

**The demography of a fragmented population of the savanna
elephant (*Loxodonta africana* Blumenbach) in Maputaland**

By

Robert Carl Morley

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Zoology)

in the

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

February 2005

I haue rid vpon an elephant fince I came to this Court, determining one day (by Gods leaue) to haue my picture expreffed in my next Booke, fitting vpon an Elephant,

Tom Coriate 'Traveller for the English Wits: Greetings' (1616).

The demography of a fragmented population of the savanna elephant (*Loxodonta africana* Blumenbach) in Maputaland

by

Robert Carl Morley

**Supervisor: Professor R.J. van Aarde
Conservation Ecology Research Unit
Department of Zoology and Entomology
University of Pretoria**

Abstract

The savanna elephant is a flagship species for conservation in Maputaland, a biologically diverse centre of endemism. At present Maputaland's elephants are fragmented into two sub-populations, those confined to the Tembe Elephant Park (TEP) and those roaming across the Maputo Elephant Reserve (MER) and along the Futi Corridor. Fragmentation may have affected the sub-populations by skewing age and sex ratios, decreasing survival, isolating the sub-populations, and restricting landscape selection.

My evaluation of historical population estimates suggest that the size of the elephant populations has been under estimated both before and after the construction of an electrified fence that fragmented the population. The application of a series of mark-recapture models to sight-resight data collected in TEP resulted in a population

estimate of 179 (95% CI=136-233). The Bowden's estimator was the most suitable model under prevailing conditions.

My results confirmed that small populations of elephants are difficult to census in closed habitats. Estimates derived from aerial counts significantly underestimated minimum population size determined from a registration count. Estimates derived from mark-recapture models approach or exceed those from registration counts.

Both population fragments are increasing in numbers: TEP's at 4.6% per year, MEP's at 3.1% per year. Demographic variables are significantly different, age at first calving is 11.5 years and 9.9 years, and calving interval is 4.2 years and 2.2 years for TEP and MER respectively. Age distribution was similar for females but not for males, as TEP showed a bias for adult males.

At the population level bulls in TEP favoured sand forests while breeding herds preferred reedbeds, but these preferences did not prove to be statistically significant. Individual bulls appeared to select for closed woodland landscape type but no statistical significance could be determined.

My study highlights the inconsistencies inherent in using historical data to determine population trends. Caution must be used when management decisions are based on such estimates. Sight-resight models are suitable for the enumeration of elephant populations. My results do not support landscape selection in elephants. The differences between population variables for the sub-populations are probably due to age and sex ratios imposed when the population was fragmented and the different levels of protection afforded to the sub-populations.

Acknowledgements

For me researching and writing this PhD has been similar, I imagine, to conducting a long and arduous ocean voyage. At times it has been plain sailing, at others storms have raged and tempests have been endured. I hope I have charted some unknown waters on the way and improved understanding for those who sail after me. I have been very fortunate on my voyage to have Rudi van Aarde as my captain. He has kept me off the rocks, reset my compass on many occasions and taught me the ways of the academic seas. Fortunately I never had to mutiny, nor did he have to keel-haul me, though I believe I came close to walking the plank a few times. If I now approach dry land it is thanks to his perseverance and trust in my abilities.

I have had a wonderful crew, foremost of who is Neil Fairall, Rudi's second officer on this voyage. His contribution has been enormous, encouragement often, cajoling sometimes. Sampie Ferreria has charged my magazines, kept my powder dry and realigned my cannon. My shipmates have been many and I am especially indebted to Tim Jackson, Theo Wassenaar, Robert Guldemon, Adrian Shrader and all who sail under the Conservation Ecology Research Unit flag. The old men of the sea who I thank for setting me on this voyage are Malcolm Coe and John Hanks. Stuart Pimm and Ian Whyte have helped to keep me on an even keel. Bill Bateman, Trish Fleming and Adam Bumby have housed, fed and watered me and Bill has, in addition, edited for me.

I have been away from England for a long time and my mother, father and sister have supported my decisions, helped financially and given me all the support I could ask for. In Africa the Whaley family have inspired me and given me refuge, most recently Mike in Malawi. In Mozambique Graeme White and Carrie Davies have supported me in so many ways, and continue to do so. In Pretoria Tim and Maryke Jackson made their home open to me, as did Pete and Celia Ruinard in Maputaland. Jan Joubert built a fantastic facility for CERU and eased my entry into Tembe.

I have been expertly piloted by the Bateleurs, Paul Davies and the late Quentin Mickleson. Support and permission to work their respective conservation areas was kindly granted by the Direção Nacional de Areas de Conservação, Mozambique, and Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife. I am especially grateful to Pete Ruinard & Steve Mostert former conservation managers of Tembe Elephant Park who trusted me to work there

without restriction or interference. The University of Pretoria supported me in many ways and I was generously funded by the Mozal Community Development Trust, the National Research Foundation, South Africa, The Peace Parks Foundation, University of Pretoria, US Fish & Wildlife Services (Grant No.PPF/P/34-2), The Walt Disney Grant Foundation and Wildlife Wins.

Without my wife Sophie I could not have conducted or completed this work, nor would it be worthwhile to me. Sophie has been my field assistant, greatest supporter and fiercest critic. She has never failed to believe in me, even when I had doubts. She has put her life on hold while I followed my dreams, from now we follow our dreams together. This work is dedicated to her for her patience, her belief in me and above all for the love she has shown.

La luta continuar.

Table of Contents**Chapter 1**

Introduction	1
The distribution of elephants in the pre-colonial (pre circa 1840) era	4
The distribution of elephants in southern Africa during the colonial period (1840s-1960s)	4
The distribution of elephants in Maputaland	6
The distribution of elephants in the post-colonial era	7
The consequences of fragmentation for elephants	9
Re-connecting fragmented elephant populations	11

Chapter 2

Study Area	13
Introduction	13
<i>Tembe Elephant Park</i>	14
<i>Maputo Elephant Reserve (Reserva dos elefantes do Maputo)</i>	14
<i>The Futi River Corridor</i>	16
The Physical Environment	17
<i>Geography</i>	17
<i>Climate</i>	19
<i>Biodiversity</i>	21
<i>The People</i>	31

Chapter 3

Evaluating historical estimates of population size for the elephants of Maputaland	34
Introduction	34

Methods	36
<i>Earlier estimates of population size</i>	36
<i>Population growth rates</i>	37
<i>Modelling of population size</i>	37
Results	38
<i>Earlier estimates of population size</i>	38
<i>Trends in population size and growth rates</i>	41
<i>Retrospective modelling of population size</i>	43
Discussion	46
Chapter 4	
Estimating abundance for a savanna elephant population using mark-resight methods: a case study for the Tembe Elephant Park, South Africa	48
Introduction	48
Materials and Methods	50
<i>Study Site</i>	50
<i>Methods</i>	50
<i>Data analyses</i>	53
<i>Closed Population Estimators</i>	53
<i>Open Population Estimators</i>	54
<i>Model Assumptions</i>	54
<i>Analysis</i>	57
Results	57
<i>Population Size</i>	57
<i>Influence of effort on estimates</i>	59
<i>The influence of effort on precision</i>	62
<i>Evaluation of resight models</i>	63

Discussion	70
Chapter 5	
The population demography of the Maputaland elephants	75
Introduction	75
Methods	76
<i>Surveys</i>	76
<i>Age determination</i>	77
<i>Deriving population age and sex structures</i>	78
<i>Estimating reproductive variables</i>	79
<i>Estimating age specific survival</i>	80
<i>Observed mortalities</i>	81
<i>Population Growth</i>	81
<i>Intra and inter fragment comparisons</i>	82
Results	82
<i>Demography</i>	82
<i>Observed mortalities</i>	86
<i>Population Growth</i>	87
Discussion	90
Chapter 6	
Landscape use by elephants in the Tembe Elephant Park	96
Introduction	96
Materials & Methods	98
<i>Study design</i>	98
<i>Observations of elephants</i>	100
<i>Data analysis</i>	100

<i>Landscape use at the population level</i>	100
<i>Landscape use at the individual level</i>	102
Results	104
<i>Landscape use at the population level</i>	104
<i>Landscape use at the individual level</i>	106
Discussion	108
Chapter 7	
Synthesi	112
<i>General Introduction</i>	112
<i>Enumeration of elephant populations</i>	115
<i>Implications of small population size and fragmentation for elephants</i>	117
<i>The recovery of space for elephants</i>	120
<i>The importance of elephants in the landscape</i>	121
References	125

List of Tables

- Table 2.1. The landscape units occurring in the Maputaland study area as determined by Fairall & van Aarde (2004b). The total area covered by each landscape type, its percentage of the total study area of 2100 km², and the number of patches it occupies are indicated, with a range of patch sizes and the dominant plant species occurring _____ 25
- Table 3.1. Summary of population estimates for southern Mozambique and Tembe Elephant Park based on information extracted from published and unpublished papers and reports. _____ 37
- Table 3.2. Population sizes extrapolated from the most recent minimum estimates (in bold) for the elephant population fragments of Maputaland. The minimum estimates are 205 elephants for southern Mozambique, 179 elephants for Tembe Elephant Park and 339 elephants for the combined population in 1999. Growth rates (*r*) were from lower range estimates (3%), intermediate (5%) and maximum (7%) published for elephants, and estimates of growth from linear regression analysis. Estimates for years prior to those in bold were extrapolated from the most recent estimates. Population estimates for years after those in bold are based on extrapolations of varying intrinsic population growth rates. The population has been fragmented since a fence was completed around Tembe Elephant Park in 1989 _____ 42
- Table 4.1. Assumptions of the mark-recapture (resight) models used to estimate population size for the Tembe Elephant Park (see Caughley 1977, Krebs 1999). Bowden's Estimator is the model with the fewest constraints when a population is closed _____ 55
- Table 5.1. Mean age specific fecundity (female live births per female, Caughley 1977) calculated by multiplying the proportion of females that calved in each age class by the mean birth rate, corrected for a sex ratio at unity at birth. Values for the Tembe Elephant Park were estimated from ground-based observations, and those for the Maputo Elephant Reserve from aerial observations. The values are based on 50 iterations for each age class _____ 83
- Table 5.2. Demographic variables (mean \pm SE based on 50 iterations) for elephants in the Tembe Elephant Park (based on ground surveys) and for those living in Maputo Elephant Reserve (based on aerial observations) _____ 84
- Table 5.3. Elephant mortalities recorded for Tembe Elephant Park between 1989 and 2002. For elephants recorded as found dead, cause of death could not be determined. Shot elephants were hunted (*n*=4) or destroyed as problem animals or wounded animals. Elephants recorded as killed in fights are the result of male/male aggression. The information was extracted from the ivory and elephant mortality register held by the conservation manager at Tembe Elephant Park _____ 87

Table 5.4. Predictions of population size (mean±SD) and range from minimum to maximum for Tembe Elephant Park and Maputo Elephant Reserve. Values are derived from demographic models using the demographic variables calculated for each population_____	88
Table 5.5. The probabilities of the elephant population of the Tembe Elephant Park attaining population sizes in determined time intervals_____	89
Table 5.6. The probabilities of the elephant population of the Maputo Elephant Reserve attaining population sizes in determined time intervals_____	89
Table 5.7. Population rates of increase, age at first calving and inter-calving interval estimated for elephants across Africa. Estimates given are those available in the literature. For the Tembe Elephant Park and the Maputo Elephant Reserve, estimates from this study are given in bold_____	95
Table 6.1. Utilisation-availability data for landscape types in the Tembe Elephant Park. Utilisation is based on 123 observations of males and 13 observations of breeding herds. Proportion of available habitat type indicates availability. Proportion of observations in a landscape type indicates utilisation_____	101
Table 6.2. Selection indices for landscapes for elephants in Tembe Elephant Park. Values above 1.0 indicate preference, values below 1.0 indicate avoidance. Values are not directly comparable between bulls and breeding herds_____	105
Table 6.3. Standardised selection indices for landscape selection of elephants in Tembe Elephant Park. Values above 0.25 indicate preference, values below 0.25 indicate avoidance. Values are comparable between bulls and breeding herds_____	105
Table 6.4. Ranking matrix values (mean±SE) based on a comparison of proportional landscape use and proportional landscape availability for 17 bulls in Tembe Elephant Park_____	107
Table 6.5. Simplified ranking matrix in descending order of landscape preference based on comparing proportional habitat use with proportional habitat availability for 17 bulls in Tembe Elephant Park_____	107

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1. The Maputaland region includes the coastal plain bounded by the Lebombo Mountains in the west, Maputo Bay in the north, the Indian Ocean in the east and Lake St. Lucia in the south. The elephant study centred on the Maputo Elephant Reserve and the Futi River Corridor, cross hatched in red, and Tembe Elephant Park in South Africa. The areas cross hatched in yellow are the proposed Community Conservation Development Areas _____ 15
- Figure 2.2. Mean monthly rainfall for the Tembe Elephant Park (clear bars, n=39 years, Official records, Tembe Elephant Park) and for Bella Vista (striped bars, n=7 years, Mozambican National Meteorological Institute Maputo 1999) at the southern and northern extremes of the study area. The seasonal pattern holds for all the rainfall stations surrounding the study area, although the amount varies _____ 23
- Figure 2.3. Landscape map of the study area constructed by Fairall & van Aarde (2004b) and derived from supervised classification of a LANDSAT image using all spectral bands and based on the information provided by Pollet *et al.* (1995), University of Eduardo Mondlane (2000) and Matthews *et al.* (2001) _____ 24
- Figure 3.1. Population growth rates for (a) southern Mozambique and (b) Tembe Elephant Park calculated from linear regression analysis of loge transformed population size estimates. For the southern Mozambique population estimates are indicated by shaded squares. The left hand slope shows population decline between 1970 and 1979 of approximately 17 % per year and the right slope shows population increase from 1979 to 1999 of 4.4% per year. For the Tembe Elephant Park, open squares indicate population estimates up to 1988; shaded squares indicate population estimates from 1989. The curve on the left of the graph shows population increase between 1971 and 1988 of 5.1% year and the curve on the right shows population increase from 1989 to 2002 of approximately 8.3% per year. The stippled vertical line indicates fragmentation of the population _____ 40
- Figure 4.1. Population estimates for sight-resight models for (a) elephant bulls and (b) elephant breeding herds in the Tembe Elephant Park. For breeding herds only Bowden's estimator was used. Estimates for bulls are based on 14 sighting sessions. For breeding herds population size was determined from 14 sighting sessions, indicated as 'sighting sessions' and from all post marking observations, indicated as 'all sightings'. Bars indicate population estimate, vertical lines indicate 95% confidence intervals. Dashed line denotes the number determined by registration counts _____ 60
- Figure 4.2. Comparison of population estimates determined from the Bowden's estimator (sight-resight method), registration count and two aerial counting methods. Total population estimates are indicated by bars with lined fillings,

animals in breeding herds by shaded bars and bulls by open bars. Vertical lines indicate 95% confidence intervals _____ 61

Figure 4.3. The influence of survey effort on population estimates for bulls from sight-resight models over 14 sighting events. Points indicate population estimate and vertical lines denote the 95% confidence intervals. Solid points indicate estimates that are within ten percent of the registration count (dashed horizontal line). Solid diagonals are regression lines fitted through least squares regression analysis and dotted lines are their 95% confidence intervals. The F-values test for deviation from zero of the slopes of the regression lines _____ 64

Figure 4.4. The influence of survey effort on population estimates for elephants in breeding herds using the Bowden's estimator for (a) 14 resighting sessions on structured surveys and (b) for all post-marking sightings of breeding herds. Circles indicate population estimates. Solid circles are within 10% of the registration count (dashed horizontal line). Vertical lines indicate 95% confidence intervals of the population estimates. Regression lines were fitted through least square regression analysis (dotted lines present the 95% confidence intervals). The F-values test for deviation from zero of the slopes of the regression lines _____ 66

Figure 4.5. The influence of sampling effort on the width of the confidence limits of estimates of population size for bulls. Least squares linear regression analyses were used to evaluate change in the 95% confidence intervals for sight-resight models as effort increased through the number of resighting sessions. Models are (a) negative binomial, (b) Poisson, (c) Bowden's, (d) Jolly-Seber, (e) Schumacher and (f) Schnabel _____ 67

Figure 4.6. The influence of sampling effort on the width of the confidence limits of estimates of population size for elephants in breeding herds. Figures show least squares linear regression analysis of 95% confidence intervals for breeding herds for the Bowden's estimator, were (a) effort constitutes 14 resighting sessions and (b) effort comprises of all post-marking sightings of breeding herds _____ 69

Figure 5.1. Sex specific age distribution of elephants in (a) the Tembe Elephant Park (n=163) and (b) the Maputo Elephant Reserve (n=131). Males are indicated by shaded bars and females by open bars. For Tembe Elephant Park estimates were derived from ground-based observations and for Maputo Elephant Reserve from observations of elephants during an aerial survey _____ 85

Figure 6.1. The landscape types of Tembe Elephant Park and elephant distribution. Black points indicate sightings of males and white points indicate sightings of breeding herds. The reedbed coloured purple represents the Muzi swamp. The black lines represent the survey routes _____ 99