



THE MODIFICATION OF WAXY OIL FOR PREPARING A POTENTIAL FEEDSTOCK FOR NEEDLE COKE PRODUCTION

by

John Graham Clark

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor in Chemical Engineering

in the

**Institute of Applied Materials
Department of Chemical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, the Built Environment and Information
Technology**

**University of Pretoria
Pretoria**

July 2011

Promoter: Professor Brian Rand

DECLARATION

I declare that this dissertation is my own unaided work. It is being submitted for the Degree of Philosophiae Doctor at the University of Pretoria, Pretoria. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination to any other University

.....

(Signature of Candidate)

On this 18th day of October 2011

DEDICATION

This doctoral thesis is dedicated to my mother

Catherine Janet Clark



ABSTRACT

This research study determines the potential to increase substantially the anisotropy of a coke from an aliphatic Waxy Oil produced by Sasol Synfuels at Secunda, South Africa. Experimental modifications included filtration, distillation and thermal treatment, followed by distillation with the aim of producing a carbonised product similar to needle coke. The substantial concentration of an iron oxide catalyst (up to 2%) in Waxy Oil is increased by an order of magnitude upon carbonisation and calcination due to low coke yield and reactivity factors. The catalyst also promotes oxidative polymerisation of the residue, acts as a barrier to mesophase formation and promotes multi-phase graphitisation. Filtration of Waxy Oil using a 0.5 μm sintered metal filter reduces the ash content to 0.006% and increases the anisotropy of the carbonised product to 54% flow domains compared with 22% for the carbonised product of virgin Waxy Oil. Thermal treatment followed by distillation of Waxy Oil reduces the effect of organic reactivity promoters (mainly multi-alkylated aliphatics/aromatics and oxygenates), while increasing the concentration of thermally stable (C_{18} to C_{30}) normal alkanes to 85% compared with 38% in the filtered Waxy Oil. Compared with the filtered Waxy Oil, thermally stabilised Waxy Oil reduces the amount of the pre-carbonisation residue (from 98.7 to 43.0%), while “static” carbonisation thereof increases the green coke yield (from 19.8 to 36.3%) and increases the anisotropicity (from 54 to 100% flow domains). The carbonisation mechanism of filtered and thermally treated Waxy Oil involves initial cracking of high molecular weight normal alkanes (C_{18} to C_{30}), thus concentrating the molecular weight of normal alkanes (C_{18} to C_{22}). This is followed by a slow cyclisation step involving both self condensation and cyclo addition reactions to form two- to six-ring cyclo-alkanes or hydro-aromatics. The hydro-aromatics are dehydrogenated rapidly to form methyl and di-methyl three- to six-ring substituted aromatics. Further thermal degradation dealkylates these molecules to form stable four- to six-ring “pre-mesogens”. The mesospheres are nucleated from the isotropic matrix and grow to more than 0.050 mm in diameter, with a volume of $2.61 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$. Subsequent coalescence of the mesospheres produces mesospheres with diameters of over 0.200 mm and volumes of $41.82 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^3$. The resultant microstructure of the solid carbon is composed of flow domains more than 400 μm in length. Although needle cokes have historically been produced from aromatic residues, this research is the first to show that a coke with a similar microstructure can be produced from a totally aliphatic residue. The research thus provides potential for the development of a needle coke from a totally unique Waxy Oil residue with negligible sulphur ($< 0.008\%$) and nitrogen ($< 0.09\%$) contents. This is the first academic study of the chemistry of Waxy Oil.



Keywords: Waxy Oil, Anisotropic, Thermal treatment, Needle coke, Mesophase

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is with great humility that I wish to acknowledge and thank the people who aided in my doctoral studies.

This work is based on research supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and the National Research Foundation, whose support is gratefully acknowledged.

I would like to express my thanks and appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Brian Rand (University of Pretoria), who constantly checked my work and gave his expert opinion as to ideas which could be tested. Brian, your valuable input as my supervisor will never be forgotten.

I would also like to thank Sasol Synfuels Marketing for financial support of my studies, especially Dr Flip Hayes who acted as my industrial supervisor. Flip's knowledge of both the technical and marketing aspects of the coking industry proved invaluable in the creation of this thesis.

I would also like to thank the personnel at INCAR in Spain where I did a great deal of the research for this thesis. Your friendly and helpful attitude contributed greatly towards the original knowledge gained during this project. I would especially like to thank Drs Rosa Mendez, Ricardo Santamaria, Alba Centeno Perez and Clara Blanco. I truly appreciate the assistance of Antonio José Martin Fernandez and Daniel Barreda Garcia.

I would further like to acknowledge and thank people who throughout my career in the delayed coking industry I consider to have been my mentors. In this list I include Messrs Piet Ligthelm, Gregor van Deventer, Jo Spieth and Quentin Beukes, and Drs Flip Hayes and John Chang.

I would like to thank my family for their interest in and support of my doctoral studies. I would especially like to thank my wife Karen for her continuous encouragement and for checking my thesis for errors, but mostly for the time over weekends and at night when I got time to study. In this respect I would also like to thank my daughter Megan for the time I had to study instead of playing in the garden with her.

I would like extend my appreciation to Dr Ricardo Santa-Maria and Mr Deon van der Westhuizen for proofreading my thesis.

Further, I would like to acknowledge the support and kindness of my friends at Pizza Viali, especially Marco, Louis, Gavin, David, Brian, Raymond, Deon, Linda, Nikita, Barry, Vincenzo, Nicky and Michael.

While it would be almost impossible to name everybody who in some way contributed towards this thesis, I would also like to thank the following people:

- Professor Walter Focke (University of Pretoria)
- Drs Wilhelm Frohs and Thomas Mueller (SGL Carbon Ltd, Germany)
- Mr David Grace (SLFC)

- Dr Jaco van der Walt (NECSA)
- Mr Etienne Schuin (Sasol Technology – Research and Development)
- Mr Ben Ashton (Sasol Technology – Research and Development)
- Dr Esna du Plessis (Sasol Technology – Research and Development)
- Messrs Johann Snyman and Ewie Du Plooy (Synfuels laboratories)
- Messrs Siyanda Lubhelwana and Werner Barnard (Sasol Technology – Research and Development)
- Mrs Vivien du Cann
- Mr Johan Joubert (Sasol Technology – Research and Development)
- Mr Allen Hall (University of Pretoria)
- Mrs Beverlie Davies (for editing the thesis)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xx
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background to the investigation	1-1
1.2 Feedstock quality	1-2
1.3 Problem statement.....	1-3
1.4 Scope of the investigation.....	1-4
1.5 Objectives of the investigation.....	1-6
2 INTRODUCTION TO NEEDLE COKE.....	2-1
2.1 The industrial value chain of graphite electrode production	2-1
2.1.1 Feedstock selection	2-1
2.1.2 Delayed coking	2-3
2.1.3 Calcination	2-5
2.1.4 Electrode production.....	2-6
2.2 The chemistry of needle coke formation	2-8
2.2.1 Composition of needle coke feedstock	2-8
2.2.2 Mesophase formation.....	2-9
2.2.3 Coke microstructure.....	2-11
2.2.4 Microstructure of needle coke	2-13
2.2.5 Needle coke crystallography.....	2-15
2.2.6 The Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE) of needle coke	2-15
2.2.7 Puffing	2-17
2.2.8 Needle coke specifications.....	2-19
2.2 Concluding remarks – Introduction to needle coke	2-20
3 THE WAXY OIL VALUE CHAIN	3-1
3.1 The production of Waxy Oil calcined coke from Synthol Decant Oil (SDO).....	3-1
3.1.1 SDO de-ashing	3-1
3.1.2 Delayed coking of Waxy Oil	3-2
3.1.3 Waxy Oil delayed coker feed.....	3-2
3.1.4 Waxy Oil calcined coke	3-5
3.2 Concluding remarks – The Waxy Oil value chain.....	3-6
4 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS WORK	4-1
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.2 The chemistry of needle coke precursors.....	4-1
4.2.1 The influence of feedstock aromaticity on needle coke quality	4-1

4.2.2	The influence of aromatic hydrogen on mesophase development.....	4-3
4.3	The influence of heteroatoms on needle coke quality	4-3
4.3.1	The influence of sulphur on needle coke quality	4-4
4.3.2	The influence of nitrogen on needle coke quality.....	4-5
4.3.3	The influence of oxygen on needle coke quality	4-6
4.4	Interventions to control puffing of needle coke	4-6
4.5	The influence of asphaltenes on needle coke quality.....	4-7
4.6	The influence of mineral matter on needle coke quality.....	4-8
4.6.1	The effect of mineral matter on mesophase development	4-9
4.6.2	The effect of iron oxide on the carboxy and air reactivity of calcined coke ...	4-10
4.6.3	Iron oxide as a driving force for multi-phase graphitisation	4-10
4.6.4	Methods for removing mineral matter from heavy residues.....	4-11
4.7	Molecular modification of needle coke precursors.....	4-11
4.7.1	Co-carbonisation of feedstock	4-12
4.7.2	Molecular modification of heavy residues.....	4-12
4.8	Concluding remarks – Review of previous work	4-13
5	EXPERIMENTAL.....	5-1
5.1	Experimental procedures for Chapter 6	5-1
5.1.1	Waxy Oil green coke	5-1
5.1.2	Standard analyses.....	5-1
5.1.3	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	5-1
5.1.4	Optical microscopy	5-2
5.1.5	Calcination	5-2
5.1.6	Pre-graphitisation.....	5-3
5.1.7	Graphitisation.....	5-4
5.1.8	Standard analyses.....	5-4
5.2	Experimental procedures for Chapter 7	5-4
5.2.1	Removal of solids from Waxy Oil	5-4
5.2.2	Waxy Oil distillation.....	5-5
5.2.3	Waxy Oil thermal treatment.....	5-6
5.2.4	Analysis of modified Waxy Oils	5-7
5.3	Experimental procedures for Chapter 8	5-9
5.3.1	“Static” carbonisation of modified Waxy Oils	5-9
5.3.2	Calcination	5-10
5.3.3	Green and calcined coke characterisation.....	5-10
5.4	Experimental procedures for Chapter 9	5-12
5.4.1	Filtration and thermal treatment	5-12
5.4.2	Low-temperature carbonisation	5-12
5.4.3	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Differential Thermal Gravimetry (DTG)	5-12
5.4.4	Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) Spectroscopy	5-12
5.4.5	Procedure for Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GCMS)	5-12
5.5	Concluding remarks – Experimental	5-12
6	INFLUENCE OF CATALYST CONCENTRATION ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF WAXY OIL COKE’.....	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1

6.2	Macrostructure of needle coke.....	6-1
6.3	Macrostructure of Waxy Oil green coke.....	6-2
6.4	Chemical analysis of Waxy Oil green cokes	6-4
6.4.1	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Waxy Oil green cokes	6-4
6.4.2	Influence of iron oxide or elemental iron on the oxidative consumption and carboxy reactivity of Waxy Oil coke	6-6
6.5	Waxy Oil calcined coke	6-7
6.5.1	Influence of increasing catalyst content on the microstructure of Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-8
6.5.2	Chemical analysis of Waxy Oil calcined cokes.....	6-20
6.5.3	X-ray diffraction of Waxy Oil calcined coke	6-21
6.5.4	Raman spectroscopy of Waxy Oil calcined coke	6-24
6.6	Waxy Oil pre-graphite	6-26
6.6.1	Effect of catalyst content on the real density of Waxy Oil green and thermally treated cokes	6-26
6.6.2	X-ray diffraction of Waxy Oil pre-graphite.....	6-27
6.6.3	Raman spectroscopy of Waxy Oil pre-graphite.....	6-29
6.7	Graphitisation of Waxy Oil green coke	6-30
6.7.1	Analysis Waxy Oil green coke	6-31
6.8	Conclusions – Influence of catalyst concentration on the characteristics of Waxy Oil coke	6-33
6.9	Recommendations – Influence of catalyst concentration on the characteristics of waxy oil coke.....	6-33
7	WAXY OIL MODIFICATION	7-1
7.1	Introduction.....	7-1
7.2	Modification of Waxy Oil.....	7-1
7.2.1	No modification of Waxy Oil (Sample A).....	7-1
7.2.2	Waxy Oil Filtration (Sample B).....	7-2
7.2.3	Waxy Oil filtration and distillation	7-8
7.2.4	Waxy Oil filtration and thermal treatment.....	7-16
7.3	Hydrogen (¹ H) Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and Aromatic Index (I _{ar}) of modified Waxy Oils.....	7-35
7.3.1	The question of aromaticity	7-37
7.4	Influence of Waxy Oil modification on the distillate fractions of Samples C2, E1a and E1b.....	7-37
7.4.1	Intermediate distillation fractions of Samples C2, and E1a and E1b.....	7-38
7.4.2	Molecular grouping of intermediate petrol distillation fraction of Samples C2, and E1a and E1b	7-39
7.4.3	Molecular grouping of intermediate diesel distillation fraction of Samples C2, and E1a and E1b	7-41
7.4.4	Importance of distillate breakdown products to the mechanism of carbonisation7- 42	
7.5	Conclusions – Waxy Oil modification.....	7-43
7.6	Recommendations – Waxy Oil modification.....	7-44
8	“STATIC” CARBONISATION OF MODIFIED WAXY OILS	8-1
8.1	Introduction.....	8-1

8.2	The role of “static” carbonisation	8-1
8.3	Effect of catalyst removal on coke characteristics.....	8-2
8.3.1	Green and calcined coke yields of filtered and unfiltered Waxy Oil.....	8-2
8.3.2	Macrostructure of Waxy Oil green cokes A and B.....	8-3
8.3.3	Optical microscopy of Waxy Oil green cokes A and B.....	8-4
8.3.4	Optical microscopy of green coke B.....	8-7
8.3.5	Analysis of calcined cokes A and B	8-9
8.3.6	Raman spectroscopy of Waxy Oil calcined cokes.....	8-11
8.3.7	Carboxy (CO ₂) reactivity of Waxy Oil calcined cokes.....	8-14
8.3.8	Air reactivity of Waxy Oil calcined cokes.....	8-16
8.3.9	The difference in the profiles of air and carboxy reactivity.....	8-17
8.4	The effect of molecular modification of Waxy Oil on green coke microstructure and yield.....	8-18
8.4.1	Waxy Oil green coke yield and quantitative optical microscopy	8-18
8.4.2	Optical microscopy of Waxy Oil green coke E1b	8-20
8.4.3	Macrostructure of Waxy Oil green cokes B and E1b	8-23
8.5	Maximising the value of Waxy Oil modification	8-24
8.6	Is the low green coke yield of Waxy Oil carbonisation a potential problem?.....	8-25
8.7	Conclusions – “Static carbonisation” of Waxy Oils	8-25
8.8	Recommendations – “Static carbonisation” of Waxy Oils	8-26
9	CARBONISATION MECHANISM OF WAXY OIL	9-1
9.1	Introduction.....	9-1
9.2	Low-temperature carbonisation of filtered and thermally treated Waxy Oil.....	9-1
9.3	Residue yield and NMP (soluble and insoluble) fractions.....	9-2
9.4	Thermogravimetry Analysis (TGA) and Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of the carbonisation residue	9-3
9.4.1	The effect of carbonisation time on the area percentage and molecular weight of normal alkanes	9-5
9.5	Aromaticity Index (I _{ar})	9-6
9.6	Typical molecular composition of pre-mesogen molecules produced by low-temperature carbonisation	9-6
9.7	Pre-mesogen molecules produced during low-temperature carbonisation	9-9
9.8	Proposed reaction mechanism for the formation of pre-mesogens from high molecular weight normal alkanes	9-10
9.8.1	Formation of cyclo-alkanes/hydro-aromatics	9-11
9.8.2	Formation of alkylated aromatic molecules.....	9-12
9.8.3	Formation of aromatic pre-mesogens	9-12
9.9	Quantification of one-to six-ring alkylated aromatic and aromatic compounds.....	9-13
9.10	Mesophase development.....	9-15
9.11	Conclusions – Carbonisation mechanism of Waxy Oil	9-19
10	CONCLUSIONS.....	10-1
10.1	Introduction.....	10-1
10.2	The role of iron oxide in the carbonisation of Waxy Oil.....	10-1
10.3	The effect of molecular composition on the microstructure of Waxy Oil coke	10-2

10.4	The mechanism of Waxy Oil carbonisation.....	10-3
11	CONTRIBUTION TO ORIGINAL KNOWLEDGE	11-1
11.1	Does the research address a significant challenge?	11-1
11.2	Does the research provide an original solution to this challenge?.....	11-1
11.3	Is the solution provided significant?	11-2
11.4	Epitaph	11-2
12	REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY	12-1
12.1	References.....	12-1
12.2	Bibliography	12-9

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Diagram of a delayed coker (Clark, 2008).....	2-4
Figure 2-2 Diagram of a counter-current calciner (Clark, 2008).....	2-6
Figure 2-3 Diagram showing the manufacturing process for graphite electrodes (Frohs <i>et al.</i> , 2007).....	2-7
Figure 2-4 Typical aromatic pre-mesogens in needle coke feedstock.....	2-9
Figure 2-5 Mesophase development and the influence of primary Quinoline Insolubles (QI) (Crelling, 2008).....	2-10
Figure 2-6 Micrograph of an isotropic coke showing fine mosaic structures (Crelling, 2008).....	2-11
Figure 2-7 Micrograph of anisotropic coke showing flow domain microstructures (Crelling, 2008).....	2-12
Table 2-8 Montage of needle coke microstructures (a–d) and needle coke in an electrode (e–f) (Crelling, 2008).....	2-13
Figure 2-9 Scanning electron micrograph of perpendicular sections of needle coke, (a) in the longitudinal section and (b) in the transverse section (Mochida <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	2-14
Figure 2-10 Diagram of the structure model of needle coke (Mochida <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	2-14
Figure 2-11 CTE values of test electrode rods produced from cokes formed at various temperatures, at a pressure of 16 kg.cm ⁻² from feedstocks. ○ LSVR; ● FCCDO; □ Coal-tar pitch (QI free) (Mochida <i>et al.</i> , 1994). The Y-scale is the Coefficient of Thermal Expansion and is measured in units of mm/mm x 10 ⁻⁶ .°C ⁻¹	2-16
Figure 2-12 Profile of expansion and shrinkage curves of an electrode showing the effect of puffing (Mochida <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	2-18
Figure 2-13 Diagram showing the influence of measuring direction on the extent of puffing (Mochida <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	2-19
Figure 3-1 Simplified process flow diagram of the Synthol Decant Oil (SDO) filtration plant.....	3-1
Figure 3-2 Simplified process flow diagram of SDO distillation, delayed coking of Waxy Oil and calcining of Waxy Oil green coke.....	3-2
Figure 3-3 Ash content and carbon solids content of Waxy Oil feed to the delayed coker..	3-3
Figure 3-4 Viscosity of Waxy Oil feed to the delayed coker.....	3-4
Figure 3-5 Total ash content (comprising non-iron ash and iron ash) and Volatile Carbon Matter (VCM) of Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	3-6
Figure 5-1 Filtration apparatus for Waxy Oil.....	5-5
Figure 5-2 Photo of vacuum distillation equipment.....	5-6
Figure 5-3 Photo of thermal treatment apparatus.....	5-7
Figure 6-1 Photos of commercial needle coke in the longitudinal direction (a and b) and in the transverse direction (c and d).....	6-2

Figure 6-2 Photos (a–c) of Waxy Oil green coke (Sample 1; 1.841% ash) on an X-, Y- and Z-axis	6-2
Figure 6-3 Photos (a–c) of Waxy Oil green coke (Sample 2; 4.223% ash) on an X-, Y- and Z-axis	6-3
Figure 6-4 Photos (a–c) of Waxy Oil green coke (Sample 3; 7.471% ash) on an X-, Y- and Z-axis	6-3
Figure 6-5 Photos (a–c) of Waxy Oil green coke (Sample 4; 11.186% ash) on an X-, Y- and Z-axis	6-3
Figure 6-6 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA –multiple run average) of Waxy Oil green cokes	6-5
Figure 6-7 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG multiple run average) of Waxy Oil green cokes	6-6
Figure 6-8 Optical micrograph of Sample 1 Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-8
Figure 6-9 Optical micrograph of Sample 2 Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-9
Figure 6-10 Optical micrograph of Sample 3 Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-10
Figure 6-11 Optical micrograph of Sample 4 Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-10
Figure 6-12 Diagram showing contamination of calcined coke by catalyst agglomerates of Samples (a) 1, (b) 2, (c) 3 and (d) 4.....	6-11
Figure 6-13 Polarised micrograph of catalyst agglomerates within the carbon microstructure	6-12
Figure 6-14 Scanning electron micrograph of ash agglomerate within the carbon microstructure of Sample 3, Waxy Oil calcined coke	6-13
Figure 6-15 XRD trace of Waxy Oil calcined coke showing forms of the iron catalyst....	6-14
Figure 6-16 XRD trace of Waxy Oil pre-graphites showing phases of iron	6-15
Figure 6-17 Optical micrographs (a–c) of Sample 1 of Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-16
Figure 6-18 Optical micrographs (a–c) of Sample 2 of Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-17
Figure 6-19 Optical micrographs (a–c) of Sample 3 Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-18
Figure 6-20 Optical micrographs (a–c) of Sample 4 of Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-19
Figure 6-21 XRD trace showing graphite peaks between a 2θ angle of 25 and 35° in the Waxy Oil calcined cokes	6-22
Figure 6-22 XRD trace showing graphite peaks G1, G2 and G3 in the Waxy Oil calcined coke.....	6-23
Figure 6-23 Raman spectra of Waxy Oil calcined cokes.....	6-25
Figure 6-24 XRD trace showing peaks in the graphite region between a 2θ angle of 28 and 32° in the Waxy Oil pre-graphite.....	6-27
Figure 6-25 XRD trace showing graphite peaks G1, G2 and G3 in the Waxy Oil pre-graphites.....	6-28
Figure 6-26 Raman spectra of Waxy Oil pre-graphites	6-30

Figure 6-27 Graph showing the percentile loss of ash and iron from the green coke (during graphitisation) on the left axis vs. the resistivity of the graphite on the right axis	6-32
Figure 7-1 Particle Size Distribution (PSD) of solids in Synthol Decant Oil (SDO)	7-2
Figure 7-2 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Samples A and B	7-4
Figure 7-3 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of Samples A and B	7-4
Figure 7-4 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Sample B	7-5
Figure 7-5 Photo of solidified wax distillate in the condenser	7-9
Figure 7-6 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Samples B, C1 and C2 residues	7-9
Figure 7-7 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of Samples B, C1 and C2 residues	7-10
Figure 7-8 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples B and C2 residues	7-11
Figure 7-9 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples B and C1 residues	7-12
Figure 7-10 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples C1 and C2 distillates	7-13
Figure 7-11 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Samples B, D1, D3 and D4 residues	7-17
Figure 7-12 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of Samples B, D1, D3 and D4 residues	7-17
Figure 7-13 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples D1 and B residues	7-18
Figure 7-14 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples D3 and D4 residues	7-19
Figure 7-15 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples D1 and D3 distillates	7-20
Figure 7-16 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples D3 and D4 distillates	7-20
Figure 7-17 Histogram of potential reactivity promoters subdivided into groups of Sample D3 residue (eluting before 30 min according to Figure 7-16)	7-23
Figure 7-18 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Samples B, D3, E1a and E2 residues	7-25
Figure 7-19 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of Samples B, D3, E1a and E2 residues	7-26
Figure 7-20 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples D3 and E1a residues	7-27
Figure 7-21 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples D3 and E1a distillates	7-28
Figure 7-22 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples E1a and E2 residues	7-29
Figure 7-23 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples E1a and E2 distillates	7-29
Figure 7-24 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Samples B, E1a and E1b residues....	7-31

Figure 7-25 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of Samples B, E1a and E1b residues	7-32
Figure 7-26 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples E1a and E1b residues	7-33
Figure 7-27 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) of Samples E1a and E1b distillates	7-33
Figure 7-28 Histogram of potential reactivity promoters subdivided into groups of Sample E1b residue (eluting before 30 min according to Figure 7-28)	7-35
Figure 7-29 Simplified reaction model of exchanges between molecular families during thermal pyrolysis (modified from Domine <i>et al.</i> , 2002)	7-39
Figure 7-30 Quantitative analysis of molecular groups comprising the petrol fraction of Samples C2, and E1a and E1b distillates	7-40
Figure 7-31 Quantitative analysis of molecular groups comprising the diesel fraction of Samples C2, and E1a and E1b distillates	7-41
Figure 8-1 Diagram showing the height zones within the coke bed at which photos of the macrostructure were taken	8-3
Figure 8-2 Macromorphology of green coke A at the top, middle and bottom of the coke section (a–c) respectively and of green coke B at the top, middle and bottom of the coke section (d–f) respectively	8-4
Figure 8-3 Photo of a longitudinal section of green coke	8-5
Figure 8-4 Optical micrographs of green coke A at the bottom (a–c) and top (d–f) of the coke section	8-6
Figure 8-5 Optical micrographs of green coke B at the bottom (a–c) and top (d–f) of the coke section	8-8
Figure 8-6 EDX of Sample A Waxy Oil calcined coke	8-10
Figure 8-7 Scanning electron micrographs of Sample A calcined coke at Position 1 (bottom) with increasing magnification from (a) to (b)	8-10
Figure 8-8 Scanning electron micrographs of Sample B calcined coke at Position 1 (a) and (b)	8-11
Figure 8-9 Raman spectroscopy trace of calcined coke A (zone 1 bottom) vs. calcined coke B (zone 1 bottom)	8-12
Figure 8-10 Raman spectroscopy trace of calcined coke A (zone 1 bottom), zone 2 (middle) and zone 3 (top)	8-13
Figure 8-11 Raman spectroscopy trace of calcined coke A (zone 1 bottom), zone 2 (middle) and zone 3 (top)	8-14
Figure 8-12 TGA carboxy (CO ₂) reactivity profile of calcined cokes A and B	8-15
Figure 8-13 DTG carboxy (CO ₂) reactivity profile of calcined cokes A and B	8-15
Figure 8-14 TGA air reactivity profile of calcined cokes A and B	8-16
Figure 8-15 DTG air reactivity profile of calcined cokes A and B	8-17
Figure 8-16 Optical micrographs of the bottom (a–b) and top (c–d) sections of green coke E1b	8-21

Figure 8-17 Series of micrographs of green coke E1b with increasing height percentage: (a) 13%; (b) 30%; (c) 60%; and (d) 80%	8-22
Figure 8-18 Macromorphology of green coke E1b at the top, middle and bottom of the coke bed (a–c) respectively and macro-morphology of green coke B at the top, middle and bottom of the coke bed (e–f) respectively.....	8-23
Figure 8-19 Histogram showing the influence of Waxy Oil modification on the residue yield, green coke yield and degree of green coke anisotropy (as measured by the percentage of flow domains)	8-24
Figure 9-1 Procedure for processing the carbonisation residue and analysis	9-2
Figure 9-2 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of Samples C1, C2, C3, C4 and C6 as described in experimental conditions of Table 9-1	9-3
Figure 9-3 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of Samples C1, C2, C3, C4 and C6 as described in experimental conditions of Table 9-1	9-4
Figure 9-4 Quantification of the breakdown of normal alkanes during the low-temperature carbonisation of Waxy Oil (as described in experimental conditions of Table 9-1) as studied in Samples C1, C2 and C3	9-5
Figure 9-5 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy of Samples C1 and C2 (NMP-soluble fraction) during low-temperature carbonisation at 10 and 20 min respectively	9-7
Figure 9-6 Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy of Samples C2 and C3 (NMP soluble fraction) during low-temperature carbonisation at 20 and 40 min respectively	9-8
Figure 9-7 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy of Samples C3 and C4 (NMP-soluble fraction) during low-temperature carbonisation at 40 and 60 min respectively	9-8
Figure 9-8 Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectroscopy of Samples C4 and C6 (NMP-soluble fraction) during low-temperature carbonisation at 60 and 120 min respectively	9-9
Figure 9-9 Typical alkylated aromatic reaction intermediates in Sample C4.....	9-12
Figure 9-10 Typical pure reaction intermediates in Sample C6	9-13
Figure 9-11 Cross-polarised optical microscopy (with the Lambda plate in) of the development of mesophase spherules (approximately 1–50 μm) during low-temperature carbonisation of thermally treated Waxy Oil (Sample C3)	9-16
Figure 9-12 Cross-polarised optical microscopy (with the Lambda plate in) of macrospheres (over 50 μm) produced from thermally treated Waxy Oil (Sample C3)	9-17
Figure 9-13 Cross-polarised optical microscopy (with the Lambda plate in) of coalescing macrosphere during low-temperature carbonisation of thermally treated Waxy Oil (Sample C3).....	9-18
Figure 9-14 Montage of micrographs showing the carbon microstructure of Waxy Oil coke (Sample C6)	9-19

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Optical Texture Index (OTI) (Marsh <i>et al.</i> , 1997).....	2-12
Table 2-2 Crystalline development as a function of heat treatment temperature for an anisotropic petroleum coke (modified from Inagaki, 2000)	2-15
Table 2-3 Typical super-premium grade needle coke analyses (Frohs <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	2-20
Table 3-1 Typical compositional characteristics and properties of Waxy Oil delayed coker feedstock	3-4
Table 3-2 Typical compositional variation of Waxy Oil calcined coke	3-5
Table 5-1 Standard test methods used to characterise Waxy Oil green/calcined coke.....	5-1
Table 5-2 Test methods used to determine the quality of Waxy Oil	5-5
Table 5-3 Experimental conditions for the thermal treatments	5-7
Table 5-4 Conditions for the GCMS of Waxy Oil distillates and residues	5-9
Table 6-1 Analysis of Waxy Oil green cokes	6-4
Table 6-2 Thermo Gravimetric analysis (TGA) of Waxy Oil green cokes	6-5
Table 6-3 Analysis of Waxy Oil calcined cokes.....	6-20
Table 6-4 Interlayer spacing of G1, G2 and G3 graphite peaks in Waxy Oil calcined cokes	6-23
Table 6-5 Comparative table of Raman spectra ratios (R_1 , R_1' and R_2) for Waxy Oil calcined cokes	6-25
Table 6-6 Analysis of Waxy Oil pre-graphites	6-26
Table 6-7 Real density of Waxy Oil green coke, calcined coke and pre-graphite.....	6-26
Table 6-8 Interlayer spacing of G1, G2 and G3 in the Waxy Oil pre-graphites.....	6-29
Table 6-9 Comparison of Raman spectra ratios (R_1 , R_1' and R_2) for Waxy Oil pre-graphites	6-30
Table 6-10 Chemical analysis of Waxy Oil green coke particle size fractions	6-31
Table 6-11 Chemical analysis of Waxy Oil graphite.....	6-31
Table 7-1 Experimental conditions and colour coding for modified Waxy Oils.....	7-1
Table 7-2 Ash and carbonaceous MIQ content of Waxy Oil blanks and filtrates [obtained through a series of sintered metal plates (2.0–0.5 μm)].....	7-3
Table 7-3 Molecular compound groups in Sample B filtrate	7-6
Table 7-4 Normal alkanes (C_{10} – C_{30}) in Sample B residue	7-7
Table 7-5 Waxy Oil residue and distillate yields from vacuum distillation of Sample B	7-8
Table 7-6 Molecular compound groups in Samples C1 and C2 residues	7-13
Table 7-7 Oxygenate molecules in Sample C1 residue	7-14
Table 7-8 Normal alkanes (C_{10} – C_{30}) in Sample C1 and C2 residues.....	7-15

Table 7-9 Waxy Oil residue and distillate yields from thermal treatment reactions at 5 bar pressure	7-16
Table 7-10 Molecular compound groups in Sample D1, D3 and D4 residues	7-21
Table 7-11 Normal alkanes (C ₁₀ –C ₃₀) in Samples D1, D3 and D4 residue	7-22
Table 7-12 Waxy Oil yields from thermal treatment reactions at lower system pressure ..	7-25
Table 7-13 Molecular compound groups in Samples D3, E1a and E2 residues	7-30
Table 7-14 Normal alkanes (C ₁₀ –C ₃₀) in Samples D3, E1a and E2 residues	7-30
Table 7-15 Yields from the distillation of Sample E1a producing distillate and residue (named Sample E1b)	7-31
Table 7-16 Molecular compound groups in Samples E1a and E1b residues	7-34
Table 7-17 Normal alkanes C ₁₀ –C ₃₀) in Samples E1a and E1b residues	7-34
Table 7-18 Comparison between the ¹ H (aliphatic to aromatic ratio) and the Aromatic Index [I _{ar} =Abs ₃₀₅₀ / (Abs ₃₀₅₀ +Abs ₂₉₂₀)] of modified Waxy Oils	7-36
Table 7-19 Distribution of product yields of Samples C2, and E1a and E1b	7-38
Table 7-20 Comparison of the total aromatics (including pure and alkylated aromatics) in Sample B (residue) and Sample E1b (residue and distillate)	7-42
Table 8-1 Yield of green and calcined cokes from Samples A and B modified Waxy Oil ..	8-2
Table 8-2 Percentages of mosaic vs. domain flow microstructures within a longitudinal section of green cokes A and B	8-5
Table 8-3 Green coke yield and quantitative optical microscopic analysis of carbonised samples of distilled and thermally treated, filtered Waxy Oil	8-18
Table 9-1 Determination of residue yield and NMP (soluble and insoluble) fractions	9-2
Table 9-2 Comparison of the Aromaticity Index [I _{ar} =Abs ₃₀₅₀ / (Abs ₃₀₅₀ +Abs ₂₉₂₀)] of the crushed carbonisation residues of Samples C1, C2, C3, C4 and C6	9-6
Table 9-3 Identification of typical molecular families produced in the NMP-soluble fraction during low temperature carbonisation, including Samples C1, C2, C3 C4, C6	9-10
Table 9-4 Cyclo-alkanes/hydro-aromatics formed in Samples C2 and C3'	9-11
Table 9-5 Distribution of one- to six-ring alkylated and pure aromatics in the NMP-soluble fractions of Samples C1 to C6	9-14

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Symbol	Description
amu	atomic mass units
µm	microns or x 10 ⁻⁶ metres
Å	Angstrom or x 10 ⁻¹⁰ metres
CCR	Conradson Carbon Residue
cSt	Centistokes
CTE	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion
CTP	Coal-Tar Pitch
DTA	Differential Thermal Analysis
DTG	Differential Thermal Gravimetry
EAF	Electric Arc Furnace
ETP	Ethylene Tar Pitch
FCC	Fluidised Catalytic Cracker
FCCDO	Fluidised Catalytic Cracker Decant Oil
FDMS	Field Desorption Mass Spectroscopy
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infra-Red
GC-AED	Gas Chromatography–Atomic Energy Detection
GCMS	Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy
HDCC	Hydrogen Donor Diluent Cracking
He	
(density)	Real density
HGI	Hardgrove Grindability Index
HI	Heptane Insolubles
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
I_{ar}	Aromaticity Index (FTIR)
IBP	Initial Boiling Point
LDMS	Laser Desorption Mass Spectroscopy
LSVR	Low-Sulphur Vacuum Residue
MIQ	Mass Insoluble in Quinoline
MIT	Mass Insoluble in Toluene
NMP	N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone
NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
OTI	Optical Texture Index
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PDA	Photodiode Array
PSD	Particle Size Distribution
QI	Quinoline Insolubles
SDO	Synthol Decant Oil
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SRC	Solvent Refined Coal
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
TI	Toluene Insolubles
VBD	Vibrated Bulk Density
VCM	Volatile Carbon Matter
VR	Vacuum Residue
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction
XRF	X-Ray Fluorescence