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Abstract

The purpose of my case study research is to explain the development trajectory of Special Needs Education policy in South Africa. I also intended to establish whether this policy reform trajectory could be explained as “non-reform” in Special Needs Education. The development path of policies has been widely researched and explained in relation to theories of change. Over the past decade there has, however, been a growing body of knowledge that has moved the theoretical basis for the development of policy from a traditional linear and causal model to a more complex, dynamic model of change. I was able to draw from both models to engage in my case study research on the development of the Special Needs Education policy. This policy eventually culminated in a Government White Paper on Special Needs Education. My primary research question is to understand why the policy on Special Needs Education did not emerge in South Africa when it was widely expected.

I examined “up close” the views, perspectives and understandings of policy makers to establish the reasons for the non-emergence of the Special Needs Policy in South Africa. On closer analysis, I found that not only was there a significant delay between the policy formulation and policy adoption, but that this had created a critical policy vacuum in the Special Needs Education system in South Africa, which warranted an explanation.

I found that the main reasons for the “policy-lag” were situated in the intended paradigm shift from a medical based model to an eco-systemic model, the intended restructuring of the special school system, logistical factors, and the availability of resources.

This study addresses a gap in the related literature by its focus on the policy-making process for Special Needs Education in a transitional context. Its significance lies in shifting explanations of policy reform from the domain of the causal-linear to a political account of the process. The research was guided by a conceptual framework that combined the linear and iterative models of the policy development processes with the conceptual devices of “theory of action” and “theory in use”. The role of specific paradoxes and the ensuing tensions was formulated using qualitative content analysis.
The study produced several new findings with regard to the factors that affect education policy-making in South Africa. Principal amongst these findings was the observation that the politics of participation was the main factor constraining the speed and direction of policy development in Special Needs Education. The findings of this research warrant several conclusions regarding the implementation and the dynamic nature of policy-making. The study concludes with suggestions for future research in policy-making related to Special Needs Education in South Africa.
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I was inspired to use this metaphor after reading an article on narrative accounts in research. The author referred to the research plot as a Polaroid film. One takes a shot of a particular object and then waits for the slow and gradual development to a full picture. I elaborated on this idea by using the analogy, not of a photograph but of a painting, because I am more familiar with this form of art. Not only the study itself but also the way in which the policy for Special Needs in Education developed in this country, reminded me of the way a picture unfolds under the hands of the artist. I wish to portray this in the outline of this metaphor: The Artist’s Way.

Chapter One: The outline - the introduction.

Chapter One deals with the development of an image that I am emotionally involved with and want to explore further, i.e., learners with special needs. This chapter introduces the purpose of the study and provides an overview of the analytic framework, research methods and organisation. See page 1.

Chapter Two: The background - the literature review and explication of the conceptual framework.

Chapter Two represents the first broad outline on the canvas, providing an idea of what must still be filled in. It is the beginning of the composition. Matters of proportion need to be considered and discussed. This chapter includes a review of the literature that anchors this research and describes the conceptual framework. See page 19.

---

Chapter Three: The technique - the methodology and methods deployed.

Chapter Three encompasses the decision concerning which technique to use. It deals with the various approaches that one can undertake to complete a study and the need to select the tools that are most appropriate. This chapter describes the methodology and methods deployed in this case study of the Special Needs Education (SNE) policy in South Africa. See page 52.

Chapter Four: The composition - the SNE policy trajectory.

Chapter Four reflects the need to decide on the composition i.e., what should go into the painting. This stage is characterised by the application of the first shade of colour to the foreground and the background of the painting. The components in this instance are flowers and rocks. This chapter describes the path of the SNE policy-making process in South Africa. See page 68.

Chapter Five: Adding variety - the role players in this study.

Chapter Five portrays my need to “make up” this picture with a variety of flowers. The flowers represent the variety of discourses associated with the various stakeholders and the hard rocks represent the objects that would not budge. This chapter reports on the views and perspectives of the SNE stakeholders with regard to the policy development process. See page 94.

Chapter Six: Adding colours – exploring the trajectory.

Chapter Six is concerned with the need, as in any painting, to avoid mud and blurred colouring. This chapter provides an analysis of the various roles of the key stakeholders in the
stages of the policy development process. See page 117.

Chapter Seven: Adding depth – the delay

Chapter Seven, the artist adds more colours to the foreground and the flowers. This chapter explains the reasons why the policy did not emerge when it was expected. See page 153.

Chapters Eight: Adding detail – theory in use.

Chapter Eight: to give perspective to the painting, the artist darkens the shadows and emphasises the light. In this chapter the provincial budgets have been analysed for SNE activities with a view to establish the government’s commitment to this policy. What did the budgets reveal? Did the policy in practice reveal elements of darkness and light? See pages 187.

Chapter Nine: The finishing touches – a dialogue between theory and data.

In Chapter Nine I complete the finishing touches to the study, which can now be considered a work of art. It is a harmonious blend of colour (findings) with distinct areas of focus (data), placed within a background (theory). The work is ready to be framed and hung on the wall in between other great collections. This chapter summarises the study and presents the new knowledge arising from this study. It suggests a productive line of enquiry that could follow this research. See page 202.
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