This section describes typological tendencies in Gabriël Fagan's architecture:

It builds on the descriptions of typology in the history outlined in Chapter Two.

Fagan's response to generative and productive typologies will be outlined.
10.1. Introduction

Indulging in the ineffable delight of designing buildings, in the creative process which cannot be subjected to quantitative analysis but lives in the imagining faculties, he produced a wealth of types, sizes and kinds of architectural spaces, all of which retain certain common characteristics. It can be said, and this should be considered his greatest asset, that there is no new departure, no abrupt turn to be traced in his work. The same characteristics that existed in his early work became even more prominent in the multiplicity of his later designs (Papadaki, 1950:26).

Fagan’s search for form has been guided by two main architectural influences, namely the Cape vernacular and the mediated Modern Movement education he received at the University of Pretoria. This search has resulted in the assimilation, transformation and mediation of two distinct (although at times formally similar) architectural typologies, together with the architect’s own inventions. The search for form has its parallels in the dialectic of precedent that Le Corbusier was faced with.

Like Adolf Loos, Le Corbusier found himself caught between two rival typologies: on the one hand the irregular, asymmetrical Arts and Crafts tradition of the yeoman house, with its L-or U-shaped plan; on the other, the regular, symmetrical prism, stemming from Palladio … (Frampton, 2001:70).

The inherited Cape vernacular is formally signified by an object building. Its determinants are disputable but technology and an inherited tradition certainly played a role in forming the one-room-deep building typology (see Fig. 10.1). The Modern Movement typology was driven mainly by functional and technological requirements, resulting in a similar object type that in its International Style phase often negated context. However, the effects of solar orientation and function often resulted in an attenuated plan and a bi-nuclear planning typology (see Fig. 10.1).

![Figure 10.1. Left: One room deep extended rectangular cottage at Oudekraal Fontein in the Cape (Walton, 1995: 36). Right: Stauch and Wepener's Marriott residence in Johannesburg built in 1947 with north orientation to all living rooms and a bi-nuclear planning layout (Anon, 1952b).](image)

The next section will outline how Fagan has responded to both generative and productive typologies, how he has assimilated and reworked his approaches and through his imaginative abilities has developed existing and formulated new formal and functional typologies. As Curtis
(1996:425) notes when referring to the work of Le Corbusier, each project has become a "testing
ground for new ideas, as well as an extension of old ones".

10.2. Generative typologies (from the cellar to the sky)

10.2.1 The cave (the cellar)

Earth is the building bearer, nourishing with its fruits, tending water and rock, plant and
animal (Heidegger, 1975:179).

The cave can be described as an embryonic space where man connects with Mother Nature in the
closest possible way. Fagan’s haptic sensibilities (possibly developed through his childhood
exploits of trench digging in his garden and his appreciation of the stereotomic qualities of the Cape
vernacular) coupled with his pragmatic bias have fused to create innovative ground/building
connections in his houses (see Fig 10.2) – Keurbos (1951), Bertie-Roberts (1966), Raynham (1967),

Figure 10.2. Top left: View from living room in House Keurbos (1951). The stone clad wall can be seen behind the
(Author, 2009). Bottom right: House Fagan in McGregor (2005). Concrete retaining walls anchor the house to the ground
(Author, 2009).
These buildings are either entered from or sit within the ground\(^{240}\) in order to, at a functional level, facilitate service spaces to be located out of sight and, as Fagan notes (2008b), to partially hide ‘unsightly’ garage doors. Another major advantage is the reduction of building bulk and partially raising the building to gain better access to views or sunlight. This strategy was employed in House Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990), where a large accommodation schedule had to be fitted onto a very small site and a distant sea view could be exploited. The strategy is also indicative of a symbolic approach in which the visitor is physically or visually re-associated with the earth. In some instances the slope of the site has assisted in facilitating these strategies but in houses Raynham and Swanepoel in Hermanus the sites were relatively flat and had to be excavated to achieve the desired result. The original owners of House Raynham (1967) indicate (2009) that this strategy was used to raise the ground plane of the house to get better solar access. Fagan heightens the connection to nature in these semi-basement spaces by using rougher natural materials, as on the walls at Keurbos and on the floors at Die Es.

You will also notice that the house, like that in the parable, is built firmly on the rock, and that the sandstone cobbling now takes a more sophisticated appearance. Gwen laid every single stone, sometimes washing them down with her tears (Fagan, 1985:13).

The floor material changes from rough sandstone outside, to the smoother and smaller scaled cobbles of the same material (off the site) (Fagan, 2008e).

At Paradys (2003) (see Fig 10.3) the east-facing retaining wall is painted red, expressing a mythical connection with the earth. But Fagan also exploits the earth-sky connection in a Heideggerian way:

> The sky is the sun’s path, the course of the moon, the glitter of the stars, the year’s seasons, the light and dusk of day, the gloom and glow of night, the clemency and inclemency of the weather, the drifting clouds and blue depth of the ether (Heidegger, 1975:179).

At Die Es the connection is expressed through a small skylight in the entrance hall (reminiscent of those in the bathrooms at Villa Savoye) (See Fig. 10.3), while in House Raynham (1967) there is an oblique connection to the mountain and sky through a tall window (See Fig. 10.3). In House Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990) the connection is made through a large courtyard rooflight (See Fig. 10.3).

\(^{240}\) See detailed descriptions of the ways in which the houses are connected to the earth in Chapter10.4.3.
10.2.2 The hearth (and the symbolic mound)

In Semperian terms, the fireplace is the most important architectural element of the home as it has a long history of providing warmth for inhabitants and heat for food preparation. Traditionally it also formed the kitchen cum gathering space of the house. The climate of the Mediterranean region is such that fireplaces are not that essential for warmth in winter. Fireplaces in original Cape vernacular houses were used mainly for cooking and were tacked on the ends or sides of buildings. As Fagan (1985:10) remarks "The kitchen with its hearth was the accepted nursery and work place of the house".

Fireplaces were engaged with the walls and formed a unity with the building and, as Semper explains (1989:102), they formed part of the mound (or ground) on which the house was built. Le
Corbusier's 'vernacular' leanings also fostered similar approaches:

In the 1930s ... Le Corbusier's fireplaces acquire a more plastic quality, serving as a means to anchor the house more emphatically to the ground. Such fireplaces can be found in the house of Mme. de Mandrot, in the Errazuris project, and in the house at Mathes, not to mention his numerous unexecuted projects (Serenyi, 1965:18).

Fagan employs the fireplace both functionally and symbolically. Functionally, it continues to provide warmth but is seldom used to cook in. Symbolically it acts as focus to the home, either through its extended dimensions, as at Die Es (1965), or at the climax of the roof in living spaces, such as in House Neethling (1983) and House Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990).

In winter, you can join those sitting literally in the fireplace, the true center(sic) of the house, as also indicated by its name - Die Es or The Hearth (Fagan, 1985:14).

The genesis of the fireplace at Die Es has its roots not only in the vernacular but also in the largeness of form envisaged by Fagan. He has remarked (Fagan: 2008a) that he made a very small sketch of the house on the back of a cigarette box when returning from an overseas trip. When he tried to draw the house from the sketch the size of a conventional fireplace would not work. He then scaled the small sketch exactly which resulted in the size and extent of the chimney which formed a winter room. Sketches found in Fagan's archive suggest that the fireplace form was influenced by the old lime at Mowbray, Cape Town (see Fig. 10.4).

Figure 10.4. Left: Lime kilns at Mowbray, Cape Town (Pearse, 1933:23). Right: Fagan's sketch of the lime kilns presumably copied from Pearse (Fagan, archive, Die Es - Job No. 656, undated).

---

241 See Chapter 10.4.4 for a more detailed explanation.
Fagan's fireplace extension to the house and smallholding that he bought from Basil South in Kameeldrift, Pretoria (see Fig. 10.5) is reminiscent of the first fireplace he designed and built for his parents in Keurbos (1951). The forms are similar but the treatment of the stone is very much in keeping with the Highveld aesthetic, which demonstrates Fagan's respect for context.

In most cases when a plastic design expression is sought (and the fireplace is externally located), the fireplace forms a unit that is part of the building. But an interesting mediation between vernacular uniformity and a Modern Movement tendency to separate elements is achieved in Die Es (1965), where the fireplace (when viewed externally and frontally) reads as part of the house, but on closer inspection is actually separated from the living space by a narrow window on the left and a glazed rooflight above (see Fig. 10.6). Similarly a braai fireplace cantilevers precariously from the sea facing courtyard wall (see Fig. 10.5).

In contrast, in houses with less plastic expression and an internalised fireplace, the fireplace is separated into its constituent parts, with the Semperian mound still evident in the heavy hearth.
It can be argued that Fagan, in a Modern Movement way (perhaps to achieve material and functional efficiency), expresses the varying functions of the fireplace by separating the hearth from the flue. In House Raynham (1967), the pinnacle of the roof rests on a concrete column against which a separate steel flue is supported (see Fig. 10.7). In House Beyers (1998), a stand-alone steel fireplace extends into a stainless steel flue which seemingly supports the roof pinnacle above as it rises through a balanced steel collar (see Fig. 10.7). These limited internalized configurations could possibly have been influenced by the houses of Fagan's lecturer Cole Bowen, who often used the fireplace as a room-dividing element. Similarities can also be seen in those designed by Marcel Breuer whose Modern Movement leanings downplayed the dominance (yet independence) of the hearth and flue.

![Figure 10.7. Left: Fireplace flue as roof support to House Raynham (1967) (Photo courtesy of the Raynham's, 2009). Right: Fireplace at House Beyers (1998) (Author, 2009).](image)

There is, however, no clear formal development in the fireplaces that Fagan has designed that suggests a move from stereotomic to tectonic resolution. It is the requirements of overall form and spatial definition that mainly dictate the outcome, as Fagan mediates the concerns of function, symbol, focus and response to tradition.

### 10.2.3 The covered courtyard (the partial sky)

Fagan's preference for a singular form in the landscape has fostered a mainly subtractive approach to the making of form. He uses the covered courtyard in a number of ways to foster a connection between earth and sky and to facilitate exterior contact within a controlled external form. Similar approaches can be seen in Le Corbusier's Villa Savoye where the box form is subtracted to form a series of partially covered and open courts.

In House Keurbos (1951) (See Fig. 10.8) the roofs of both the entrance hall and dining room are
glazed, allowing both light and sun to enter the spaces. Fagan (1985:6) notes that it also allows a view of the mountains beyond. The extensive planting and glazing to the southern roof pitch assist in mediating between inside and outside. Also, a bathroom court is formed in the northern wall of the house and here no overhead protection is provided save for the continuation of the roof eaves (See Fig. 10.8). The external wall frames a view towards the mountain while providing adequate privacy to the outside shower. On the eastern side of the house a smaller covered patio (which has now been glazed in on its northern edge) provides a protected open-air sitting area. Here the roof is opaque and connection with the exterior is frontally organized (See Fig. 10.8).

Figure 10.8. Top left: Glazed rooflight to dining area at House Raynham (1967) (Author, 2008). Top right: Bathroom to House Keurbos (1951) as it was originally designed and built (Author, 2008). Bottom left: Bathroom at House Keurbos altered by owner in 2010 and designed by Bert Pepler Architects (Photo courtesy of Leon Krige, 2010). Bottom right: Original covered terrace to House Keurbos (1951) now enclosed (Author, 2008).

In House Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990) the glazed courtyard roof connects the interior volume to the sky while providing much needed light and ventilation within the constricted plan (See Fig. 10.3). A bathroom courtyard, similar to that of Keurbos (1951), provides privacy, light, ventilation and a view of the stars at night (see Fig. 10.9). Security is provided by closely spaced reinforcing rods at
the same pitch as the roof. Small rooflights to internal bathrooms extend the cellar and sky theme (see Fig. 10.9).

In House Auldearn (1992) in Elgin, a small internal planted courtyard creates a focus at the end of the passageway to the bedrooms (see Fig. 10.9). The glazed roof allows light and sun to enter and provides a connection to the sky above.

The closest connection to Lewcock’s description (2006:210) of the opening up of the roof in vernacular buildings to accommodate the fireplace is the relationship that Fagan establishes between the flues and roofs in Houses Beyers and Swanepoel in Hermanus (1998). In both these examples the roof sections around the flues are glazed to establish a connection to the sky while allowing the flues to read as free-standing.

Fagan creates an innovative mediation between the necessity for a singular form and the requirements of physical and climatic contact with the exterior.

![Image](image_url)


### 10.2.4 The open courtyard (the sky)

Fagan remains true to the climatic considerations for courtyard design but frames the spaces in Modern Movement ways. The only courtyard that is completely surrounded by buildings is an unbuilt one designed for the hot, dry climate of the Tanqua Karoo area of the Cape (see Fig. 10.10). Here an almost Spanish style ensemble of buildings surrounds an internal pooled courtyard. In the Ceres area (which lies between the Tanqua Karoo and Cape Town), the courtyard of House Wolfaardt (1965) is surrounded by buildings on three sides.\(^{242}\)

---

\(^{242}\) The owners have subsequently added a roof to part of the courtyard which takes away light from some of the spaces.
In the Mediterranean climate of Cape Town, Fagan favours a singular formal statement with large courtyards as extensions to or smaller courts as subtractions from the main form. The approach is a mediation of a generative (and introverted) open courtyard typology and a Modern Movement interpretation of continuous inside and outside space. In Die Es (1965), Fagan extends the dining space through a glazed wall to form an outside patio which also covers the partly subterranean garage (see Fig. 10.10 and 10.11).
It is reminiscent of the relationship between living room and raised courtyard in the Villa Savoye. The courtyard space is entirely walled in, save for a slot in the western wall which allows a glimpse over the sea while strengthening the enclosing power of the eastern and northern walls. The courtyard is not only connected to the sky but also to the higher mountain views to the east. A similar mediation between inside and outside is achieved in the stepped glazing at House Blommaert (1982) in Stellenbosch (see Fig. 10.12), where a sun-filled courtyard extends onto a stepped passageway linking two independent blocks.

The private subterranean courtyard at Paradys (2003) in Langebaan provides protection from the chilly winds (see Fig. 10.13). Its edges are formed by the surrounding earth and through glazed openings the space becomes an extension of the dining/living and kitchen spaces. In House Patterson (1966) Fagan uses a garden wall and three building blocks to define a courtyard hidden from the road and to foster the reading of a single form (see Fig. 10.13). Connections to the courtyard are limited, in a vernacular sense, to punctured openings, save for the original extensive open connection at the pottery studio end.


10.2.5 The defensive elements

10.2.5.1 The wall

Fagan's predilection for the stereotomic quality of the Cape vernacular wall results in his houses displaying a masonry architecture that acts both as structure and enclosure. Fagan asserts (2012a) this is necessary in a Mediterranean climate to provide sufficient thermal mass. The most developed approach occurs in houses such as Ida's Valley (1975), Lückhoff (1981) and Paradys (2003) (see Fig. 10.14), where a complete stereotomic and plastic expression is achieved. Here the barrel vaulted roof structures require support at both edges. Fagan cuts limited openings in these supporting walls, leaving a substantial beam and edge to define each space. In House Lückhoff the openings are arched to extend structural and formal integrity but in Paradys they are post and lintel configurations most likely to foster a continuity of space.


Fagan follows a vernacular approach when forming smaller openings in external walls. Here he creates punctured elements with splayed reveals reminiscent of many of the old Cape Dutch homesteads, but he organizes the shape and location of these elements to suit the interior requirements. In House Keurbos (1951) a splayed window to the servant's room (see Fig. 10.15) provides privacy for the rest of the inhabitants while allowing a dominance of wall over opening on the western façade. An extended version can be seen in the recent proposal House van der Linde (2011). This approach contrasts with the vernacular where similar window sizes and shapes were used to suit all purposes. Where large openings are required for views or exterior contact, a Modern Movement approach is taken as walls are interrupted by large floor-to-ceiling openings. But the structural and formal continuity of the wall is retained where it acts as a ground floor support.

such as at Die Es (1965), where large openings are formed with rounded edges (see Fig. 10.14). The planar nature of Modern Movement architecture is also echoed in the separated planes of Fagan's walls. Fagan uses this device to cleverly disguise service entrances that may fall within public view. The strategy also allows walls or other elements to read independently from one another to limit continuity or create hierarchies. House Raynham's (1967) front boundary walls are set back from one another to provide for a service gate to the yard (see Fig. 10.15), while they rise but do not meet the external wall of the house. The same approach to boundary wall and house is used in houses Lückhoff (1981), J.J. Fagan (2008) and both Swanepoel houses (1980 and 1990).

Fagan uses the woven wall principle externally at houses Keurbos (1951), Langgeluk (1963) and Die Es (1965) (see Fig. 10.16). The back of the carport wall at Die Es is made with a front face of vertical bricks and a rear face of horizontally laid bricks. These are reminiscent of Eaton's and Cole Bowen's experiments with brickwork (see Fig. 10.16). As Semper (Semper & Mallgrave, 1989:130) remarks:

> In many cases brick construction permits an ornamentation that also corresponds to wickerworks and the joint bonding of stone, for which there occur very beautiful and noteworthy examples in the early Italian style of architecture.
Externally, Fagan uses the principles of a woven wall through the redefinition of the vernacular shutter. The timber screens which provide sun protection, privacy and security are almost always made with slots between the timber to allow light and ventilation. The fact that they slide provides a range of spatial opportunities not possible with a static masonry wall and echoes the planar nature of Modern Movement architecture. They also echo those designed by Eileen Gray for her Lou Pérou house in Chapelle-Ste-Anne, built between 1954 and 1961 (see Fig. 7.43). This approach demonstrates how architects in completely different contexts interpreted vernacular elements in Modern Movement ways.

Fagan also creates a woven wall internally through the use of natural timber balustrades, bookcases or storage units and sometimes curtains to divide spaces. In houses Levin (1969) and Fagan in McGregor (2005) (see Fig. 10.17) the balusters are extended upwards to meet the roof and provide partial privacy between the double volume living space below and the bedrooms above. At Keurbos (1951) (see Fig. 10.17) the dining area is screened off from the entry way by horizontally slatted shelves and cupboards and the living area from a bedroom passage by way of bookcases. At House Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) and Paradys (2003) (see Fig. 10.17), cupboard spaces are hidden by curtains.
10.2.5.2 The roof

Semper (Semper & Mallgrave, 1989:111) suggests that the roof developed as a prime element of shelter from its humble beginnings as a tent or cover over a hollow in the ground, gradually being raised to become an element on columns or walls. This tectonic tradition is expressed in the Cape vernacular mainly as a reed-covered and timber-framed pitched roof directly attached to the wall supports. As will be further explained in Chapter 10.4.7, Fagan has developed two distinct roof typologies, both influenced by local vernacular sources.

The stereotomic tradition of brick-vaulted roofs has been translated in the farmworker’s houses in Idas Valley, Houses Lückhoff (1981), Paradys (2003) (see Fig. 10.14), the unbuilt Van Zyl in Swellendam (2007) and a proposal for House Visser (2011). Here roof, wall and floor all become one, attaining a complete plastic unity. But these interpretations are probably also, in part, related to the influence of Le Corbusier’s interpretations of the Mediterranean vernacular in his 1935 weekend houses in Paris and Petite Maison de Weekend (Villa Félix, 1935) at La Celle-Saint-Cloud (see Fig. 10.18). Fagan (2008e) sees

the roof as a potentially important design element, be it in folded planes as in the Raynham house, or moulded plaster as in Paradys, in both cases relating to and explaining the plan. It is the plasticity and whitewall surfaces that relate to our traditional architecture and sit so well in our landscape, rather than the separated rigid forms dictated by the typical wings of a Cape Dutch homestead.
Fagan's interpretation of the Cape vernacular tectonic tradition of trussed or rafted roofs is guided by the singular nature of form that he wishes to represent. After Modern Movement experiments with flat roofs in South Africa, many architects like Douglas Cowin began to use roofs more inspired by Frank Lloyd Wright. Large overhangs protected houses from rain and sun and although this approach is appropriate for the Cape, Fagan resists the obvious solution and mainly uses pitched roofs with no eaves to achieve a holistic plastic solution. Only two houses have used extended eaves, namely House Auldearn (1992) and a new proposal, House van der Linde (2011) (see Fig. 10.19). Even the flat roof is avoided244, Fagan preferring the possibilities of volume, space and light inherent in pitched roof spaces.

---

244 Fagan has used a flat roof to connect independent elements in Die Es and on House Brink but both are punctured by roof lights.
Fagan often connects roof and floor (tectonic and stereotomic) elements through the use of a timber column which also helps to define the surrounding space. It was first used at Keurbos (1951) (see Figs 10.2 and 10.20) to define the starting point of the ramp, and was later used for the carport roof at Die Es (1965) (see Fig. 10.20). House Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) represents an extension of these ideas through a similar internal column but also external roof supports on the sea-facing edge (see Fig. 10.20).

10.3. Productive typologies

10.3.1. Constants

10.3.2.1. Primary form

So strong is Fagan’s conviction concerning the singular form (see Fig. 10.21) that he resists the design tendencies of his mentors Stauch and Cole Bowen to separate buildings into independent
elements such as garages and servants' quarters. As will be explained later, Fagan relies on a subtractive architectural approach to maintain the primacy of the singular form. This seems to have been a Cape tendency influenced by the inherited and mediated architectural tradition.

For those households with permanent maids, the maids’ rooms and bathrooms are usually planned as part of the house with interleading doors for possible later conversion into a guest room or to enable the maid to baby sit without having to sit up. It is probably only this variation which distinguishes the Cape plan from its upcountry counterpart as ... the arrangement and relationships of the rooms, like many small houses throughout the world to one another, is similar (Munnik & Visser, 1965:38).

Fagan's reliance on primary form represents a congruency between that of the Cape vernacular tradition and the Modern Movement cubist influence. It is thus perhaps fortuitous that Le Corbusier's Mediterranean influences and his cubist creations were, in a formal sense, analogous with the Cape vernacular long-house. Fagan also relies on the sensory associations of primary form through his use of recognizable traditional elements such as the pitched roof and the chimney. But these elements are abstracted to elicit their purest and most functional intentions and located to serve more than their practical purpose.

10.3.2.2. Type

So the Cape farmhouse, in its forms and the organization of its internal spaces, lends expression to the significance of the family ideal, and the importance of a focus, a strong unifying element or space, was simply but beautifully stated by our forefathers (Rashmere, 1965:12).

Fagan's intimate knowledge of the Cape vernacular has allowed him to understand its development and refinement over time. His development of a set of 'lessons from the vernacular' (as outlined in Chapter 3) is analogous with Le Corbusier's search for form in the Mediterranean vernacular. But

---

In this instance there is less need for mediation as the forms of both influences bear many similarities, partly due to their, often, common Mediterranean inheritances.
just as the influence of engineering structures played a large role in the development of Le Corbusier’s formal typologies, so has Fagan’s understanding of the elements through yachting and flying modulated his approach to the making of form. Fagan’s development of a fourth Cape vernacular typology represents a mediation between the concerns of formal significance, functional requirements and context. His continual refinement of this new typology has resulted in an attainment of type that surpasses the universalist tendencies of his hero.\textsuperscript{246}

\textbf{10.3.2.3. Proportion}

Fagan notes that (Fagan: 1983b:8) in his early work he used proportional systems, based on Hambidge’s book, to organize his design solutions. These bear many similarities to the inheritances of Le Corbusier’s Modulor, but as Alford (1955:113) points out,

\begin{quote}
Le Corbusier has developed and applied a theory of architectural proportion which is precisely that which Jay Hambidge believed he had discovered in the design of the Parthenon and in Greek vases, and which he published about thirty years ago under the title of Dynamic Symmetry.
\end{quote}

Fagan has derived his understanding of proportional systems from three sources. A direct influence would have been Hambidge’s \textit{Dynamic Symmetry} (see Fig. 10.22), as the system was taught at the University of Pretoria during Fagan’s studies. Both Johan Jooste (2008a) and Carl Gerneke (2008) note that Karl Jooste used similar approaches in his work. Fagan would have been exposed to Le Corbusier’s Modulor through teachings and his book purchases. But more direct and tangible were the Renaissance influences on Cape Dutch architecture as explained in Chapter 3.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{dynamic_symmetry.png}
\caption{Proportional layout system in Hambidge's book Dynamic Symmetry with Fagan's office stamp in top right corner (Hambidge, 1932:101).}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{246} For a detailed understanding of Fagan’s development of type see the section on patterns in the following pages.
Fagan does, however, recognize that

… although lending a coherence and dignity to our traditional buildings, these ratios are very restrictive and generally lack the flexibility required by today's designs, and I have interested myself over the years in applying a system based on the Fibonacci (sic) series as evolved by Hambidge. Instead of calculating it arithmetically, however, I find that working visually on the drawing board with various diagonals, gives a better control over the result. This is a highly personal matter that I have found impossible to apply generally in the office, and can only use it in those (unfortunately now rather rare) cases where I myself draw the plans, sections, and elevations, plus all details which obviously require to be related on the same system. This is hardly the time to argue the merits of formal proportioning but that if it does nothing more than train the eye to become completely aware of its importance, it might already be justified (Fagan, 1985:8).

For Die Es (1965) proportional systems were used to organize all aspects of the house from the general plan to the details.

10.3.2. Conventions

10.3.3.1. Economy

Fagan has developed economical design approaches to both space and the use of materials. Along with Modern Movement attitudes towards functional appropriateness, these are based on an appreciation of the simple technologies of the Cape vernacular, where limited materials were at hand and inventive approaches had to be sought. These approaches were coupled with economic circumstances in South Africa after the Second World War when resources were in short supply. Peters (1998:187) remembers that Stauch was adept at building a lot with a little and this attitude must have influenced Fagan through Stauch's teachings at the University of Pretoria. Fagan's knowledge of boat building and the compromises that need to be reached between weight and durability versus speed has played a significant role in his material choices. He often employs the flitch beam where larger spans would make the size of timber uneconomical and bulky, the latest example occurring at House Mitchell (2005) (see Fig. 10.23). Here he combines timber with plate steel to form rafters. He also employs cross beams to limit the size of rafters. The positions of these cross beams also help to define and demarcate spaces, such as at the junction of living and dining rooms in Keurbos (1951) (see Fig. 10.23), the loft spaces in House Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) and at the carport in Die Es (1965). Fagan also limits building depth as in vernacular buildings, where limited timber lengths determined spans.

The limited internal space in a yacht has also influenced Fagan's designs. A recurring theme is the nautical bathroom\(^{247}\), a tight (and sometimes unforgiving) internal space with roof light over, which is entered by stepping over a raised cill. The entire space is designed as a shower complete with duck boarding. The tightest configuration can be seen in Paradys (2003) (see Fig. 10.24). Fagan recalls (Fagan: 2009b) that he stood on a piece of paper and described the tightest arc that he thought would be suitable. The earliest nautical example is in Die Es where the Plexiglas skylight is reminiscent of that of a yacht (see Fig. 10.24). A raised cill and curved corners extend the approach.


Fagan also reuses materials, such as for the front door of Die Es (1965) which was salvaged from old copper boilers (Fagan, 2012) (see Fig. 10.25) and Japanese fishing net floats at Die Es (see Fig.

\(^{247}\) These configurations seem to be used most often when the houses are in close proximity to the sea.
10.25), which was built by himself and his family, he achieved huge monetary savings. The limited brick palette at Paradys (2003) and the 1981 Lückhoff house fosters economical construction. The front door to House Paradys (2003) was "bought at a rummage sale in Tulbagh after the 1969 quake, but its precise provenance is unknown, except that it was apparently picked up in the veld on the farm Middelpos" (Fagan 2012a) (see Fig. 10.25).


**10.3.3.2. Efficiency**

The convention of efficiency is closely related to that of economy. In Modern Movement terms there had to be a direct relationship between the functional requirements of space and what was used in architectural terms to give effect to that space. Le Corbusier believed that effective and functional design would naturally give rise to beauty. Fagan (1991:15) alternates in his approach to this attitude, firstly agreeing:

> The primary responsibility of the architect is not to satisfy his sculptural instincts. The primary responsibility of the architect is to design an effective living environment – that is, a building that works, that uses materials well, that uses energy effectively,

but then disagreeing:

> Again, it is only ignorance that can explain the belief, so useful to shield behind, that a structure will automatically be beautiful if it is fit for its purpose. Bridge design especially illustrates that fine aesthetic sensibility is essential for full success, as numerous detail design options that make equal structural and economic sense, will present themselves and a harmonious end result comes only through the developed aesthetic sensibility of the design engineer.

Fagan's approach to efficiency is technological, spatial and functional. Materials are always used in their purest form. In situ reinforced concrete is left as is, sans plaster or paint, even when it could possibly compromise the integrity of the overall form such as at Die Es (1965) (see Fig. 10.26), where the first slab is exposed on all edges. Brickwork is bagged and painted (see Fig. 10.26), an
aesthetic tendency Fagan must have inherited from mentors such as Stauch and Eaton who employed similar approaches, but also from the rough textured nature of the Cape vernacular. Roof timbers are varnished (see Fig. 10.26) but doors are often painted to give symbolic expression to their interior and exterior nature (see Fig. 10.26).

In spatial and functional terms service zones are tightly organized and combined so that more space is available for living and sleeping. Volumes are exploited to provide mezzanines for sleeping or storage\textsuperscript{248}, while passages become study and play spaces. Fagan mostly adopts a centrally entered plan which limits circulation routes (see Fig. 10.27).

\textsuperscript{248} This is very much in line with Stauch's efficient use of space and Nation's comment (2001) on Stauch that no space should ever be wasted. See Hakahana for a similar mezzanine configuration.
10.3.3.3. Health

The initial Modern Movement concerns for the health and well-being of inhabitants led to the development of many of Le Corbusier's architectural principles. The roof garden and courtyards or balconies together with volumetric exploration and an increased building height provided light, sun and adequate ventilation to occupants. The necessity for solar orientation later resulted in the attenuated plans of architects such as Marcel Breuer. Developments by local architects such as Norman Eaton and Hellmut Stauch influenced other architects and lecturers such as Cole Bowen and South.

Fagan has adopted the attenuated plan but it is not only employed for adequate solar penetration. Views play an even bigger role in the development of the linear form, such as at houses Raynham (1967) and Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) where mountain and sea views dominate. Fagan uses light not only to provide comfortable conditions but also to accentuate the architectural promenade. The seemingly incongruous internal position of bathrooms in many of Fagan's designs mitigates against good light and ventilation. Fagan is perhaps uncompromising in these situations, preferring to maintain a tightness of form which gives preference to light and ventilation for
bedroom and living spaces. But perhaps the Corbusian influence remains prominent as can be seen in the internal bathrooms designed for Maison Loucheur (1929) (see Fig. 10.28). Fagan does, however, manipulate the roof in innovative ways to allow solar gain and views where necessary. House Levin (1969) incorporates rooflights and breaks centrally to allow light to penetrate the circulation volume, while a simple angled roof light provides adequate light to the kitchen in House Wolfaardt (1965) (see Fig. 10.28). House Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990) has three different roof light configurations – over the courtyard, around the chimney (see Fig. 10.28) and a series of bathroom domes.


Fagan adopts an innovative approach to ventilation which is reliant on the Modern Movement principles of the separate requirements of view, solar gain and ventilation for windows. At Keurbos the glass louvres, sliding windows, and frameless glazing panels (see Fig. 10.29) between exposed rafters provide ventilation. Paradys (2003) has a frameless pivoted glass window above the mezzanine level and portholes in the bathrooms (see Fig. 10.28).

---

249 He is also uncompromising in his definition of external form, so much so that the possibility of windows in external walls to bathrooms in House Beyers (1998) were not explored or instituted. This caused much consternation to the clients (Beyers, 2009).
10.4. New and renewed typologies

Cape Dutch architecture - - - The pitching of the roofs, the gabling of the ends and centres, the use of the same types of door and window similarly divided and shuttered, the whitewashed plaster, the wooden ceilings and red-tiled floors – these and many other details they had in common, formed the simple theme upon which a thousand gently dissimilar but beautiful variations were played. It was all so simple, so practical, so unvaryingly beautiful, so 'right' (Harrop-Allin, 1969:26-28).

Fagan has built on and extended these traditions to form his own typological patterns or formal themes in his domestic architecture. These can be attributed to the reworking of an idea in order to perfect it, reusing an approach that has been designed before and has worked well, nostalgic leanings, or the creation of a recognizable architecture almost at the limits of a style – not aesthetic, but formal or functional. These are certainly part of the new language that is created by Fagan but they do not dominate or dictate the final architectural response.

10.4.1. The linear (attenuated) plan (see Fig. 10.30).

This device is mainly derived from the mediated Modern Movement principles of climatic orientation and function but also has its origins in the long-house plan.

Keurbos (1951) is clearly organized around the principle of served and servant spaces so that the living and bedroom spaces face the view and north. House Bertie-Roberts (1966) follows the same pattern in a much more rigid linear form. Fagan’s own house, Die Es (1965), is less rigidly organized at first floor level but the views and slope form the linear plan. Houses Raynham (1967), Swanepoel in Cape St Francis (1990) and Neethling (1983) are all organized in a linear manner but more amorphously as the houses try to straddle the concerns of view, site orientation and northern
sun. The attenuated plan of House Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) is also the result of the limitations of a steeply pitched thatch roof which would become too high if the plan were too wide (Fagan, 2008c). Paradys (2003) responds to the slope of the ground and the sea views, allowing all bed and living rooms to face outwards and have exterior access.

10.4.2. The guided entrance (see Fig. 10.32).

The approach to many vernacular Cape buildings was axial in nature. In Fagan’s houses there is a similar but more directed approach as the path ‘grows’ out of the ground, increasing in definition as the front door is approached. In House Bertie-Roberts (1966) (see Fig. 10.31) the entrance route is guided from below by the sides of garden retaining walls that lead under a cantilevered edge of the house above. Here ground and house meet in an open riser staircase slung along the side of a concrete retaining wall. At Die Es (1965) (see Fig. 10.31) a low white wall guides the visitor from the street, while a simple steel handrail on the carport edge extends continuously downwards to the front door.

At House Raynham (1967) the approach starts with a path perpendicular to the street. As the house is angled in respect of the street the path meets a ramp extended from the house at a point of change in direction. The ramp is raised and edged by a low wall, cutting off the view to the garage below and guiding movement towards the recessed front door and top light above. The entrance to House Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) is defined by an extended wing of the main building and a curved bathroom courtyard wall. House Neethling (1983) is similar in that the edge of the projecting garage and garden wall define the entry route, while House Swanepoel in Hermanus relies on a low garden wall and slightly downward sloping ramp. At Paradys (2003) and Fagan in McGregor (2005) retaining walls are used to form a descending route. Entry is partially hidden by the perpendicular approach to a garage door and only on reaching the garage is the front door revealed.
10.4.3. Building/earth relationships – typological and topographical (see Fig. 10.33).

The Cape vernacular tradition is formally composed of white rectangular forms which, through their shape and colour, provide a strong counterpoint to the linear landscape. Many orthodox modernist forms share this formal similarity while also responding to the landscape in a classical manner. Fagan’s houses draw on these similarities but provide tension through a more romantic and physical connection with their surroundings. The junction between earth and house is, in most cases, where entry occurs and a conclusion could be that Fagan wishes to re-associate the visitor with his earthly beginnings before entering the private realm. House Bertie-Roberts (1966) literally hovers between earth and sky as the box form, carried on two concrete u-shaped channels, cantilevers over stone and concrete retaining walls. But the building is grounded by its walled connection to the earth.
The house is both dug in and raised up at the same time and the crossing point forms a logical position for the entrance. Die Es (1965) steps down with the site and seemingly forms itself out of the plastic white walls that grow from the garden. In House Raynham (1967) the garage is submerged out of sight, anchoring the building in the ground while the hovering ramp provides a sense of disconnection from the earth. House Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990) anchors itself to the earth through the partially submerged garage and stone retaining wall to the north. A low garden wall to the south completes the framed "base" while the rest of the house perch above in unison. Houses Paradys (2003) and Fagan in McGregor (2005) are connected to the earth in similar ways as their garage and front door entry points merge in the earth. Paradys is less submerged but in both cases a strong link to the earth is formed at these points.

10.4.4. The chimney as focus (see Fig. 10.34 -10.36)

The chimney is an important vernacular element both in terms of its formal importance as a recognizable feature and because of its physical and spiritual function as the hearth of the home. Fagan has recognized the nostalgic associations and in Die Es (1965) exaggerated this feature to create a fireplace room and a focus point externally. House Patterson (1966) has a similar fireplace room but the chimney is not as evident in the formal composition. Here the counterpoint to the monopitch roof bears similarities with Keurbos (1965). The chimneys to houses Paradys (2003) and Brink (2002) provide a counterpoint to the linearity of the houses. There is also a subtle distinction in the relationship of chimney to wall. In houses Keurbos (1951), Patterson (1966), Auldearn (1992) and Brink (2002) the chimney engages with the adjoining wall surface, while at Die
Es (1965), Paradys (2003) and House Lückhoff (1981) the chimneys maintain differing degrees of independence from the main forms.


But in houses like Neethling (1983) and Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990) the chimney takes on a new role as structural support for the roof. In these houses the chimney becomes both the physical and functional hearth of the home and provides both an internal and external focus point. The plasticity of the column chimney is innovatively explored in House Neethling, where the chimney twists to accept the tapering roof beams. House Beyers (1998) is also centered at the roof pinnacle but does not act as a structural member. Here as in House Swanepoel in Hermanus (1990) light filters into the living spaces through glazed connections between chimney and roof.
In House Bertie-Roberts (1966) the chimney takes on many roles. Although the cantilevered floor structure is supported on the grounded wall, the position of the chimney visually assists in a supporting role. Apart from its functional role as hearth, it defines the entry area and serves as a 'lookout' tower, a requirement of the owner who was a fisherman. Access to the outside of the chimney was gained from the study. The chimney also acts as counterpoint to the linear box form and as focal point to the building.

Fagan once remarked (1996b) that bedrooms should never suffer from the 'Holiday Inn syndrome'. When questioned he explained that once you left your bedroom and stepped on the balcony you were there for all the world, including your neighbour, to see. In House Bertie-Roberts (1966) the first evidence of the creation of private spaces outside bedrooms can be seen. Fagan extends the cupboard areas outwards to create private recesses. In House Raynham (1967) the stepped plan

10.4.5. Bedroom privacy and external contact (see Fig. 10.37)
was used for the first time and Fagan (2008c) remarks that here he had space to be able to step the plan and create a private space for each bedroom, which made an enormous difference to the qualities of the interior space. In houses Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) and Hermanus (1990) the stepped plan encompasses a corner window. In houses Raynham (1967), Blommaert (1982) and Neethling (1983) the bedrooms have a more direct relationship with the garden, a similar approach to that of Paradys (2003). Here, for the first time, the bathrooms are used (together with the stepped plan) to create privacy for each room.

10.4.6. **Functional separation** (see Fig. 10.38)

Many of the interior organizations of Fagan's houses echo the bi-nuclear planning arrangement used by Marcel Breuer\(^{250}\). The 1951 Keurbos house clearly separates living from sleeping areas on both levels. In Die Es a vertical separation is used but the regularity of the bi-nuclear arrangement is returned to in houses Bertie-Roberts (1966), Raynham (1967), Neethling (1983), Paradys (2003), and Mitchell (2005). In houses Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980) and Fagan in McGregor (2005) the living space becomes a mediator between sleeping spaces on each side. Most plans also rely on a served and servant arrangement, with bathrooms and kitchens positioned on the colder and less open side of the site. Exceptions are notably the architect’s own houses where the bathrooms face the sea.

\(^{250}\) Fagan clearly describes how Breuer achieves this separation in the 21 April 2008 interview, but explains that client requests drove the programmatic separation. Although this may be the case, the formality of the linear organization follows Breuer's approach very closely.
10.4.7. **The roof as holding element** (see Fig. 10.39)

The double pitched moulded roof typology is used by Fagan most successfully to create a sense of both plasticity and unity. Houses Raynham (1967) and Neethling (1983) are similar in their copper forms rising to the focal point of the chimney in the living room. The roofs form almost awkwardly at times but are strong elements that control and hold the spaces below. A volumetric interaction between room and roof space occurs and boundaries are seemingly blurred in a continuously flowing interior space. This continuity is less evident in House Swanepoel in Cape St. Francis (1980), but the roof still holds powerful sway over the internal spaces and external walls, allying itself to the slope of the dunes below. At Die Es (1965) a sinusoidal roof form holds the upper floor spaces together. Glazed sections above the internal doors foster a spatial continuity that allows the roof to lightly control and hold the private spaces.
In early sketches of House Simpson (see Fig. 10.40), Fagan organizes a series of independent roofs that rise to the climax of the chimney in a very Frank Lloyd Wrightian way – Taliesin West is mentioned on one of the sketches.
10.5. Summary

Fagan has manipulated the formal, spatial and functional canons of a mediated Modern Movement and the Cape vernacular to create his own unique typologies. The design solutions alternate between generative interpretation and productive invention, and in so doing create a new and appropriate local architectural language that synthesizes the new and the old. The new patterns are convergent solutions in the sense that they are constantly used but are reworked in each new design. The typological solutions provide the architecture with a recognisable signature but avoid stylistic monotony.