NIETZSCHE’S IMPULSE TOWARDS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A CONCEPT OF GOD THAT TRANSCENDS MODERN ATHEISM AND THEISM: A PHILOSOPHICAL THEOLOGICAL STUDY

A dissertation by

ANDRé JOHANNES GROENEWALD

Presented to the Faculty of Theology in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR DIVINITATIS

AT THE UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

2004

PROMOTER: PROF J BUITENDAG

CO-PROMOTER: PROF CJ WETHMAR
CONTENTS

Preface ix
Acknowledgements x

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT 1
1.2 METHODOLOGY 5
1.3 OUTLINE OF THE DISSERTATION 7

Chapter 2

THE CHALLENGE OF MODERN ATHEISM

2.1 INTRODUCTION 10
2.2 HOW DO WE UNDERSTAND THE CONCEPT GOD? 10
2.3 HOW DO WE SPEAK OF GOD? 18
2.4 A DEFINITION OF THEISM AND MODERN ATHEISM 19
   2.4.1 Introduction 19
   2.4.2 The autonomy of nature 22
   2.4.3 The autonomy of the subject 24
2.5 THE CHALLENGE OF MODERN ATHEISM 28
   2.5.1 Introduction 28
   2.5.2 Preserving the status quo 30
Chapter 3

FRIEDRICH WILHELM NIETZSCHE AND HIS STATEMENT:

“GOTT IST TODT [sic]!”

3.1 NIETZSCHE AS THE PROPHET OF NIHILISM 39

3.2 WHO WAS NIETZSCHE? 50

3.3 AGAINST WHOM WAS NIETZSCHE REACTING? 58

3.3.1 Introduction 58

3.3.2 Nietzsche as reacting against the idea of the subject that knows himself or herself, God and the world 60

3.3.3 Nietzsche as reacting against the ideas of progress in the philosophy of Hegel and the science of Darwin 70

3.3.3 Nietzsche’s contact with Strauss and his break with Christianity 77

3.4 IMPLICATIONS OF NIETZSCHE’S PHILOSOPHY 82

3.5 SUMMARY 84
Chapter 4

MODERN ATHEISM AND THEISM CHALLENGED?

4.1 INTRODUCTION 85

4.2 KARL BARTH’S CHALLENGE TO ATHEISM AND THEISM 87

4.2.1 Introduction 87

4.2.2 Atheism and theism challenged 88

4.2.3 Reflecting on the challenges that Barth offered to modern atheism and theism 104

4.2.4 Summary 106

4.3 JÜRGEN MOLTМАNN’S CHALLENGE TO ATHEISM AND THEISM 106

4.3.1 Introduction 106

4.3.2 Atheism and theism challenged 110

4.3.3 Reflecting on the challenges that Moltmann offered to modern atheism and theism 115

4.3.4 Summary 118

4.4 WOLFHART PANNEMBERG’S CHALLENGE TO ATHEISM AND THEISM 118

4.4.1 Introduction 118

4.4.2 Atheism and theism challenged 119

4.4.3 Reflecting on the challenges that Pannenberg offered to modern atheism and theism 124

4.4.4 Summary 125
4.5 TED PETERS’ CHALLENGE TO ATHEISM AND THEISM 126

4.5.1 Introduction 126

4.5.2 Atheism and theism challenged 127

4.5.3 Reflecting on the challenges that Peters offered to modern atheism and theism 146

4.5.4 Summary 147

Chapter 5

BEYOND MODERN ATHEISM AND THEISM

5.1 INTRODUCTION 148

5.2 THE CONCEPT OF GOD 150

5.2.1 Nietzsche’s critique of the concept of God 150

5.2.2 A theological assessment of Nietzsche’s critique of the concept of God 153

• Proposal 1: God being defined as the triune God of the future 153

5.2.3 A postmodern philosophical assessment of Nietzsche’s critique of the concept of God 156

• Proposal 2: God as the Other 156

5.3 THE CONCEPT OF GOD IN RELATION TO HISTORY 159

5.3.1 Nietzsche’s critique of God’s relation to history 159

5.3.2 Theological assessments of Nietzsche’s critique of God’s relation to history 163

• Proposal 3: God’s history versus world history 163
• Proposal 4: History in God versus God in history 165
• Proposal 5: History of God 165
• Proposal 6: Eschatology as an end to history 171

5.3.3 A postmodern philosophical assessment of Nietzsche’s
critique of God’s relation to history 175
  • Proposal 7: A new vision of history – history that is
    ambiguous, discontinuous and anti-teleological 175

5.4 THE CONCEPT OF GOD IN RELATION TO RATIONALITY 181
5.4.1 Nietzsche’s critique of rationality 181
5.4.2 A theological assessment of Nietzsche’s critique of rationality 182
  • Proposal 8: Knowledge of God possible through faith 182
5.4.3 Postmodern philosophical assessments of Nietzsche’s critique
  of rationality 182
  • Proposal 9: A postfoundationalist rationality 182
  • Proposal 10: Deconstruction as an option 184

5.5 THE CONCEPT OF GOD IN RELATION TO MORALITY 186
5.5.1 Nietzsche’s critique of morality 186
5.5.2 Theological assessments of Nietzsche’s critique of morality 187
  • Proposal 11: Ecumenical pluralism 187
  • Proposal 12: Dialogue 188
5.5.3 A postmodern philosophical assessment of Nietzsche’s
  critique of morality 193
  • Proposal 13: Responsibility for the other 193

5.6 FINAL REFLECTIONS ON THE PROBLEM OF MODERN
ATHEISM AND THEISM 194
Abstract
Works consulted
Preface

I first came into contact with the philosophy of Nietzsche in 1990. Towards the end of the nineteenth century he made the statement: “Gott ist todt [sic]!” These words left an intense impression on me and prompted me to find out how anyone could make such a sweeping statement. I wanted to establish exactly what was meant by these words and as a consequence, my research on Nietzsche began. Having read Nietzsche’s work, my interest in the man and his philosophy did not wane, but rather it intensified and so the decision to do a thesis on this subject, was almost inevitable. This therefore, is an attempt to explore and to come to a proper understanding of the famous statement by Nietzsche. It is my belief that, if understood correctly and seen in proper context, Nietzsche has a message for Christians of our time.

André Groenewald

Midrand, Johannesburg

2004
Acknowledgements

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to the following people:

- My promoter, professor Johan Buitendag, for his useful comments and ongoing support;
- My co-promoter, professor Conrad Wethmar, for his guidance and assistance;
- Professor Ted Peters, from the Center for Theology and Science in Berkeley, California, for his hospitality, assistance and for our invaluable discussions;
- The Center for Theology and Science at the Graduate Theological Union at the University of California, for their support and for the resources they supplied to me and my wife, during our stay;
- Dr Ted Crouch and the Presbyterian Church for accommodating us in Berkeley for a period of three months in 1999;
- The staff at the libraries of the Catholic University of America and Georgetown University in Washington, DC for allowing me to do research during the fall of 2003, especially professor John Pilch for having organised it;
- My friends who have supported me during all the phases of this undertaking;
- My congregations in Cradock and Midrand for allowing me to write this dissertation;
- The University of Pretoria and the Nederduitsch Hervormde Kerk van Afrika for the financial support;
- My parents and in-laws for their loving support and assistance;
Acknowledgements

- My loving wife for her support, critical remarks and the overall editing of the thesis. Her faith in me is what made the completion of the thesis possible.

I thank God for the opportunity He gave me to write this dissertation.

I dedicate this thesis to my wife, Jonanda, and to our parents.