

Intelligent Distributed Agent Based Architecture

By

Daniel Rodić

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Philosophiae Doctor
in Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology

University of Pretoria

Abstract

This thesis presents work done on the development of a multi-agent system architecture that facilitates coordination and a novel social networks based approach to coordination. The field of multi-agent system research is undergoing tremendous expansion and it would be impossible to address all the issues related to the field. Instead, this thesis focuses on the coordination aspect of multi-agent systems.

The architecture presented here is named the INtelligent Distributed Agent Based Architecture, INDABA¹. INDABA, as a hybrid agent architecture, combines the sub-symbolic knowledge representation layered architecture with a symbolic layer that allows for deliberative reasoning and learning. INDABA also introduces a layer that facilitates coordination in a society of agents, namely the interaction layer.

The new approach to coordination was inspired by social networks, as observed in higher mammalian societies. Two social relationships were explored, namely kinship and trust. Coordination is achieved through team selection. Using characteristics of social networks, such as learning and the ability to deal with uncertainties, the best team is selected for task execution.

The experiments conducted for the purpose of this thesis were done on three levels. Firstly, an abstract simulated environment was created where a society of a large number of agents could be observed. Secondly, experiments were done in a more realistic simulated robot environment. The last set of experiments was done in a real-world environment, with the implementation of INDABA in embodied mobile agents (robots). The experiments have confirmed the applicability of INDABA as an agent architecture, as well as the validity of the social networks coordination approach.

Thesis supervisor: Prof. A. P. Engelbrecht

Department of Computer Science

Degree: Philosophiae Doctor

¹ INDABA has also another meaning: in the Zulu language, it represents the process of cooperation, negotiation and collective problem solving.

Acknowledgements

Impossible is a word to be found only in the dictionary of fools.

- Unknown

*Not everything that can be counted counts,
and not everything that counts can be counted.*

- Albert Einstein

Many special people have directly, or indirectly, contributed to this thesis, and I would like to express my gratitude.

Foremost, to my supervisor, Prof. Andries P. Engelbrecht. I have benefited immensely from all the help that Andries selflessly provided. Andries has been more than a supervisor; he has been an inspiration, advisor, mentor and (unfortunately) language editor. Many, many thanks!

My family. Through their gentle encouragements, I have found strength to make this thesis a reality.

My friends, for all their support and encouragement.

I owe you much.

Content

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Content	iv
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables.....	x
List of Algorithms	xii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 The Objectives.....	2
1.3 The Main Contributions	2
1.4 Thesis Outline	3
Chapter 2: Background.....	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Agents: Definitions and Classifications	8
2.2.1 Introduction	8
2.2.2 Agent Definitions	9
2.2.3 Characteristics of Agents	9
2.2.4 Agent Classification Schemes.....	10
2.2.4.1 Reasoning Model Classification.....	11
2.2.4.2 Agent Key Attribute Classification	12
2.2.4.3 Paradigm Origin Classification	14
2.3 Multi Agent Systems: Definitions and Classification.....	15
2.3.1 Introduction	15
2.3.2 MAS Definitions	16
2.3.3 Characteristics of MAS	16
2.3.4 MAS Classification Schemes.....	17
2.3.4.1 Reasoning Model Classification.....	17
2.3.4.2 Cooperation Level Classification.....	20
2.4 Problems with Multi-Agent Systems	21
2.4.1 Interaction Between Agents in MAS	22

2.4.1.1	Coordination Mechanisms.....	22
2.4.1.2	Negotiation Mechanisms.....	24
2.4.2	Scalability of MASs	25
2.4.3	Lack of Formalism	25
2.5	Origins of the Agent Paradigm.....	26
2.5.1	Artificial Intelligence	26
2.5.2	Object-Oriented Programming.....	27
2.5.3	Man-Machine Interface	27
2.5.4	Robotics.....	29
2.6	Summary	32
Chapter 3: Agent Architectures.....		33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Symbolic Reasoning Agent Architecture.....	34
3.2.1	Introduction and History	34
3.2.2	General Characteristics of Symbolic Reasoning Agent Architectures	35
3.2.3	Symbolic Reasoning Agent – Shakey the Robot	37
3.2.3.1	Shakey – an Overview.....	37
3.2.3.2	Shakey’s Architecture	38
3.2.3.3	Shakey – Conclusion.....	40
3.3	Reactive Agent Architecture	41
3.3.1	Introduction and History	41
3.3.2	General Characteristics	42
3.3.2.1	Origins of Reactive Architectures.....	42
3.3.2.2	Underlying Concepts.....	43
3.3.2.3	Layering in Reactive Architectures.....	44
3.3.2.4	Is a Reactive Agent Truly an Agent?	46
3.3.3	Reactive Agent – Subsumption Architecture	46
3.3.4	Subsumption Architecture - Conclusion	48
3.4	Hybrid Agents	50
3.4.1	Introduction and History	51
3.4.2	General Characteristics of Hybrid Agent Architectures	52
3.4.2.1	Layered Architectures	52
3.4.2.2	Controller Layer	54
3.4.2.3	Sequencer Layer.....	55

3.4.2.4	Deliberator Layer	55
3.4.3	Hybrid Agent Architecture – 3T	56
3.4.3.1	Introduction and an Overview.....	57
3.4.3.2	Skills Layer	57
3.4.3.3	Sequencing.....	58
3.4.3.4	Planning.....	58
3.4.3.5	3T – Conclusion	59
3.5	Summary	60
Chapter 4: Multi-Robot Architectures.....		61
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Behaviour Based Robotics	63
4.2.1	Introduction	64
4.2.2	Basic Behaviours.....	65
4.2.3	Learning in BBR	66
4.2.3.1	Learning Behaviour Policies	66
4.2.3.2	Learning Environment Model	68
4.2.3.3	Learning Behaviour Patterns from Behaviour History	68
4.2.3.4	Other Learning Methods in BBR	69
4.2.4	Cooperation Model.....	69
4.2.5	BBR - Conclusion	70
4.3	Hybrid MAS (MACTA).....	71
4.3.1	Introduction	72
4.3.2	Behavioural Synthesis Architecture.....	72
4.3.3	Behaviour Scripts.....	74
4.3.4	Reflective Agent.....	75
4.3.4.1	Planner.....	76
4.3.4.2	Mission Organiser	76
4.3.5	Coordination Model	77
4.3.6	MACTA - Conclusion.....	78
4.4	Summary	78
Chapter 5: New INtelligent Distributed Agent Based Architecture.....		79
5.1	Overview of INDABA	79
5.2	Controller Layer	81
5.3	Sequencer Layer.....	84

5.4	Deliberator Layer	85
5.5	Interaction Layer	86
5.5.1	Self-Related Mental State.....	86
5.5.2	Task-Related Mental State	87
5.5.3	Society Related Mental State	87
5.5.4	Coordination.....	88
5.6	Summary	93
Chapter 6: Coordination Approaches.....		94
6.1	Introduction	94
6.2	Biology-Inspired Approaches – Coordination Perspective.....	95
6.2.1	Overview of Differences Between Insect and Mammalian Societies (Coordination Perspective).....	95
6.3	Organisational Sciences-Based Approach	98
6.3.1	Market-Based Approach	98
6.3.2	Hierarchical Approach	99
6.4	Social Networks	101
6.4.1	History of Social Networks Analysis as a Science	101
6.4.2	Social Networks Analysis Concepts	103
6.4.3	The Importance of Uncertainty in Multi-Robot Teams	105
6.4.4	The Applicability of Social Networks to Multi-Robot Teams.....	106
6.5	Related Work.....	107
6.5.1	Social Hierarchies and MAS Applications.....	108
6.5.2	Modelling Societies.....	108
6.5.3	Social Networks for Trust Propagation In MAS.....	109
6.6	Social Networks Based Approach.....	109
6.6.1	The Biology Origin	109
6.6.1.1	Potential Recognition	111
6.6.1.2	Team Formation	111
6.6.1.3	Plan Formation and Plan Execution	112
6.6.1.4	Task Evaluation and Recognition	112
6.6.2	Comparison to Other Task Allocation Coordination Mechanisms ...	113
6.6.3	Definitions and Notification.....	114
6.6.4	The Social Network Task Allocation Algorithm	115
6.6.4.1	Task Details Propagation Component.....	116

6.6.4.2	Team Leader Selection.....	117
6.6.4.3	Team Selection.....	118
6.6.4.4	Social Networks Maintenance.....	119
6.7	Summary	120
Chapter 7: Experiments in an Abstract Simulated Environment		121
7.1	Scope Limitation and Simulation Set-up	121
7.1.1	Kinship	123
7.1.2	Trust	124
7.2	Task Allocation and Team Formation Algorithm	124
7.3	Task Execution and Task Evaluation Algorithm	127
7.3.1	Task Execution.....	127
7.3.2	Task Evaluation.....	128
7.4	Simulating Task Details Uncertainty	128
7.4.1	Uncertainty due to Environment Variations.....	129
7.4.2	Uncertainty due to Initial Robot Positioning.....	129
7.5	Experimental Results.....	130
7.5.1	Performance Comparison to an Auction Based Approach (Single Environment Type)	133
7.5.2	Performance Comparison to an Auction Based Approach (Multiple Environment Types).....	136
7.5.3	The Influence of Probabilistic Selection	138
7.5.4	Learning Using Social Networks Approach.....	140
7.5.4.1	Learning over Single Environment	140
7.5.4.2	Learning over Variable Environments	141
7.5.5	Agent Specialisation.....	142
7.5.6	Influence of Kinship and Trust Parameter Values	144
7.5.6.1	Performance of The Model Using Only Kinship	145
7.5.6.2	Performance of The Model Using Only Trust	146
7.5.6.3	Performance of The Model Using Trust and Kinship.....	147
7.5.6.4	Discussion on Effects of Different Parameter Values.....	147
7.5.7	Evolution of Subgroups.....	150
7.6	Summary	158
Chapter 8: Experiments in the Simulated Robot Environment		159
8.1	Introduction	159

8.2	Robot Simulator Overview.....	159
8.2.1	Robot Definitions Component	160
8.2.2	Display Component.....	161
8.2.3	Society Component	162
8.2.4	Environment Component	163
8.3	Simulation Set-up and Assumptions	163
8.3.1	Robots and Environments	163
8.3.2	Tasks.....	165
8.4	Simulation Results.....	166
8.4.1	Results Using Same Selection Method	167
8.4.2	Random Scout Selection Method Simulation Results.....	168
8.5	Summary	170
Chapter 9: Experiments in a Physical Environment		171
9.1	Introduction	171
9.2	Physical Environment Set-up.....	172
9.2.1	Robotic Platform	172
9.2.2	Robot Population.....	173
9.2.3	Environment Set-up and Types of Environment.....	176
9.3	INDABA Implementation.....	178
9.3.1	Implemented Robot Components.....	180
9.3.1.1	The Controller Layer.....	180
9.3.1.2	The Sequencer Layer.....	181
9.3.2	Components Implemented in the Desktop PC	182
9.3.2.1	The Deliberator Layer	182
9.3.2.2	The Interaction Layer.....	183
9.4	Results	184
9.4.1	Random Selection Results.....	185
9.4.2	Social Network Based Selection vs Random Selection	187
9.5	Summary	190
Chapter 10: Conclusion.....		191
10.1	INDABA	191
10.2	The Social Networks Based Approach.....	192
10.3	Directions for the Future Research.....	193
10.3.1	Use of Multiple Alternative Coordination Methods in INDABA....	194

10.3.2	Flexible Information Exchange in Multi-robot Teams	194
10.3.3	Investigation of Applicability of Additional Social Relationships to Multi-robot Systems.....	194
10.3.4	Social Networks as a Rule-Extraction Mechanism.....	195
10.3.5	Investigation into a More Formal Kinship Rating Mechanism.....	195
	Bibliography.....	196
	Appendix A : Derived Publications	213
	Appendix B : Acronyms.....	214
	Appendix C : Terms and Definitions	215
	Appendix D : Definition of Symbols	218

List of Figures

Figure 1. Evolution of Component Based Software Engineering.....	7
Figure 2. Origins of Agent Paradigm.....	15
Figure 3. Shakey’s Architecture, based on the description in [137].....	39
Figure 4. An example of the layers of a reactive agent.....	45
Figure 5. Black Box Approach for a Reactive Agent Layer.....	47
Figure 6. Horizontal Layering Agent Architecture.....	52
Figure 7. Vertical Layering Agent Architecture.....	53
Figure 8. A Typical Three-Layer Agent Architecture.....	53
Figure 9. BSA Architecture illustrated (modified from [16]).....	73
Figure 10. Overview of MACTA Reflective Agent.....	75
Figure 11. Role of the Mission Organiser in MACTA (adapted from [10]).....	77
Figure 12. INDABA Layers.....	80
Figure 13. An illustration of a social network representation.....	104
Figure 14. The Effect of Uncertainty due to the Initial Robot Positioning.....	130
Figure 15. Performance comparison between social networks based approach and auctioning approach on single environment.....	134
Figure 16. Performance comparison between social networks based approach and auctioning approach on single environment (300 execution cycles).....	135
Figure 17. Performance comparison between social networks based approach and auctioning approach on multiple environments (200 execution cycles).....	137
Figure 18. Performance comparison between social networks based approach and auctioning approach on multiple environments (300 execution cycles).....	137
Figure 19. Performance comparison between standard and probabilistic selection on single type environment (200 execution cycles).....	139
Figure 20. Performance comparison between standard and probabilistic selection over multiple environment types (200 execution cycles).....	139
Figure 21. Observed improvement in performance (single environment).....	141
Figure 22. Observed improvement in performance (multiple environments).....	142
Figure 23. Performance of social networks based approach with only kinship relationship (700 execution cycles).....	145

Figure 24. Performance of social networks based approach with one social relationship only – trust relationship (700 execution cycles).....	146
Figure 25. Performance of social networks based approach with one social relationship only – kinship relationship (700 execution cycles).....	147
Figure 26. Performance of social networks based approach with one social relationship only – kinship relationship (700 execution cycles).....	149
Figure 27. The sociogram after first execution cycle.....	152
Figure 28. The sociogram after the second execution cycle	153
Figure 29. The sociogram after third execution cycle.....	154
Figure 30. The sociogram after fourth execution cycle	155
Figure 31. The sociogram after fifth execution cycle	155
Figure 32. The sociogram after sixth execution cycle – established clique.....	156
Figure 33. The sociogram after seventh execution cycle - stable clique.....	157
Figure 34. The final social network after 100 execution cycles.....	157
Figure 35. A Screenshot of Robot Simulator	161
Figure 36. Comparative results of three selection methods over six simulations (same selection methods for both tasks).....	167
Figure 37. Comparative results of three selection methods over six execution cycles (inconsistent selection).....	169
Figure 38. An example of a robot used in the experiments (type 5).	175
Figure 39. An example of a robot used in the experiments (type 3).	175
Figure 40. First environment used in experiments.....	176
Figure 41. Second environment used in experiments	177
Figure 42. Third environment used in experiments	177
Figure 43. The fourth environment used in experiments.	178
Figure 44. Implemented Hybrid Architecture.....	179
Figure 45. The sociogram of kinship relationship between robots	188
Figure 46. The fifth (test) environment used in experiments.....	188

List of Tables

Table 1. Overview of Three-Layer Architecture Terminology.....	54
Table 2 Comparison of BBR and MACTA architectures	63
Table 3. Illustration of INDABA sequencer layer	84
Table 4. Differences between two biology-inspired agent models	98
Table 5. Matrix representing a social network.....	104
Table 6. Comparison of a wolf-pack and INDABA.....	110
Table 7. Simulated agent attributes and possible attribute values.....	122
Table 8. Simulated environment attributes and possible attribute values	122
Table 9. Agent population created and used for experiments in this chapter	132
Table 10. Default simulation parameters	133
Table 11. Default simulation parameter for a performance comparison to an auction based approach (single environment) simulation.....	134
Table 12. Parameters for a performance comparison to an auction based approach (multiple environment types) simulation.	136
Table 13. Simulation parameters used for the investigation of probabilistic selection influence.....	138
Table 14. Simulation parameters used for the investigation of probabilistic selection influence	140
Table 15. Simulation parameters used for the investigation of probabilistic selection influence	141
Table 16. Simulation parameters used for the investigation of probabilistic selection influence	143
Table 17. Selected scout robot attributes	144
Table 18. Simulation parameters used for the investigation of probabilistic selection influence	144
Table 19. Comparison of social networks over first 100 execution cycles.....	148
Table 20. Comparison of social networks over 700 execution cycles	149
Table 21. Simulation parameters used for the investigation of evaluation of subgroups.	151

Table 22. Robot Attributes and possible values	163
Table 23. Environment attributes and possible values	164
Table 24. Robot attributes and possible values	174
Table 25. Robot population	174
Table 26. Environment attributes and possible values	176
Table 27. Summary of environment types used in experiments	178
Table 28. Illustration of the implemented sequencer layer	182
Table 29. The results of random selection robot scout execution in physical environments.	185
Table 30. The results sorted by robots	187
Table 31. Kinship between the robots	187
Table 32. Comaparisson of the results	189

List of Algorithms

Algorithm 1. BSA behavior algorithm.....	74
Algorithm 2. move_forward behavior.....	82
Algorithm 3. avoid_obstacle behaviour	83
Algorithm 4. Potential recognition.....	90
Algorithm 5. INDABA potential recognition and team formation	91
Algorithm 6. INDABA Task success evaluation	92
Algorithm 7. Team leader selection in social networks based approach	118
Algorithm 8. Team selection in social networks based approach	119
Algorithm 9. Social network maintenance.....	120
Algorithm 10. Potential recognition and team formation processes	126
Algorithm 10. The main loop of robot simulator	160
Algorithm 11. Task allocation and task success evaluation in simulated robot environment.....	162