

**Sorghum and maize grain hardness: Their measurement and
factors influencing hardness**

By

Constance Chiremba

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

PhD

Food Science

In the

Department of Food Science

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

South Africa

JULY 2012

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis submitted at the University of Pretoria for the award of PhD degree is my work and has not been submitted by me for a degree at any other university or institution of higher learning.

Constance Chiremba



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my late father for his inspiration, my mother on her 60th birthday, for all her strength and encouragement and to Thandekile for the sacrifices I made to pursue my dream.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof J. R. N. Taylor for his excellent guidance and encouragement throughout my study especially during the most difficult and trying times. I also thank my co-supervisors Prof L. W. Rooney and Prof T. Beta for their guidance and opportunity to conduct research in their laboratories.

I am indebted to the International Sorghum and Millet Collaborative Research Support Program (INTSORMIL), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant program and the Agricultural Research Council for funding my studies.

I am grateful of the support from my manager at the Agricultural Research Council, Dr J. E. A. Symaan-du Toit for the opportunity and time offered me to work on my studies.

I am grateful Ms T. Matsela, Ms J. Sebidi, Ms G. Moloto and Mr M. B. Molebatsi, the technical staff of the Grain Quality Laboratory at the Grain Crops Institute of the Agricultural Research Council for their technical assistance with sorghum and maize grain hardness cultivar evaluation.

I am grateful to the technical staff of the Cereal Quality Laboratory at Texas A&M University and the University of Manitoba for their assistance with instrumentation and grain quality evaluation throughout the stages of my research.

My appreciation is also extended to Dr M. Siwela of the University of KwaZulu-Natal for assisting with microscopy of sorghum grain and malt.

Special thanks to many friends and colleagues at the University of Pretoria, Texas A&M University, University of Manitoba and the Agricultural Research Council for their support during my studies from whom I learnt a lot.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my mother, my sisters and Thandekile for their unwavering support, and for believing in me.

Finally, I thank God for the strength and wisdom to overcome the most difficult challenges in my life until the completion of my work.

ABSTRACT

Sorghum and maize grain hardness: Their measurement and factors influencing hardness

By

Constance Chiremba

Supervisor: Prof J. R. N. Taylor

Co-supervisors: Prof L. W. Rooney

Prof T. Beta

Sorghum and maize grain hardness is a very important criterion as grain hardness affects milling yield and product quality. There are several techniques that are used to determine grain hardness but the relationship between these techniques for distinguishing hardness in commercial sorghum and maize cultivars is not known. Moreover, the role of sorghum grain hardness with respect to malting performance is not understood, as is the role of phenolics in sorghum and maize hardness. Therefore this study investigated the relationships between sorghum and maize hardness techniques, and the influence of sorghum grain modification during malting and sorghum and maize phenolics on the hardness of these cereals.

A study to determine the relationships between techniques used to measure hardness in commercial sorghum and maize cultivars was done in terms of decortication using the Tangential Abrasive Dehulling Device (TADD) (percentage kernel removed), Near Infrared Transmittance (NIT) Milling Index (MI), test weight (TW), thousand kernel weight (TKW), kernel size (KS), stress cracking (SC) and susceptibility to breakage (SB). It was found that not all grain quality techniques were related to each other. In non-tannin sorghum, TADD hardness, TW, TKW and kernel size > 3.35 mm were correlated and can be used to select for

hardness. In maize, TADD hardness, NIT Milling Index and TW would be suitable for hardness evaluation.

The influence of malting on sorghum hardness was monitored for a period of five days following steeping. The results showed that hardness parameters including pycnometer density, floaters, TADD hardness, TKW, Single Kernel Characterisation System-Hardness Index (SKCS-HI) reduced drastically by Day 2 of malting. TADD hardness was not correlated with Diastatic Power (DP), which could be attributed to inefficient decortication due to the softening of the grain outer layers, reduced dry matter (malting loss), loss of kernel orientation and endosperm collapse during endosperm modification. However, sorghum with high DP corresponded with low values of the measured hardness parameters. Thus, in sorghum with high DP amylases accessed the starchy endosperm faster, hence the decrease in hardness. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) confirmed that modification was influenced by amylase activity and cultivars with low amylase modified slower than those with high amylase. Hence, amylase activity was more influential in malt hardness than original grain hardness.

The phenolic acids in sorghum and maize bran and flour fractions were determined using HPLC-MS/MS. The phenolic acid content of the grain fractions was correlated with the grain hardness values. Maize bran ferulic acid content was more strongly correlated with TADD hardness but with sorghum, the relationship was weaker. Using HPLC-MS/MS, four diferulic acids were identified in sorghum and maize bran namely 8-5', 5-5', 8-O-4' and 8-5'-benzofuran form in quantities at least seven times less than ferulic acid. However, there was no correlation found between diferulic acids and hardness properties of both cereals.

This study shows that TADD hardness and TW are an excellent way of estimating both sorghum and maize hardness that can be applied for cultivar evaluation. The study indicates that two days of malting would be sufficient to obtain malt suitable for milling. Ferulic acid of maize and sorghum bran seems to influence grain hardness of these cereals probably through cross-linking to arabinoxylan chains in the pericarp, hence reinforcing cell wall strength.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Sorghum and maize kernel structures	3
2.1.1 Endosperm	4
2.1.2 Pericarp	6
2.1.3 Germ	8
2.2 Research into methods for measuring sorghum and maize hardness	8
2.2.1 Destructive methods	8
2.2.1.1 Abrasive milling	8
2.2.1.2 Pasting	10
2.2.1.3 Endosperm texture	11
2.2.2 Non-destructive methods	12
2.2.2.1 Near infrared spectroscopy	13

2.2.2.2 Translucency.....	14
2.2.2.3 Test weight	16
2.2.2.4 Kernel size	17
2.3 Sorghum and maize proteins and their influence on grain hardness	17
2.4 The influence of grain hardness on porridge quality	18
2.5 Changes in sorghum and maize starch as they relate to grain hardness	19
2.6 Grain modification during malting and the effect of hardness on malt quality.....	20
2.7 Sorghum and maize phenolic acids and their role in grain hardness.....	22
2.7.1 Mechanisms of cross linking of phenolic acids to cell walls and their influence on grain hardness	23
2.8 CONCLUSIONS	25
3 HYPOTHESES AND OBJECTIVES	27
3.1 HYPOTHESES.....	27
3.2 OBJECTIVES.....	28
4 RESEARCH.....	29
4.1 Relationships between Simple Grain Quality Parameters for the Estimation of Sorghum and Maize Hardness in Commercial Hybrid Cultivars.....	29
4.1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	31
4.1.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	32
4.1.2.1 Materials	32
4.1.2.2 Methods	34
4.1.2.3 Statistical analyses.....	37
4.1.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	37

4.1.3.1 Physical and hardness properties of sorghum and maize cultivars with a wide range of properties	37
4.1.3.2 Commercial sorghum physical and hardness properties	42
4.1.3.4 Physical and hardness properties of commercial maize cultivars	55
4.1.4 CONCLUSIONS	69
4.1.5 LITERATURE CITED.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2 Relationship between sorghum and maize grain hardness, porridges and sorghum malt modification.....	76
4.2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	78
4.2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	79
4.2.2.1 Samples.....	79
4.2.2.2 Malting	79
4.2.2.3 Physical sorghum and maize grain characteristics	80
4.2.2.4 Viscosity.....	80
4.2.2.4 Porridge texture measurements	81
4.2.2.5 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).....	81
4.2.2.6 Statistical analyses.....	82
4.2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	82
4.2.3.1 Pasting properties of sorghum grain flours and textural properties of their porridges..	82
4.2.3.2 Pasting Properties of Maize Flours and Texture of their Porridges	86
4.2.3.3 Changes in grain hardness during sorghum malting	89
4.2.3.4 Modification of the sorghum kernel during malting	94

4.2.3.5 The effect of sorghum grain hardness on malt modification.....	97
4.2.3.6 The effect of malting on pasting properties of sorghum malt flours and on the texture of malt porridges made from cultivars varying in hardness.	106
4.2.4 CONCLUSIONS	108
4.2.5 LITERATURE CITED.....	109
4.3 Phenolic acid content composition of sorghum and maize cultivars varying in hardness	114
4.3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	116
4.3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	117
4.3.2.1 Samples.....	117
4.3.2.2 Physical and hardness tests.....	117
4.3.2.3 Sample preparation.....	117
4.3.2.4 Total phenolic content (TPC).....	118
4.3.2.5 Extraction of bound phenolic acids	118
4.3.2.6 HPLC-MS/MS analysis	119
4.3.2.7 Statistical analyses.....	119
4.3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	120
4.3.3.1 Physical and hardness characteristics of sorghum and maize cultivars.....	120
4.3.3.2 Total phenolic content of sorghum and maize bran and flour methanolic extracts.....	120
4.3.3.3 Phenolic acid composition of sorghum and maize cultivars	124
4.3.3.4 Bound phenolic acids of sorghum bran and flour fractions.....	125
4.3.3.5 Bound phenolic acids of maize bran and flour fractions	127
4.3.3.6 Identification and quantification of sorghum and maize diferulic acids	129

4.3.3.7 Relationship between phenolic acids of sorghum and maize with grain hardness parameters.....	135
4.3.4 CONCLUSIONS	138
4.3.5 LITERATURE CITED.....	139
5 GENERAL DISCUSSION	143
5.1 METHODOLOGIES	143
5.2 RESEARCH FINDINGS.....	149
6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	159
7 LITERATURE CITED	161
8 APPENDIX	180

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 4.1.1 Simple Methods used for Grain Quality Evaluation, their Advantages, Disadvantages and Applicability	33
TABLE 4.1.2 Physical and Hardness Properties of the Sorghum Cultivars of Different Types	39
TABLE 4.1.3 Physical and Hardness Properties of Maize Cultivars.....	40
TABLE 4.1.4 Correlation Matrix of Physical and Hardness Properties of Sorghum Cultivars	40
TABLE 4.1.5 Correlation Matrix of Physical and Hardness Properties of Maize Cultivars	41
TABLE 4.1.6 Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Test Weight (TW) (kg/hl) of Red, Non-tannin Sorghum Cultivars.....	43
TABLE 4.1.7. Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Thousand Kernel Weight (TKW) (g) of Red, Non-tannin Sorghum Cultivars	44
TABLE 4.1.8a Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Kernel Size (% kernels retained on a 4.00 mm round hole sieve) of Red, Non-tannin Sorghum Cultivars.....	46
TABLE 4.1.8b Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Kernel Size (% kernels retained on a 3.35 mm round hole sieve) of Red, Non-tannin Sorghum Cultivars.....	47
TABLE 4.1.8c Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Kernel Size (% kernels retained on a 3.15 mm round hole sieve) of Red, Non-tannin Sorghum Cultivars.....	48
TABLE 4.1.8d Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Kernel Size (% kernels retained on a 2.36 mm round hole sieve) of Red, Non-tannin Sorghum Cultivars.....	49
TABLE 4.1.9 Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Kernel Hardness as Measured by the TADD (% kernel removed) of Red, Non-tannin Sorghum Cultivars.....	51

TABLE 4.1.10 Mean Squares for Cultivar and Locality Effects on Thousand Kernel Weight, Test Weight, Kernel Size Distribution and Kernel Removal by TADD Decortication of Non-Tannin and Condensed Tannin Sorghum Cultivars Grown in Six Localities.....	52
TABLE 4.1.11 Pearson Correlation Coefficients between Test Weight, Thousand Kernel Weight, Kernel Size Distribution and TADD Kernel Removal of Non-Tannin and Condensed Sorghum Cultivars Grown in Six Localities	53
TABLE 4.1.12 Effects of Cultivar and Locality on One Thousand Kernel Weight (TKW) (g) of Maize Cultivars	57
TABLE 4.1.13 Effects Cultivar and Location on Test Weight (TW) (kg/hl) of Maize Cultivars	58
TABLE 4.1.14 Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Maize Kernel Size (% kernels retained on 8mm opening sieve)	60
TABLE 4.1.15 Effects of Cultivar and Location on Kernel Breakage Susceptibility of Maize Cultivars (%) as Measured by the Stein Breakage Test	62
TABLE 4.1.16a Effects of Locality and Cultivar on Stress Cracks (SC) (%) of Maize Cultivars	63
TABLE 4.1.16b Effects of Cultivar and Location on Stress Crack Index (SCI) of Maize Cultivars	64
TABLE 4.1.17 Effects of Cultivar and Locality on Kernel Hardness as Measured by the TADD (% kernel removed), of Maize Cultivars	65
TABLE 4.1.18 Effects of Cultivar and Locality on NIT Milling Index of Maize Cultivars.....	66
TABLE 4.1.19 Mean Squares for Cultivar and Location Effects on Test Weight, Breakage Susceptibility, Kernel Size, Stress Cracking, Thousand Kernel Weight, TADD Kernel Removal and NIT Milling Index of Maize Cultivars Grown in Four Localities	67

TABLE 4.1.20 Pearson Correlation Coefficients between Test Weight, Breakage Susceptibility, Kernel Size, Stress Cracking, Thousand Kernel Weight, TADD Kernel Removal and NIT Milling Index of Maize Cultivars Grown in Four Localities.....	68
TABLE 4.2.1 TX-XT2i Texture Analyser Settings for Determination of Firmness and Stickiness of Porridges	82
TABLE 4.2.2 Pasting Properties of Sorghum Flours and Two Day Malted Sorghum	84
TABLE 4.2.3 Firmness and Stickiness of Sorghum Grain Porridges and of One Day Malted Sorghum	85
TABLE 4.2.4 Pasting Properties of Maize Flours.....	88
TABLE 4.2.5 Firmness and Stickiness of Porridges Prepared from Maize Flours.....	89
TABLE 4.2.6 Malting Properties of Sorghum Cultivars Varying in Hardness.....	91
TABLE 4.2.7 Effect of Malting Time on Hardness of Sorghum Malt.....	95
TABLE 4.3.1a Physical and Hardness Characteristics of Sorghum	121
TABLE 4.3.1b Physical and Hardness Characteristics of Maize Cultivars	122
TABLE 4.3.2 Total Phenolic Content of Sorghum and Maize Bran and Flour Fractions	123
TABLE 4.3.3a Bound Phenolic Acids of Sorghum Bran and Flour Fractions	126
TABLE 4.3.3b Bound Phenolic Acids of Maize Bran and Flour Fractions	128
TABLE 4.3.4a Pearson Correlation Coefficients between Sorghum Physical and Hardness Characteristics and Phenolic Acids of Bran and Flour Fractions	136
TABLE 4.3.4b Pearson Correlation Coefficients between Maize Physical and Hardness Characteristics and Phenolic Acids of Bran and Flour Fractions	137

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig 2.1a. Longitudinal section of a sorghum kernel.....	4
Fig 2.1b. Longitudinal section of a maize kernel	5
Fig 2.2. Scanning electron micrograph of a tannin sorghum pericarp	7
Fig 2.3. A 3-point rating system for evaluating sorghum endosperm texture.....	12
Fig 2.4. Chemical structures of some of the diferulic acids found in sorghum and maize	24
Fig 2.5. Proposed scheme for the formation ester-ether bridges between polysaccharides and lignin in cell walls	25
Fig 4.1.1. Factor coordinates of the first two principal components (PC) for non-tannin sorghums with respect to test weight (TW), thousand kernel weight (TKW), kernel size (KS) fractions and Tangential Abrasive Dehulling Device (TADD) (% kernel removed) properties...54	54
Fig 4.1.2. Factor coordinates of the first two principal components (PC) for condensed tannin sorghums with respect to test weight (TW), thousand kernel weight (TKW), kernel size (KS) fractions and Tangential Abrasive Dehulling Device (TADD) (% kernel removed) properties...55	55
Fig 4.1.3. Factor coordinates of the first two principal components (PC) for maize with respect to test weight (TW), Stein Breakage (SB), stress cracks (SC), stress cracking index (SCI) thousand kernel weight (TKW), kernel size (KS), Tangential Abrasive Dehulling Device (TADD) (% kernel removed) and NIT Milling Index properties.....	69
Fig 4.2.1. Pasting profiles of flours from sorghum cultivars varying in hardness	85
Fig 4.2.2. Firmness and stickiness of porridges prepared from flours of sorghums with a wide range of hardness and physical properties.....	86
Fig 4.2.3. Pasting profiles of flours from maize cultivars with a wide range of physical and hardness properties	88

Fig 4.2.4. Firmness and stickiness of porridges prepared from flours of maize cultivars with a wide range of hardness and physical properties	90
Fig 4.2.5. (A-B) Light micrographs of longitudinal sections of PAN 8648 (intermediate) and PAN 8247 (hard) showing the pericarp (P), corneous endosperm (CE), floury endosperm (FE) and the germ (G).....	93
Fig 4.2.6. SEM of (i) pericarp, (ii) corneous endosperm and (iii) floury endosperm sections of sorghum that had been malted for up to 5 days following steeping.	96
Fig 4.2.7. Light micrographs of longitudinal sections of sorghum grain of different hardness that had been malted for up to 3 days following steeping.....	100
Fig 4.2.8. SEM of longitudinal sections of sorghum grain of different hardness that had been malted for up to 3 days following steeping.	101
Fig 4.2.9. SEM of proximal sections of sorghum grain of different hardness that had been malted for up to 3 days following steeping.	102
Fig 4.2.10. SEM of middle sections of sorghum grain of different hardness that had been malted for up to 3 days following steeping.	103
Fig 4.2.11. SEM of distal sections of sorghum grain of different hardness that had been malted for up to 3 days following steeping.	104
Fig 4.2.12. SEM of (i) distal, (ii) middle and (iii) proximal sections of sorghum grain of different hardness that had been malted for up to 5 days following steeping.	105
Fig 4.2.13. Effect of malting on the pasting profiles of flours obtained from sorghum grains with a wide range of hardness and physical properties, malted for one day (A) and two days (B).....	107
Fig 4.2.14. Firmness and stickiness of porridges prepared from sorghums flours of grain malted for one day	108
Fig 4.3.1. Chromatogram of caffeic acid, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, ferulic acid and sinapic acid	124

Fig 4.3.2. Selected ion chromatogram at m/z 385 with four of the identified diferulic acids namely 8-8', 5-5', 8-O-4' and 8-5'benzofuran form, respectively from the sorghum cultivar PAN 8902.	130
Fig 4.3.3a. MS/MS spectra of 8-5' diferulic acid from the sorghum cultivar PAN 8902.....	131
Fig 4.3.3b. MS/MS spectra of 5-5' diferulic acid from the sorghum cultivar PAN 8902.....	132
Fig 4.3.3c. MS/MS spectra of 8-O-4' diferulic acid from the sorghum cultivar PAN 8902.....	133
Fig 4.3.3d. MS/MS spectra 8-5'benzofuran form diferulic acid from the sorghum cultivar PAN 8902.	134
Fig 5.1a-e. Illustration of the shearing of pericarp and endosperm layers, and breakage of ferulic acid (FA) and diferulic acid (DFA) linkages during TADD decortication of hard and soft grains.	152
Fig 5.2. Illustration of the possible ferulic acid linkages with the arabinoxylan chains in the aleurone layer cell walls	154
Fig 5.3. Illustration of the possible mechanisms of ferulic acid (FA) and <i>p</i> -coumaric acid (<i>p</i> -CoA) linkages with arabinoxylan chains and lignin in the pericarp cell walls	155