

# HOW SCHOOL GOVERNING BODIES UNDERSTAND AND IMPLEMENT CHANGES IN LEGISLATION WITH RESPECT TO THE SELECTION AND APPOINTMENT OF TEACHERS

by

#### SHARON THABO MAMPANE

#### Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

#### PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR

In

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION MANAGEMENT LAW AND POLICY STUDIES

### FACULTY OF EDUCATION

#### **UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA**

#### SOUTH AFRICA

#### SUPERVISOR: DR. B. MALAN

#### OCTOBER 2008



#### DECLARATION

I, Sharon Thabo Mampane, student number 9176004, hereby declare that the thesis: 'How school governing bodies understand and implement changes in legislation with respect to the selection and appointment of teachers', has not been submitted by me before at any other university. It is my original work and I have acknowledged all the sources consulted and quoted in the bibliography.

SHARON. T. MAMPANE

OCTOBER 2008



### DEDICATION

This research is dedicated to all the following people who played an equally important role to me:

My late grandmother, Wilhelmina, Mathabe Mampane, my role model and a real woman of substance. Her motivation and love for education led me to where I am today, my mother.

My late mother Jemima Moloisho Mampane; and my aunt, Elizabeth Malefeu Mampane, for believing that I have the talent to make it in life and for their love and undying support.

Glen Monyanya Boya, a patient, supporting, loving and understanding partner throughout my studies.

My daughter, Tebogo (Jillian) and my son Malcolm (Karabo) for understanding and believing in the worthy course I pursued for hours on end.

My grand daughter, Makgoshi, Mathabe Mampane, for being an inspiration to me when I was down.

Above all, I send my praise and thanks to the Lord Almighty for blessing me so much.



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to the following people:

• My former lecturer, colleague and Head of Department, and initial supervisor, Professor J. Beckmann, for giving me the first research book on Equity and Equality which prompted me to start the PhD journey till this far.

• My present supervisor, Dr Beverley Malan, a friend and mentor throughout my PhD studies. Thanks for the patience and expertise shown in guiding and supervising me towards completion of the thesis.

• My language editor, Mrs. M.W. White for the excellent and superb work shown in editing my thesis.

• Professor Jansen and Dr Stoffels, for providing me with the framework to can continue with my PhD.

• My friends, Onicca Nkadimeng, Nthabiseng Dibate, Mapule Mojela and Teressa Ogina.

• My colleagues Christina Amsterdam, Juliet Perumal, Hlengiwe Sehlapelo, Nkidi Phatudi, Mokgadi Moletsane, Ruth Mampane, Thandi Mngomezulu and other UP colleagues who kept checking on me asking me to see how far I was.

• Carol Van Der Westhuizen, who has a special place in my heart for taking it upon herself to get me access to schools so that I can commence with my study.

• Marthie Barnard and Susan Smith for their endless support, encouragement and friendship.

• Maitumeleng Nthontho and Shonubi for being excellent research assistants.

• Mr. N. Mlambo, for the kindness shown in availing his laptop to me whenever my computer crashed.

• Advocate Justus Prinsloo for his expert guidance in education law.

• Pastor Solly Kgamedi Chiloane for his commitment in motivating and guiding me morally and legally.

#### THANK YOU ALL!!!



#### ABSTRACT

This study investigated the legislation (the Education Laws Amendment Act, Act 24 of 2005) dealing with teacher selection and appointment. It focused specifically on the principles equity, redress and representivity changes in legislation. Not only do these principles encourage the equal advancement of everybody's interests but they also serve as a means of establishing an appropriate balance between conflicting interests. The primary purpose of the study was to determine whether or not the racial group to which the school governing body members belong had an effect on the way in which they interpreted and implemented legislation, and if so, to what these could be ascribed.

Five schools' governing bodies in the Tshwane South District of the Gauteng Province were interviewed using semi structured, open-ended interviews to investigate the extent to which their staff composition has changed as a result of the new legislation. A qualitative research paradigm allowed me to adopt a constructivist/interpretivist approach to data collection and analysis. Indications from data were that the understanding and interpretation of SGBs across racial divides are influenced by their different cultural and linguistic preferences, their different political and educational histories and the contexts in which they work. These differences indicated that deeply entrenched racial stereotypes and strong attachments to a specific school culture, language or ethnic traditions could be influencing the final decision on short listing taken by the SGBs represented in my study.

Suggestions are that legislation implementation should be addressed at all stages; that is, reviewing performance, considering reasons for governance difficulty or failure, designing alternative interventions, and interpreting evaluation results as an intervention practice for legislation success. Based on my research findings I would therefore suggest that the key reason for the lack of transformation in the staff composition of public schools is the short period of time that has elapsed since the promulgation of the Education Laws Amendment Act of 2005. Given that transformation is a social process and that stereotypes are key obstacles to transformation, I believe that, as far as the schools in my sample are concerned, their staff compositions will eventually change.



### **KEY WORDS**

Democracy

Equity

Integration

Legislation

Redress

Representivity

School authority

School culture

School governance

Staff composition

Teacher selection process



## CONTENTS

### PAGE

1.	RESEARCH PURPOSE AND PARAMETERS	1
	1.1 Background to the study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Research purpose and aims	7
	1.4 Research questions	8
	1.5 Rationale	9
	1.6 Conceptual framework	12
	1.7 Theoretical framework	14
	1.8 Research paradigm and design	15
	1.8.1 Data collection	16
	1.8.2 Data analysis	19
	1.9 Reliability and validity	20
	1.10 Concept clarification	21
	1.11 Significance of the study	23
	1.12 Limitations	24
	1.13 Research programme and chapter outline	25
	1.14 Summative conclusion	27
2.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	28
	2.1 Introduction and purpose	28
	2.2 Research purpose and aims	29
	2.3 Philosophical foundations of the study	30
	2.4 Conceptual framework	31
	2.5 Research Design	33
	2.6 Sampling – research sites and participants	36
	2.7 Gaining access to research sites (Schools)	37
	2.8 Data collection strategies	38



2.8.1 Interviews	41
2.8.2 Document analysis	43
2.9 Data analysis	44
2.10 Research report	46
2.11 Trustworthiness	46
2.12 Summative conclusion	48

## 3. SCHOOL GOVERNANCE, TEACHER APPOINTMENT AND SOUTH

AFRIC	CAN L	AW	50
	3.1 In	troduction and Purpose	50
	3.2 Co	onceptualizing School Governance	51
	3.3 Scl	nool governance in South Africa	53
	3.4 Le	gislation on the selection and appointment of teachers	60
	3.4.1	The Constitution	61
	3.4.2	The National Education Policy Act	62
	3.4.3	The South African Schools Act	63
	3.4.4	The Labour Relations Act	66
	3.4.5	The Employment Equity Act	66
	3.4.6	The Employment of Educators Act	68
	3.4.7	The Education Laws Amendment Act	69
	3.5 P	ublic response to the perceived intent of the Education Laws	
	Amen	dment Bill	71
	3.6 R	elevant Court Cases	77
	3.7 St	ummative conclusion	80



4. EQ	UITY, I	REDRESS AND REPRESENTIVITY	82
	4.1	Introduction and Purpose	82
	4.2	Concept Clarification	84
	4.2.1	Equity	84
	4.2.2	Representivity	86
	4.2.3	Redress	87
	4.2.4	Segregation	89
	4.2.5	Integration	90
	4.3	Equity and education in South African schools	92
	4.4	International Equity Initiatives	95
	4.4.1	Racial integration in USA schools	97
	4.4.2	Racial integration in Irish schools	99
	4.4.3	Racial integration in Israeli schools	99
	4.5	Lessons for South Africa	100
	4.6	Summative conclusion	102
5. PRI	ESENT	ATION OF DATA	104
	5.1	Introduction and purpose	104
	5.2	Data collection, analysis and presentation	105
	5.3	School context	108
	5.3.1	School A	109
	5.3.2	School B	109
	5.3.3	School C	110
	5.3.4	School D	110
	5.3.5	School E	111
	5.4	Comparison of parent data	111
	5.4.1	Experience as SGB members	112
	5.4.2	Enjoyment of SGB duties	114



5.4.3	Perceived reasons for nomination/election as SGB members	116	
5.4.4	4.4 SGB Agendas		
5.4.5	Selection Criteria	118	
5.4.5.1	Personal attributes	118	
5.4.5.2	Qualifications	119	
5.4.5.3	B Professional experience		
5.4.5.4	Language as a factor		
5.4.5.5	Race as a factor		
5.4.5.6	Gender as a factor	122	
5.4.6	Perceptions of SGB Effectiveness	123	
5.4.7	Knowledge of the law (ELAA 24 of 2005)	124	
5.4.8	Summary of parent responses	127	
5.5	Comparison of teacher responses	128	
5.5.1	SGB Experience 12		
5.5.2	Enjoyment	129	
5.5.3	5.3 Perceived reasons for nomination/election		
5.5.4 SGB Agendas			
5.5.5 Selection criteria		135	
5.5.5.1 Personal attributes		135	
5.5.5.2	Qualifications	136	
5.5.5.3	Professional experience	137	
5.5.5.4	Language as a factor	137	
5.5.5.5	Race as a factor	139	
5.5.5.6	Gender as a factor	140	
5.5.5.7	Other contributing factors	141	
5.5.6 SGB effectiveness		141	
5.5.7 Knowledge of the law		143	
5.5.8 Summary of teacher responses		144	



	5.6	Comparison of data on principals	145
	5.6.1	SGB Experience	146
	5.6.2	Enjoyment	146
	5.6.3	Perceived reasons for nomination/election to SGB	148
	5.6.4	SGB Agendas	151
	5.6.5	Selection criteria	156
	5.6.5.1	Personal attributes	156
	5.6.5.2	Qualifications	157
	5.6.5.3	Professional experience	158
	5.6.5.4	Race as a factor	159
	5.6.5.5	Language as a factor	161
	5.6.5.6	Gender as a factor	162
	5.6.5.7	Other contributing factors	163
	5.6.6	Perceived effectiveness of SGB selection process	163
	5.6.7	Knowledge of the law	165
	5.6.8	Summary of principal data	168
	5.7	Discussion of data	169
	5.7.1	Stakeholder involvement in school governance	170
	5.7.2	SGB governance effectiveness	171
	5.7.3	Equity in teacher selection	172
	5.7.4	SGB interpretation of the law	174
	5.8	Summative conclusion	176
6. CO	NCLUS	SIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	178
	6.1 Int	roduction and purpose	178
		search problem and purpose	180
		search questions and objectives	181
		search Findings	183
		ntepretation of the ELAA, Act 24 of 2005	185



6.4.2 Implementation of the ELAA, Act 24 0f 2005	189	
6.4.3 Staff composition	191	
6.4.4 State expectations and SGB capacity	192	
6.5 Conclusions	195	
6.6 Suggestions for the way forward	196	
6.7 Recommendations for further research	197	
BIBLIOGRAPHY		
ANNEXURES		
A. Restructured interview data		
B. Member check interview		
C. Ethical clearance certificate		
D. Permission letter from the department of Education		
E. Permission letter from school principals 2		
F. Consent letter to participants		

## ACRONYMS

CRSA	Constitution of the Republic of South Africa
DA	Democratic Alliance
EEA	Employment Equity Act
ELA	Education Laws Act
ELRC	Education Labour Relations Act
LLA	Labour Legislation Act
LRA	Labour Relations Act
NEPA	National Education Policy Act
SACE	South African Council for Educators
SADTU	South African Democratic Teachers' Union
SASA	South African Schools Act
SGB	School Governing Body