References

- Alkin, M. C., & Daillak, R. H. (1979). A study of evaluation utilization. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, *1* (4), 41-49.
- Alkin, M. C., & Taut, S. M. (2003). Unbundling evaluation use. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 29 (1), 1-12.
- Anastasi, A., & Urbina, S. (1997). *Psychological testing* (7th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Angelle, P. (2004). Monitoring performance through feedback: book review. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, *15* (1), 115-120.
- Appalsamy, P. (2004). The process and phases of Arthur Inman's suicidal career. *Psychological Society of South Africa*, *34* (2), 237-258.
- Archer, E. (2006a). *PIPSSA planning document January 2006.* Pretoria: Centre for Evaluation and Assessment.
- Archer, E. (2006b). *Proposed changes to PIPS paper-based baseline*assessment for the South African context. Pretoria: Unpublished.
- Archer, E., Scherman, V., Coe, R., & Howie, S. (2010). Finding the right fit: the adaptation and translation of the Performance Indicators for Primary Schools for the South African context. *Perspectives in Education*, 28 (1), 77-88.
- Atlantech. (2003). asTTle assists teaching and learning across New Zealand.

 Retrieved 2008 25, February, from

 www.atlantech.co.nz/AstlleassiststeachingandlearningacrossNewZ

 ealand_489d47d0d0.html
- Babbie, E., & Mouton, J. (2001). *The practice of social research: South African edition.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baker, F. B. (2001). *The basics of item response theory (2nd ed)*. Retrieved November 15, 2004, from http://ericae.net
- Barab, S., & Squire, K. (2004). Design-based research: Putting a stake in the ground. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, *13* (1).
- Barry, D. M. (2005). *PIPSSA on entry baseline assessment: Report.* Pretoria: Unpublished.

- Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (2006). *Research in education* (10th ed.). Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Beyer, J. M. (1997). Research utilization: Bridging the gap between communities. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, *6*, 17-22.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Inside the black box: raising standards through classroom assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan*, *80* (2), 139–148.
- Bosker, R. J., Branderhorst, E. M., & Visscher, A. (2007). Improving the utilisation of management information systems in secondary schools. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, *18* (4), 451 467.
- Brinko, K. T. (1993). The practice of giving feedback to improve teaching: What is effective? *The Journal of Higher Education*, *64* (5), 574-593.
- Brown, G. T. (2007, 19 February). Conceptions of assessment. Lecture presented at Centre for Evaluation and Assessment, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.
- Brown, G. T. (2008). Assessment literacy training and teachers' conceptions of assessment. In C. Rubie-Davies, & C. Rawlinson (Eds.), Challenging Thinking about Teaching and Learning (pp. 269-285). New York: Nova Science.
- Brown, G. T., & Rodger, S. (1999). Research utilization models: Frameworks from implementing evidence-based occupational practice.

 Occupational Therapy International, 6 (1), 1-23.
- Brown, G. T., Irving, S. E., & Keegan, P. J. (2008). *An introduction to educational assessment, measurement and evaluation: improving the quality of teacher-based assessment* (2nd ed.). Auckland: Pearson Education New Zealand, Ltd.
- Cassassus, J. (2001). School evaluation in Chile. Prospects, 31 (4), 565-574.
- Chamberlain, M. (2007). Reading literacy in New Zealand: An overview of New Zealand's results from the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) 2005/2006. Wellington: Ministry of Education.
- Charmaz, K. (2006). Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis. London: Sage Publications Ltd.

- Christie, C. A., & Alkin, M. C. (1999). Further reflections on evaluation misutilization. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *25*, 1-10.
- Clandinin, D. J., & Connelly, F. M. (1994). Personal experience methods. In N. K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 413-427). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Coe, R. (2002). Evidence on the role and impact of performance feedback in schools. In A. J. Visscher, & R. Coe (Eds.), *School improvement through performance feedback* (pp. 3-26). Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.
- Coe, R., & Visscher, A. J. (2002). Drawing up the balance sheet for school performance feedback systems. In A. J. Visscher, & R. Coe (Eds.), School improvement through performance feedback (pp. 221-254). Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2000). Research methods in education (5th ed.). New York: Routledge Falmer.
- Collins, A., Joseph, D., & Bielaczyc, K. (2004). Design Research: Theoretical and Methodological Issues. *The Journal Of The Learning Sciences*, 13 (1), 15-42.
- Consortium for Reseach on Education, Access, Transitions & Equity

 (CREATE). (2009, August). 'No Fee' schools in South Africa: policy

 brief number 7. Retrieved June 9, 2010, from Create-rpc:

 http://www.create-rpc.org/PDF_documents/Policy_Brief_7.PDF
- Cousins, J. B., & Leithwood, K. A. (1986). Current empirical research on evaluation utilization. *Review of Educational Research*, *56* (3), 331-364.
- Cradler, J. (2008). *Data-driven decision-making and the electronic learning assessment resources (ELAR)*. Retrieved May 12, 2010, from http://www.clrn.org/elar/dddm.cfm
- Cronbach, L. J. (1975). Beyond the two disciplines of scientific psychology. *American psychologist*, *30*, 116-127.
- Crooks, T. J. (2002). Educational assessment in New Zealand schools.

 Assessment in Education, 9 (2), 237-253.



- Curriculum Evaluation and Management Centre. (2002). *Reliabilities*.

 Retrieved December 3, 2002, from

 http://www.midyisproject.org/reliabilities.asp
- Curriculum Evaluation and Manangement Centre. (2007). Retrieved April 10, 2007, from CEM home page: http://www.cemcentre.org
- Czarniawska, B. (2004). *Narratives in social science research*. London: Sage Publications.
- Data Management and Analysis Division, New Zealand Ministry of Education. (2008, December). *Education Statistics of New Zealand 2007*.

 Retrieved July 15, 2010, from Education Counts:

 http://www.educationcounts.govt.nz/publications/ece/2507/27456/3
- De Villiers, M. R. (2005). Three approaches as pillars for interpretive information systems research: development research, action research and grounded theory. Research for a changing world: proceedings of South African Institute for Computer Scientists and Information Technologists 2005 conference (pp. 111-120). White River: SAICSIT.
- Department of Education. (2001). *Report on the school register of needs 2000 survey.* Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (2002a). *Education statistics in South Africa at a glance 2000.* Pretoria: EMIS directorate, Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (2002b). *Grade 3 National systemic evaluation report.* Pretoria: Government printers.
- Department of Education. (2002c). Revised National Curriculum Statement Grades R-9 (schools). Retrieved October 25, 2006, from http://www.polity.org.za/PDF/Curriculum.PDF
- Department of Education. (2003a). Develop an HIV & AIDS plan for your school: A guide for school governing bodies and management teams. Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (2003b). *Draft white paper on e-education:*transforming learning and teaching through information and
 communication technologies. Pretoria: Government Printers.
- Department of Education. (2003c). *Education statistics in South Africa at a glance 2001.* Pretoria: EMIS directorate, Department of Education.

- Department of Education. (2004). *Education statistics in South Africa at a glance 2002*. Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (2005a). Education statistics in South Africa at a glance 2003. Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (2005b). *Education statistics in South Africa at a glance 2004*. Pretoria: Department of Education .
- Department of Education. (2006a). *Grade 3 Foundation Phase National* systemic evaluation report. Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Department of Education. (2006b). *Grade 6 Intermediate Phase Systemic Evaluation Report.* Pretoria: Government Printer.
- Department of Education. (2006c, November 22). Provinces submit their list of no-fee schools for 2007. [Media statement].
- Department of Education. (2006d). The national policy framework for teacher education and development in South Africa: more teachers; better teachers. Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (2007a). 2007 School Realities . Pretoria: Government Printers.
- Department of Education. (2007b). *National assessment report (public ordinary schools): National Education Infrastructure Management System (NEMIS).* Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (2009). *NEMIS (National Education Infrastructure Management System) PDF Report 2009.* Pretoria: Department of Education.
- Department of Education. (n.d.). *National Curriculum Statement General Education and Training, Assessment guidelines: assessment guidelines for Foundation phase grades r 3.* Pretoria: Government

 Press.
- Dunham, B. R. (1995). *The DELPHI technique*. Retrieved May 5, 2008, from http://www.medsch.wisc.edu/adminmed/2002/orgbehav/delphi.PDF
- Earl, L. M., & Katz, S. (2006). *Leading schools in a data-rich world.* Thousand Oaks: Corwin Press.
- Education Labour Relations Council. (2003). *Training manual on the Integrated Quality Management System*. Retrieved April 16, 2007, from



- www.elrc.co.za/UploadedDocuments/IQMS%20Training%20Manua
- Einstein, A. (1954). *Ideas and Opinions*. New York: Crown Publishers, Inc.
- Eiselen, S. (2004). PIPSSA Handover document. Pretoria: Unpublished.
- Eiselen, S. (2005a). Items included for analysis for November 2005 (PIPSSA). Pretoria: [Unpublished memo].
- Eiselen, S. (2005b). PIPSSA Future plans 2005. Pretoria: Unpublished.
- Estabrooks, C. A. (1999). The conceptual structure of research utilization. Research in Nursing & Health, 22, 203–216.
- Fiske, E. B., & Ladd, H. F. (2001). Self-governing schools and accountability in New Zealand. *Prospects*, *31* (4), 537-552.
- Fitz-Gibbon, C. T., & Tymms, P. (2002). Technical and ethical issues in indicator systems: Doing things right and doing wrong things.

 Retrieved December 15, 2009, from Education Policy Analysis Archives10 (no. 6): http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v10n6/
- Fitz-Gibbon, C. T. (1996). *Monitoring education: indicators, quality and effectiveness*. London: Continuum.
- Frisbee, D. A. (1988). Reliability of scores from teacher-made tests. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 7 (1), 25-35.
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, S., Eaton, S. B., Hamlett, C. L., & Karns, K. M. (2000). Supplementing teacher judgements of mathematics test accommodations with objective data sources. *School Psychology Review*, 29 (1), 65-85.
- Fullan, M. (2006). Turnaround leadership. San Francisco: Josie-Bass.
- Gardner, J. (2006). Assessment and learning. London: Sage Publications.
- Gauteng Department of Education. (2005). *Gauteng online to reach 1000 Gauteng Public Schools*. Retrieved January 18, 2006, from http://www.education.gpg.gov.za/publications/gauteng%20online% 20to%20reach%20public%20schools.htm
- Gauteng Department of Education. (n.d.). *Improving the quality of education in Gauteng*. Retrieved August 7, 2007, from http://www.gautengonline.gov.za/miscimages/003.Ofsted.PDF
- Geertz, C. (1975). The Interpretation of Cultures. New York: Basic Books.

- Gergen, K. J., Lightfoot, C., & Sydow, L. (2004). Social construction: Vistas in clinical child and adolescent psychology. *Journal of clinical child and adolescent psychology*, 33 (2), 389-399.
- Glasswell, K., Parr, J., & Aikman, M. (2001). Development of the asTTle writing assessment rubrics for scoring extended writing tasks.

 Project asTTle Technical Report #6. University of Auckland:

 Ministry of Education.
- Goodson, I., & Sikes, P. (2001). *Doing qualitative research in educational* settings: learning from lives. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Greene, J. C. (2007). *Mixed methods in social inquiry: mixing method.*Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Gronlund, N. E. (1998). Assessment of student achievement (6th ed.).

 Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1985). *Understanding and doing naturalistic inquiry*. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Harmon, M., Smith, T. A., Martin, M. O., Kelly, D. L., Beaton, A. E., Mullis, I.
 V., et al. (1997). Performance assessment in IEA's Third
 International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS). Boston
 College: TIMSS International Study Centre.
- Harnar, M. A., & Preskill, H. (2007). Evaluator's descriptions of process use:

 An exploratory study. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 116, 27 44.
- Harris, L., Chapman, C., Muijs, D., Russ, J., & Stoll, L. (2006). Improving schools in challenging circumstance: Exploring the possible. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 17 (4), 399-407.
- Hattie, J. (2005, August 7-9). What is the nature of evidence that makes a difference to learning. Paper presented at the 2005 Acer Research Conference, Melbourne, Australia Retrieved March 7, 2006, from www.acer.edu.au/workshops/documents/Hattie.PDF.
- Hattie, J. (2009, April). Visibly learning from reports: The validity of score reports. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education (NCME). San Diego, CA.
- Hattie, J. A., & Brown, G. T. (2004). Cognitive processes in asTTle: The SOLO taxonomy. asTTle Technical Report #43. University of Auckland: Ministry of Education.

- Hattie, J. A., & Brown, G. T. (2008). Technology for school-based assessment and assessment for learning: Development principles from New Zealand. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 26 (2), 189-201.
- Hattie, J. A., Brown, G. T., & Keegan, P. J. (2003). A national teachermanaged, curriculum-based assessment system: Assessment Tools for Teaching & Learning asTTle). *International Journal of Learning*, 10, 771-778.
- Hattie, J. A., Brown, G. T., Keegan, P. J., MacKay, A. J., Irving, S. E.,
 Cutforth, S., et al. (2004a). Assessment Tools for Teaching and
 Learning (asTTle) Manual (Version 4, 2005). Wellington, NZ:
 University of Auckland/ Ministry of Education/ Learning Media.
- Hattie, J. A., Brown, G. T., Keegan, P. J., MacKay, A. J., Irving, S. E., Patel,
 P., et al. (2004b). Assessment Tools for Teaching and Learning
 (asTTle) Version 4, 2005: Manual. Wellington, New Zealand:
 University of Auckland: Ministry of Education Learning Media.
- Hattie, J. A., Brown, G. T., Ward, L., Irving, S. E., & Keegan, P. J. (2006).
 Formative evaluation of an educational assessment technology innovation: Developers' insights into Assessment Tools for Teaching and Learning (asTTle). *Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation*, 5 (3), 1-54.
- Hattie, K., & Jaeger, R. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning: a deductive approach. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5 (1), 111-123.
- Hayward, L., & Hedge, N. (2005). Travelling towards change in assessment: policy, practice and research in education. *Assessment in Education*, *12* (1), 55-75.
- Hendriks, M. A., Doolard, S., & Bosker, R. J. (2001). School self-evaluation in the Netherlands: development of the ZEBO-instrumentation.

 *Prospects, 31 (4), 503-518.
- Henning, E., Van Rensburg, W., & Smit, B. (2004). *Finding your way in qualitative research.* Pretoria: Van Schaik.

- Hood, J. (1990). *Education: Is America spending too much?* Retrieved August 7, 2007, from Cato policy analysis, 126: http://www.cato.org/pubs/pas/pa126.html
- Howie, S. J. (1997). *Mathematics and Science Performance in the Middle School Years in South Africa.* Pretoria: Human Sciences Research Council.
- Howie, S. J. (2001). *Mathematics and Science Performance in Grade 8 in South Africa 1998/1999. TIMSS-R in South Africa.* Pretoria: Human Sciences Research Council.
- Howie, S. J. (2002). English language proficiency and contextual factors influencing mathematics achievement of secondary school pupils in South Africa. Enschede: University of Twente.
- Howie, S. J., & Plomp, T. (2005). International comparative studies of education and large scale change. In N. Bascia, A. Cummings, K. Datnow, K. Leithwood, & D. Livingstone (Eds.), *International* handbook of educational policy (pp. 75-100). Oxford: Springer.
- Howie, S. J., Venter, E., Van Staden, S., Zimmerman, L., Long, C., Scherman, V., et al. (2008). *Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) 2006 summary report: South African children's reading literacy achievement.* Pretoria: University of Pretoria.
- Hulpia, H., & Valcke, M. (2004). The Use of Performance Indicators in a School Improvement Policy: The Theoretical and Empirical Context Department of Education, Ghent University, Belgium. *Evaluation* and Research in Education, 1&2, 102-119.
- Human Science Research Council. (2006). *Mathematics and science* achievement in South Africa, TIMSS 2003. Pretoria: HSRC press.
- Husen, T., & Tuijnman, A. C. (1994). Monitoring standards in education: why and how it came about. In A. C. Tuijnman, & T. N. Postlethwaite (Eds.), *Monitoring the standards of education* (pp. 1-22). Oxford: Pergamon .
- Illinois institute of technology. (nd). *The DELPHI method: Definition and Historical Background*. Retrieved May 5, 2008, from http://www.iit.edu/~it/delphi.html

- Jansen, J. D. (2001). On the politics of performance in South African education: Autonomy, accountability and assessment. *Prospects*, 31 (4), 553-564.
- Johnson, R. B. (1998). Toward a theoretical model of evaluation utilization. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 21, 93-110.
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (1994). *The program evaluation standards: How to assess evaluations of educational programs* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage publications, Inc.
- Joseph, D. (2004). The Practice of Design-Based Research: Uncovering the Interplay Between Design, Research, and the Real-World Context. Educational Psychologist, 39 (4), 235 - 242.
- Kane, M. T. (2006). Validation. In R. L. Brennan (Ed.), Educational Measurement (4th ed., pp. 17-64). Westport, CT: American Council on Education and Praeger.
- Kelly, A. E. (2003). Research as Design. Educational Researcher, 32 (1), 3-4.
- Killen, R. (2003). Validity in outcomes-based education. *Perspectives in Education*, *21* (1), 1-14.
- King, J. A., & Pechman, E. M. (1984). Pinning a wave to the shore:

 Conceptualizing evaluation use in school systems. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 6 (3), 241-251.
- Kirkhart, K. E. (2000). Reconceptualizing evaluation use: An integrated theory of influence. *New Directions for Evaluation*, *88*, 5-23.
- Kline, P. (1993). The handbook of psychological testing. London: Routledge.
- Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback onterventions on performance: A historical review, a ,eta-Analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119 (2), 254-284.
- Krathwohl, D. R. (1998). *Methods of educational and social science research: An integrated approach* (2nd ed.). New York, Longman.
- Küstner, P. M., & Eiselen, S. (2005). *Notes on PIPSSA.* Pretoria: Unpublished.

- Lachat, M. A., & Smith, S. (2005). Practices that support data use in urban high schools. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk*, 10 (3), 333-349.
- Lewins, A., & Silver, C. (2009, April 2). *Choosing a CAQDAS Package*.

 Retrieved May 5, 2009, from CAQDAS Networking Project and Qualitative Innovations in CAQDAS Project (QUIC):

 www.caqdas.soc.surrey.ac.uk.htm
- Linn, R. L., & Gronlund, N. E. (2000). *Measurement and assessment in teaching* (8th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Looney, A. (2006). Assessment in the Republic of Ireland. *Assessment in Education*, 13 (3), 345 353.
- Love, J. M. (1985). Knowledge transfer and utilization in education. *Review of Research in Education*, *12*, 377-386.
- Maddux, J. E., Snyder, C. R., & Lopez, S. J. (2004). Towards a positive clinical psychology: Deconstructing the illness ideology and constructing ideology of human strengths and potential. In P. A. Linley, & S. Joseph (Eds.), *Positive psychology in practice* (pp. 320-353). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, inc.
- Mafumiko, F. S. (2006). *Micro-scale experimentation as a catalyst for improving the chemistry curriculum in Tanzania*. Enschede: University of Twente.
- Martin, M. O., Mullis, I. V., Gonzalez, E. J., & Chrostowski, S. J. (2004).

 TIMSS 2003 International Science Report: Findings From IEA's

 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study at the

 Fourth and Eighth Grades. Boston College: TIMSS & PIRLS

 International Study Center.
- Martin, M. O., Mullis, I. V., Gonzalez, E. J., Gregory, K. D., Smith, T. A.,
 Chrostowski, S. J., et al. (2000). *TIMSS 1999 International Science Report: Findings from IEA's Repeat of the Third International Mathematics and Science Study at the Eighth Grade.* Boston College: The International Study Centre.
- McKenney, S. (2001). Computer-based support for science education materials developers in Africa: exploring potentials. Enschede: University of Twente.

- McKenney, S., Nieveen, N., & Van den Akker, J. (2006). Educational design research. In J. Van den Akker, K. Gravemeijer, S. McKenney, & N. Nieveen (Eds.), *Design research from a curriculum perspective* (pp. 62-90.). London: Routledge.
- Meagher-Lundberg, P. (2001). *Output reporting design: Focus group 1.*(Technical Report 9). Auckland: University of Auckland: Project asTTle.
- Merrell, C., & Tymms, P. (2005). International comparisons of early literacy. *Literacy today*, 2005 (March), 18-19.
- Mertens, D. M. (1998). Research methods in education and psychology:
 integrating diversity with quantitative and qualitative approaches.
 Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Mertens, D. M. (2009). *Transformative research and evaluation*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Messick, S. (1989). Meaning and values in test validation: the science and ethics of assessment. *Educational Researcher*, *18* (2), 5-11.
- Michigan State University Extension. (1994). *DELPHI technique*. Retrieved May 5, 2008, from http://web1.msue.msu.edu/msue/imp/modii/iii00006.html
- Muller, J. (2005). The challenge of cognitive demand. In Matric: What is to be done? Seminar papers and presentations 23 June 2005 (pp. 40-44). Johannesburg: Umalusi.
- Mullis, I. V., Martin, M. O., Gonzalez, E. J., & Chrostowski, S. J. (2004).

 TIMSS 2003 International Mathematics Report: Findings From
 IEA's Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study at
 the Fourth and Eighth Grades. Boston College: TIMSS & PIRLS
 International Study Center.
- Mullis, I. V., Martin, M. O., Gonzalez, E. J., Gregory, K. D., Garden, R. A.,
 O'Connor, K. M., et al. (2000). TIMSS 1999 International
 Mathematics Report: Findings from IEA's Repeat of the Third
 International Mathematics and Science Study at the Eighth Grade.
 Boston College: International Study Centre.
- Murphy, K. R., & Davidshofer, C. O. (1994). *Psychological testing: principles and applications.* New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

- National Treasury Republic of South Africa. (2005). Retrieved April 21, 2006, from Provincial budgets and Expenditure review: 2001/02-2007/2008 September 2005: www.treasury.gov.za
- Nevo, D. (2001). School evaluation: internal or external? *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *27*, 95-106.
- Nevo, D. (2007, 23 March). International comparisons of student achievements: for good and for worse the case of Israel. *Lecture presented at the Centre for Evaluation and Assessment*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria.
- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (1994). *Assessment: Policy to practice.*Wellington: Learning Media, Ltd.
- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (2007a). *E-asTTle FAQ*. Retrieved April 10, 2007, from http://www.tki.org.nz/r/asttle/e-asttle/faq_e.php
- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (2007b). PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment). Retrieved January 29, 2008, from www.educationcounts.govt.nz/data_collections/pisa_research
- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (2009). *home*. Retrieved October 18, 2009, from TKI-asTTle: http://www.tki.org.nz/r/asttle
- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (n.d.). *TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study) Home Page*. Retrieved January 29, 2008, from www.educationcounts.govt.nz/data_collections/timss
- Nicholls, H. (2003). English curriculum framework & map: Levels 2—6. Project asTTle Technical Report #34. University of Auckland: Ministry of Education.
- Nieveen, N. (1997). Computer support for curriculum developers: A study of the potential of computer support in the domain of formative curriculum evaluation. Enschede: PrintPartners lpskamp.
- Nieveen, N. (2009). Formative evaluation in educational design research. In T. Plomp, & N. Nieveen (Eds.), *An introduction to educational design research* (pp. 89-102). Enschede, Netherlands: SLO Netherlands institute for curriculum development.

- Nuttal, D. L. (1994). Monitoring national standards: United Kingdom. In T.Husén, & T. N. Postlethwaite (Eds.), *The International encyclopaedia of education* (pp. 3906-3912). Oxford: Pergamon.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2002). Why can't we get along? Towards a framework for unifying research paradigms. *Education*, *122* (3), 518-530.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Johnson, R. B. (2004). Mixed methods research: a research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33 (7), 14-26.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Johnson, R. B. (2006). The validity issue of mixed research. *Research in the Schools*, *13* (1), 48-63.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Leech, N. L. (2005). Taking the "Q" out of research: teaching research methodology courses without the divide between quantitative and qualitative paradigms. *Quantity & Quality*, *39*, 267-296.
- Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD). (2008).

 Review of national policies for education South Africa. Paris: OECD publications.
- Owen, K., & Taljaard, J. J. (1996). Handbook for the use of psychological and scholastic tests of the HSRC. Pretoria: Human Science Research Council.
- Pandor, N. (2006, December 28). Not where we want to be. Statement by Naledi Pandor, MP, Minister of Education, on the release of the 2006 senior certificate examination results. Pretoria: Parliament.
- Parliamentary Monitoring Group. (2006, June 20). Report to the portfolio commission on the Integrated Quality Management system.

 Retrieved April 16, 2007, from http://www.pmg.org.za/docs/2006/060620iqms.htm
- Patton, M. Q. (1991). Towards utility in reviews of multivocal literatures. Review of Educational Research, 61 (3), 287-292.
- Patton, M. Q. (1997). *Utilization-focused evaluation: the new century text* (3rd ed.). California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Plomp, T. (2009). Educational Design Research: an Introduction. In T. Plomp, & N. Nieveen (Eds.), *An Introduction to Educational Design Research* (pp. 9-37). Enschede: SLO.

- Plomp, T., Howie, S. J., & McGaw, B. (2003). International studies in educational achievement. In T. Kellaghan, & D. L. Stufflebeam (Eds.), *International handbook of educational evaluation* (pp. 951-978). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic publishers.
- Plummer, K. (2001). *Documents of life 2: an invitation to a critical humanism.*London: SAGE Publications.
- Powell, A., & Maritz, J. (2006, January 19). Rasool ready to crack whip on bad schools. *The Cape Times*, p. 1.
- Reeves, T. C., Herrington, J., & Oliver, R. (2005). Design Research: A Socially Responsible Approach to Instructional Technology Research in Higher Education. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 16 (2), 97-116.
- Richey, R. T., Klein, J. D., & Nelson, W. A. (1996). Developmental research. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communications and technology* (pp. 1213-1245). New York: Macmillan.
- Riessman, C. K. (1993). *Narrative Analysis*. Newbury Park: SAGE Publications.
- Rossi, P. H., Lipsey, M. W., & Freeman, H. E. (2004). *Evaluation: a systemic approach* (7th ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Rowe, K. J., Turner, R., & Lane, K. (2002). The VCE Data Project. In A. J. Visscher, & R. Coe, *School improvement through performance feedback* (pp. 163-190). Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.
- Saldana, J. (2009). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers.* London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Salpeter, J. (2004). Data: Mining with a mission. *Technology*, *March*, 30-36.
- Saunders, L. (2001). The use of 'value-added' measures in school evaluation: a view from England. *Prospects*, *31* (4), 489-502.
- Scheerens, J., Glas, C., & Thomas, S. M. (2003). *Educational evaluation,* assessment, and monitoring: a systemic approach. Netherlands: Swets & Zelinger Publishers.
- Scherman, V., Archer, E., Howie, S. J., & Lopez, T. (2006). *National Research Foundation: Investigating Value-added assessment in South Africa*,

- *Technical report year 2003/2004.* Pretoria: Centre for Evaluation and Assessment.
- Schield, M. (2004). Information literacy, statistical literacy and data literacy. IASSIST, 3, 6-11.
- Schildkamp, K. (2007). *The utilisation of a self-evaluation instrument for primary education.* Enschede: Printpartners Ipskamp.
- Schildkamp, K., & Kuiper, W. (2009). Data-informed curriculum reform: Which data, what purposes, and promoting and hindering factors.

 Teaching and Teacher Education, xxx, 1-15.
- Schildkamp, K., & Teddlie, C. (2008). School performance feedback systems in the USA and in the Netherlands: A comparison. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, *14* (3), 255-282.
- Schildkamp, K., Visscher, A., & Luyten, H. (2009). The effects of the use of a school self-evaluation instrument. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, *20* (1), 69–88.
- Schildkamp, K., Visscher, A., & Luyten, H. (2009). The effects of the use of a school self-evaluation instrument. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 20 (1), 69–88.
- Scientific Software Development. (1997). *Atlas.ti the knowledge workbench:*Short user's manual. Berlin: Thomas Muhr, Scientific Software

 Development.
- Scientific Software Development. (2004). *Atlas.ti the knowledge workbench:*Quick tour for beginners V5.0. Berlin: Thomas Muhr, Scientific Software Development.
- Scriven, M. (1967). The methodology of evaluation. In R. W. Tyler, R. M. Gagné, & M. Scriven (Eds.), *Perspectives of curriculum evaluation* (pp. 39-83). Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.
- Shulha, L. M., & Cousins, J. B. (1997). Evaluation use: theory, research and practise since 1986. *American Journal of Evaluation*, *18* (3), 195-208.
- Singh, T. (2004). School Based Assessment: the interface between

 Continuous Assessment (CASS) and the external summative

 examination at Grade 12 level with special focus on Mathematics

- and Science. Retrieved May 27, 2007, from University of Pretoria: http://upetd.up.ac.za/thesis/available/etd-12062004-141525.
- Smith, R. M. (2004). Detecting item bias in the Rasch model. In J. E. Smith, &R. M. Smith (Eds.), *Introduction to Rasch measurement: Theory,*models and applications. Maple Grove: JAM Press.
- Statistics South Africa. (2001). *Census 2001: Census in brief.* Pretoria: Statistics South Africa.
- Statistics South Africa. (2004). *General household Survey July 2004.* Pretoria: Statistics South Africa.
- Statistics South Africa. (2008). Household survey 2007. Pretoria: Stats SA.
- Suen, H. K. (1990). *Principle of test theories*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Sukhraj, P. (2006, January 5). Outrage as all matrics at school fail. *The Star*, p. 3.
- Syque. (2007). The Quality Toolbook: How to understand the Nominal Group Technique. Retrieved April 18, 2008, from http://syque.com/quality_tools/toolbook/NGT/ngt.htm
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches.* Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Taut, S. M., & Alkin, C. M. (2003). Program staff perceptions of Barriers to evaluation implementation. American Journal of Evaluation, 24, 213-226.
- Taylor, N. (2007, June 26-29). Systemic Assessments and Social Justice:

 Strange Bedfellows, or Not? *Colloquium at the 2007 14th International Learning Conference*. Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Taylor, N., Muller, J., & Vinjevold, P. (2003). *Getting schools working:*research and systemic school reform in South Africa. Cape Town:

 Pearson Education South Africa.
- Teddlie, C., Kochan, S., & Taylor, D. (2002). The ABC+ model for school diagnosis, feedback, and improvement. In A. J. Visscher, & R. Coe (Eds.), *School improvement through performance feedback* (pp. 75-114). Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.

- Thijs, A. (1999). Supporting science curriculum reform in Botswana: The potential of peer coaching. Enschede: PrintPartners Ipskamp.
- Tymms, P. (1999). Baseline assessment and monitoring in primary schools: achievements, attitudes and value-added indicators. London: David Fulton Publishers.
- Tymms, P., & Albone, S. (2002). Performance indicators in primary schools. In A. J. Visscher, & R. Coe (Eds.), *School improvement through performance feedback* (pp. 191-220). Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.
- Tymms, P., & Coe, R. (2003). Celebrating the success of distributed research with schools: the CEM Durham. *British Educational Research Journal*, 29 (5), 639-653.
- Tymms, P., & Wylde, M. (2003). Baseline assessment and monitoring in primary schools. *Paper presented at the Symposium Connectable Processes in Elementary and Primary Section.* Bamberg April 2003.
- Tymms, P., Merrell, C., & Henderson, B. (2000). Baseline assessment and progress during the first three years at school. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 6 (2), 105-129.
- Tymms, P., Merrell, C., & Jones, P. (2004). Using baseline assessment data to make international comparison. *British Educational Research Journal*, *30* (5), 673-689.
- Umalusi. (2007). *The statistical working group*. Retrieved April 16, 2007, from http://www.umalusi.org.za
- Umalusi. (2009). 2008 Maintaining standards report. Pretoria: Umalusi.
- United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). (2000). *Defining quality in education*. New York: United Nations Children's Fund.
- Van den Akker, J. (1999). Principles and Methods of Development Research.
 In J. J. Van den Akker, R. Branch, K. Gustafson, N. M. Nieveen, &
 T. Plomp (Eds.), Design approaches and tools in education and training. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Van den Berg, S., & Burger, R. (2002, October 22-24). Education and socioeconomic differentials: A study of school performance in the Western Cape. Paper presented at the 2002 DPRU/FES

- conference, Johannesburg, South Africa Retrieved June 9, 2010, from
- www.commerce.uct.ac.za/Research_Units/DPRU/Conf2003PDF/C onf2002PDF/VdBergBurger.PDF.
- Van Petegem, P., & Vanhoof, J. (2005). Feedback of performance indicators:

 A tool for school improvement? Flemish case studies as a starting point for constructing a model for school feedback. *Revista Eletronca Iberoamericana sobre Calidad, Eficacia y Cambio en EducaciÓn, 3* (1), 222-234, Retrieved January 30, 2007, from http://www.ice.deusto.es/rinace/reice/vol3n1_e/VanPetegemVanho of.PDF.
- Vanhoof, J., Verhaeghe, G., Van Petegem, P., & Valcke, M. (2010). The Influence of Competences and Support on School Performance Feedback Use. *ICSEI 2010.* Kuala Lumpur: ICSEI.
- Visscher, A. J. (2002). A framework for studying school performance feedback systems. In A. J. Visscher, & R. Coe (Eds.), *School improvement through performance feedback* (pp. 41-74). Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.
- Visscher, A. J., & Coe, R. (2002). Introduction. In A. J. Visscher, & R. Coe (Eds.), *School improvement through performance feedback* (pp. xi-xix). Lisse, Swets & Zeitlinger Publishers.
- Voster, C. (2003). *General systems theory and psychotherapy: beyond postmodernism.* Pretoria: SATORI publishers.
- Ward, L., Hattie, J. A., & Brown, G. T. (2003). *The evaluation of asTTle in schools: The power of professional development. asTTle Technical Report, #35.* University of Auckland: Ministry of Education.
- Weiss, C. H. (1981). Measuring the use of evaluation. In J. A. Ciarlo (Ed.), *Utilizing evaluation: Concepts and measurement techniques.*Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Williams, P. L., & Webb, C. (1994). The Delphi technique: a methodological discussion. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *19*, 180-186.
- Willig, C. (2001). *Introducing qualitative research in psychology: adventures in theory and method.* Philadelphia: Open University Press.



- Wohlstetter, P., Datnow, A., & Park, V. (2008). Creating a system for datadriven decision-making: Applying the principal agent framework. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 19 (3), 239-259.
- World Bank. (2008). 2008 World Development Indicators. Washington: World Bank.
- World Bank. (2010, June 10). World Development Indicators . Retrieved July 15, 2010, from http://www.google.com/publicdata?ds=wb-wdi&met=sp_pop_totl&idim=country:NZL&dl=en&hl=en&q=populati on+New+Zealand#met=sp_pop_totl&idim=country:NZL:ZAF
- Yin, R. K. (2003). Case study research: design and methods (3 rd ed.).

 Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Zapawa, T. (2005). *Excel Advanced Report Development* (2 nd ed.). Indianapolis: Wiley Publishing, Inc.
- Zuzovsky, R. (1994/1995). Utilization of research findings: a matter of tradition. *Knowledge and Policy*, 7 (4), 78-94.