

# Solving dynamic multi-objective optimisation problems using vector evaluated particle swarm optimisation

by

Mardé Helbig

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
Philosophiae Doctor (Computer Science)  
in the Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology  
University of Pretoria, Pretoria

May 2012



Publication data:

Mardé Helbig. Solving dynamic multi-objective optimisation problems using vector evaluated particle swarm optimisation. Doctorate Thesis, University of Pretoria, Department of Computer Science, Pretoria, South Africa, May 2012.

Electronic, hyperlinked versions of this thesis are available online, as Adobe PDF files, at:

<http://cirg.cs.up.ac.za/>

<http://upetd.up.ac.za/UPeTD.htm>

# Solving dynamic multi-objective optimisation problems using vector evaluated particle swarm optimisation

by

Mardé Helbig

E-mail: mhelbig@csir.co.za

## Abstract

Most optimisation problems in everyday life are not static in nature, have multiple objectives and at least two of the objectives are in conflict with one another. However, most research focusses on either static multi-objective optimisation (MOO) or dynamic single-objective optimisation (DSOO). Furthermore, most research on dynamic multi-objective optimisation (DMOO) focusses on evolutionary algorithms (EAs) and only a few particle swarm optimisation (PSO) algorithms exist. This thesis proposes a multi-swarm PSO algorithm, dynamic Vector Evaluated Particle Swarm Optimisation (DVEPSO), to solve dynamic multi-objective optimisation problems (DMOOPs). In order to determine whether an algorithm solves DMOO efficiently, functions are required that resembles real world DMOOPs, called benchmark functions, as well as functions that quantify the performance of the algorithm, called performance measures. However, one major problem in the field of DMOO is a lack of standard benchmark functions and performance measures. To address this problem, an overview is provided from the current literature and shortcomings of current DMOO benchmark functions and performance measures are discussed. In addition, new DMOOPs are introduced to address the identified shortcomings of current benchmark functions. Guides guide the optimisation process of DVEPSO. Therefore, various guide update approaches are investigated. Furthermore, a sensitivity analysis of DVEPSO is conducted to determine the influence of various parameters on the performance of DVEPSO. The investigated parameters include approaches to manage boundary constraint violations, approaches to share knowledge between the sub-swarms and responses to changes in the environment that are applied to either the particles

of the sub-swarms or the non-dominated solutions stored in the archive. From these experiments the best DVEPSO configuration is determined and compared against four state-of-the-art DMOO algorithms.

**Keywords:** dynamic multi-objective optimisation, particle swarm optimisation, dynamic vector evaluated particle swarm optimisation algorithm, benchmark functions, performance measures, guide updates, management of boundary constraint violations, response strategies, knowledge sharing

**Supervisor** : Prof. A. P. Engelbrecht

**Department** : Department of Computer Science

**Degree** : Philosophiae Doctor



*“You have to be fast on your feet and adaptive or else a strategy is useless.”*  
– Charles de Gaulle

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following people and organisations who played a vital role during the research and write-up of the thesis:

- Prof. Andries Engelbrecht, my supervisor, for his continuous guidance, inspiration, patience and assistance during the entire journey of my PhD.
- My husband, Lutz, for his endless love, support, encouragement and patience throughout the many years it took to complete the thesis.
- My mom, for her love and encouragement and for always believing in me.
- My friends that brought endless cups of coffee, advice, encouragement and laughter to lift my spirits, and that walked with me throughout the many ups and downs. Thank you for being my sound board and allowing me to vent my frustration when it was really necessary. A special thanks to Jacomine, Riëtte, Ronéll, Alize and the coffee time gang (Neeshal, Louis J, Neil and Ralf).
- The Meraka Institute for financing the studies. I would like to thank Hina Patel for her support and providing time for the research during the first years of this endeavour. I also want to extend my gratitude to Keith Fergusson for his advice, encouragement and providing sufficient time to finish the thesis. A warm hearted thank you to Paula Kotzé, my mentor, for her advice and encouragement. I would also like to thank Louis Coetzee for his assistance in setting up the java environment to run my simulations and Thomas Fogwill for his assistance with the mathematical equations. Furthermore, I would like to thank all my colleagues who continuously enquired about my progress and provided encouragement along the way.
- The Computational Intelligence Research Group (CIRG), for their advice and assistance, especially with regards to Java programming. A special thank you to Gary Pamparà, for his endless patience and willingness to assist, even in times when he was swamped with work or studies.
- The Centre for High Performance Computing (CHPC) for the use of their infrastructure to run the simulations and obtaining the required data. A special word of thanks to the technical support team, who provided support when ever problems occurred.

- C.K. Goh for his assistance with the calculation of the true Pareto-optimal fronts (POFs) of the FDA functions and providing the source code of dCOEA . In addition, I would like to thank S. Lechuga who kindly provided the source code of the MOPSO algorithm and K. Deb for making the source code of NSGA-II available on his website.

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Algorithms</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	2
1.2 Objectives . . . . .	3
1.3 Contributions . . . . .	4
1.4 Research Methodology . . . . .	5
1.5 Thesis Outline . . . . .	6
<b>I Optimisation Background</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2 Formal Definitions</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Single Objective Optimisation . . . . .	11
2.1.1 Optimisation Concepts . . . . .	11
2.1.2 Types of Solutions . . . . .	13
2.2 Multi-objective Optimisation . . . . .	14
2.2.1 Multi-objective Optimisation Problems . . . . .	16
2.2.2 Pareto-optimal Set and Pareto Optimal Front . . . . .	16
2.2.3 Solving a Multi-objective Optimisation Problem . . . . .	19
2.3 Dynamic Single-objective Optimisation . . . . .	20



2.3.1	Dynamic Single-objective Optimisation Problem . . . . .	21
2.3.2	Dynamic Environment Types . . . . .	22
2.4	Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation . . . . .	24
2.4.1	Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Problem . . . . .	25
2.4.2	Dynamic Environment Types . . . . .	25
2.5	Summary . . . . .	27
<b>3</b>	<b>Analysis of Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Benchmark Functions</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Multi-objective Optimisation Benchmark Functions . . . . .	30
3.1.1	Ideal Benchmark Function Characteristics . . . . .	30
3.1.2	ZDT Functions . . . . .	32
3.1.3	DTLZ Functions . . . . .	35
3.2	Dynamic Multi-Objective Optimisation Benchmark functions . . . . .	41
3.2.1	Dynamic Multi-Objective Optimisation Benchmark Functions Currently Used . . . . .	42
3.2.2	Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Problems with an Isolated Pareto Optimal Front . . . . .	71
3.2.3	Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Problems with a Deceptive Pareto Optimal Front . . . . .	73
3.2.4	Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Problems with Complicated Pareto Optimal Sets . . . . .	74
3.2.5	Ideal Set of Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Benchmark Functions . . . . .	82
3.3	Summary . . . . .	83
<b>4</b>	<b>Analysis of Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Performance Measures</b>	<b>86</b>
4.1	Static Multi-objective Optimisation Performance Measures . . . . .	87
4.1.1	Outperformance Relations . . . . .	87
4.1.2	Accuracy Performance Measures . . . . .	90
4.1.3	Diversity Performance Measures . . . . .	92

4.1.4	Combined Performance Measures . . . . .	97
4.2	Current Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Performance Measures . .	100
4.2.1	Accuracy Performance Measures . . . . .	100
4.2.2	Diversity Performance Measures . . . . .	102
4.2.3	Robustness Performance Measures . . . . .	106
4.2.4	Combined Performance Measures . . . . .	109
4.3	Issues with Current Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Performance Measures . . . . .	111
4.3.1	Losing Track of the Changing Pareto Optimal Front . . . . .	112
4.3.2	Outliers in the Pareto Optimal Front . . . . .	116
4.3.3	Boundary Constraint Violations . . . . .	120
4.3.4	Objective Space versus Decision Space . . . . .	121
4.3.5	Comparing Performance of Algorithms . . . . .	124
4.4	Summary . . . . .	124

## **II Computational Intelligence Algorithms 126**

### **5 Population-based Single-objective Algorithms 127**

5.1	Particle Swarm Optimisation . . . . .	127
5.1.1	Initialising the Swarm . . . . .	128
5.1.2	Stopping conditions . . . . .	129
5.1.3	Calculating the Velocity . . . . .	130
5.1.4	Calculating the Position . . . . .	132
5.1.5	Calculating the <i>pbest</i> and <i>nbest</i> . . . . .	134
5.2	Genetic Algorithms . . . . .	136
5.2.1	Initialising the Population . . . . .	137
5.2.2	Fitness Evaluation . . . . .	138
5.2.3	Selection Operator . . . . .	138
5.2.4	Cross-Over Operator . . . . .	141
5.2.5	Mutation Operator . . . . .	141
5.3	Summary . . . . .	142

<b>6</b>	<b>Population-based Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms</b>	<b>143</b>
6.1	History of Multi-Objective Optimisation . . . . .	144
6.2	Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II . . . . .	146
6.2.1	NSGA-II Algorithm . . . . .	146
6.2.2	Producing Offspring . . . . .	147
6.2.3	Fast Non-dominated Sorting . . . . .	147
6.2.4	Selecting a New Population . . . . .	147
6.3	Multi-objective Particle Swarm Optimisation . . . . .	149
6.3.1	Initialising the Swarm . . . . .	149
6.3.2	Calculation of Velocity . . . . .	150
6.3.3	Calculation of <i>pbest</i> . . . . .	151
6.4	Cooperative-coevolution Evolutionary Algorithm . . . . .	151
6.4.1	CCEA Algorithm . . . . .	152
6.4.2	Initialisation . . . . .	152
6.4.3	Evaluation of Individuals . . . . .	153
6.4.4	Rank Assignment . . . . .	154
6.4.5	Genetic Operators . . . . .	154
6.4.6	Extending Operator . . . . .	154
6.5	Summary . . . . .	155
<b>7</b>	<b>Population-based Multi-objective Vector Evaluated Approaches</b>	<b>156</b>
7.1	Vector Evaluated Genetic Algorithm . . . . .	156
7.2	Vector Evaluated Particle Swarm Optimisation Algorithm . . . . .	158
7.2.1	Original VEPSO Algorithm . . . . .	158
7.2.2	Extensions to the VEPSO Algorithm . . . . .	160
7.3	Vector Evaluated Differential Evolution Algorithm . . . . .	164
7.4	Hybrid Vector Evaluated Algorithm . . . . .	165
7.5	Summary . . . . .	166
<b>8</b>	<b>Population-based Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms</b>	<b>168</b>
8.1	Dynamic Multi-objective Algorithms . . . . .	168

8.1.1	Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms adapted for Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation . . . . .	169
8.1.2	New Computational Intelligence Algorithms . . . . .	176
8.1.3	Converting Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Problems into Single Multi-objective Optimisation Problems . . . . .	181
8.1.4	Generic Extensions for Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms . . . . .	183
8.1.5	Prediction-based Approaches . . . . .	187
8.2	Summary . . . . .	191

### **III Dynamic Vector Evaluated Particle Swarm Optimisation 193**

#### **9 Introduction to Dynamic Vector Evaluated Particle Swarm Optimisation Algorithm 194**

9.1	Dynamic Vector Evaluated Particle Swarm Optimisation Algorithm . . .	195
9.2	Top-level Tasks . . . . .	196
9.3	Low-level Tasks . . . . .	199
9.3.1	Change Detection . . . . .	199
9.3.2	Guide Update Approaches . . . . .	199
9.4	Effectiveness of Guide Update Approaches . . . . .	200
9.4.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	200
9.4.2	Results . . . . .	204
9.5	Summary . . . . .	248

#### **10 Sensitivity Analysis of Dynamic Vector Evaluated Particle Swarm Optimisation Algorithm 249**

10.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	249
10.2	Results . . . . .	251
10.2.1	Management of Boundary Constraint Violations . . . . .	251
10.2.2	Knowledge Sharing Swarm Topologies . . . . .	271
10.2.3	Responses to Change . . . . .	288

10.3 Summary . . . . .	322
<b>11 Comparing the Dynamic Vector Evaluated Particle Swarm Optimisation Algorithm against State-of-the-art Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms</b>	<b>323</b>
11.1 Experimental Setup . . . . .	324
11.1.1 Parameter Optimisation of Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms . . . . .	324
11.1.2 Experiments Comparing the Performance of Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms . . . . .	325
11.2 Results . . . . .	327
11.3 Summary . . . . .	362
<b>12 Conclusions</b>	<b>364</b>
12.1 Summary of Conclusions . . . . .	364
12.2 Future Research . . . . .	367
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>369</b>
<b>A List of Symbols</b>	<b>389</b>
<b>B List of Acronyms</b>	<b>392</b>
<b>C Calculating the True POS and POF</b>	<b>398</b>
C.1 Example 1: FDA2 <sub>Camara</sub> . . . . .	398
C.2 Example 2: FDA5 . . . . .	399
<b>D Additional Data and Figures for Conducted Experiments</b>	<b>400</b>
D.1 Guide Update Approaches . . . . .	400
D.2 Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .	401
D.3 Comparison of Dynamic Multi-objective Optimisation Algorithms . . . . .	402
<b>E List of Publications</b>	<b>403</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Optima of a minimisation function . . . . .	15
3.1	POS and POF of FDA1 with $n_t = 10$ and $\tau_t = 10$ for 1000 iterations . . .	43
3.2-2.5	POF of FDA2 and FDA3, FDA4, FDA5, dMOP1 with $n_t = 10$ and $\tau_t = 10$ for 1000 iterations . . . . .	44-54
3.6	POS of ZJZ with $n_t = 10$ and $\tau_t = 10$ for 1000 iterations . . . . .	56
3.7-3.10	POF of DIMP1, HE1 and HE2, DSW1 and DSW2, HE3 with $n_t = 10$ and $\tau_t = 10$ for 1000 iterations . . . . .	57-75
3.11-3.15	POS of HE3 to HE7 for two decision variables, $x_2$ and $x_5$ , with $n_t =$ $10$ and $\tau_t = 10$ for 1000 iterations . . . . .	75-79
4.1	Examples of DMOOPs where the $HV$ value of $POF$ decreases over time	114
4.2	$POF$ and $POF^*$ found by various algorithms for FDA2 with $n_t = 10$ , $\tau_t = 10$ and 1000 iterations . . . . .	115
4.3	Example of a $POF^*$ that contains outlier solutions. . . . .	117
4.4	$POF^*$ of FDA1 with outlier solutions . . . . .	119
4.5	Example of a $POF^*$ that contains infeasible solutions due to boundary constraint violations . . . . .	122
6.1	Steps of the NSGA-II algorithm . . . . .	146
7.1	Steps of the VEGA algorithm at each generation . . . . .	157
7.2	Topologies of VEPSO algorithm . . . . .	163
9.1	The two layers of the DVEPSO algorithm . . . . .	196
9.2	$POF^*$ of $p_s-g_r$ on the right and $p_r-g_s$ on the left for $n_t = 10$ and $\tau_t = 10$ .	221

9.3-9.9 *POF\** for DIMP2, FDA1 and FDA2 functions, FDA3 functions, dMOP functions, HE1 and HE2, HE6 to HE9 of DVEPSO using  $p_s-g_r$  for  $n_t = 10$  and  $\tau_t = 10$  on the left and for  $n_t = 1$  and  $\tau_t = 10$  on the right . . . . . 223-232

9.10-9.12 Average values of *acc*, *stab* and *NS* obtained by DVEPSO using either  $p_s-g_s$  or  $p_s-g_r$  solving FDA2 . . . . . 239-241

10.1-10.3 *POF\** for the FDA functions, DIMP2 and dMOP2 functions, HE functions of DVEPSO using *cl* for  $n_t = 10$  and  $\tau_t = 10$  . . . . . 264-266

10.4-10.6 *POF\** for FDA functions, DIMP2 and dMOP2 functions, HE functions of DVEPSO using *ra-t* for  $n_t = 10$  and  $\tau_t = 10$  . . . . . 283-285

10.7-10.9 *POF\** for FDA functions, DIMP2 and dMOP2 functions, HE functions of DVEPSO using *ri-c-30* for  $n_t = 10$  and  $\tau_t = 10$  . . . . . 299-301

10.10-10.12 *POF\** for FDA functions, DIMP2 and dMOP2 functions, HE functions of DVEPSO using *ac* for  $n_t = 10$  and  $\tau_t = 10$  . . . . . 317-319

11.1-11.5 *POF\** for DIMP2, dMOP3, FDA5<sub>iso</sub>, FDA5<sub>dec</sub>, HE2 for  $n_t = 10$  and  $\tau_t = 50$  found by the various DMOAs . . . . . 357-361

# List of Algorithms

1	PSO Algorithm . . . . .	128
2	Genetic Algorithm . . . . .	137
3	Pareto ranking . . . . .	145
4	NSGA-II . . . . .	146
5	NSGA-II Population Selection . . . . .	148
6	MOPSO Algorithm . . . . .	150
7	CCEA . . . . .	152
8	CCEA Extending Operator . . . . .	155
9	DVEPSO for DMOO . . . . .	195



# List of Tables

2.1	Dynamic environment types as defined by Eberhart and Shi [53]	23
2.2	Dynamic environment types as defined by Duhain [50]	24
2.3	Dynamic Environment types for DMOO problems	26
3.1-3.6	Usage of FDA DMOOP, modified FDA2 DMOOP, modified FDA3 DMOOP, modified FDA5 DMOOP, modified FDA1 DMOOP and other DMOOP to test algorithms' performance	48-63
3.7-3.8	Set of DMOO benchmark functions for each identified characteristic for MOOPs in general and for DMOOPs	84-85
4.1-4.3	Compatibility of static accuracy, diversity and combined performance measures	92-100
4.4	Compatibility of dynamic accuracy performance measures	102
4.5-4.6	Usage of DMOO accuracy and combined performance measures	103-104
4.7	Compatibility of diversity performance measures	106
4.8	Usage of DMOO diversity performance measures	107
4.9	Compatibility of robustness performance measures	108
4.10	Usage of DMOO robustness performance measures	109
4.11	Compatibility of combined performance measures	111
4.12	Performance measure values for FDA2	114
4.13	GD, VD and MS values for FDA1	119
4.14	HV, HVR and HVD values for FDA1	119
4.15	HVR values for dMOP2	121

9.1-9.2 Overall wins and losses for various performance measures, various frequencies and severities of change obtained by guide update approaches . . . . .	204-207
9.3-9.8 Overall wins and losses solving Type I DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change, solving Type II DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change and Type III DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change obtained by guide update approaches . . . . .	210-219
9.9 Overall wins and losses by the various guide update approaches . . . . .	222
9.10-9.16 Wins and losses obtained by various guide update approaches for FDA2, FDA2 <sub>Camara</sub> , HE1, HE7, dMOP2, dMOP2 <sub>iso</sub> , dMOP2 <sub>dec</sub> . . . . .	229-246
10.1-10.2 Overall wins and losses for various performance measures, various frequencies of change obtained by various boundary management strategies . . . . .	252-253
10.3-10.10 Overall wins and losses solving Type I DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change, solving Type II DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change and solving Type III DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change obtained by various boundary management strategies . . . . .	254-259
10.11 Overall wins and losses obtained by various boundary management strategies solving Type III DMOOPs . . . . .	259
10.12 Overall wins and losses by various boundary management strategies . . . . .	260
10.13-10.15 Wins and losses of FDA2, HE6, HE9 for various boundary management strategies . . . . .	264-270
10.16-10.17 Overall wins and losses for various performance measures and various frequencies of change obtained by various knowledge sharing strategies . . . . .	271-272

10.18-10.25 Overall wins and losses solving Type I DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change, solving Type II DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change and solving Type III DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change obtained by various . . . . .	273-278
10.26 Overall wins and losses obtained by various knowledge sharing strategies solving Type III DMOOPs . . . . .	279
10.27 Overall wins and losses obtained by various knowledge sharing strategies	279
10.28-10.30 Wins and losses of DIMP2, FDA3, HE6 for various knowledge sharing strategies . . . . .	283-288
10.31-10.32 Overall wins and losses for various performance measures and various frequencies of change obtained by various change response strategies applied to the particles . . . . .	289-290
10.33-10.40 Overall wins and losses solving Type I DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change, solving Type II DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change and solving Type III DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change obtained by various change response strategies applied to the particles . .	291-296
10.41 Overall wins and losses obtained by various change response strategies applied to the particles solving Type III DMOOPs . . . . .	297
10.42 Overall wins and losses obtained by various change response strategies applied to the particles . . . . .	297
10.43-10.45 Wins and losses of DIMP2, HE1 and HE2 for various change response strategies applied to the particles . . . . .	302-303
10.46-10.47 Overall wins and losses for various performance measures and frequencies of change obtained by various change response strategies applied to the archive . . . . .	307-308

10.48-10.55 Overall wins and losses solving Type I DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change, solving Type II DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change and solving Type III DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change obtained by various change response strategies applied to the archive . . .	308-313
10.56 Overall wins and losses obtained by various change response strategies applied to the archive solving Type III DMOOPs . . . . .	313
10.57-10.58 Overall wins and losses obtained by various change response strategies applied to the archive . . . . .	314
10.59 Wins and losses of HE9 for various change response strategies applied to the archive . . . . .	319
11.1 Parameter values of the DMoeAs . . . . .	326
11.2 Parameter values of the PSO-based DMOAs . . . . .	326
11.3-11.5 Overall wins and losses for various performance measures, various frequencies of change obtained by the dynamic MOAs (DMOAs) and various frequencies of change measured over <i>acc</i> and <i>stab</i> . . . . .	327-329
11.6-11.7 Overall wins and losses solving Type I DMOOPs for various performance measures and various frequencies and severities of change obtained by the DMOAs . . . . .	331-332
11.8 Overall wins and losses solving Type I DMOOPs . . . . .	332
11.9 Wins and losses of DIMP2 obtained by the DMOO algorithms . . . . .	333
11.10-11.12 Overall wins and losses solving Type II DMOOPs for various performance measures, various frequencies and severities of change and various frequencies and severities of change measured over <i>acc</i> and <i>stab</i> obtained by the DMOAs . . . . .	335-338
11.13-11.14 Overall wins and losses solving Type II DMOOPs and overall wins and losses solving Type II DMOOPs measured over <i>acc</i> and <i>stab</i> . . . . .	338-339

11.15-11.17 Overall wins and losses solving Type III DMOOPs for various performance measures, various frequencies and severities of change and various frequencies and severities of change measured over <i>acc</i> and <i>stab</i> obtained by the DMOAs . . . . .	339-341
11.18 Overall wins and losses solving Type III DMOOPs . . . . .	342
11.19-11.20 Overall wins and losses by the various DMOO algorithms and overall wins and losses for <i>acc</i> and <i>stab</i> by the various DMOO algorithms . .	342-343
11.21-11.28 Wins and losses of dMOP2, dMOP2 <sub>iso</sub> , dMOP2 <sub>dec</sub> , FDA5, FDA5 <sub>iso</sub> , FDA5 <sub>dec</sub> , HE1 and HE2 obtained by the DMOO algorithms . . . . .	343-359