

**The relevance of ecosystems to ecotourism in the Waterberg
Biosphere Reserve**

by

Barend Johannes Henning

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree

Philosophiae Doctor

in the Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Sciences

Department of Botany

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

Promotor: Prof. Dr. G. J. Bredenkamp

November 2002

ABSTRACT

The relevance of ecosystems to ecotourism in the Waterberg Biosphere Reserve

by

Barend Johannes Henning

Promotor: Prof. Dr. G. J. Bredenkamp

Department of Botany

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor

The Waterberg area, Limpopo Province, South Africa, is still one of the unexplored areas of South Africa. It holds great potential as an ecotourist destination, especially considering the many nature reserves and game farms within the area. The promotion of these ecotourist destinations within the area can therefore not be underestimated. The newly declared Waterberg Biosphere Reserve (previously known as the Waterberg Nature Conservancy) stretches over an area of 150 000 hectares and have many conservation areas preserving a high diversity of natural resources. The Biosphere Reserve plays an important role in conservation and sustainable development and currently, other research projects are undertaken involving these aspects. This study was performed to identify different aspects of ecosystems (e. g. physical conditions, plant communities, landscapes, mammal and bird habitats) which can enhance the potential of ecotourism within the relatively unknown area.

Twelve major plant communities were identified within the Biosphere Reserve. The data, from which the analysis was done, were obtained from previous phytosociological studies and additional field surveys were performed in unexplored areas. The major plant communities formed the basis from which other analyses were performed, emphasizing the value of vegetation science in environmental planning and analysis. Tree and shrub species were analyzed as ecotourist attractions within the plant communities according to specific attributes they possess (e. g. medicinal properties, flowers, food source). Six ecozones were identified as a mosaic of

different plant communities within a homogenous landscape, and it is suggested that they should be incorporated as ecological management units as part of an ecological management plan of the Biosphere Reserve. These ecozones each include specific mammal (5 types) or bird (7 types) habitat types, providing the living conditions preferred by the specific species. The major plant communities provided the basis for the identification of these habitat types. The importance to conserve these habitats, especially of species on the red data listed, threatened species, and the importance of monitoring projects are emphasized in the study.

The plant communities were also identified for their specific value to the ecotourism industry. The vegetation structure and species composition of plant communities will often determine which mammal or bird species can be viewed by tourists while participating in activities such as game drives in the habitat types.

A tourism booklet providing tourists with information on the tourist attractions and ecotourist destinations within the Biosphere Reserve is planned, to promote the area as a tourist destination in the future. The study also provides the basis for many possible research projects in the ecological and ecotourism fields in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank:

- Prof. G. J. Bredenkamp for motivation and guidance during the execution of the project
- The African Vegetation and Plant Diversity Research Centre and National Research Fund for financing the project
- The Avian Demography Unit (University of Cape Town) for supplying grid data from the Bird Atlas Project on birds and their habitat preferences
- Dr. Belinda Reyers and the Conservation Planning Unit, Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of Pretoria, for supplying grid data on mammals
- The following persons at the Department of Botany:
 - ❖ Mr. T. Mostert for help during field surveys
 - ❖ Mrs. F. Siebert for assistance and advice during the vegetation classification
 - ❖ Mr. A. Grobler for assistance during GIS mapping
- Mr. Jurg van Loggrenberg, Limpopo Nature Conservation, and Mrs. Annemie de Klerk, Department of Environmental Affairs, Limpopo Province, for their interest and advice during the planning and execution of the project
- The owners and reserve managers of properties visited for their help and assistance during surveys on their properties
- The Department of Botany for supplying vehicles with which surveys were performed
- The South African Weather Bureau for supplying rainfall and temperature data for the study area
- My parents for their support and encouragement throughout the duration of the study
- My Creator for giving me the strength and willpower to execute this project

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACTii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv

TABLE OF CONTENTSv

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION1

 1.1 Motivation for Study 1

 1.2 Objectives of Study4

 1.3 Scope and Structuring of Dissertation 5

 1.4 References8

CHAPTER 2

**TOURISM AND NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND
MANAGEMENT IN PERSEPCTIVE10**

 2.1 Introduction 10

 2.2 The Tourism Phenomenon 10

 2.3 Tourism Worldwide 11

 2.4 Tourism in South Africa 12

 2.4.1 Strengths and weaknesses of tourism in South Africa 13

 2.4.2 The tourism industry in South Africa 14

 2.4.3 Tourist market segments in South Africa 14

 2.4.4 Ecotourism 15

 2.5 Trends in Natural Resource Management 15

 2.5.1 The importance of Sustainable Development 16

 2.5.2 The Human Presence 17

 2.5.3 Conservation of Biodiversity 18

 2.5.4 Ecosystem Management 19

 2.5.5 Ecotourism, Conservation and Sustainable Development..... 20

 2.6 Conservation of the Southern African Savannas 22

 2.6.1 Biodiversity 22

 2.6.2 National Parks and Reserves 25

 2.6.3 The Concept of Ecozones 27

2.6.4 The Waterberg area as ecotourist destination	29
2.7 The concept of biosphere reserves.....	31
2.7.1 Management of biosphere reserves.....	33
2.7.2 Socio-economic issues	34
2.7.3 Government Policies	35
2.7.4 Ecological Importance	36
2.7.5 Tourism within biosphere reserves	38
2.7.6 South Africa's biosphere reserves	39
2.7.7 The Waterberg Biosphere Reserve	39
2.8 References.....	41

CHAPTER 3

THE STUDY AREA	47
3.1 Introduction	47
3.2 Geology	47
3.3 Geomorphology	53
3.4 Soils	55
3.5 Vegetation.....	57
3.6 Climate	61
3.6.1 Rainfall	62
3.6.2 Temperature	64
3.7 References.....	65

CHAPTER 4

CLASSIFICATION OF THE PLANT COMMUNITIES OF THE WATERBERG BIOSPHERE RESERVE	67
4.1 Introduction	67
4.2 Methods	68
4.2.1 Introduction	68
4.2.2 Data Collection	68
4.2.3 Field Surveys	68
4.2.4 Database	69
4.2.5 Classification	70
4.3 Results and Discussion	72

4.3.1 Classification and Hierarchy	72
4.3.2 Description of the major plant communities of the Waterberg Biosphere Reserve	75
1. The <i>Fuirena pubescens-Andropogon huilensis</i> Sponge Community	75
2. The <i>Phragmites Australis-Persicaria serrulata</i> Vlei Community....	76
3. The <i>Podocarpus latifolius-Diospyros whyteana</i> Kloof Community	79
4. The <i>Protea caffra-Loudetia simplex</i> Cool Slopes and Escarpment Crest Community	82
5. The <i>Diplorhynchus condylocarpon-Englerophyton</i> <i>magalismontanum</i> Rocky Slope Community	86
6. The <i>Burkea africana-Setaria sphacelata</i> Undulating Plains, Footslopes, Terraces and Plateaus Community	88
7. The <i>Terminalia sericea-Eragrostis pallens</i> Deep Sandy Lowlands Community	91
8. The <i>Cynodon dactylon-Dichrostachys cinerea</i> Old Fields and Disturbed Areas Community	94
9. The <i>Dombeya rotundifolia-Panicum maximum</i> Sweet Rocky Community	96
10. The <i>Acacia tortilis-Panicum maximum-Ziziphus mucronata</i> Termitaria and Encroached Areas Community	100
11. The <i>Acacia nigrescens-Grewia flava</i> Plains Community	103
12. The <i>Setaria incrassata-Aristida bipartita</i> Vertic Clay Community..	104
4.4 Conclusion	106
4.5 References	120

CHAPTER 5

IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF ECOZONES FOR TOURISM

IN THE WATERBERG BIOSPHERE RESERVE

5.1 Introduction	126
5.2 Methods	127
5.2.1 Field Surveys	127
5.2.2 GIS Mapping	128

5.3 Results and Discussion	129
5.3.1 Identification of Ecozones	129
5.3.2 Description of Ecozones	129
1. <i>Protea-Englerophyton</i> High Altitude Escarpment Ecozone	132
2. <i>Diplorhynchus-Burkea</i> Rolling Mountain Ecozone.....	138
3. <i>Terminalia-Combretum</i> Undulating Plains Ecozone.....	143
4. <i>Acacia-Combretum-Terminalia</i> Mosaic Plains Ecozone	147
5. Arid Sweet Low Mountains and Plains Ecozone	151
6. Nylsvley Floodplains Ecozone	155
5.4 Conclusion	159
5.5 References	161

CHAPTER 6

TREES AND SHRUBS OF THE WATERBERG BIOSPHERE RESERVE

AND THEIR VALUE TO THE TOURISM INDUSTRY.....	164
6.1 Introduction	164
6.2 Methods	165
6.2.1 Synoptic Table Reduction	165
6.2.2 Tree characteristics	165
6.2.3 Tree Tourism Values	166
6.3 Results and Discussion	166
1. The <i>Podocarpus latifolius-Diospyros whyteana</i> Kloof Community.	167
2. The <i>Protea caffra-Loudetia simplex</i> Cool Slopes Community.....	168
3. The <i>Diplorhynchus condylocarpon-Englerophyton</i> <i>magalimontanum</i> Rocky Slope Community	170
4. The <i>Burkea africana-Setaria sphacelata</i> Undulating Plains, Terraces and Footslopes Community	171
5. The <i>Terminalia sericea-Eragrostis pallens</i> Deep Sands Community	172
6. The <i>Cynodon dactylon-Dichrostachys cinerea</i> Old Fields Community	173
7. The <i>Dombeya rotundifolia-Panicum maximum</i> Sweet	

CHAPTER 6 Rocky Community	175
8. The <i>Acacia tortilis</i> - <i>Panicum maximum</i> - <i>Ziziphus mucronata</i>	
Termitaria and Encroached Areas Community	176
9. The <i>Acacia nigrescens</i> - <i>Grewia flava</i> Plains Community	178
10. The <i>Setaria incrassata</i> - <i>Aristida bipartita</i> Vertic Clay Community	179
6.4 Conclusion	179
6.5 References	185
Appendix 6.1	187

CHAPTER 7

HABITAT TYPES OF THE LARGER MAMMALS WITHIN

THE WATERBERG BIOSPHERE RESERVE	191
7.1 Introduction	191
7.2 Methods	192
7.2.1 Mammal Database	192
7.2.2 Habitat Preferences of Mammals	193
7.2.3 Habitat Classification and Identification	193
7.3 Results and Discussion	194
7.3.1 Classification Hierarchy	194
7.3.2 Description of the large mammal habitat types	195
1. Open Water Habitat Type	197
2. Grassland Habitat Type	199
3. Savanna Woodland Habitat Type	203
4. Dense Vegetation Habitat Type	208
5. Rocky Mountain Slopes Habitat Type	212
7.4 Conclusion	215
7.5 References	221
Appendix 7.1	224
Appendix 7.2	227
Appendix 7.3	229

CHAPTER 8**BIRDS OF THE WATERBERG BIOSPHERE RESERVE AND****THEIR HABITAT TYPES230**

8.1 Introduction	230
8.2 Methods	231
8.2.1 Bird Database	231
8.2.2 Habitat preference of birds	231
8.2.3 Habitat classification and Identification	232
8.3 Results and Discussion	233
8.3.1 Classification hierarchy	233
8.3.2 Description of the major bird habitat types within the Waterberg Biosphere Reserve.....	235
1. Kloof Habitat Type	235
2. Rocky Slopes Habitat Type	238
3. Open Woodland Habitat Type	241
4. Dense Woodland Habitat Type	245
5. Grassland Habitat Type	248
6. Sponge Habitat Type	251
7. Vleiland Habitat Type	252
8.4 Conclusion	255
8.5 References	265
Appendix 8.1	268

CHAPTER 9**INTEGRATED DISCUSSION287**

9.1 Introduction	287
9.2 Plant Community Classification	287
9.2.1 Methodological Approach	287
9.2.2 The Plant Communities	288
9.3 Ecozones	289
9.3.1 Methodological Approach	289
9.3.2 Ecozones as management units for the Biosphere Reserve.....	290
9.4 Trees, mammals and birds as tourist attractions	291
9.4.1 Methodological Approach	291

1. <i>Trees</i>	291
2. <i>Larger mammals and birds</i>	291
9.4.2 Habitat Types of mammals and birds	292
9.4.3 Vegetation Ecology: The basis for interpreting ecosystems....	292
9.4.4 Natural resource ecotourist attractions in the Waterberg Biosphere Reserve.....	294
9.5 Ecotourism within the Waterberg Biosphere Reserve and its importance for conservation and sustainable development	297
9.6 The way forward	300
9.7 References	302
SUMMARY	305
CURRICULUM VITAE	307



Figure 1 | International Tourist Arrivals to South Africa from 1994 to 1999