

**Alteration assemblage in the lower units of the Uitkomst
Complex, Mpumalanga Province, South Africa.**

by

NICOLAAS CASPER STEENKAMP

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
DOCTOR PHILOSOPHIA

In the
DEPARTMENT GEOLOGY
FACULTY OF NATURAL & AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

August 2012

DECLARATION

I, **Nicolaas Casper Steenkamp**, hereby declare that this thesis that I hereby submit for the Degree DOCTOR PHILOSOPHIA (PhD) at the University of Pretoria is my own work has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at this or any other university.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Abstract

The Uitkomst Complex is located within the Great Escarpment area close to the town of Badplaas, approximately 300 km due east of Pretoria, in the Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. This complex is believed to represent a layered conduit system related to the 2.06 Ga Bushveld Complex. The succession from the bottom up comprises the Basal Gabbro- (BGAB), Lower Harzburgite- (LHZBG) and Chromitiferous Harzburgite (PCR) Units, collectively referred to as the Basal Units, followed by the Main Harzburgite- (MHZBG), Upper Pyroxenite-(PXT) and Gabbronorite (GN) Units, collectively referred to as the Main Units. The Basal Unit is largely hosted by the Malmani Dolomite Formation, in the Pretoria Group of the Transvaal Supergroup sediments. The Lower Harzburgite Unit contains numerous calc-silicate xenoliths derived from the Malmani Dolomite.

The Basal Units host the economically important nickel-bearing sulphide and chromite deposits exploited by the Nkomati Mine. An area of extensive localized talc-chlorite alteration is found in the area delineated for large scale open cast mining. This phenomenon has bearing on the nature and distribution of the sulphide minerals in the Chromitiferous Harzburgite and to a lesser extent the Lower Harzburgite Units.

The Basal Unit is comprised of both near pristine areas of mafic minerals and areas of extensive secondary replacement minerals. Of the olivine minerals, only fosterite of magmatic origin is found, the fosterite suffered hydrothermal alteration resulting in replacement of it by serpentine and secondary magnetite. Three different types of diopside are found, the first is a primary magmatic phase, the second is a hybrid “transitional” phase and the third, a skarn phase. Hydrothermal alteration of the matrix diopside led to the formation of actinolite-tremolite pseudomorphs. This secondary tremolite is intergrown with the nickeliferous sulphide grains. Chromite grains are rimmed or replaced by secondary magnetite. Pyrrhotite grains is also rimmed or replaced by secondary magnetite. Talc and chlorite is concentrated in the highly altered rocks, dominating the PCR unit. Primary plagioclase and calcite do not appear to have suffered

alteration to the same extent as the other precursor mafic magmatic and hydrothermal minerals.

It is suggested that the PCR was the first unit to be emplaced near the contact of the dolomite and shale host rock. The more primitive mafic mineral composition and presence of chromitite attest to this interpretation. The LHZBG and MHZBG units may have been emplaced simultaneously, the LHZBG below and the MHZBG above. Interaction and partial assimilation of the dolomitic country rock led to a disruption of the primary mafic mineralogy, resulting in the preferential formation of diopside at the expense of orthopyroxene and plagioclase. Addition of country rock sulphur resulted in sulphur saturation of the magma and resulted in the observed mineralization. The downward stoping of the LHZBG magma, in a more “passive” pulse-like manner led to the formation of the calc-silicate xenolith lower third of this unit.

It is proposed that the interaction with, and assimilation of the dolomitic host rock by the intruding ultramafic magmas of the Basal Units are responsible, firstly, for the segregation of the nickeliferous sulphides from the magma, and secondly for the formation of a carbonate-rich deuteric fluid that affected the primary magmatic mineralogy of the Basal Unit rocks.

The fluids released during the assimilation and recrystallization of the dolomites also led to the serpentinization of the xenoliths themselves and probably the surrounding hybrid and mafic- ultramafic host rocks. The CO₂-rich fluids migrated up and outward, while the H₂O-rich fluids remained confined to the area around the xenoliths and LHZBG unit. The H₂O-rich fluid is thought to be responsible for the retrograde metamorphism of the precursor magmatic and metamorphic minerals in the Lower Harzburgite Unit. The formation of an exoskarn within the dolomitic country rocks and a selvage of endoskarn on the contact form an effective solidification front that prevented further contamination of the magma. It is also suggested that these solidification fronts constrained the lateral extent of the conduit.

The CO₂-enriched deutric fluid was able to migrate up to the PCR unit. Here the fluid was not removed as effectively as in the underlying parts of the developing conduit. This resulted in higher CO₂-partial pressures in the PCR unit, and the stabilization of talc-carbonate assemblages that extensively replaced the precursor magmatic mineralogy.

Intrusion of the magma into the shales, which may have been more susceptible to assimilation and greater stoping, led to a broadening in the lateral extent of the Complex, in the Main units above the trough-like feature occupied by the Basal Units.

Late-stage, hydrous dominated fluid migration is inferred to have been constrained to the central part of the conduit. This is demonstrated by the dominance of chlorite in the central part of the Uitkomst Complex in the study area. The Uitkomst Complex was further deformed by later intrusions of dolerite dykes. Weathering of the escarpment led to exposure of the conduit as a valley and oxidation of the surficial exposed rocks.

[810 words].

Acknowledgements

Gratitude is expressed towards the Center for Research on Mineralized Ore Deposits (CERMOD), managed by the Department of Geology, University of Pretoria, for providing funding of analyses and other costs encountered during the project period. Furthermore gratitude is expressed towards the Kent Fund, managed by the Geological Society of South Africa, for partial funding of analyses and thin sections. For permission to use, and providing access to the sample material, Mr. M. Davidson from Nkomati Mine and Mr. J. Woolfe from African Rainbow Minerals Head Office. The geological staff at Agnes Gold Mine for quartering of core samples.

A special word of thanks to my parents, for their support throughout my studies. Prof. H.F.J. Theart, who acted as project initiator and co-supervisor. Dr. R.J. Roberts for co-supervision of the thesis. Mr. P.P.H. Gräser for Microprobe analyses and assistance in interpretation of results as well as involvement getting the paraffin polished thin sections. A word of thanks to Mr. Caiphus Majola, De Beers Geoscience Centre, for polished thin sections made with the paraffin polishing method. Dr. S. Verryin for XRD analyses and assistance in interpretation of results. Ms. M. Loubser for the XRF analyses. Mr. M. Claassen and P. Sibiya for making thin sections and polished thin sections. Ms. Melinda de Swart for administrative assistance. The help of Prof. I.S. Buick from the University of Stellenbosch in generating the pseudo-sections is also acknowledged.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION, PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY

1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Nkomati Mine	1
1.3 Comparison with other intrusions	5
1.4 Aims of the study	6
1.5 Study area	7

CHAPTER 2 THE GEOLOGY OF THE UITKOMST COMPLEX AND ITS SURROUNDINGS

2.1 Regional geology and host stratigraphy	11
2.2 Bushveld igneous complex	14
2.3 Previous gold mining in the area	16
2.4 The Uitkomst Complex	17
2.4.1. Basal Gabbro Unit (BGAB)	21
2.4.2 Marginal Gabbro Unit (MG)	22
2.4.3 Lower Harzburgite Unit (LHZBG)	23
2.4.4 The presence of “Parapyroxenite” in the LHZBG	24
2.4.5 Chromitiferous Harzburgite Unit (PCR)	24
2.4.6 Massive Chromitite Unit (MCR)	25
2.4.7 Main Harzburgite Unit (MHZBG)	26

2.4.8 Lower Peridotite Subunit (LrPRD)	27
2.4.9. Pyroxenite Unit (PXT)	27
2.4.10 Gabbronorite Unit (GN)	29
2.5 Previous models of the intrusion of the Uitkomst Complex	30
2.6. The Pit 3 open cast operation	34

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 Delimitations	35
3.2 Description of Methods	
3.2.1 Sample selection	35
3.2.2 Utilization of borehole logs	36
3.2.3 Thin sections	36
3.2.4 Sample preparation for X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) and X-Ray Florescence (XRF) analyses	36
3.2.5 XRD Analyses	37
3.2.6 XRF Analyses	37
3.2.7 Electron Microprobe (EMP) Analyses	39
3.2.8 Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS)	40
3.3. The isocon method	40

CHAPTER 4 PETROGRAPHY AND MINERAL GEOCHEMISTRY

4.1 – PRIMARY MAFIC MINERALS

4.1.1. Olivine	43
4.2 Pyroxene	
4.2.1 Clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene	52
4.2.2 Comparison of Pyroxene Compositions in the Uitkomst Complex	61
4.3 Plagioclase	67
4.4 Chromite	
4.4.1. Chromitite	69
4.5 Magnetite	
4.5.1 General	73
4.7 Carbonate minerals	
4.7.1. Calcite	75
4.7.2. Dolomite	78

CHAPTER 5 – SECONDARY MINERALS IN THE LHZBG AND PCR UNITS

5.1 Amphibole	
5.1.1 Amphiboles of the LHZBG Unit	80
5.1.2 Amphiboles of the PCR Unit	80
5.1.3 Microprobe analyses results of amphibole grains	81
5.2 Chlorite	92
5.3. Serpentine	99
5.4 Secondary Magnetite	101

5.5 Talc	105
5.6 Mica	106
5.7 Discussion	
5.7.1. Growth of retrograde metamorphic mineral crystals	109
5.7.2. Retrograde metamorphism in the Uitkomst Complex	111
5.7.3. Petrogenetic significance of olivine	112
5.7.4. Petrogenetic significance of pyroxene	112
5.7.5. Petrogenetic significance of plagioclase	113
5.7.6. Petrogenetic implications of chromite in the Uitkomst Complex	113
5.7.7. Petrogenetic significance of calcite	115
5.7.8. Petrogenetic significance of dolomite	116
5.7.8 Petrogenetic implications of amphibole composition	116
5.7.9. Petrogenetic significance of chlorite	117
5.7.10. The petrogenetic significance of serpentine	118
5.7.11 Petrogenetic significance of talc	118
5.7.12 Petrogenetic significance of phlogopite and mica	119
5.7.13 Petrogenetic significance of secondary magnetite	120
CHAPTER 6 - REACTION OF THE INTRUSIVE ROCKS WITH THE COUNTRY ROCKS AND WITH XENOLITHS	
6.1 Previous work on xenoliths	121

6.2 Xenoliths investigated during the current investigation	121
6.3 Melting rate of xenoliths	127
6.4. Distribution of Xenoliths and their Effect on the Development of the Uitkomst Complex	131
6.5 Petrogenetic implication for the Uitkomst Complex	138

CHAPTER 7 GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE TALC-RICH PART OF THE UITKOMST COMPLEX

7.1 Introduction	141
7.2 Background	142
7.3 Vertical distribution of amphibole, chlorite and talc	144
7.4 Lateral distribution of amphibole, chlorite and talc	151
7.5 Discussion of the distribution of amphibole, chlorite and talc	156
7.6 Relationship between mineral content, abundance of xenoliths and the nature of the underlying country rocks	159
7.7 Significant implications of distribution of secondary minerals	161

CHAPTER 8: CONSTRAINING THE EFFECT OF HYDROTHERMAL ALTERATION

8.1 Isocon Diagram	162
8.2 Isocon analyses of the Lower Harzburgite Unit	164
8.3 Isocon analyses of the calc-silicate xenoliths from the Lower Harzburgite Unit	171

8.4 Isocon analyses of the Chromitiferous Harzburgite Unit	174
8.5 Discussion of isocon results for the units	180
8.6 Compositional pseudo-sections	182
8.7 Limitations of pseudo-sections	182
8.8 Modelling Results	183
8.8.1 Lower Harzburgite Unit	184
8.8.2. Chromitiferous Harzburgite Unit	189
8.9 Deuteric Fluid Compositions	196
CHAPTER 9 SUMMARY AND RELATING PROCESSES	
9.1 Related processes	198
9.2 Magma interaction with dolomitic country rock	
9.2.1 Contact mineralization	198
9.2.2 Clinopyroxene formation due to the assimilation of dolomites	200
9.2.3. The significance of triple junction points in the LHBZG unit	203
9.3 Models for the formation of sulphide deposits	
9.3.1 General models	203
9.3.2 Conduit model	204
9.3.3 Petrogenetic implications for the Uitkomst Complex	206
9.4. Assimilation	
9.4.1 Assimilation models	208



9.5. Assimilation characteristics	211
9.5.1 Addition of carbonate rock	212
9.5.2 Addition of carbon dioxide	214
9.5.3 Addition of sulphur	218
9.6. Direction of hydrous hydrothermal fluid flow	220
9.7 Solidification fronts	224
9.8 Effect of county rock on the shape of the Complex	228
Chapter 10 Discussion and interpretation	
10.1 Influence of the MCR layer on the distribution of alteration features	232
10.2 Association of sulphide mineralization with alteration mineral assemblages	233
10.3 Discussion of the features in the lower parts of the Uitkomst Complex	235
14.4 Conclusion	243
CHAPTER 11: CONCLUSIONS	251
REFERENCES	253
APPENDICES	
	CD ROM FILES

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1. Aerial view of Nkomati Mine and surroundings. The surface exposure of the Uikomst is indicated by the yellow line. The white bar represents 2.5 kilometers (image from Google Earth, 2008). 4
- Figure 1.2. Aerial view of the infrastructure at Nkomati Mine. The white bar represents 250 meters (image from Google Earth, 2007). 4
- Figure 1.3. A Surface projection of the distribution of the mineralized zones in the area indicated for the large scale open pit operation. The study area falls in “Pit 3” (red line) where indicated by the box (black line). The cross-section is along the thin black solid line in the study area box. (Adapted after: Nkomati Mine Geological Staff, pers. Comm. 2005). 7
- Figure 1.4. Section of the area indicated for the development of a large scale open pit operation. The study area is located in the upper northwestern to central part of Pit 3. The study area extends further to the North West than indicated on the diagram. Adapted after Theart (1996). 9
- Figure 1.5. Positions of the boreholes sampled during this investigation. The dashed line indicate the inferred “talc rich zone” as determined from composite samples (After L. Bradford, pers. Comm. ,2005). 10
- Figure 2.1. Simplified geological map indicating the surface outcrop of both country rock and Uitkomst Complex. The dashed line indicates the Slaaihoek-Uitkomst boundary. (Adapted after pers. comm. Nkomati Mine Geological Staff, 2005). 13
- Figure 2.2 The position of the Uitkomst Complex. Insert A. The location of the Bushveld Igneous Complex, South Africa. Insert B. The position of the Uitkomst Complex relative to the Bushveld Complex is indicated by the cross (Adapted after: F.J. Kruger, 2005). 16

Figure 2.3. Idealized cross-section of the Uitkomst Complex. (Figure adapted after pers. comm. Nkomati Mine Geological Staff and legend adjusted to Gauert classification). 20

Figure 2.4. Locality Map indicating the position of the mafic-ultramafic intrusions related to the Bushveld Complex on or near the escarpment in Mpumalanga. Image adapted after Maier et al., (2001) with the Mooiland intrusion's position inferred from Blom (1988). 33

Figure 2.5 Pre-strip in the Pit 3 area, November 2008 (Image, Google Earth, 2008). 34

Figure 4.1. Euhedral to subhedral olivine (ol) grains that suffered hydrothermal metamorphism in the PCR Unit. Cracks in olivine grains are filled with serpentine (srp) and secondary magnetite stringers. Serpentine forms in haloes around olivine grains and partly replace them. Euhedral chromite (chr) grains (black) are found in association with the olivine. Olivine and chromite grains are poikilitically enclosed by clinopyroxene (diopside), hydrothermally altered to amphibole of actinolitic (act) to hornblende (hbl) composition. The picture scale bar is 1000. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample: UK12D). 44

Figure 4.2. Rounded olivine (ol) grains with haloes of serpentine (srp) near a triple junction of diopside (di) grains, found in the LHZBG Unit. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample; CS14). 45

Figure 4.3. Olivine (ol) grains partially replaced by serpentine (srp), enclosed in net-texture sulphides (pyrrhotite – (po)) in the wehrlite layers found in the LHZBG Unit. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with plane-polarised light. (Sample; CS 18). 46

Figure 4.4. Comparison of olivine grain compositions of the LHZBG and PCR Units, based on NiO-content and the percentage forsterite. Data in Appendix 2. 47

- Figure 4.5. Comparison of olivine from the LHZBG and PCR Units on a MnO versus forsterite content diagram. Data in Appendix 2. 48
- Figure 4.7. Backscatter image of olivine (light grey) with a line indicating the position of a line scan. (Sample: UK12D). Image by P.Graser. 49
- Figure 4.8. Elemental distribution of Mg (top), Si (centre) and Fe (bottom) in line scan of olivine. (Sample; UK12D). Image P.Graser. 50
- Figure 4.9. Elemental distributions of Mn (top) and Ni (bottom) in line scan of olivine grain. (Sample; UK12D). Image P.Graser. 51
- Figure 4.10. Diopside (Di) poikilitically enclosing partially serpentinized (srp) olivine (ol) grains with non-continuous secondary magnetite (mgt) stringers and euhedral chromite (chr) grains. This sample is from the PCR Unit. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample; UK12G). 53
- Figure 4.11. Diopside grains in a calc-silicate xenolith from the LHZBG Unit. The minerals depicted are diopside (di), calcite (cal) and pyrite (py). The picture bar scale is 1000 microns. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample: CS21H). 54
- Figure 4.12. Microprobe analyses of pyroxenes from the PCR and LHZBG Units plotted on a Q-J diagram, where $Q = Ca + Mg + Fe^{2+}$ and $J = 2Na$ (After Morimoto, 1989). The legend is the same as presented in Figure 4.13 and 4.14. 55
- Figure 4.13. Plot of pyroxene minerals from the PCR Unit in the Wo-En-Fs system (After Morimoto, 1989). 56
- Figure 4.14. Plot of pyroxene minerals from the LHZBG Unit in the Wo-En-Fs system (After Morimoto, 1989). 56
- Figure 4.15. Plot of pyroxene compositions in xenoliths, on the Q-J diagram (After Morimoto, 1989). The legend is the as for Figure 4.19. 58

- Figure 4.16. Plot of pyroxene compositions of xenoliths in the Wo-En-Fs system (After Morimoto, 1989). 59
- Figure 4.17. Clinopyroxene species from the Uitkomst Complex based on the differences in Cr₂O₃ content of the samples analysed. 61
- Figure 4.18. Orthopyroxene species from the Uitkomst Complex based on the differences in Cr₂O₃ content of the samples analysed. 62
- Figure 4.19. MnO against CaO content in clinopyroxene samples from the Uitkomst Complex analysed. 63
- Figure 4.20. MnO against CaO content in orthopyroxene samples from the Uitkomst Complex analysed. 63
- Figure 4.21. Al₂O₃ against CaO contents of clinopyroxenes analysed from the Uitkomst Complex. 64
- Figure 4.22. Al₂O₃ against CaO contents of orthopyroxenes analysed from the Uitkomst Complex. 65
- Figure 4.23. MgO versus CaO content of clinopyroxene grains analysed from the Uitkomst Complex. 66
- Figure 4.24. Plagioclase (Pl) that has been partially saussuritized. The plagioclase is both enclosing and being enclosed by completely uralitized pyroxene (py). The picture scale bar is 1000 microns. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample; CS18). 68
- Figure 4.25. Euhedral to subhedral chromite (chr) grains in a matrix of chlorite (chl) and relict amphibole (amp) from the PCR Unit. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken under cross-polarised transmitted light. (Sample: UK12A). 70

Figure 4.26. Euhedral grains of chromite (chr) (black) associated with serpentinized (srp) olivine and enclosed by diopside (di), partially altered to amphibole (amp), in the PCR Unit. The picture scale bar is 1000 microns. Taken under cross-polarised transmitted light. (Sample: UK12D). 70

Figure 4.27. $Cr/(Cr+Al+Fe^{3+})$ against $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+})$ plot for chromite grains analysed from the PCR Unit. 71

Figure 4.28. TiO_2 (wt %) against $Mg/(Mg+Fe^{2+})$ plot for chromite grains analysed from the PCR Unit. 71

Figure 4.29. Pseudomorphs of chamosite (upper right) after primary magnetite with a leucoxene rim (light grey) and enveloped in pyrrhotite (lower left). Photo taken under reflected light, scale bar = 1000 micron (Sample: UK48) 74

Figure 4.30. Backscatter electron (BSE) image of the preserved trellis structure of ilmenite in the chamosite pseudomorphs. A prominent alteration vein intrudes the grain (left of center in image). The leucoxene rim is visible in the lower left of the image where the grain is in contact with pyrrhotite. There are several pyrrhotite inclusions in the grain. The scale bar is 200 micron (Photo: P.P.H. Gräser). 74

Figure 4.31. Calcite grains enclosing pyrrhotite (black) grains. The calcite (cal) grains appear to be in textural equilibrium with pyrrhotite (po) and tremolite (Tr). The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample; UK68E). 75

Figure 4.32. Calcite (Cal) and tremolite (Tr) appearing to be in textural equilibrium with each other and with pyrrhotite (Po) (black). The picture scale bar is 1000 microns and it was taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample: UK68E). 76

- Figure 4.33. A calcite (Cal) grain (in centre of field of view) enveloped by pyrrhotite (Po). The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with reflected light. (Sample: UK48C). 77
- Figure 4.34. A large calcite (Cal) grain, in the center of the view, associated with diopside (Di) in a calc-silicate xenolith from the LHZBG Unit. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample: UK3N). 78
- Figure 4.35. Dolomite grains (higher birefringence) occur along with talc and minor sulphides (black). The picture scale is 1000 microns. Taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample: UK61D). 79
- Figure 5.1. Study area located in upper left hand side of pit 3 (black dash line). Figure courtesy Nkomati Mine geological staff. 82
- Figure 5.2. Classification diagram for the four principal groups of amphiboles in the PCR Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 82
- Figure 5.3. Classification diagram for the four principal groups of amphiboles in the LHZBG Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 83
- Figure 5.4. Classification diagram for Fe-Mg-Mn group orthorhombic amphiboles in the PCR Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 84
- Figure 5.5. Classification diagram for Fe-Mg-Mn group orthorhombic amphiboles in the LHZBG Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 84
- Figure 5.6. Relict pokilitic texture in the PCR Unit. Serpentinised (srp) grains (top left, higher birefringence) probably after olivine and magnesio-anthophyllite (ath) (bottom right, low birefringence) probably after Mg-rich orthopyroxene grains from the PCR. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample; UK48I). 86
- Figure 5.7. Classification diagram for Fe-Mg-Mn Group Monoclinic amphiboles in the PCR Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 86

Figure 5.8. Classification diagram for Fe-Mg-Mn Group Monoclinic amphiboles in the LHZBG Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 87

Figure 5.9. Classification diagram for the calcic group of amphiboles where $ANa+AK<0.5;Ti<0.5$ in the PCR Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 88

Figure 5.10. Classification diagram for the calcic group of amphiboles where $ANa+AK<0.5;Ti<0.5$ in the LHZBG Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 88

Figure 5.11. Diopside grains entirely replaced by tremolite (tr), magnesio-hornblende (hbl) (acicular grains, high birefringence) in centre of view. Chlorite (chl) is associated with the tremolite and magnesio-hornblende grains and forms the surrounding matrix material. Tremolite also protrudes into a sulphide grain (black, bottom left). The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample: UK12H). 90

Figure 5.12. Classification diagram for the Calcic Group of amphiboles where $Ana+AK>0.5;Ti<0.5;Fe_3<Al_{vi}$ in the PCR Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 91

Figure 5.13. Classification diagram for the Calcic Group of amphiboles where $Ana+AK>0.5;Ti<0.5;Fe_3<Al_{vi}$ in the LHZBG Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 91

Figure 5.14. Classification diagram for the Calcic Group of amphiboles where $ANa+AK>0.5;Ti<0.5;Fe_3>Al_{vi}$ in the PCR Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 92

Figure 5.15. Classification diagram for the Calcic Group of amphiboles where $ANa+AK>0.5;Ti<0.5;Fe_3>Al_{vi}$ in the LHZBG Unit (After Hawthorn, 1981). 93

Figure 5.16. Olivine (ol) (yellow grain, centre of view), magnesio-hastingsite (hs) (light brown grain, to top right of olivine) and the combination blue-brown grains are mainly magnesio-hastingsite (hs) with minor edenite (ed). The low birefringence

mineral is chlorite (chl). The black mineral is a sulphide grain. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample: UK12J). 94

Figure 5.17. Pockets of chlorite (chl) (off-white) in diopside (di) grains (blue and brown) with associated subhedral pyrite (black) grains form the Lower Harzburgite Unit. Note the triple junctions between the diopside grains. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample: UK12J). 95

Figure 5.18. Chlorite classification diagram of Deer et al. (1972) showing chlorite grains analysed in the PCR Unit. 96

Figure 5.19. Chlorite classification diagram of Deer et al. (1972) showing chlorite grains analysed in the LHZBG Unit. 97

Figure 5.20. Chlorite classification diagram of Deer et al. (1972) showing chlorite grains analysed in the xenoliths from the LHZBG Unit. 97

Figure 5.21. Magnetite (mgt) (black) occurring as non-continuous stringers between serpentine (srp) visible in the grain on the left and almost completely pseudomorphically replacing olivine near the center of the picture. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample: UK48C). 102

Figure 5.22. Chromite (chr) grains that show the effect of alteration. The chromite (white) grains are partly replaced by magnetite (gray) in a talc-dolomite (tlc-dol) matrix. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with reflected light. (Sample; UK61C). 103

Figure 5.23. The alteration assemblage in the PCR, consisting of talc (tlc) (brown, low birefringence), chlorite (chl) (interstitial to chromite) and amphibole (amp) (high birefringence). Large to small euhedral chromite (chr) grains (black) are concentrated together. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample: UK12A). 104

Figure 5.24. Phlogopite (brown) blade associated with talc and chlorite. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron. Taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample: UK48G).

107

Figure 5.25. Granular pentlandite (pn) (light yellow) associated with tremolite (tr) blades (grey-black) intergrown with a pyrrhotite (po) grain (cream). The lines visible on the surface of the sulphide grain is due to poor polish. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron and it was taken with reflected light. (Sample; UK48L).

109

Figure 3.60. Anhedronal pyrite (py) exhibiting a pitted “orange-skin” texture. The pyrite is found in a matrix of talc (tlc) and dolomite (dol). The picture scale bar is 1000 micron and it was taken with reflected light. (Sample; UK61F).

119

Figure 6.1. The “clean xenolith” consist mainly of small diopside (di) (high birefringence minerals) and calcite (cal) (blue-grey low birefringence minerals) grains. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron and the image was taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample CS21).

122

Figure. 6.2. Photomicrograph showing large clinopyroxene (high birefringence) grains that has been uralitized (right side of picture) in contact with smaller, unaltered clinopyroxene grains (left side of picture). There is no compositional difference between the two textures. Also present are carbonate minerals (low birefringence) and sulphide (pyrite) grains (black). The picture scale bar is 1000 micron and the image was taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample UK44G).

123

Figure. 6.3. Photomicrograph showing slightly uralitized clinopyroxene (high birefringence) and carbonate (low birefringence) grains. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron and the image was taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample CS21).

123

Figure 6.4 Large grains of uralized clinopyroxene in a “contaminated” xenolith. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron and the image was taken with cross-polarized light. (Sample UK3N). 124

Figure 6.5. A highly altered “contaminated” xenolith. Pseudomorphous serpentine (fibrous white), magnetite (black stingers) after olivine and amphibole, chlorite (high to intermediate birefringence) after pyroxene respectively. Some phlogopite (phl) (brown mineral, top right) are also present in this section. The picture scale bar is 1000 micron and the image was taken with cross-polarised light. (Sample CS4). 125

Figure 6.6. Sharp contact between a clean xenolith and pyroxenite from the LHZBG in a quartered core sample. The contact is fine grained, dark and consists of a highly altered material. Where a “clean” xenolith can be seen on the right and pegmatoidal pyroxenite to the left. (Sample CS21). 126

Figure 6.7. A vein of pyroxenite penetrating a xenolith. On the contact a layer of uralized pyroxenes are developed. Disseminated sulphides may also be seen close to the contact. (Sample CS21). 126

Figure 6.8 An example of a pegmatoidal contact. The xenolith was situated to the left side (not sampled) of the sample. Large uralized clinopyroxene grains occur on the contact and extend away from the contact. Massive sulphides surround them. A large white calcite grain is also present. The pegmatoidal material grades into wehrlite on the far right hand side of the sample. (Sample CS13). 127

Figure 6.9. Blebby pyrite mineralization in a xenolith. The pyrite mineralization occurs along the preserved original bedding of the dolomite protolith. (Samples UK3R top and UK3S bottom). 127

Figure 6.10. The position of boreholes in the study area. The “talc-rich” zone is indicated by the dashed line (After L. Bradford, 1996). The inferred margins of the Uitkomst Complex are indicated by the solid black lines. To the left of the stripe-

dash line is the narrow part of the intrusion and to the right the broad part. The base of the picture represents approximately 2 kilometers. 132

Figure 6.11. Contour map indicating the percentage distribution xenoliths in the study area of the Complex. The graph was constructed using an interpolation routine included as part of the "AKIMA" package for the functional language "R" and based on Akima (1978). A false X- and Y-coordinate system is applied. 133

Figure 6.12. Contour map indicating the true thickness distribution of the LHZBG Unit in the study area of the Complex. The graph was constructed using an interpolation routine included as part of the "AKIMA" package for the functional language "R" and based on Akima (1978). A false X- and Y-coordinate system is applied. 134

Figure 6.13. Contour map indicating the true thickness distribution of the BGAB Unit in the study area of the Complex. The graph was constructed using an interpolation routine included as part of the "AKIMA" package for the functional language "R" and based on Akima (1978). A false X- and Y-coordinate system is applied 135

Figure 7.1 Outline of the open pits planned as part of the extension programme. The extent of the study area is indicated. The high alteration mineral assemblage area is indicated by the dashed line. Figure courtesy Nkomati Mine. 143

Figure 7.2. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK12. The LOI value with height is also presented. 144

Figure 7.3. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK20. The LOI value with height is also presented. 145

- Figure 7.4. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK32. The LOI value with height is also presented. 146
- Figure 7.5. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK44. The LOI value with height is also presented. 147
- Figure 7.6. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK48. The LOI value with height is also presented 148
- Figure 7.7. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK57. The LOI value with height is also presented. 149
- Figure 7.8. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK61. The LOI value with height is also presented 150
- Figure 7.9. Bar-graph indicating the relationship between talc, amphibole and chlorite, as well as the variation of these minerals with height in borehole UK68. The LOI value with height is also presented. 151
- Figure 7.10. The average alteration mineral abundance, expressed in weight percent, in a partial longitudinal section through the Uitkomst Complex in the BGAB Unit. From UK20 (NW) to UK 48 (SE). 152
- Figure 7.11. The average alteration mineral abundance, expressed in weight percent, in a partial longitudinal section through the Uitkomst Complex in the LHZBG Unit. From UK20 (NW) to UK 61 (SE). 153
- Figure 7.12. The average alteration mineral, expressed in weight percent, in a partial transverse section through the Uitkomst Complex in the LHZBG Unit. From UK68 (N) to UK57 (S). 154

Figure 7.13. The average alteration mineral, expressed in percent, in a partial longitudinal section through the Uitkomst Complex in the PCR Unit. From UK20 (NW) to UK61 (SE).

155

Figure 7.14. The average alteration mineral, expressed in percent, in a partial longitudinal section through the Uitkomst Complex in the PCR Unit. From UK68 (N) to UK57 (S).

155

Figure 7.15. A histogram comparison (of a partial longitudinal section) between the percentage primary and alteration minerals in the LHZBG and PCR Units. The percentage xenoliths that forms part of the LHZBG Unit is also presented. The thickness of unassimilated Malmani dolomite and quartzite is also given. The thickness of MCR Unit is also included.

160

Figure 7.16. A histogram comparison (of a partial transverse section) between the percentage primary and alteration minerals in the LHZBG and PCR Units. The percentage xenoliths that forms part of the LHZBG Unit is also presented. The thickness of unassimilated Malmani dolomite and quartzite is also given. The thickness of MCR Unit is also included

160

Figure 8.1. Isocon diagram for the LHZBG Unit using sample UK68G as Ca and based on sample UK12J, as unaltered composition Co. The solid line indicates the Zr-isocon line. All elements marked with an asterix are to be considered semi-quantitative

165

Figure 8.2. Isocon diagram for the xenoliths from the LHZBG Unit using sample UK30 as Ca and based on sample SH176, as unaltered composition Co. The solid line indicates the Zr-isocon line. All elements marked with an asterix are to be considered semi-quantitative

172

Figure 8.3. Isocon diagram for the PCR using sample UK32B as Ca and based on sample UK12D, as unaltered composition Co. The solid line indicates the Zr-isocon line. All elements marked with an asterisk are to be considered semi-quantitative.

175

Figure 8.4: Pseudo-section for UK20G T-X(CO₂).

185

Figure 8.5: Pseudo-section for UK20G T-X(CO₂).

186

Figure 8.6: Pseudo-section for UK48Q T-M(H₂O).

187

Figure 8.7: Pseudo-section for UK48Q T-X(CO₂).

188

Figure 8.8: Pseudo-section for UK44C T-M(H₂O).

190

Figure 8.9: Pseudo-section for UK44C T-X(CO₂).

191

Figure 8.10: Pseudo-section for UK44C T-X(CO₂).

192

Figure 8.11: Pseudo-section for UK61B T-M(H₂O).

193

Figure 8.12: Pseudo-section for UK61B T-X(CO₂).

194

Figure 8.13: Pseudo-section for UK61B T-X(CO₂).

195

Figure 10.1. Legend to all diagrams in this section.

244

Figure 10.2 (top). Initial intrusion of the PCR and MHZBG magma, between the Bevets Conglomerate and Oaktree Formations. This led to the formation of the first skarn and hornfels aureoles. Figure is looking down the conduit in a northwesterly direction

245

Figure 10.3 (bottom). Intrusion of the initial pulses of the magma that formed the PCR and MHZBG Unit. Deposition of the chromitite layers and schlieren in the PCR. Rapid expansion of the MHZBG into the overlying shale roof rocks continue and minor hornfels development. Devolatilization of the underlying Malmani

Formation start and the fluid generated is expelled by the movement of magma in the conduit. 245

Figure 10.4. The upward expansion of the MHZBG Unit and localized broadening of the conduit resulted in the deposition of the MCR Unit. Further development of the thin hornfels aureole continue. Sulphide saturation is reached and droplets accumulate in a disseminated manner in the PCR Unit. Sulphide saturation is not attained in the MHZBG and only minor sulphide segregation takes place.

246

Figure 10.5. Intrusion of the LHZB G magma. Intrusion of the LHZBG magma scoured the bottom of the PCR Unit. The formation of extensive skarn along the margin and specifically in the lower third of the Unit take place. Sulphide saturation of the magma is reached. Devolitization of the country rock and included xenoliths take place. The fluid migrates upward and outward. The fluid migrates up to the PCR unit were it leaves the system less efficiently. Talc and secondary dolomite is stabilized.

246

Figure 10.6. The passive emplacement of the LHZBG results in the preservation of the undisturbed calc-silicate xenoliths in the lower third of the intrusion. Devolitization of the country rock and included xenoliths continue. The resulting fluid migrates from the LHZBG, leaving the residual fluid more hydrous. This results in retrograde metasomatism of the overlying mafic minerals.

247

Figure 10.7. The BGAG Unit is emplaced. This unit is less mafic and interacts to a far lesser extent with the country rock. Devolitization of the country rock results in the alteration mineral assemblages observed in this unit. The fluid in the LHZBG is more hydrous, whereas the fluid in the PCR is more CO₂-rich

247

Figure 10.8. The Uitkomst Complex is intruded by diabase dykes and sills. The Complex suffers tectonic deformation. The Complex is weathered down to be exposed in its current form 248

Figure 10.9. An idealized representation of the hydrothermal circulation system operating in the Uitkomst Complex 250

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. The stratigraphy of the lower part of the Transvaal Supergroup around the Uitkomst Complex.	11
Table 2.2 Stratigraphic classification of the R.L.S. (Adapted after SACS, 1980)	15
Table 2.3. Lithological Subdivision of the Uitkomst Complex.	19
Table 4.1. Comparison of chromite grains from the PCR Unit.	72
Table 5.1 Amphibole minerals in the PCR and LHZBG Units.	81
Table 5.2. Classification of chlorite in the different units.	98
Table 5.3. The average chlorite composition in the PCR, LHZBG and xenoliths from the LHZBG Units.	99
Table 5.4. The average composition of serpentine from the PCR Unit in different boreholes	101
Table 5.5. Secondary magnetite grains from the PCR Unit.	102
Table 5.6. Variation in composition of talc from the PCR and LHZBG Units	106
Table 5.7. Average composition of phlogopite from the LHZBG Unit	108
Table 5.8. Average composition of phlogopite from the PCR Unit	108
Table 5.9. The Fe/Mg ratio of phlogopite from the LHZBG and PCR Units	108

Table 6.1. The thickness of the area between xenoliths is indicated under the borehole number from the top to the base of the LHZBG Unit and the hours (calculated for 2mm/hour) indicated in bold	130
Table 7.1. The average alteration mineral per borehole in the BGAG Unit.	152
Table 7.2. The average alteration mineral per borehole in the LHZBG Unit.	152
Table 7.3. The average alteration mineral per borehole in the PCR Unit.	154
Table 8.1.A. The increase and decrease of mobile elements, relative to the Zr-isocon (expressed as delta values) for the LHZBG Unit. Sample UK12J is used as Co	166
Table 8.2 B. The increase and decrease of elements with high mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon (expressed as delta values) for the LHZBG Unit. Sample UK12J is used as Co	166
Table 8.1.C. The increase and decrease of elements with medium mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the LHZBG Unit. Sample UK12J is used as Co	167
Table 8.1.D. The increase and decrease of elements with low mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the LHZBG Unit. Sample UK12J is used as Co	168
Table 8.1.E. The increase and decrease of immobile elements, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the LHZBG Unit. Sample UK12J is used as Co	169

Table 8.2.A. The increase and decrease of mobile elements, relative to the Zr-isocon (expressed as delta values) for the xenoliths in the LHZBG Unit. Sample SH176 is used as Co 173

Table 8.2.B. The increase and decrease of elements with high mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon (expressed as delta values) for the xenoliths LHZBG Unit. Sample SH176 is used as Co 173

Table 8.2.C. The increase and decrease of elements with medium mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the xenoliths from the LHZBG Unit. Sample SH176 is used as Co 173

Table 8.2.D. The increase and decrease of elements with low mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the xenoliths from the LHZBG Unit. Sample SH176 is used as Co 173

Table 8.2.E. The increase and decrease of immobile elements, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the xenoliths from the LHZBG Unit. Sample SH176 is used as Co 174

Table 8.3.A. The increase and decrease of mobile elements, relative to the Zr-isocon (expressed as delta values) for the PCR Unit. Sample UK12D is used as Co 176

Table 8.3.B. The increase and decrease of elements with high mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon (expressed as delta values) for the PCR Unit. Sample UK12D is used as Co 176

Table 8.3.C. The increase and decrease of elements with low mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the PCR Unit. Sample UK12D is used as Co 177

Table 8.3.D. The increase and decrease of elements with low mobility, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the PCR Unit. Sample UK12D is used as Co 178

Table 8.3.E. The increase and decrease of immobile elements, relative to the Zr-isocon, (expressed as delta values) for the PCR Unit. Sample UK12D is used as Co

179

Table 8.4. Definitions of abbreviations used in pseudo-section models.

183

Table 9.1. Sulfur isotope ($\delta^{34}\text{S}$) for country rocks around the Uitkomst Intrusion (Li et al., 2002).

219