

ROLE OF GRAIN ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE IN SORGHUM PROTEIN DIGESTIBILITY

BY

KWAKU GYEBI DUODU

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

PhD (FOOD SCIENCE)

IN THE

DEPARTMENT OF FOOD SCIENCE FACULTY OF NATURAL AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA PRETORIA SOUTH AFRICA

OCTOBER 2000



I declare that the dissertation herewith submitted for the degree of PhD (Food Science) at the University of Pretoria, has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at any other university or institution of higher education.



ABSTRACT

ROLE OF GRAIN ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE IN SORGHUM PROTEIN DIGESTIBILITY

by

Kwaku Gyebi Duodu

Promoter:	Prof JRN Taylor
Co-promoter:	Prof PS Belton
Department:	Food Science
Degree:	PhD (Food Science)

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) is a drought-tolerant basic food cereal in many parts of Africa and Asia. Wet cooking decreases the digestibility of sorghum proteins significantly and this is a limitation to the use of sorghum as food. Uncooked sorghum protein digestibility is also considered lower than other cereals.

In vitro protein digestibility of uncooked and cooked condensed-tannin-free sorghum varieties and a white maize variety was examined at four levels of grain organisational structure: whole grain, endosperm, protein body preparations and isolated proteins. The possibility of polyphenols and gelatinised starch having an effect on *in vitro* protein digestibility of sorghum and maize was also examined. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and solid-state ¹³C NMR spectroscopic methods were used to investigate changes in protein secondary structure on thermal processing. Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was used to investigate the possibility of protein crosslinking on thermal processing by examining molecular weight differences.

Uncooked and cooked sorghum protein digestibility improved from whole grain, through endosperm to protein body preparations. However, uncooked and cooked maize protein digestibility was essentially the same at these levels. Uncooked sorghum protein digestibility was lower than uncooked maize at the whole grain level, same as maize at the endosperm level and higher than maize at the protein body-enriched level. Isolated kafirins and zeins from sorghum and maize had similar uncooked protein digestibility. Total polyphenol content



of sorghum decreased from whole grain to endosperm and increased from endosperm to protein body preparations.

As expected, cooking reduced protein digestibility of sorghum whole grain, endosperm, protein body preparations and extracted kafirins. Cooked sorghum whole grain and endosperm had similar protein digestibilities but cooked protein body preparations were more digestible. There was overall improvement in sorghum protein digestibility with change in organisational level. Protein digestibilities of uncooked and cooked maize were essentially the same at all the organisational levels.

Treating cooked whole grain and endosperm samples of sorghum and maize with alphaamylase before pepsin digestion improved protein digestibility. In the protein body preparations where the proportion of starch was lower, such treatment had no effect on protein digestibility.

SDS-PAGE under non-reducing and reducing conditions of uncooked and cooked protein body preparations from normal sorghum, maize and sorghum mutants (of known high protein digestibility) showed oligomers of M_r 45, 66 and >66 kDa and monomeric kafirins and zeins. Sorghum had more 45-50 kDa oligomers than maize. In comparison with maize, more of these oligomers were resistant to reduction in cooked normal sorghum.

SDS-PAGE also showed that residues of the protein body preparations remaining after pepsin digestion consisted mainly of α -zein (uncooked and cooked maize) or α -kafirin (uncooked normal sorghum), whilst cooked normal sorghum had in addition, β - and γ -kafirin and reduction-resistant 45-50 kDa oligomers.

FTIR and solid-state ¹³C NMR spectra of normal sorghum, maize and sorghum mutants indicated a change in protein secondary structure from α -helical to antiparallel intermolecular β -sheet conformation on cooking. The extent of secondary structural change seemed to be greater in sorghum than in maize.

Grain organisational structure does influence sorghum protein digestibility. Interfering factors in the grain outer layers, namely pericarp and germ may be responsible. The decrease in



sorghum total polyphenol content from whole grain to endosperm accompanied with an increase in uncooked and cooked sorghum protein digestibility suggests that polyphenols may affect sorghum whole grain protein digestibility. In contrast to earlier reports, uncooked sorghum protein digestibility may not always be lower than that of maize. It depends on the nature of the material being assayed. Gelatinised starch, probably by reducing accessibility of pepsin to protein, reduced digestibility of sorghum and maize whole grain and endosperm.

It appears that cooking reduces protein digestibility in sorghum by unravelling of prolamin polypeptides in the α -helical conformation which re-associate either through disulphide or non-disulphide crosslinks to form the antiparallel intermolecular β -sheet conformation. This conformation may be less digestible due to restricted enzyme access to the protein. Such crosslinking may occur to a greater extent in sorghum than in maize perhaps due to subtle differences in prolamin tertiary structure between the two cereals, contributing to the worse digestibility of cooked sorghum proteins.



Do not be afraid of walking slowly Be afraid only of standing still - Old Chinese proverb



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest gratitude and appreciation go to the following for their assistance with this research:

Prof. JRN Taylor of the Department of Food Science, University of Pretoria, South Africa and Prof. PS Belton of the Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK (my promoters) for their guidance, leadership and encouragement throughout my doctoral studies.

Prof. BR Hamaker of the Department of Food Science, Purdue University, USA and Dr. Arthur Tatham of the IACR-Long Ashton Research Station, UK for their constructive and critical review of this thesis. Thanks to Prof. Hamaker for the highly digestible sorghum mutants and to Arthur for assistance with nitrogen determinations.

The Commonwealth Scholarships Commission for much valued financial assistance during my year of study in the UK.

Janet Taylor of the Department of Food Science, University of Pretoria, South Africa for the polyphenol and enzyme inhibition assays.

Mr. Alex Grant, Dr. Hui-Ru Tang, Dr. Klaus Wellner, Dr. Mary Parker and Dr. Clare Mills all of IFR, Norwich, UK for their technical assistance and encouragement. Thanks to my colleagues in Prof. PS Belton's research group for their wonderful support. Thanks to Alex for those trips to Carrow Road to see the Canaries in action.

My friends and colleagues in the Department of Food Science, University of Pretoria for their support and encouragement.

My family in Ghana and in East London, South Africa for all their prayers and good will. We did it again. Dr. and Mrs. RO Kumi of Maryland, USA for all those e-mails and telephone calls.

God Almighty who has made all this possible.



-i-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	-iv-
LIST OF FIGURES	-vi-
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Sorghum and maize: Origin, physical characteristics and chemical	
composition	4
2.1.1 Proteins of sorghum and maize	5
2.1.2 Structural organisation of sorghum and maize grains	8
2.1.2.1 Pericarp	8
2.1.2.2 Germ	11
2.1.2.3 Endosperm	11
2.1.3 Localisation of proteins in the various anatomical parts of sorghum	
and maize	12
2.2 Food uses of sorghum and maize	16
2.3 Protein nutritional value of sorghum and maize	16
2.3.1 Amino acid composition	16
2.3.2 Protein digestibility	17
2.4 Factors affecting protein digestibility of sorghum and maize	19
2.4.1 Starch and cell walls	19
2.4.2 Polyphenols	20
2.4.3 Phytic acid	23
2.4.4 Protein crosslinking	24
2.4.4.1 Disulphide crosslinking and kafirin solubility	25
2.4.4.2 Racemization and isopeptide formation	29
2.5 Analytical methods for protein digestibility and protein conformation	30
2.5.1 In vitro protein digestibility assays	30
2.5.2 Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy	32
2.5.3 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy	35

© University of Pretoria



-ii-

2.6 Gaps in knowledge	40
2.7 Objectives and hypotheses	41
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	42
3.1 Grain samples	42
3.2 Sample preparation	42
3.2.1 Whole grain meal	42
3.2.2 Decorticated sorghum and degermed maize	42
3.2.3 Endosperm meal	42
3.2.4 Preparation of protein body-enriched samples	43
3.2.5 Preparation of unalkylated and alkylated total kafirin and zein	43
3.2.6 Cooked whole grain meal, cooked endosperm meal, cooked prote	ein
body-enriched samples and cooked protein fractions (unalkylated	l and
alkylated kafirin and zein)	44
3.2.7 Alpha-amylase-treated samples	44
3.2.8 Popped grain	44
3.2.9 Starch hydrolysis prior to Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)	
spectroscopy	44
3.3 Analytical methods	45
3.3.1 Protein content	45
3.3.2 In vitro protein digestibility (IVPD)	45
3.3.3 Total polyphenols	46
3.3.4 Enzyme inhibition by whole grain	47
3.3.5 Transmission electron microscopy	47
3.3.6 Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy	48
3.3.7 ¹³ C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy	48
3.3.8 Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	
(SDS-PAGE)	49
3.4 Statistical analyses	51



-iii-

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	52	
4.1 Total protein and polyphenol contents of sorghum and maize samples	52	
4.2 Ultrastructure of protein body-enriched samples	55	
4.3 In vitro protein digestibility of whole grain, endosperm and protein body	y-	
enriched samples and enzyme inhibition by whole grain	62	
4.4 In vitro protein digestibility of reduced/alkylated and reduced/non-alkyl	ated	
kafirin and zein	71	
4.5 SDS-PAGE of protein body-enriched samples of sorghum and maize un	der	
non-reducing and reducing conditions	72	
4.6 FTIR and ¹³ C NMR spectroscopy of uncooked and cooked protein body	4	
enriched samples of sorghum and maize	81	
4.7 In vitro protein digestibility and FTIR spectroscopy of popped sorghum		
and maize	94	
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	99	
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	119	
CHAPTER 7: REFERENCES	125	
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	149	



-iv-

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Proximate composition of sorghum and maize grain in g per 100 g	
	edible portions at 12% moisture.	5
Table 2	Osborne protein fractions of sorghum and maize.	6
Table 3	Essential amino acid composition (mg/g crude protein) of maize and	
- 22-	sorghum whole grain in comparison with suggested amino acid	
	requirements for infants and adults and amino acid composition of egg	
	as a high quality animal protein.	17
Table 4	In vitro protein digestibility, IVPD (%) of uncooked sorghum and maize	
	reported by different workers.	18
Table 5	L-M fractions 2 and 3 proteins in sorghum and maize (% of total protein)	26
Table 6	Characteristic chemical shifts of protein carbons in 13C NMR spectra	38
Table 7	Total protein contents (g/100 g dry basis) of whole grain, endosperm and	
	protein body-enriched samples of sorghum (NK 283, KAT 369) and maize	е
	(PAN 6043) and protein body-enriched samples of P851171 and P850029	0
	sorghum mutants and total polyphenol contents of whole grain, endospern	ń
	and protein body enriched samples of sorghum (NK 283, KAT 369) and	
	maize (PAN 6043).	53'
Table 8	Effect of cooking and addition of alpha-amylase after cooking on percenta	age
	in vitro protein digestibility of whole grain, endosperm and protein body-	
	enriched samples of NK 283 red sorghum.	62
Table 9	Effect of cooking and addition of alpha-amylase after cooking on percenta	age
	in vitro protein digestibility of whole grain, endosperm and protein body-	
	enriched samples of KAT 369 white sorghum.	64
Table 10	Effect of cooking and addition of alpha-amylase after cooking on percenta	age
	in vitro protein digestibility of whole grain, endosperm and protein body-	
	enriched samples of PAN 6043 maize.	66
Table 11	Effect of cooking and addition of alpha-amylase after cooking on percenta	age
	in vitro protein digestibility of protein body- enriched samples of P85117	1
	and P850029 sorghum mutants in comparison with red sorghum NK 283,	
	white sorghum KAT 369 and maize PAN 6043.	68
	© University of Pretoria	



-y-

Table 12	Percentage enzyme (amylase) inhibition caused in whole grain of NK 283
	sorghum, KAT 369 sorghum and PAN 6043 maize in comparison with
	DC 75 sorghum (a high-tannin hybrid). 70
Table 13	Effect of cooking and alkylation on in vitro protein digestibility (PD) of
	total kafirin (kafirin 1 and kafirin 2) and total zein (zein 1 and zein 2). 71
Table 14	In vitro protein digestibility of popped NK 283 sorghum and PAN 6043
	maize in comparison with uncooked and wet cooked whole grain. 94
Table 15	Proposed factors affecting protein digestibility of uncooked and cooked
	sorghum and maize and their levels of importance at the whole grain
	endosperm, protein body and extracted protein levels. 123



-vi-

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	A sorghum kernel.	9
Figure 2	Longitudinal and cross sections of a maize kernel.	10
Figure 3	Development of protein bodies in maize endosperm as proposed by	
	Lending and Larkins (1989).	15
Figure 4	Basic structures of phenolic acids, flavonoids and proanthocyanidin.	21
Figure 5	Structure of phytic acid.	24
Figure 6	Changes a protein undergoes during heat treatment.	25
Figure 7	Representation of precessing nuclei and the energy transition between th	ė
	aligned and opposed conditions.	36
Figure 8	Transmission electron micrographs of uncooked protein body-enriched	
	samples of sorghum (NK 283 and KAT 369), maize (PAN 6043) and	
	sorghum mutants (P851171 and P850029).	55
Figure 9	SDS-PAGE of uncooked and cooked protein body-enriched samples of	
	sorghum (NK 283 and KAT 369) and sorghum mutant (P850029).	72
Figure 10	SDS-PAGE of pepsin-indigestible residues of sorghum (NK 283 and KA	Т
	369), maize (PAN 6043) and sorghum mutant (P850029) protein body-	
	enriched samples under non-reducing and reducing conditions	
	(200 mM DTT).	78
Figure 11	FTIR spectra of uncooked and cooked protein body-enriched samples of	9
	sorghum (NK 283 and KAT 369), maize (PAN 6043) and sorghum muta	nts
	(P851171 and P850029).	81
Figure 12	Fourier deconvoluted FTIR spectra of uncooked and cooked protein bod	y-
	enriched samples of sorghum and maize varieties.	85
Figure 13	¹³ C CPMAS NMR spectra of uncooked and wet cooked protein body-	
	enriched samples of sorghum and maize.	88
Figure 14	Fourier deconvoluted FTIR spectra of uncooked, wet cooked and popped	ł
	whole grain of sorghum and maize.	95
Figure 15	Proposed model for maize bran cell walls.	104
Figure 16	Structures of proposed covalent crosslinks between polysaccharides and	
	proteins in cell walls.	105
	© University of Pretoria	



-vii-

Figure 17	Structure of the hydroxyproline-rich region of plant cell wall	
	glycoproteins.	106
Figure 18	Proposed structures of oxidatively coupled products of tyrosine which ma	у
	lead to formation of non-disulphide crosslinks in proteins and possible	
	structure of a tyrosyl-tyrosyl crosslink between proteins.	111
Figure 19	Representation of α -helix to β -sheet conformational change on cooking	
	followed by crosslink formation between polypeptide chains.	117