

Misunderstanding in second language instructional communication

**by
Lizette de Jager**

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR

Curriculum and instructional design and development

Faculty of Education
University of Pretoria
South Africa

Supervisor:
Dr R Evans

PRETORIA

2012



To my husband and sons,
for their continued patience
and unyielding belief in me.

Acknowledgements

My sincere gratitude to the following people for their contribution to the successful completion of this research:

- Rinelle Evans (supervisor), for guidance, suggestions and discussions
- Clarisse Venter (information specialist), for support and invaluable advice in searching the literature
- Alta Engelbrecht (informal critical reader), for academic and emotional support and advice
- Nanda Klapwijk (official critical reader), for a thorough reading and sound advice
- Brigitte Smit, (Qualitative research expert), for advice and support when I needed it most
- Professor Jonathan Jansen (previous dean of the Faculty of Education, UP), for opening up a brave new world to me
- Thys de Jager (husband and staunch supporter), for invaluable advice, support and encouragement
- Lourens and Johan (my two sons), for their patience with me
- Adelia Carstens (Head of Department), for continued support and belief in me
- Ronel Swart, Grietjie Haupt, Gilbert Onwu (colleagues and friends), for corridor discussions and valuable guidance and support
- The University of Pretoria, for financial support and academic support sessions with experts in the field
- Family and friends, for continued belief in me and support, but especially Fred Nelson (father) for starting the scholarly dialogue with me at a young age

Soli Deo Gloria

Declaration of authorship and copyright waiver

I, Lizette de Jager, student number S2753393, declare that this thesis is my own work and that it has been written in my own words. In all instances where I made use of citations from published or unpublished works I have acknowledged these authors in-text and referenced them in full (Turnitin originality report available). I chose Harvard as interpreted by UNISA as referencing style in this study.

I understand that all rights regarding the intellectual property of this thesis belong to the University of Pretoria who has the right to publish the work as they deem fit.

I agree that, subject to the authorisation of the University of Pretoria as owner of all intellectual property rights, the approved version may be placed in the UPeTD archive (<http://upetd.up.ac.za/ETD-db/>) with the following status:

Release the entire work immediately for worldwide access

I certify that this version of the thesis is the same as that which was approved by the examination panel and that changes to the document as requested by them have been effected.

Signature

Date

Abstract

Misunderstanding in second language instructional communication

Misunderstanding refers to an erroneous interpretation of the meaning of an utterance – a failure to understand. The instructional context relies almost exclusively on oral communication. The instructional message can be hampered, no less by the teacher as prime interlocutor whose utterances may result in misunderstandings. To answer the question: "To what extent are misunderstandings the result of English second language speakers' oral proficiency?" misunderstandings were identified in the instructional settings of 26 pre-service teachers who used English as the medium of instruction. This qualitative research drew from ethnographic and case study designs. Speech Act Theory and theories on misunderstandings and instructional communication underpinned the study.

Data collection was based on video recordings of the student teachers' authentic lesson presentations during their internship. Misunderstandings were identified and described in terms of their occurrence, nature, frequency and consequence, e.g. whether they were the result of grammatical clumsiness, cross-cultural transfer problems, or lean vocabulary. These students were not mother tongue speakers of English and the International English Language Testing Score was used to rate their oral proficiency in this language. Focus group interviews were conducted with the student teachers to gauge their awareness of and response to the occurrence of misunderstandings. They also completed a questionnaire in order to establish their awareness of misunderstandings. This small-scale survey also served to provide clarification of information gathered from the interviews. Several iterations of data combing were executed and coding and categorising were done concurrently within each data set.

Findings corroborated the initial proposition that misunderstandings in the instructional context occur as a result of poor oral proficiency and inadequate speech act realization patterns. Underdeveloped communication skills included verbosity, unclear enunciation, non-standard pronunciation and inadequate rate of speech. However, what had not been anticipated was that the oral proficiency and speech act realization patterns of the student

teachers were considerably weaker than had been expected. Furthermore, methodological factors and inadequate instructional skills similarly compounded the classroom communication. Participants displayed difficulty in formulating effective questions, explaining new concepts, giving instructions and designing well-structured lessons. Their inadequate content knowledge caused erroneous explanations, and poorly structured delivery resulted in instructional dissonance. The overarching theme of failure emerged, namely, inadequate pragmatic competence, underdeveloped content knowledge and scant methodological skills.

Recommendations for policy and practice serve to highlight the importance of teachers' proficiency in the medium of instruction. Coupled with a sound knowledge of the subject field and the prerequisite of well-developed methodological skills, the student teacher will be equipped to teach effectively. Several research topics relating to classroom communication, such as pre-service teacher development courses and cross-cultural and cross-linguistic competence, have been suggested for further exploration.

Key words:

communicative competence; instructional communication; language of learning and teaching (LoLT); misunderstanding; non-understanding; oral proficiency; pragmatic failure; speech act, Speech Act Theory

Table of contents

Description		Page
Chapter 1	Overview of the study	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Rationale	2
1.3	Scope of the study	5
1.4	Terminology	7
1.5	Summary of research design and methodology	8
1.6	Anticipated research constraints and limitations of the study	10
1.7	Outline of the study	12
Chapter 2	Conceptual framework	14
2.1	Introduction	14
2.2	Second language acquisition	22
2.3	Communicative competence	30
2.4	Speech Act Theory and speech acts	37
2.5	Instructional communication	45
2.6	Misunderstanding	48
2.7	Conclusion	57
Chapter 3	Research design and methodology	59
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Paradigmatic and epistemological premises	60
3.3	Research design	62
3.4	Theoretical framework	66
3.5	Methodology	69
3.5.1	Selection and profile of participants	70

3.5.2	Research sites	73
3.5.3	Data collection process	74
3.5.4	Instrumentation	75
3.5.4.1	Observations	75
3.5.4.2	International English Language Testing Score (IELTS)	76
3.5.4.3	Focus group interviews	78
3.5.4.4	Questionnaire	79
3.5.5	Data analysis procedure	82
3.5.5.1	Observations	83
3.5.5.2	International English Language Testing Score (IELTS)	87
3.5.5.3	Focus group interviews	87
3.5.5.4	Questionnaire	87
3.6	Role of the researcher	88
3.7	Strategies for enhancing trustworthiness	89
3.8	Ethical considerations	91
3.9	Conclusion	93
Chapter 4	Data analysis and findings	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Data analysis – procedure	97
4.2.1	Observations (recorded lessons)	97
4.2.2	International English Language Testing Score (IELTS)	99
4.2.3	Focus group interviews	100
4.2.4	Questionnaire	100
4.3	Data analysis - presentation	101
4.3.1	Presentation of data from observations	101
4.3.2	Presentation of data from student teachers' oral proficiency based on IELTS band descriptors	131
4.3.3	Presentation of data from focus group interviews	132
4.3.4	Presentation of data from questionnaire	134
4.4	Data analysis – emerging categories	138

4.4.1	Emerging categories from observations	138
4.4.2	Reasons for the identified misunderstandings	153
4.4.3	Emerging categories from focus group interviews	154
4.4.4	Emerging categories from questionnaire	158
4.5	Data analysis - discussion	161
4.5.1	Oral proficiency, idiosyncratic utterances and speech act realization	163
4.5.2	Inadequate content knowledge	166
4.5.3	Inadequate instructional (methodological) skills	167
4.5.4	Teacher disposition and personality	167
4.5.5	New knowledge: extending Hinnenkamp's (1999) model of classifications of misunderstandings	172
4.5.6	Consolidation of discussion	173
4.6	Conclusion	175
Chapter 5	Significance and implications of study	177
5.1	Introduction	177
5.2	Synopsis and significance of the study	177
5.3	Implications of the study	180
5.3.1	Pragmatic or communicative competence and oral proficiency in instructional settings	180
5.3.2	Content knowledge	185
5.3.3	Methodological skills	186
5.4	Recommendations for further research	188
5.4.1	Pre-service teacher development courses	188
5.4.2	Cross-cultural and cross-linguistic competence	193
5.5	Conclusion	194
References		196



List of figures	x
List of tables	xi
List of acronyms	xii
List of addenda	xiv

List of figures	Page	
Figure 2.1	Conceptual framework	15
Figure 2.2	Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development	25
Figure 2.3	Communication elements in traditional instruction	45
Figure 2.4	The communication process	46
Figure 3.1	Presentation of the research process	60
Figure 3.2	Number of participants for each home language	72
Figure 3.3	Codes identified in the coding process based on the principles of SAT	86
Figure 4.1	Coding of observations	99
Figure 4.2	Participants' oral proficiency (based on the IELTS band descriptors)	132
Figure 4.3	Data gleaned from questionnaire	137
Figure 4.4	Presentation of codes obtained from recorded lessons	141
Figure 4.5	Observation categories based on codes	142
Figure 4.6	Misunderstandings due to idiosyncratic utterances	149
Figure 4.7	Reasons for misunderstandings	153
Figure 4.8	Categories which emerged from the focus group interviews	157
Figure 4.9	Categories from the four data sets	160

List of tables	Page
Table 2.1 Home languages of learners in South African schools	17
Table 2.2 Some studies on speech act research	44
Table 2.3 Classifications of misunderstandings	50
Table 3.1 Epistemological, ontological and methodological premises	62
Table 3.2 Participants in each data collection period	72
Table 3.3 Distribution of participants and sites in the sample	73
Table 3.4 International English Language Testing Score (IELTS) band rating	77
Table 3.5 Data collection strategy	81
Table 4.1 Data sets analysed in the study	96
Table 4.2 Template for summaries of recorded lessons	102
Table 4.3 Classification of errors	139
Table 4.4 Idiosyncratic utterances produced by student teachers	144
Table 4.5 Summary of idiosyncratic utterances and their reasons	147
Table 4.6 Summary of misunderstandings identified from idiosyncratic utterances	151
Table 4.7 Reasons for misunderstandings as expressed by participants	155
Table 4.8 Data analysis and findings	159
Table 4.9 Summary of misunderstandings identified from idiosyncratic utterances with an indication of correspondence to the classifications of Hinnenkamp (1999) and Dascal (1999)	170
Table 4.10 New model: changes to Hinnenkamp's (1999) classification of misunderstandings	172

List of acronyms

The acronyms below are grouped together in coherent units. The units are not necessarily standard usage but are grouped as applied in this study.

General

DoBE: Department of Basic Education (after April 2010)

DoE: Department of Education (before April 2010)

Language teaching

CC: Communicative competence

ESL: English second language (speakers)

IALs: Indigenous African languages

ICC: Intercultural communication

L1: First language (speakers)

L2: Second language (speakers)

LoLT: Language of learning and teaching

NS: Native speaker

NSE: Native speakers of English

NNS: Non-native speaker

SLA: Second language acquisition

ZPD: Zone of Proximal Development

Misunderstanding

C: Core of misunderstanding

MU: Misunderstanding

NU: Non-understanding

P: Production

R: Reception

T: Type of misunderstanding

Oral proficiency

G:	Grammar
IELTS:	International English Language Testing Score
P:	Pronunciation
T:	Transfer

Speech acts

DCT:	Discourse completion test
EC:	Effective communication
HI:	Hearer interpretation
IF:	Ill-formed
ILA:	Illocutionary act
LA:	Locutionary act
PLA:	Perlocutionary act
SAT:	Speech act theory
SI:	Speaker intent
WF:	Well-formed

Addenda		Page
Addendum A	The Inferential Model	240
Addendum B	Observations – field notes	245
Addendum C	Observation checklist	246
Addendum D	International English Language Testing Score	248
Addendum E	Focus group interview protocol	250
Addendum F	Questionnaire	252
Addendum G	Ethics certificate (University of Pretoria)	255
Addendum H	Ethics approval (Gauteng Department of Education)	256
Addendum I	Letters of informed consent: participants	259
Addendum J	Letters of informed assent: learners	263
Addendum K	Letters of informed consent: parents	265
Addendum L	Permission: access to schools	268