

**Efficacy of rhizobacteria for growth promotion and biocontrol of
Pythium ultimum and *Fusarium oxysporum* on sorghum
in Ethiopia and South Africa**

By

Ahmed Idris Hassen

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

July 2007

Supervisor: Prof. N. Labuschagne

Co-supervisor: Prof. L. Korsten

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, declare that the PhD thesis entitled: ‘‘Efficacy of rhizobacteria for growth promotion and biological control of *Pythium ultimum* and *Fusarium oxysporum* on sorghum in Ethiopia and South Africa’’ submitted to the University of Pretoria is my own original work and it has not formed previously the basis for the award of any degree.

.....

Ahmed Idris Hassen

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my heartfelt and sincere gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Nico Labuschagne and Prof. Lise Korsten for their close supervision and scientific advice throughout the course of this study. I am also so grateful for the encouragement and support made by Prof. N. Labuschagne without whom this thesis couldn't have achieved its success.

My due thanks and appreciations also go to the following people and institutions:

- Alemaya University of Ethiopia, particularly the Agricultural Research Training Project (ARTP) for giving me this opportunity and funding to complete the project.
- Dr. Brook Lemma of the Faculty of Education, Alemaya University in Ethiopia for allowing me to use the facilities in the Microbiology Laboratory during my stay in Ethiopia.
- FABI (Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute) for providing me a Laboratory space and access to the facilities in the entire FABI complex.
- Mrs Amelita Lombard of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria for her unreserved technical and material assistance throughout the course of this study.
- Mrs Sonya September of the Department of Microbiology and Plant pathology, University of Pretoria for her assistance during PCR amplification and 16S rDNA sequencing.
- Mrs Melita of the Telematic education centre (photographic section) for her skilled ability to take very nice pictures of my project.
- My colleague Mrs Misrak Kebede of the Department of Biology, Alemaya University, Ethiopia for representing me back home in all aspects of social and financial matters by devoting her precious time.
- All my friends (Alemaya and EARO staff studying at UP) who in one or another way provided me with their unreserved support.
- My brother Nunu (Elias Siraj) who was always besides my family whenever needed.
- May the souls of my late mother Desta Hawas, my sister Zeytuna Seid and my nephew Hannan Mohammad rest in peace.
- Last but not least, I am so grateful to my beloved family, my wife Yalemzerf Yimenu and my son Frezer Ahmed, for accompanying me here in South Africa and for their patience and endurance to share the ups and downs ever since this project was started.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-------------|
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS..... | viii |
| CHAPTER 1- GENERAL INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1. 1. <i>Fusarium</i> and <i>Pythium</i> spp. as pathogens of sorghum..... | 1 |
| 1. 2. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)..... | 2 |
| 1. 2. 1. Biocontrol PGPR..... | 3 |
| 1. 2. 1. 1. Antibiosis..... | 3 |
| 1. 2. 1. 2. Siderophore production..... | 6 |
| 1. 2. 1. 3. Induction of systemic resistance..... | 8 |
| 1. 2. 1. 4. Competition in the rhizosphere..... | 11 |
| 1. 2. 2. Plant growth promoting PGPR..... | 12 |
| 1. 2. 2. 1. Synthesis of phytohormones..... | 12 |
| 1. 2. 2. 2. Assymbiotic nitrogen fixation..... | 14 |
| 1. 2. 2. 3. Solubilization and mineralization of organic and inorganic phosphates..... | 15 |
| 1. 3. Bioformulations and application of rhizobacteria as biocontrol agents..... | 17 |
| 1. 4. Current status and future prospects of using rhizobacteria as biocontrol and growth promoting agents..... | 19 |
| 1. 4. 1. Biocontrol PGPR..... | 19 |
| 1. 4. 2. Plant growth promoting PGPR..... | 21 |
| 1. 5. Objectives of the study..... | 23 |
| 1. 6. References..... | 24 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 – IN-VITRO AND GREENHOUSE SCREENING OF RHIZOBACTERIA FOR BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF FUSARIUM OXYSPOURUM THAT CAUSES ROOT AND CROWN ROT OF SORGHUM (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench)..... | 37 |
| Abstract..... | 37 |
| 2. 1. Introduction..... | 38 |
| 2. 2. Materials and methods..... | 39 |
| 2. 2. 1. Soil sample collection and analysis..... | 39 |
| 2. 2. 2. Isolation of bacteria..... | 41 |
| 2. 2. 3. Bacterial inoculum preparation..... | 42 |
| 2. 2. 4. <i>In-vitro</i> antagonistic activity..... | 42 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2. 2. 5. <i>In-vitro</i> root colonization..... | 42 |
| 2. 2. 6. Greenhouse evaluation of rhizobacterial isolates..... | 43 |
| 2. 2. 7. Identification of bacterial isolates..... | 45 |
| 2. 2. 8. Statistical analysis..... | 46 |
| 2. 3. Results..... | 47 |
| 2. 3. 1. Soil particle size analysis and chemical composition..... | 47 |
| 2. 3. 2. <i>In- vitro</i> assays..... | 47 |
| 2. 3. 3. Greenhouse experiments..... | 48 |
| 2. 3. 4. Identification of bacterial isolates..... | 50 |
| 2. 4. Discussion..... | 51 |
| 2. 5. References..... | 56 |

**CHAPTER 3- SUPPRESSION OF *PYTHIUM ULTIMUM* ROOT ROT IN SORGHUM BY
RHIZOBACTERIAL ISOLATES FROM ETHIOPIA AND SOUTH AFRICA.....70**

| | |
|--|----|
| Abstract..... | 70 |
| 3. 1. Introduction..... | 70 |
| 3. 2. Materials and methods..... | 72 |
| 3. 2. 1. Rhizosphere soil sample collection and analysis..... | 72 |
| 3. 2. 2. Isolation of bacteria..... | 72 |
| 3. 2. 3. Bacterial inoculum preparation and <i>in-vitro</i> antagonistic activity..... | 72 |
| 3. 2. 4. <i>In-vivo</i> inhibition of <i>Pythium ultimum</i> by rhizobacterial isolates..... | 73 |
| 3. 2. 5. Identification of bacterial isolates..... | 75 |
| 3. 2. 6. Statistical analysis..... | 75 |
| 3. 3. Results..... | 75 |
| 3. 3. 1. Pathogenicity test..... | 75 |
| 3. 3. 2. <i>In-vitro</i> antagonistic activity..... | 76 |
| 3. 3. 3. <i>In-vivo</i> inhibition of <i>P. ultimum</i> by rhizobacterial isolates..... | 77 |
| 3. 3. 4. Incidence of <i>P. ultimum</i> in roots..... | 78 |
| 3. 3. 5. Rhizosphere competence of bacterial isolates..... | 78 |
| 3. 3. 6. Identification of bacterial isolates..... | 79 |
| 3. 4. Discussion..... | 79 |
| 3. 5. References..... | 82 |

**CHAPTER 4 – STUDY OF THE MODES OF ACTION OF RHIZOBACTERIAL ISOLATES
EFFECTIVE IN THE SUPPRESSION OF FUSARIUM OXYSPORUM AND PYTHIUM**

| | |
|--|-----------|
| ULTIMUM | 94 |
| Abstract..... | 94 |
| 4. 1. Introduction..... | 94 |
| 4. 2. Materials and methods..... | 96 |
| 4. 2. 1. Detection of antibiotic substances..... | 96 |
| 4. 2. 2. Detection of siderophore production..... | 96 |
| 4. 2. 3. Detection of bacterial chitinolytic activity..... | 97 |
| 4. 2. 4. Induction of systemic resistance in sorghum..... | 98 |
| 4. 3. Results..... | 100 |
| 4. 3. 1. Production of antibiotic substances..... | 100 |
| 4. 3. 2. Production of siderophores..... | 100 |
| 4. 3. 3. Chitinolytic activity..... | 100 |
| 4. 3. 4. Induction of systemic resistance..... | 101 |
| 4. 4. Discussion..... | 101 |
| 4. 5. References..... | 105 |

**CHAPTER 5 - GROWTH PROMOTION IN SORGHUM (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) BY
RHIZOBACTERIAL ISOLATES FROM THE RHIZOSPHERE OF SORGHUM AND GRASSES
IN ETHIOPIA AND SOUTH AFRICA..... 115**

| | |
|---|-----|
| Abstract..... | 115 |
| 5. 1. Introduction..... | 115 |
| 5. 2. Materials and methods..... | 116 |
| 5. 2. 1. Soil sample collection and isolation of bacteria..... | 116 |
| 5. 2. 2. Bacterial inoculum preparation..... | 117 |
| 5. 2. 3. Evaluation of bacterial isolates for growth promotion in the greenhouse..... | 117 |
| 5. 2. 4. Root colonization..... | 118 |
| 5. 2. 5. Modes of action for growth promotion..... | 118 |
| 5. 2. 6. Identification of bacterial isolates..... | 119 |
| 5. 2. 7. Statistical analysis..... | 120 |
| 5. 3. Results..... | 120 |
| 5. 3. 1. Greenhouse pot trials..... | 120 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 5. 3. 2. Root colonization..... | 121 |
| 5. 3. 3. Modes of action for growth promotion..... | 121 |
| 5. 3. 4. Identification of bacterial isolates..... | 123 |
| 5. 4. Discussion..... | 123 |
| 5. 5. References..... | 127 |

CHAPTER 6 – SELECTION OF THE MOST EFFECTIVE BIOCONTROL AND GROWTH

PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIAL ISOLATES IN SORGHUM140

| | |
|--|-----|
| Abstract..... | 140 |
| 6. 1. Introduction..... | 140 |
| 6. 2. Materials and methods..... | 141 |
| 6. 2. 1. Selection of effective biocontrol isolates..... | 141 |
| 6. 2. 2. Selection of effective growth promoting isolates..... | 142 |
| 6. 3. Results..... | 143 |
| 6. 3. 1. Selection of effective biocontrol isolates..... | 143 |
| 6. 3. 2. Selection of effective growth promoting isolates..... | 144 |
| 6. 4. Discussion..... | 144 |
| 6. 5. References..... | 148 |

CHAPTER 7- GENERAL DISCUSSION.....156

| | |
|-----------------------|-----|
| 7. 1. References..... | 160 |
|-----------------------|-----|

SUMMARY.....162

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------------|--|
| PGPR | = Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria |
| cfu | = colony forming units |
| RBGU | = Rose-bengal-glycerol-urea medium |
| API | = Analytical profile index |
| ANOVA | = Analysis of variance |
| DMR | = Duncan's Multiple Range |
| ISR | = Induced systemic resistance |
| IAA | = Indole 3- acetic acid |
| ACC deaminase | = 1-amino cyclopropane 1-carboxylic acid deaminase |
| KB medium | = Kings B medium |
| PDA | = Potato dextrose agar |
| PVK | = Pikovskaya agar |
| CAS | = Chrome-azurol-sulphur |
| NBRIY | = National botanical research institute (modified PVK) medium. |
| 2, 4- DAPG | = 2-4-diacetyl phloroglucinol. |
| PCR | = Polymerase chain reaction |
| DNA | = Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| dNTP | = deoxy nucleotide triphosphate. |
| <i>et al.</i> | = and others |
| sp | = species (single) |
| spp. | = species (plural) |
| µg | = microgram |
| µl | = microlitre |

SUMMARY

In-vitro and greenhouse screening of 78 bacterial isolates from sorghum rhizosphere in Ethiopia and 86 isolates from the rhizosphere of grasses at Nylsvlei Nature Reserve in South Africa was conducted in terms of inhibition of *Fusarium oxysporum* that causes root rot in sorghum. Among the Ethiopian isolates KBE5-7, KBE5-1, KBE2-5 and NAE5-5 resulted in 100% disease suppression while disease suppressions ranging from 85.6% - 95.8% were rendered by South African isolates KBS9-H, KBS9-B, KFP9-A, NAS6-B and KBS5-F. According to identification by means of API and 16S rDNA sequencing, the majority of the effective isolates belong to members of the genus *Bacillus*. Other Gram negative isolates effective in this study have been identified as *Serratia marcescens*, *Chryseomonas luteola*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Enterobacter sakazaki*.

Screening of rhizobacterial isolates was also conducted in terms of *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* antagonistic activity against *Pythium ultimum* Trow, a common soilborne pathogen causing yield reductions in a wide variety of crops including sorghum. Statistically significant disease suppression was achieved by a number of isolates both from Ethiopia and South Africa. Most of the effective isolates maintained themselves in the rhizosphere at a level of $\geq 10^5$ cfu/g four weeks after inoculation. While *Bacillus cereus* was the predominant isolates from both sites, *Brevilbacterium laterosporus*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were among the most effective isolates with the potential to suppress *Pythium ultimum in-vitro* and *in-vivo*.

Modes of action studies assessing production of antibiotics, siderophores, chitinolytic activity and induction of systemic resistance in sorghum were conducted for rhizobacterial isolates effective against *F. oxysporum* and *P. ultimum*. The antibiotic substances produced in the culture filtrates of many of these effective bacteria resulted in strong antifungal activity against both pathogens. The antibiotics from *Bacillus cereus* (KBS5-H) and *Bacillus subtilis* (KBS6-3) resulted in an efficient antagonistic activity against *F. oxysporum* and *Pythium ultimum* respectively. Siderophore production was evident in the Gram-negative strains *Serratia marcescens* (KBS9-R), *C. violaceum* (KBE9-1) and *E. sakazaki* (NAS6-B) with prominent yellow/orange halo development on CAS-agar plates demonstrating the potential by these isolates to produce siderophores under iron stressed conditions. Chitinolytic activity on chitin-agar plates was shown by isolates which mostly (83 %) belonged to strains of *B. cereus*. The split root system has also demonstrated that *B. cereus* (KBS5-H), *C. violaceum* (KBE9-1) and *S. marcescens* (KBS9-R) were capable of rendering significant induction of systemic resistance against *F. oxysporum* in sorghum. The successful *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* suppression of *F. oxysporum* and *P. ultimum* by the effective rhizobacterial isolates and the

presence of various modes of action provide useful information on the potential of these isolates as biocontrol agents against soilborne fungal pathogens.

The isolation and screening of rhizobacteria for growth promotion of sorghum has also been conducted under greenhouse condition in pathogen free soils. Three isolates from Ethiopia and 10 isolates from South Africa have been identified as the most effective growth promoting isolates in these studies. The isolates also tested positive for the production of siderophores, production of indoleacetic acid and phosphate solubilization, the direct modes of actions through which bacteria promote plant growth in the rhizosphere of several plants. Of the most effective isolates 44 % were identified as *Bacillus cereus*, 19 % as *Chryseomonas luteola*, 13 % as *Serratia marcescens*, 13 % as *Sphingomonas paucimobilis*, and 6% each as *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Brevibacterium laterosporus* respectively.

The best biocontrol agents were selected out of a total of 24 isolates both from Ethiopia and South Africa. The selection procedure was conducted by using criteria such as the *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* suppression of *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Pythium ultimum*, the root colonization ability of the bacterial isolates and selected modes of action including production of antibiotic substances and siderophores, chitinolytic activity and induction of systemic resistance in sorghum. According to this procedure five isolates from Ethiopia (KBE5-7, KBE5-1, KBE9-1, NAE1-7 and NAE5-7) and six isolates from South Africa (KBS5-F, KBS9-R, KBS6-H, KBS5-H, KFP9-K and KBE6-17) have been selected as the most efficient biocontrol isolates. The selection of the best performing growth promoting isolates was conducted out of 12 efficient isolates using the following criteria: root colonization, siderophores and indoleacetic acid (IAA) production, phosphate solubilization and bacterial growth profiles in liquid cultures. Two isolates from Ethiopia (KBE7-8 and KBE9-1) and five isolates from South Africa (KBS5-H, KBS5-F, KBS6-H, KBS9-B and NAS4-3) have been selected as the best growth promoting isolates. As the screening and selection of this study are based on laboratory and greenhouse studies, further evaluation of the best isolates under field conditions and additional modes of action studies are warranted to ascertain their full potential as biocontrol and growth promoting agents.