



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

**Increasing line combining ability and gray leaf spot resistance
in maize by integrating conventional with DNA marker
technology**

by

Barnabas Anthony Kiula

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of the degree of

Philosophae Doctor

In the
Department of Genetics
Faculty of Agricultural and Natural Sciences
University of Pretoria

December 2007

PROMOTER: Prof. A-M. Botha-Oberholster
CO-PROMOTOR: Dr. N.G. Lyimo



DECLARATION

I the undersigned hereby declare that this thesis submitted herewith for the degree Doctor of Philosophy to the University of Pretoria, contains my own independent work as hitherto not been submitted for any degree at any other university or faculty.

.....

Date

**INCREASING LINE COMBINING ABILITY AND GRAY LEAF
SPOT RESISTANCE IN MAIZE BY COMBINING CONVENTIONAL
BREEDING WITH DNA MARKER TECHNOLOGY**

BY

BARNABAS ANTHONY KIULA

SUPERVISOR: Prof. A-M Oberholster
CO-SUPERVISOR: Dr. Nick G. Lyimo

ABSTRACT

Maize is the staple food for the majority of Tanzanians. However, maize production in the Southern highlands of Tanzania (SHT) is highly reduced by gray leaf spot disease (GLS) caused by the fungus *Cercospora zea maydis*. GLS reduces grain yield, kernel and silage quality. The most common GLS control methods in Tanzania include amongst others; fungicides, crop rotation, field sanitation, host resistance. These methods except host resistance are, however, either expensive or less effective or unsafe to the environment. Furthermore, conventional breeding strategies are not very effective for traits, which are lowly inherited such as GLS resistance. Lastly, to date there are few GLS resistant commercial hybrids in SHT. Thus, this study aimed to produce more commercial GLS resistant hybrids, increase farmers' hybrid choices of growing genetically different GLS insensitive hybrids, which will also provide a constant supply of GLS resistant maize cultivars in case of GLS resistance breakdown due to new GLS pathotypes. This research combined conventional breeding with molecular technologies to increase the efficacy of selecting GLS resistant hybrids and assist breeders in

predicting best inbred combinations for commercial hybrid production. Studies conducted to meet the main aims were on; the prediction of best line combiners and heterosis in Tanzanian maize breeding lines through the use of amplified fragment length polymorphism, (AFLP), an association of AFLPs and the performance of phenotypic traits in maize, evaluation of maize hybrids for gray leaf spot resistance in multienvironments and finally a preliminary study on gray leaf spot PCR-based marker development with the long term objective of implementing cleaved amplified polymorphic markers (CAPS) in a marker assisted selection (MAS) strategy in the SHT maize breeding programme.

Results from the study revealed that pairwise GD (genetic distance) of the lines varied from a GD of 0.13 to 0.5. High coancestry coefficients were exhibited by these lines. Joint data analyses showed that there were tighter associations between line GD and F_1 traits or MPH in the intergroup than in the intragroup crosses. Combined analyses revealed that hybrids 48, 90 and 45 recorded higher stable yields and consistently low GLS scores in multienvironments. Fifteen CAPS marker bands were identified that are putatively linked to the GLS resistant genes.

In summary, it was noted that strong selection during inbreeding programs should be avoided as it reduces germplasm variability. Local landraces/varieties can be improved by introgressing desirable genes into them. AFLP marker system could be effectively used for inbred genetic diversity studies in Tanzania. Intergroup crosses with high GD-MPH should be the main target for commercial hybrid production but field testing of

them is inevitable to confirm their yielding potentials. Intergroups and intragroup crosses with low GD-MPH should be discarded to avoid field costs. Better F₁ hybrid performance predictions can be achieved by integrating inbred GD and F₁ phenotypic data. Hybrids with low GLS/high GLS resistance could be used to produce other breeding populations. Hybrids 45, 48 and 90 can be commercially preleased. Lastly a study to characterize the GLS fungus in the SHT is imperative since information on virulence of isolates is needed for long term breeding strategies against the fungus. Finally, the SHT maize germplasm has potential GLS resistant inbred lines which could be used in the deployment of genes to susceptible lines and in the development of commercial GLS resistant hybrids/open pollinated varieties/doubled haploid hybrids.

Keywords: AFLP, dendrogram, GLS, GD, genetic diversity, germplasm.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- It is my wish to express my sincere gratitude to firstly to our Almighty God and Jesus Christ my saviour and power of resurrection of all human kind whom without him this work could not be accomplished. Secondly, the assistance of the following people the completion of this study would not have been possible.
- My major academic promoter Prof A-M Botha-Oberholster for her wisdom, intelligent guidance, constructive suggestions, criticisms, emotional and all kinds of support, for her enthusiasm and for giving me the opportunity to experience science at this high level particularly in the area of molecular breeding in a way that fulfils my life.
- My co-promoter Dr. Nick Lyimo for his enormous help, for sparing his own time to give me valuable suggestions, guidance and support throughout my study period.
- Prof. F.C. Wehner for his valuable input including field planning of my research work.
- My wife Maryciana Kiula, my children Anthony, Emmanuel and Faraja for their constant prayers, endless love, and support for the whole period of my stay in South Africa. They really suffered the most from my absence.
- My brothers Emmanuel and Fanuel and my sisters Elipendo, Anna and Christine for their constant love and encouragement during this study.
- The Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security of Tanzania and the NRF of South Africa for the financial support.
- All my fiends and colleagues for their support and love, which made the lab and the field to be one of the most enjoyable places.
- The Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria for the opportunity and facilitations to undertake this study.

ABBREVIATIONS

AFLP	Amplified fragment length polymorphism
AMOVA	Analysis of molecule variance
APS	Ammonium persulphate
ASAP	Allele specific associated primers
CAPS	Cleaved amplified polymorphic markers
CIMMYT	Centro internacional de Mejoramiento de Mazy Trigo
CTAB	Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide
DAPS	Days after planting to silking
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetra acetate
G x E	Genotype x environment
GCA	General combining ability
GAS	Gene assisted selection
GD	Genetic distance
GLS	Gray leaf spot disease
LD	Linkage disequilibrium
LE	Linkage equilibrium
MABC	Marker assisted backcrossing
MAS	Marker assisted selection
MI	Marker index
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PIC	Polymorphism information content
QTL	Quantitative trait loci
RAPD	Random Amplified polymorphic DNA
RCBD	Randomized complete block design
RFLP	Restriction fragment length polymorphism
SCA	Specific combining ability
SCAR	Sequence characterized amplified region
SHT	Southern highlands of Tanzania
SSR	Simple sequence repeats
STS	Sequence tagged sites
UMC	a molecular marker which is linked to GLS resistant genes in maize

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
ABBREVIATIONS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
DEDICATION	xv
CHAPTER 1	1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
REFERENCES	8
CHAPTER 2	11
LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 The genus <i>Cercospora</i> .	12
2.2 The African population structure of <i>Cercospora zea maydis</i> .	13
2.3 Variability of virulence of <i>Cercospora zea maydis</i> .	14
2.4 Variability of <i>Cercospora zea maydis</i> in East Africa.	15
2.5 Disease epidemiology and development.	16
2.6 Symptoms of GLS on maize plants.	17
2.7 Effects of GLS on maize crop.	18
2.8 Control of the disease.	19
2.8.1 Elimination of crop debris and avoid no till and other conservation practices.	19
2.8.2 Crop rotation.	20
2.8.3 Use of chemicals.	20
2.8.4 Combination of methods.	21
2.8.5 Use of resistant cultivars.	21
2.8.6 Use of molecular techniques.	22
2.9 Early genetic studies for GLS resistance.	23

2.10 QTLs identification for GLS resistance studies.	23
2.11 Linkages between GLS resistance QTL and other disease resistance genes.	24
2.12 Molecular markers.	24
2.13 Types of DNA markers.	25
2.13.1 Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP).	25
2.13.2 Sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR).	26
2.13.3 Microsatellite or simple sequence repeats (SSR).	27
2.14 Application of molecular marker technology.	28
2.15 The success of MAS.	29
2.16 Limitations in utilizing marker loci-QTLs associations.	30
2.17 Marker loci-QTLs associations for GLS resistance in maize.	30
2.18 Correlations between mean GLS scores over environments.	31
REFERENCES.	31
CHAPTER 3	44
THE PREDICTION OF BEST LINE COMBINERS AND HETEROSIS IN TANZANIAN MAIZE BREEDING LINES THROUGH USE OF AMPLIFIED FRAGMENT LENGTH POLYMORPHISM (AFLPs)	44
Abstract.	45
Introduction.	46
Materials and Methods.	47
DNA extraction.	48
AFLP analysis.	49
Electrophoresis and image analysis.	50
Data analysis.	50
Calculation of coefficient of coancestry (f).	51
Estimation of genetic distances.	51
The dendrogram.	51
Results.	52
Degree of polymorphism.	52
Discussion.	56
Conclusions.	59
Acknowledgement	60
REFERENCES.	60



CHAPTER 4	64
ASSOCIATION BETWEEN AFLP BASED GENETIC DISTANCE OF INBREDS AND HYBRID PERFORMANCE IN THE 21 TANZANIAN MAIZE LINES USED IN THIS STUDY.	64
Abstract.	65
Introduction.	66
Materials and Methods.	67
Plant material and field design.	68
Morphological traits.	68
Genotyping.	69
DNA extraction.	70
DNA analysis.	70
Data analysis.	72
Results.	73
Discussion.	78
Conclusion.	81
Acknowledgement.	82
REFERENCES.	82
.	.
CHAPTER 5	87
EVALUATION OF HYBRIDS FOR GLS RESISTANCE IN MULTIENVIRONMENTS.	87
Abstract.	88
Introduction.	89
Materials and Methods.	90
Field evaluation of inbred lines and hybrids.	90
Experimental design.	92
Morphological traits.	92
Statistical analysis.	94
Mean yields and the correlation coefficients among the phenotypic traits and location correlations.	96
Analysis of variance.	96
Analyses for general and specific combining ability of the diallel crosses.	97
Results.	97
Discussion.	108



Conclusions.	113
Acknowledgement.	114
REFERENCES.	114
CHAPTER 6	118
PRELIMINARY STUDY ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF CAPS MARKERS FOR FUTURE APPLICATION IN MAS STRATEGIES IN THE SOUTHERN HIGHLAND TANZANIAN MAIZE HYBRID BREEDING PROGRAM	118
Introduction.	119
Materials and Methods.	120
Plant material.	120
DNA extraction.	121
GLS resistance and susceptible bulks.	121
AFLP analysis for production of bands of interest.	122
AFLP primer screening and selective amplification.	123
Electrophoresis and excision of fragments.	123
Primer design and synthesis.	124
Results.	124
REFERENCES	128
CHAPTER 7	131
CONCLUSION	131
ANNEXURE	

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1. Origin, pedigree and heterotic patterns of maize lines used in this study.	48
Table 3.2. The five <i>EcoR1/Mse1</i> primer pair combinations used in this study. <i>EcoR1</i> primer was employed in combination with five <i>Mse1</i> primers.	49
Table 3.3. Degree of polymorphism and information content for five primer combinations applied to 21 Tanzanian lines.	52
Table 3.4. Summary of mean, maximum and GD of individual line combinations calculated from AFLP data using five primer combinations for various groups of related and unrelated pairs of 21 inbreds.	54
Table 3.5. Coefficient of coancestry and correlation coefficients (r) between genetic distances and coancestry coefficients (f) of maize lines.	55
Table 4.1. Origin, pedigree and heterotic patterns of maize lines used in this study.	72
Table 4.2. The experimental grand means of F_1 phenotypic data of each trait used to produce the F_1 data matrix in this study.	73
Table 4.3. The degree of polymorphism and PIC values for the five AFLP primer pair combinations applied to the 21 Tanzanian maize inbreds.	74
Table 4.4. Pairwise genetic distance coefficients of lines using five AFLP primer pair combinations on twenty-one Tanzanian maize lines analysed by NCSS 2001 software program.	75
Table 4.5. Correlation coefficients between genetic distances and phenotypic traits all coefficients are significant at $p=0.05$).	77
Table 4.6. Correlation coefficients $r(\text{GD, MPH yield})$; GD, GLS-MPH and GD, GLS-HPH in this research.	77
Table 5.1. Origin, pedigree and heterotic patterns of maize lines used in this study.	94
Table 5.2. Phenotypic correlations coefficients between traits studied.	97
Table 5.3. Correlations of grain yield and GLS disease across environments.	98

Table 5.4.	Inbred general combining ability effects for GLS rating and grain yield.	99
Table 5.5.	Inbred specific combining ability effects for GLS rating in the diallel crosses.	100
Table 5.6.	Mean squares of all traits studied across 3 locations.	101
Table 5.7.	Pairwise p values for the interaction among location x seasons on yield and GLS.	104
Table 5.8.	The important agronomic traits of the top 4 hybrids as compared to the Lowest hybrids.	108

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 3.1. The UPGMA dendrogram obtained after analysis of the genetic distance of the twenty-one Tanzanian inbred lines using the Unweighted Pair Group Method.	56
Figure 4.1. The dendrogram showing the clustering of the 21 Tanzanian inbred lines of maize in this study.	76
Figure 5.1. Map of Tanzania showing areas where this study was conducted.	91
Figure 5.2. GLS score (Y-axis) and yield (X-axis) of 225 hybrids evaluated across 3 locations and 3 years in this study.	106
Figure 6.1. A part of the AFLP gel obtained after AFLP analysis of the susceptible and resistant maize DNA with primer combinations <i>EcoR1-ACA+Mse1-CGC</i> and <i>EcoR1-ACA+Mse1-CCG</i> .	125
Figure 6.2. An enlarged section illustrating the polymorphic fragment AMOBK ₃₁₆ that discerns between the GLS resistant parent and GLS resistant bulk <i>versus</i> GLS susceptible parent and GLS susceptible bulk after analysis using <i>EcoR1-ACA+Mse1-CCG</i> primer combination.	126
Figure 6.3. Clone AMOBK276 exhibiting significant homology to the hypothetical protein 3 from <i>Microplitis demolitor</i> bracovirus (E-value of $7e^{-14}$) when using BLASTx search.	126
Figure 6.4. Nucleic acid alignment of five cloned fragments putatively linked to GLS resistance genes.	127



DEDICATION

I hereby dedicate my thesis to my LORD JESUS CHRIST, the SAVIOUR of the whole human race and THE POWER OF OUR RESSURECTION. In him we live, we walk and without him nothing can be done. So it is only God and God alone who makes all things possible including the accomplishment of this thesis.