laws.....	51
2.5.3.30	Section 30	52
2.5.3.31	Section 31: Repeal of Section 14 <i>bis</i> of Act 33 of 1962, as inserted by section 4 of Act 72 of 1964	52
2.5.3.32	Section 32	52
2.5.3.33	Section 33	52
2.5.3.34	Section 34: Short Title and Commencement.....	52
2.5.4	The integration of the NBR with other laws applicable to the built environment	52
2.5.5	Interpretation of Act 103 of 1977, NBR and SABS 0400	53
2.5.6	Organisation of the NBR, Deemed-to-Satisfy Rules, and SABS 0400.....	54
2.5.7	SABS 0400 as regulating instrument.....	54
2.5.8	The changing objectives of Act 103 of 1977 and the NBR.....	55
2.6	TRANSFERRED FUNCTIONS FROM THE SABS TO THE NRCS.....	57
2.7	SANS 10400.....	58
2.7.1	Organisation of the NBR, Deemed-to-Satisfy Rules and SANS 10400	59
2.7.2	A changing approach	62
2.7.3	Implementation.....	62
2.8	THE WORLD GREEN BUILDING COUNCIL	65

2.9	THE EXTENT OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN BUILT ENVIRONMENT.....	68
2.10	INTRODUCING NEW STANDARDS.....	70
2.10.1	SANS 204.....	70
2.10.2	Part XA: Energy usage in buildings	72
2.10.2.1	Background	72
2.10.2.2	THE NBR.....	73
2.10.2.3	Critical evaluation.....	74
2.11	PASSIVE DESIGN: A COMMON GOAL	79
2.12	EXISTING PASSIVE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF THE NBR AND ADDITIONAL PROPOSALS TO BE IMPLEMENTED VIA THE CHECKLIST	84
2.13	CONCLUSION	85
2.14	SUMMARY OF CHAPTER 2.....	86
3.	PILOT STUDY	88
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	88
3.2	SPECIFIC TREATMENT OF THE MAIN PROBLEM AND SUB-PROBLEMS.....	88
3.3	PHASE 2.1: BACKGROUND	90
3.4	PHASE 2.1: PILOT STUDY	91
3.5	PHASE 2.2: PILOT STUDY	99
3.5.1	Study area	100
3.5.1.1	Building plans passed	101
3.5.1.2	Buildings completed	102
3.5.2	Revised study area.....	104
3.5.3	Implementation tools	105
3.5.3.1	General information required on an application.....	107
3.5.3.2	Property information required on an application (Part 1).....	108
3.5.3.3	Property information required on an application (Part 2).....	108
3.5.3.4	Site development information required on an application.....	110
3.5.3.5	Area information required on an application	110
3.5.3.6	Details of applicant required on an application	111
3.5.3.7	Details of registered property owner(s) required on an application.....	112
3.5.3.8	Information required on the Author on an application.....	113
3.5.3.9	Details of Agent or representative required on an application.....	114
3.5.3.10	Fees assessment	115
3.5.3.11	Information on colouring of plans.....	116
3.5.3.12	Information on additional specification schedule required.....	117
3.5.3.13	Information on required plan scales.....	118
3.5.3.14	Plan checklist or other information required	119
3.6	DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION.....	120
3.7	SUMMARY OF CHAPTER 3.....	120
4.	RESEARCH AND DATA INTERPRETATION	122
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	122
4.2	REVIEW OF THE RESEARCH DESIGN.....	122
4.3	THE SURVEY	124
4.3.1	Background	124
4.3.2	The design of the survey.....	125
4.3.3	The Ethics Committee.....	125
4.3.4	The one-day conference of NRCS.....	126
4.3.5	Determining the population size.....	127

4.3.6	The remaining population.....	127
4.3.7	Implementation of the questionnaire.....	128
4.3.8	Anonymity.....	128
4.3.9	Data processing.....	128
4.3.10	The target group and its level of representation of the population.....	129
4.3.11	The rating of the target group.....	129
4.4	GRAPHIC PRESENTATION OF DATA.....	129
4.4.1	Question 1.....	130
4.4.1.1	Discussion of Question 1.....	130
4.4.2	Question 2.....	130
4.4.2.1	Discussion of Question 2.1.....	130
4.4.2.2	Discussion of Question 2.2.....	131
4.4.2.3	Comparison of data obtained in Questions 2.1 and 2.2.....	132
4.4.3	Question 3.....	133
4.4.3.1	Discussion of Question 3.....	133
4.4.3.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 3.....	134
4.4.4	Question 4.....	135
4.4.4.1	Question 4 extracted from questionnaire.....	135
4.4.4.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 4.....	135
4.4.4.3	Graphic summary of responses to Question 4.....	135
4.4.5	Question 5.....	136
4.4.5.1	Discussion of Question 5.....	136
4.4.6	Question 6.....	138
4.4.6.1	Question 6 extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	138
4.4.6.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 6.....	138
4.4.6.3	Reponses to Question 6.7 (<i>Other</i>).....	141
4.4.6.4	Ranking of responses to Question 6.....	142
4.4.7	Question 7.....	142
4.4.7.1	Question 7 (Part 1) extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	142
4.4.7.2	Graphic summary of valid statistical occurrences.....	143
4.4.7.3	Question 7 (Part 2) extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	147
4.4.8	Graphic summary of responses to Question 7 (Part 2).....	148
4.4.8.1	Response to Question 7.18.1 (<i>Other</i>).....	151
4.4.9	Question 8.....	152
4.4.9.1	Question 8 extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	152
4.4.9.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 8.....	153
4.4.10	Question 9.....	154
4.4.10.1	Question 9 extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	154
4.4.10.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 9.....	154
4.4.10.3	Ranking of responses to Question 9.....	156
4.4.11	Question 10.....	157
4.4.11.1	Question 10 extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	157
4.4.11.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 10.....	157
4.4.12	Question 11.....	159
4.4.12.1	Question 11 extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	159
4.4.12.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 11.....	159
4.4.13	Question 12.....	161
4.4.13.1	Question 12 extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents).....	161
4.4.13.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.....	161

4.4.14	Question 13	164
4.4.14.1	Question 13 extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents)	164
4.4.14.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 13	164
4.4.15	Question 14	166
4.4.15.1	Question 14 (Part 1) extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents)	166
4.4.15.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 14 (Part 1)	166
4.4.15.3	Question 14 (Part 2) extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents)	168
4.4.15.4	Graphic summary of responses to Question 14	169
4.4.15.5	Ranking of responses to Question 14.....	170
4.4.16	Question 15	171
4.4.16.1	Question 15 (Part 1) extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents)	171
4.4.16.2	Graphic summary of responses to Question 15 (Part 1)	172
4.4.16.3	Question 15 (Part 2) extracted from questionnaire (with corresponding number of respondents)	175
4.4.16.4	Graphic summary of responses to Question 15 (Part 2)	175
4.4.16.5	Ranking of responses to Question 15.....	177
4.5	INTERPRETATION OF DATA	178
4.5.1	Question 1	179
4.5.2	Question 2	180
4.5.3	Question 3	181
4.5.4	Question 4	181
4.5.5	Question 5	182
4.5.6	Question 6	183
4.5.7	Question 7	185
4.5.8	Question 8	187
4.5.9	Question 9	188
4.5.10	Question 10	189
4.5.11	Question 11	190
4.5.12	Question 12	191
4.5.13	Question 13	192
4.5.14	Question 14	193
4.5.15	Question 15	194
4.6	SUMMARY OF CHAPTER 4.....	197
5.	FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	198
5.1	SUMMARY OF THE RESEARCH	198
5.1.1	Chapter 1	198
5.1.2	Chapter 2.....	198
5.1.3	Chapter 3.....	199
5.1.4	Chapter 4.....	199
5.2	FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	201
5.2.1	Sub-problem 1 and resulting hypothesis	202
5.2.2	Sub-problem 2 and resulting hypothesis	203
5.2.3	Sub-problem 3.1 and resulting hypothesis	205
5.2.4	Sub-problem 3.2 and resulting hypothesis	206
5.2.5	Sub-problem 3.3 and resulting hypothesis	207
5.2.6	Sub-problem 4 and resulting hypothesis	208
5.3	CONCLUSION	210
6.	REFERENCES.....	211

7.	ADDENDA.....	218
7.1	ADDENDUM A: CLIMATIC AND ATMOSPHERIC DATA FOR SOUTH AFRICA BY EARTH TRENDS	223
7.2	ADDENDUM B: REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE SOUTH AFRICAN BUILT ENVIRONMENT	230
7.3	ADDENDUM C: THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND BUILDING STANDARDS ACT, 1977.....	242
7.4	ADDENDUM D: NOTICE R. 574 IN GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 31084.....	260
7.5	ADDENDUM E: NOTICE R. 504 IN GOVERNMENT GAZETTE NO. 33265.....	284
7.6	ADDENDUM F: COMPARING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 1990 AND 2008 EDITIONS OF THE NBR.....	288
7.7	ADDENDUM G SANS 204-1:2008: ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN BUILDINGS: GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	290
7.8	ADDENDUM H: SANS 204-2:2008: ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN BUILDINGS: THE APPLICATION OF THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR BUILDINGS WITH NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL	292
7.9	ADDENDUM I: SANS 10400-XA: 2010 ENERGY USAGE IN BUILDINGS	294
7.10	ADDENDUM J: NAIROBI DECLARATION.....	296
7.11	ADDENDUM K: ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL	298
7.12	ADDENDUM L: QUESTIONNAIRE	300
7.13	ADDENDUM M: SURVEY DATA.....	306
7.14	ADDENDUM N: PROPOSED PRO FORMA APPLICATION FORM FOR BUILDING PLAN APPROVAL.....	347

....man leaves a resistant sediment on the earth: a mixture of objects and signs that bear witness to his passage, to his role as an agent of change on the surface of the earth and in more recent years, on what is above and below its crust. Certainly, the most significant of this sediment is architecture... It is necessary to build, to consolidate, to protect the constructed object as a part of nature, man's ally, by creating conditions that continually evolve and correspond to different (and contradictory) levels of understanding in the relationship between nature and architecture. (Portogeseshi & Young, 2000: 9)

PREFACE

The current minimum standards applicable to the South African building industry (as defined by the *South African National Standard (SANS) 10400:1990 The application of the National Building Regulations*, May 2010) are slow to incorporate sustainability aspects, despite a worldwide trend towards sustainable design principles and ‘green’ construction methods. Although a new draft standard on *Part XA: ENERGY USAGE IN BUILDINGS* was published for public comment, the current standards do not mention sustainability.

Development in the built environment of the Republic of South Africa (South Africa) shows little progress in becoming more sustainable, although current literature describes the building industry as one of the major consumers of resources.

It is the author’s opinion that the origin, goals and implementation methods of the existing regulatory structures of the South African built environment should be investigated in an attempt to align the building industry with the goals of key environmental and development milestones.

J. Laubscher

Address: P.O. Box 95469
Waterkloof
Pretoria
Republic of South Africa
0145
E-mail address: jacques.laubscher@up.ac.za

Published by the author in 2011.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

The content of this dissertation and its addenda are subject to copyright in terms of the amended Copyright Act, 1978 (Act 98 of 1978), and the Intellectual Property Laws Amendment Act, 1997 (Act 38 of 1997) of South Africa. The copyright is vested in the University of Pretoria, except for Addendum N (the proposed pro forma application form), for which an application has been made to have the copyright vested in the author. Addenda F, G, H and I, are subject to a publishing and copyright agreement between the SABS and the author. This agreement is provided at the onset of each relevant section.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the support and encouragement of

- Prof. Piet Vosloo (supervisor);
- Prof. Karel Bakker;
- Prof. Roger Fisher;
- Prof. Derick de Jongh;
- Mrs Jo-Anne Adams-Underhill;
- Mr Rudolf Opperman and
- Mr Karabo Mathebula.

Special thanks are also due to

- the interviewees for affording me their time, knowledge and insight;
- Mrs Antoinette Lourens for providing essential training in *RefWorks* and *Write-N-Cite*, and valuable guidance in its correct application;
- Mrs Joyce Jordaan for statistical processing and thoughtful assistance in interpreting the data;
- Prof. Francois Steffens for statistical assistance;
- Mrs Rhuhanda Bron for capturing the data;
- Dr Marthi Pohl for statistical guidance;
- Ms Karlien van Niekerk for editing the document;
- Mrs Isabel Claassen for guidance in the correct application of English
- Mr Heinrich Olckers for printing assistance
- all my past colleagues at the Department of Architecture, University of the Free State;
- all my present colleagues at the Department of Architecture, University of Pretoria;
- all my past and present students (who have taught me most of what I know), and
- my extended family, especially Irma (*Naijo No Ko*), Mia and Jean.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

This study was made possible through a bursary from the German Technical Cooperation [*Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ)*] administered by the Centre for Responsible Leadership at the University of Pretoria. The researcher also received a postgraduate grant from the University of Pretoria.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	List of the sub-problems and the corresponding hypotheses	7
Table 2:	The differences between positivist and interpretive research approaches as characterised by Sandberg (Weber, 2004: iv).....	12
Table 3:	The differences between positivist and interpretive research approaches as characterised by Sandberg (Weber, 2004: iv).....	15
Table 4:	Summary of the research paradigm	17
Table 5:	Summary of research styles	17
Table 6:	Summary of the research design.....	19
Table 7:	A synopsis of Hammurabi's Code of Laws according to the various requirements, subsequent events and the relevant obligations or recourses.....	25
Table 8:	A comparison of the diary entry by Jan van Riebeeck with the requirements of a building regulation.....	33
Table 9:	Summary of legislative development and amendment of the NBR and the direct influences thereof	41
Table 10:	The organisational structure of the NBR within the context of the South African Constitution.....	61
Table 11:	The current status of the GBC in Africa.....	66
Table 12:	Administrative discrepancies in <i>SANS 10400-XA:2010: Edition 1</i>	75
Table 13:	Passive design criteria for possible inclusion in the NBR	81
Table 14:	BCO checklist to assist in implementation of passive design criteria	82
Table 15:	A summary of the research design, highlighting the completed phases.....	87
Table 16:	Statement of the main problem, its underlying goals and the proposed actions to be taken	89
Table 17:	Principal contributors in the provincial built environment of Gauteng.....	91
Table 18:	Principal contributors in the provincial built environment of the Western Cape.....	92
Table 19:	Principal contributors in the provincial built environment of KwaZulu-Natal	93
Table 20:	Principal contributor in the provincial built environment of Mpumalanga.....	94
Table 21:	Principal contributors in the provincial built environment of the Eastern Cape.....	94
Table 22:	Principal contributor in the provincial built environment of the North West	95
Table 23:	Principal contributor in the provincial built environment of the Free State.....	95
Table 24:	Principal contributor in the provincial built environment of Limpopo (Stats SA, 2009b: v)	96
Table 25:	Principal contributor in the provincial built environment of the Northern Cape (Stats SA, 2009b: v)	96
Table 26:	Required information to be obtained from the identified LAs.....	97
Table 27:	Checklist and implementation instruments employed by the participating LAs to enforce the requirements of the NBR.....	98
Table 28:	Principal contributors to the South African built environment	100
Table 29:	Recorded value of building plans passed by the listed municipalities for the period as indicated.....	102
Table 30:	Recorded value of buildings completed in the listed municipalities for the period as indicated.....	103
Table 31:	Municipalities included in the revised study area (arranged according to the extent of the contribution of each to the built environment of South Africa)	104
Table 32:	Comparison – Application information required by the selected LAs	107

Table 33:	Comparison – Property information required by the selected LAs (Part 1 of 2)	108
Table 34:	Comparison: Property information required by the selected LAs (Part 2 of 2)	109
Table 35:	Comparison – Site development information required by the selected LAs	110
Table 36:	Comparison – Area information required by the selected LAs.....	110
Table 37:	Comparison – Details of applicant required by the selected LAs	111
Table 38:	Comparison – Details of registered property owner(s) required by the selected LAs	112
Table 39:	Comparison – Information required in respect of the Author/ Architect/ Draughtsperson/ Registered person by the selected LAs.....	113
Table 40:	Comparison – Information required in respect of the Agent/Person holding special power of attorney by the selected LAs	114
Table 41:	Comparison – Fees assessments made by the selected LAs	115
Table 42:	Comparison – Fees assessments made by the selected LAs	115
Table 43:	Comparison – Information on colouring of plans provided by the selected LAs.....	116
Table 44:	Comparison – Specification schedule relative to building regulations as required by the selected LAs	117
Table 45:	Comparison – Information in respect of plan scales provided by the selected LAs	118
Table 46:	Comparison – Plan checklist/ other information required by the selected LAs.....	119
Table 47:	A summary of the research design highlighting the completed phases.....	121
Table 48:	List of the main problem and sub-problems, and the proposed actions to be taken	123
Table 49:	Question 4.....	135
Table 50:	Question 6.....	138
Table 51:	Question 7 (Part 1)	142
Table 52:	Question 7 (Part 2)	147
Table 53:	Question 8.....	152
Table 54:	Question 9.....	154
Table 55:	Question 10.....	157
Table 56:	Question 11.....	159
Table 57:	Question 12.....	161
Table 58:	Question 13.....	164
Table 59:	Question 14 (Part 1)	166
Table 60:	Question 14 (Part 2)	168
Table 61:	Question 15 (Part 1)	171
Table 62:	Question 15 (Part 2)	175
Table 63:	A summary of the research design highlighting the completed phases.....	197
Table 64:	Sub-problem 1 and the associated hypothesis and conclusion.....	202
Table 65:	Sub-problem 2, and the associated hypothesis and conclusion.....	203
Table 66:	Sub-problem 3.1, and the associated hypothesis and conclusion.....	205
Table 67:	Sub-problem 3.2, and the associated hypothesis and conclusion.....	206
Table 68:	Sub-problem 3.3, and the associated hypothesis and conclusion.....	207
Table 69:	Sub-problem 4, and the associated hypothesis and conclusion.....	208

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The 2.25 m high basalt stele erected by King Hammurabi of Babylon (Iselin, 2011).....	23
Figure 2: Map of the fort erected under the guidance of Jan van Riebeeck (Castle of Good Hope, 2010)	32
Figure 3: The Performance-based approach of SANS 10400 (Watermeyer, [2008]: [slide 8]).....	62
Figure 4: The implementation of statutory regulations in the South African built environment	64
Figure 5: The 2006 value in US \$ of the regional construction markets (Malanca, 2010: 15).....	68
Figure 6: Graphic summary of responses to Question 1	130
Figure 7: Graphic summary of responses to Question 2.2	131
Figure 8: Graphic summary of statistical inference of Questions 2.1 and 2.2.....	132
Figure 9: Graphic summary of statistical inference of Questions 2.1 and 2.2.....	133
Figure 10: Graphic summary of responses to Question 3.....	134
Figure 11: Graphic summary of responses to Question 4.....	135
Figure 12: Graphic summary of responses to Question 4.5.....	136
Figure 13: Graphic summary of responses to Question 5.....	137
Figure 14: Graphic summary of responses to Question 6.1.....	138
Figure 15: Graphic summary of responses to Question 6.2.....	139
Figure 16: Graphic summary of responses to Question 6.3.....	139
Figure 17: Graphic summary of responses to Question 6.4.....	140
Figure 18: Graphic summary of responses to Question 6.5.....	140
Figure 19: Graphic summary of responses to Question 6.6.....	141
Figure 20: Graphic summary of valid statistical occurrences for Question 7 (Part 1)	143
Figure 21: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.2.....	143
Figure 22: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.3.....	144
Figure 23: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.4.....	144
Figure 24: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.5.....	145
Figure 25: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.6.....	145
Figure 26: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.7.....	146
Figure 27: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.8.....	146
Figure 28: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.9.....	147
Figure 29: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.10.....	148
Figure 30: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.11.....	148
Figure 31: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.12.....	149
Figure 32: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.13.....	149
Figure 33: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.14.....	150
Figure 34: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.15.....	150
Figure 35: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.16.....	151
Figure 36: Graphic summary of responses to Question 7.17.....	151
Figure 37: Graphic summary of responses to Question 8.1.....	153
Figure 38: Graphic summary of responses to Question 8.2.....	153

Figure 39: Graphic summary of responses to Question 9.1.....	154
Figure 40: Graphic summary of responses to Question 9.2.....	155
Figure 41: Graphic summary of responses to Question 9.3.....	155
Figure 42: Graphic summary of responses to Question 9.4.....	155
Figure 43: Graphic summary of responses to Question 9.5.....	156
Figure 44: Graphic summary of responses to Question 10.1.....	157
Figure 45: Graphic summary of responses to Question 10.2.....	158
Figure 46: Graphic summary of responses to Question 10.3.....	158
Figure 47: Graphic summary of responses to Question 10.4.....	158
Figure 48: Graphic summary of responses to Question 11.1.....	159
Figure 49: Graphic summary of responses to Question 11.2.....	160
Figure 50: Graphic summary of responses to Question 11.3.....	160
Figure 51: Graphic summary of responses to Question 11.4.....	160
Figure 52: Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.1.....	161
Figure 53: Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.2.....	162
Figure 54: Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.3.....	162
Figure 55: Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.4.....	162
Figure 56: Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.5.....	163
Figure 57: Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.6.....	163
Figure 58: Graphic summary of responses to Question 12.7.....	163
Figure 59: Graphic summary of responses to Question 13.1.....	164
Figure 60: Graphic summary of responses to Question 13.2.....	165
Figure 61: Graphic summary of responses to Question 13.3.....	165
Figure 62: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.1.....	166
Figure 63: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.2.....	167
Figure 64: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.3.....	167
Figure 65: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.4.....	167
Figure 66: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.5.....	168
Figure 67: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.6.....	169
Figure 68: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.7.....	169
Figure 69: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.8.....	169
Figure 70: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.9.....	170
Figure 71: Graphic summary of responses to Question 14.10.....	170
Figure 72: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.1.....	172
Figure 73: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.2.....	172
Figure 74: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.3.....	173
Figure 75: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.4.....	173
Figure 76: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.5.....	173
Figure 77: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.6.....	174
Figure 78: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.7.....	174
Figure 79: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.8.....	174
Figure 80: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.9.....	175

Figure 81: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.10.....	176
Figure 83: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.12.....	176
Figure 84: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.13.....	177
Figure 85: Graphic summary of responses to Question 15.14.....	177
Figure 86: Graphic summary of responses to Question 1 and recorded provincial value of building plans passed.....	179
Figure 87: Graphic summary of responses to Question 1 and recorded provincial value of buildings completed	180
Figure 88: Graphic summary of responses to Questions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, depicting the relationship between experience and qualifications.....	183

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

10400	See SANS 10400:1990
0400	See SABS 0400-1990 and SANS 10400:1990
Act 103 of 1977	National Building Regulations and Building Standards Act, 1977 (Act 103 of 1977)
Act 5 of 2008	National Regulator for Compulsory Specifications Act, 2008 (Act 5 of 2008)
BCO(s)	Building Control Officer(s)
Code	Code of Practice, see SANS 10400:1990
CIB	<i>Conseil International du Bâtiment</i>
CHPE	Centre for Housing Performance Excellence
CSIR	Council for Scientific and Industrial Research
DSS	Draft South African Standard
DME	Department of Minerals and Energy (since 2009 functioning as two separate departments: the Department of Energy and the Department of Mineral Resources)
DTI	Department of Trade and Industry
GBC	Green Building Council
HVAC	Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning
LA(s)	Local Authority (Local Authorities)
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
NBR	National Building Regulations, see SANS 10400:1990
NFRC	National Fenestration Rating Council
NHBRC	National Home Builders Registration Council
NRCS	National Regulator for Compulsory Specifications
SANS 10400-XA: 2010 (Part XA)	SANS 10400-XA: 2010 The Application of the National Building Regulations Part X: Environmental Sustainability Section A: Energy Usage in Buildings
Republic of South Africa	South Africa
SA	South Africa
SABS	South African Bureau of Standards
SANS	South African National Standard(s)
SANS 10400:1990	South African Standard, Code of Practice for the application of the National Building Regulations, previously known as SABS 0400-1990
SABS 0400-1990	South African Standard, Code of Practice for the application of the National Building Regulations
SANS 204	South African standard for energy efficiency in buildings, consisting of the following three documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SANS 204-1 Part 1: General requirements ● SANS 204-2 Part 2: Naturally ventilated buildings (with natural environmental control) ● SANS 204-3 Part 3: Artificially ventilated buildings (with artificial environmental control)
SALGA	South African Local Government Association
SBR	Standard Building Regulations
Stats SA	Statistics South Africa
UNCHS	United Nations Centre for Human Settlements
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNEP SBCI	United Nations Environment programme, Sustainable Buildings & Climate Initiative
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
WISOPS	Weather Intelligence Systems (Pty) Ltd
WorldGBC	World Green Building Council
WTO	World Trade Organization
Z of S	Zone of Space

LIST OF SELECTED TERMS

Building regulation	<p>For the purposes of this study a building regulation is acknowledged as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <i>a regulating instrument that</i> b) <i>describes a minimum standard, that</i> c) <i>should be implemented during the building process (that initiates with design, and continues through construction, maintenance, alteration and repair to demolition of buildings and/or structures), with the aim of</i> d) <i>protecting public health and safety during</i> e) <i>the construction, occupation and/or post-occupation phases of buildings and/or structures.</i>
Built environment	<p>(see pp. 27-28)</p> <p>“[A]n urban or [a] rural milieu, structured or produced by built form, that is part of the surroundings relating to buildings, structures and civil engineering works.” (Davies & Jokiniemi, 2008: 53) “The built environment includes all structures that are planned and/or erected above or under ground, as well as the land utilised for this purpose and the supporting infrastructure.” ([Sigcau], 1999: 2)</p>
He	<p>The pronoun ‘he’ will be used throughout the document in an attempt at brevity, because the English language has no alternative gender-neutral pronoun in the singular.</p>
Man	<p>Man/woman sexless society.</p>
National Building Regulations	<p>The set of building regulations as applicable in South Africa.</p>
Plan approval	<p>In the current study, this term refers to the whole process of plan approval that is initiated with the submission to the LA, unless otherwise stated.</p>

SYNOPSIS

At present, the National Building Regulations (NBR) represent the minimum statutory requirements for most buildings erected in the formal built environment of the Republic of South Africa (South Africa). Although attempts have recently been made to include requirements on energy efficiency, the current version of the NBR does not mention sustainability. Local authorities (LAs) in South Africa do not use a standardised method to implement the existing requirements of the NBR uniformly.

The purpose of this study is to determine the origin, and examine the goals and implementation methods of the current minimum regulations and standards applicable to the built environment of South Africa, as defined in the *National Building Regulations and Building Standards Act, 1977 (Act 103 of 1977)* and the associated *Regulations*, together with the *Code of Practice for the Application of the NBR (SANS 10400:1990)*.

This thesis asks the following questions:

1. What are the goals and methods of implementation of the NBR, which represents the minimum regulations and standards applicable to the built environment of South Africa?
2. Are the current regulations and standards as defined by the NBR implemented uniformly by the respective LAs?
3. Are the most significant role-players in plan approval process, namely the Building Control Officers (BCOs),
 - aware of the goals and methods of implementation of the NBR?
 - willing to support the uniform implementation of the NBR?
 - aware of recent developmental changes to the NBR?
2. Are BCOs willing to implement new regulations that focus on sustainability within the existing administration system of the NBR?

The study recognises the current administrative procedures used to implement the NBR as vehicle to initiate sustainability ideals in the South African built environment. The BCO plays a pivotal role in advising the LA on a submission for building plan approval. The BCO uses a plan submission checklist to scrutinise all applications. The researcher argues that this instrument (the plan submission checklist) could be

used to achieve certain ideals on passive environmental design and at the same time adhere to the goals of the NBR.

The study identifies specific passive environmental design aspects for possible inclusion in the checklist. It is argued that the inclusion and active implementation of the aforementioned by the BCO (in the existing administrative system of the NBR) should contribute significantly to making the South African built environment more sustainable, without resulting in excessive additional cost to the building project.

The study comprises of the following elements:

1. The problem and its setting are described as part of the **introduction**.
2. The **literature review** focuses on building regulations with specific reference to the development of the NBR in South Africa.
3. The research is initiated by means of a **pilot study** in order to determine whether the requirements of the NBR are implemented uniformly in South Africa.
4. The focus of the chapter dealing with **research and data interpretation** is a questionnaire to the BCO that aims to determine the opinion and judgement of the BCO as the most significant role-player in the plan approval process.
5. The thesis concludes with a **summary, recommendations and conclusions** in which the findings are presented against the background of the particular problem statements and consequential hypothesis.
6. **References**
7. The **addenda** contain inter alia a proposed new plan submission checklist (Addendum N). This pro forma attempts to incorporate certain passive design criteria while ensuring the uniform application of the NBR. The plan submission pro forma will require further investigation and testing before possible implementation.

ABSTRACT

Full title: **An investigation of the National Building Regulations to promote uniformity and sustainability in the South African built environment**

Submitted by: Jacques Laubscher (Mr)

Supervisor: Pieter Tobias Vosloo (Prof.)

For the degree of: Philosophiae Doctor in Architecture

Department: Department of Architecture

Faculty: Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology

University: University of Pretoria

The study investigates two aspects that arise when an application is made for building plan approval to the Building Control Officer (BCO) in the Department of Building Control at a local authority (LA). Firstly, the uniform application of the minimum requirements for building plan approval is studied. Secondly, while keeping the original goal of the National Building Regulations (NBR) in mind, the inclusion of passive design requirements is considered as part of the minimum requirements.

In the Republic of South Africa (South Africa), the National Building Regulations and Building Standards Act, 1977 (Act 103 of 1977) determines the minimum requirements of any building. Although the NBR are in the process of being re-written, the amended 1990 version is still being used. On 15 June 2010, the South African National Standard (SANS) 10400-XA: *The Application of the National Building Regulations Part X: Environmental Sustainability Section A: Energy Usage in Buildings*, was published for public comment. However, the current version of the NBR does not address sustainability. Therefore, it is argued that the planning and erection of structures within the South African built environment do not currently conform to any minimum sustainability requirements.

A series of 'Deemed-to-Satisfy Rules' constitutes an integral part of the NBR. Should a development in the built environment comply with these prerequisites, it is observing the statutory requirements of Act 103 of 1977. The regulations are implemented by the Department of Building Control of the different LAs (or

municipalities). Act 103 of 1977 also prescribes the appointment, qualifications and functions of the BCO who should head the department. However, it is the LA's responsibility to appoint the BCO.

An LA's Department of Building Control uses guidelines (as determined by Act 103 of 1977) to approve applications for new buildings and alterations to existing ones. A series of prescribed inspections should be conducted during the construction phase of a building. Before a building can be used for its intended purpose, the BCO has to sign a Certificate of Occupancy. Although the NBR provide prescriptions, the requirements are implemented in different ways by the various LAs.

After determining the origin and examining the goals and implementation methods of Act 103 of 1977 and its Regulations (together with the Code of Application (SANS 10400:1990)), this study demonstrates that the current edition of the NBR is not uniformly implemented in the Republic of South Africa. Additionally, the study links the original goal of the NBR to limit inflationary tendencies with current practice to use passive design principles to combat building operation costs.

Lastly, a pro forma application form is included as an addendum (although it is not officially part of the study). This proposed pro forma could assist in the uniform implementation of NBR, while at the same time promoting sustainability.

Keywords:

Act 103 of 1977, National Building Regulations (SABS 0400/SANS 10400), local authority, municipality, department of building control, building control officer, building plan approval, sustainability, built environment, building standards, and passive design.

EKSERP

Volle titel:	'n Onderzoek na die Nasionale Bouregulasies om eenvormigheid en volhoubaarheid in die bou-omgewing van Suid-Afrika te bevorder
Voorgelê deur:	Jacques Laubscher (Mnr.)
Promotor:	Pieter Tobias Vosloo (Prof.)
Vir die graad van:	Philosophiae Doctor in Argitektuur
Departement:	Departement van Argitektuur
Fakulteit:	Fakulteit Ingenieurswese, Bou-omgewing en Inligtingtegnologie
Universiteit:	Universiteit van Pretoria

Die studie ondersoek twee aspekte wat ter sake is wanneer 'n aansoek om bouplangoedkeuring by die Boubeskerbeampte in die Boubeskerafdeling van 'n plaaslike owerheid ingedien word. Eerstens word die eenvormige toepassing van die minimum vereistes vir bouplangoedkeuring bestudeer. Tweedens word die insluiting oorweeg van passiewe ontwerpmaatreëls (as deel van die minimum vereistes) wat steeds aan die oorspronklike doel van die Nasionale Bouregulasies voldoen.

In Suid-Afrika bepaal die Wet op Nasionale Bouregulasies en Boustandaarde, 1977 (Wet No. 103 van 1977) die minimum vereistes waaraan enige gebou moet voldoen. Die Bouregulasies word tans herskryf, maar die gewysigde 1990-weergawe word steeds gebruik. Alhoewel die Suid-Afrikaanse Nasionale Standaard (SANS) 10400-XA: *The Application of the National Building Regulations Part X: Environmental Sustainability Section A: Energy Usage in Buildings* op 15 Junie 2010 vir publieke kommentaar gepubliseer is, spreek die huidige weergawe van die Nasionale Bouregulasies steeds nie volhoubaarheid aan nie. Derhalwe word die beplanning en oprigting van strukture binne die bou-omgewing nie aan enige minimum vereistes rakende volhoubaarheid onderwerp nie.

'n Reeks voldoeningsvereistes of sogenaamde 'Geag-te-Voldoen Reëls' maak 'n integrale deel van die Nasionale Bouregulasies uit. Indien 'n ontwikkeling in die bou-omgewing aan hierdie reëls sou voldoen, word dit as voldoende beskou ingevolge die statutêre vereistes gestel deur Wet No. 103 van 1977. Die Regulasies word

Africa, where the “...ancient Egyptians used the familiar grid pattern to house workers on the pyramids in the third millennium BC.” (2000: 230)

When Herodotus of Halicarnassus visited Giza in *circa* 450 BCE, he was informed that it had taken 400 000 men 20 years to finish the pyramid of Cheops. In contrast, “...the British archaeologist Petrie estimated that 100 000 men would have sufficed” (York, 1997: 4). Notwithstanding the difference in estimates, a *laissez faire* approach to the built environment would have inhibited development. It could therefore be argued that in order to manage the man-made environment, building works and associated processes, specific regulations were introduced. According to David it was necessary to organise the sites that housed the royal workmen, craftsmen and labourers (2003: 56-59). Often the sites “...were chosen because they were near to the worksite ... even the proximity of a good water supply was not considered essential to these town sites, the requirements of isolation and security being greater” (David, 2003: 59). However, these built environment regulations mostly focused on the man-made, while negating the possible symbiotic relationship between architecture and nature.

Advances in medicine impacted on the built environment in terms of additional health requirements. Descriptive examples can be found in for instance the Roman system for sewerage removal and the aqueducts constructed for the provision of fresh water. Population estimates indicate that at its peak Rome had approximately one million inhabitants. According to Cowan, “...the AD 300 census of Rome listed 1 797 *domus* (houses) and 46 602 *insulae* (blocks of flats)...” (1985: 68). With the development of civilisation, man increasingly exerted his influence on the natural habitat, using its resources to support his endeavours.

Unfortunately, events of catastrophic proportions usually necessitated the refinement of the rudimentary requirements employed to provide order within the built environment. After the great fire of AD 64, Emperor Nero issued a decree limiting the height of buildings, banning mid-walls between *insulae*, requiring accessible roofs for fire fighting at porticoes, and restricting the use of timber. Additionally, he cut straight wide roads through the burnt-out areas of the city to act as fire breaks and provide access for fire fighters (Cowan, 1985: 68-70). In more recent history, the Fire of

toegepas deur die verskillende plaaslike owerhede (of munisipaliteite) se onderskeie afdelings vir boubeheer. Die Wet bepaal ook die aanstelling, kwalifikasies en funksies van die Boubeheerbeampte wat aan die hoof van die afdeling staan. Die plaaslike owerheid is egter verantwoordelik vir die aanstelling van die Boubeheerbeampte.

Die Boubeheerafdeling maak van sekere riglyne gebruik (soos bepaal deur Wet No. 103 van 1977) wanneer planne vir nuwe geboue asook vir veranderings aan bestaande geboue goedgekeur word. Verder word sekere inspeksies voorgeskryf wat tydens die oprigting van die gebou uitgevoer moet word. Alvorens 'n gebou in gebruik geneem mag word, moet daar 'n okkupasiesertifikaat deur die Boubeheerbeampte uitgereik word. Hoewel bogenoemde voorskrifte in die Nasionale Bouregulasies vervat word, word dit op verskillende wyses deur die onderskeie plaaslike owerhede toegepas.

Die oorsprong, doelwitte en toepassingsmetodes van Wet 103 van 1977 en die gepaardgaande Regulasies asook Toepassingskodes (SANS 10400:1990)) word bestudeer. Hierdie studie bevestig dat die huidige weergawe van die Nasionale Bouregulasies nie eenvormig in Suid-Afrika toegepas word nie. Bykomend word die oorspronklike doel van die NBR (om inflasie teen te werk) verbind met die huidige gebruik van passiewe ontwerp (ten einde die operasionele koste van geboue te beperk).

Laastens word 'n pro forma-aansoekvorm as 'n addendum ingesluit (hoewel dit streng gesproke nie deel van die studie vorm nie). Die aansoekvorm kan moontlik gebruik word vir die eenvormige toepassing van die Nasionale Bouregulasies, terwyl volhoubaarheidsaspekte terselfdertyd aangespreek word.

Sleutelwoorde:

Wet No. 103 van 1977, Nasionale Bouregulasies (SABS 0400/SANS10400), plaaslike owerheid, boubeheerafdeling, boubeheerbeampte, bouplangoedkeuring, volhoubaarheid, bou-omgewing, boustandaarde en passiewe ontwerp.