

# Ant Colony Optimisation Algorithms for Solving Multi-Objective Power-Aware Metrics for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks

by

Demetrakis Constantinou

Department of Computer Science

School of Information Technology

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

South Africa

August 2010

© University of Pretoria



### Ant Colony Optimisation Algorithms for Solving Multi-Objective Power-Aware Metrics for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks

by

Demetrakis Constantinou

#### Abstract

A mobile ad hoc network (MANET) is an infrastructure-less multi-hop network where each node communicates with other nodes directly or indirectly through intermediate nodes. Thus, all nodes in a MANET basically function as mobile routers participating in some routing protocol required for deciding and maintaining the routes. Since MANETs are infrastructure-less, self-organizing, rapidly deployable wireless networks, they are highly suitable for applications such as military tactical operations, search and rescue missions, disaster relief operations, and target tracking.

Building such ad-hoc networks poses a significant technical challenge because of energy constraints and specifically in relation to the application of wireless network protocols.

As a result of its highly dynamic and distributed nature, the routing layer within the wireless network protocol stack, presents one of the key technical challenges in MANETs. In particular, energy efficient routing may be the most important design criterion for MANETs since mobile nodes are powered by batteries with limited capacity and variable recharge frequency, according to application demand. In order to conserve power it is essential that a routing protocol be designed to guarantee data delivery even should most of the nodes be asleep and not forwarding packets to other nodes. Load distribution constitutes another important approach to the optimisation of active communication energy. Load distribution enables the maximisation of the network lifetime by facilitating the avoidance of over-utilised nodes when a route is in the process of being selected.

Routing algorithms for mobile networks that attempt to optimise routes while attempting to retain a small message overhead and maximise the network lifetime has been put forward. However certain of these routing protocols have proved to have a



negative impact on node and network lives by inadvertently over-utilising the energy resources of a small set of nodes in favour of others. The conservation of power and careful sharing of the cost of routing packets would ensure an increase in both node and network lifetimes.

This thesis proposes simultaneously, by using an ant colony optimisation (ACO) approach, to optimise five power-aware metrics that do result in energy-efficient routes and also to maximise the MANET's lifetime while taking into consideration a realistic mobility model. By using ACO algorithms a set of optimal solutions – the Pareto-optimal set – is found.

This thesis proposes five algorithms to solve the multi-objective problem in the routing domain.

The first two algorithms, namely, the energy efficiency for a mobile network using a multi-objective, ant colony optimisation, multi-pheromone (EEMACOMP) algorithm and the energy efficiency for a mobile network using a multi-objective, ant colony optimisation, multi-heuristic (EEMACOMH) algorithm are both adaptations of multiobjective, ant colony optimisation algorithms (MOACO) which are based on the ant colony system (ACS) algorithm. The new algorithms are constructive which means that in every iteration, every ant builds a complete solution. In order to guide the transition from one state to another, the algorithms use pheromone and heuristic information.

The next two algorithms, namely, the energy efficiency for a mobile network using a multi-objective, MAX-MIN ant system optimisation, multi-pheromone (EEMMASMP) algorithm and the energy efficiency for a mobile network using a multi-objective, MAX-MIN ant system optimisation, multi-heuristic (EEMMASMH) algorithm, both solve the above multi-objective problem by using an adaptation of the MAX-MIN ant system optimisation algorithm.

The last algorithm implemented, namely, the energy efficiency for a mobile network using a multi-objective, ant colony optimisation, multi-colony (EEMACOMC) algorithm uses a multiple colony ACO algorithm.

From the experimental results the final conclusions may be summarised as follows:

• Ant colony, multi-objective optimisation algorithms are suitable for mobile ad hoc networks. These algorithms allow for high adaptation to frequent changes in the topology of the network.



- All five algorithms yielded substantially better results than the non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm (NSGA-II) in terms of the quality of the solution.
- All the results prove that the EEMACOMP outperforms the other four ACO algorithms as well as the NSGA-II algorithm in terms of the number of solutions, closeness to the true Pareto front and diversity.

Thesis supervisor: Prof. AP Engelbrecht Department of Computer Science



#### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank professor AP Engelbrecht, my thesis supervisor, for his insight, motivation and ongoing support.

The sacrifices demanded by this thesis have impacted most strongly on my family and friends to whom I would like to express my gratitude for their moral support and encouragement over these past years.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this research to my dear sister–in–law who died unexpectedly at a young age recently leaving behind five children.



## Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1
	1.1	Mobile Ad Hoc Network	1
	1.2	Reducing Energy Consumption for MANETS	3
	1.3	Solving Multi-Objective Power-Aware Metrics with Ant Colony Optimi-	
		sation Algorithms	5
	1.4	Objectives	5
	1.5	Contributions	5
	1.6	Thesis Outline	6
<b>2</b>	Ene	ergy Efficient Network Protocols for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks	8
	2.1	Introduction	8
	2.2	Power Consumption and Communication for MANETs	9
		2.2.1 Central Processing Unit	10
		2.2.2 Radio	12
	2.3	Multi-Hop MANETs	13
	2.4	Mobility Models	14
	2.5	Network and Power Saving Routing Protocols	16
		2.5.1 Power Efficient Data Gathering and Aggregation	
		Protocol	18
		2.5.2 Dynamic Source Routing	19



	2.5.3 Distance Vector Routing	20
	2.5.4 Routing for Maximum System Lifetime	20
	2.5.5 Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm	20
	2.5.6 Volcano Routing Scheme	21
	2.5.7 Destination Sequenced Distance Vector	22
	2.5.8 Routing for Network Capacity Maximisation in Energy-Constrained	
	Ad Hoc Networks	23
	2.5.9 The Online Maximum Lifetime Heuristic	24
	2.5.10 Other Power-Aware Routing Algorithms and Metrics	26
	2.5.11 Power-Aware Routing Algorithms for Networks with Frequent Topo-	
	logical Changes	30
2.6	Bio-inspired Routing for MANETs	31
2.7	Summary	33
Con	nbinatorial Optimisation and Ant Colony Optimisation Meta-Heurist	tic 34
3.1	Introduction	34
3.2	Computational Complexity	35
3.3	Meta-Heuristics	38
3.4	Ant Colony Optimisation Meta-Heuristic	38
	3.4.1 Ant Algorithms and Foraging Behaviour of Real Ants	39
	3.4.2 Relation Between Natural and Artificial Ants	42
	3.4.3 General Framework for Ant Colony Optimisation Meta-Heuristic	43
3.5	Ant Colony Optimisation Algorithms	47
	3.5.1 Ant System	47
	3.5.2 Ant Colony System Optimisation	49
	3.5.3 MAX-MIN Ant System	54
3.6	Summary	55
Mu	lti-Objective Optimisation	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.9	Multi-Objective Optimisation Problem	58
4.2		
4.2 4.3	Pareto-Optimality	59
4.2 4.3 4.4	Pareto-Optimality       Multi-Objective Optimisation Algorithm Classes	59 61
	2.6 2.7 <b>Cor</b> 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 <b>Mu</b> 4.1 4.2	2.5.3       Distance Vector Routing .         2.5.4       Routing for Maximum System Lifetime .         2.5.5       Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm .         2.5.6       Volcano Routing Scheme .         2.5.7       Destination Sequenced Distance Vector .         2.5.8       Routing for Network Capacity Maximisation in Energy-Constrained Ad Hoc Networks .         2.5.9       The Online Maximum Lifetime Heuristic .         2.5.10       Other Power-Aware Routing Algorithms and Metrics .         2.5.11       Power-Aware Routing Algorithms for Networks with Frequent Topological Changes .         2.5       Summary .         2.6       Bio-inspired Routing for MANETS .         2.7       Summary .         2.6       Bio-inspired Routing for MANETS .         2.7       Summary .         2.6       Bio-inspired Routing for MANETS .         2.7       Summary .         2.8       Computational Complexity .         3.1       Introduction .         3.2       Computational Complexity .         3.3       Meta-Heuristic .         3.4       Ant Colony Optimisation Meta-Heuristic .         3.4.1       Ant Algorithms and Foraging Behaviour of Real Ants .         3.4.2       Relation Between Natural and Artificial Ants .



		4.5.1	Introduction	64
		4.5.2	Single Colony, Single-Pheromone, Single-Heuristic Matrix Methods	68
		4.5.3	Single Colony, Single-Pheromone, Multi-Heuristic Matrix Methods	69
		4.5.4	Single Colony, Multi-Pheromone, Single-Heuristic Matrix Methods	71
		4.5.5	Single Colony, Multi-Pheromone, Multi-Heuristic Matrix Methods	73
		4.5.6	Multi-Colony MOACO Algorithms	75
		4.5.7	Summary	80
	4.6	Evolut	ionary Multi-Objective Optimisation	80
		4.6.1	Evolutionary Algorithms	80
		4.6.2	Elitist Non-Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm	82
	4.7	Perform	mance Metrics for Multi-Objective Optimisation	86
		4.7.1	Multi-Objective Optimisation Goals	87
		4.7.2	Performance Metrics	87
	4.8	Summ	ary	90
<b>5</b>	AC	O in D	ynamic Optimisation Problems	91
	5.1	Definit	tion of Dynamic Optimisation Problems	92
	5.2	ACO A	Algorithms and Dynamic Environments	93
		5.2.1	Re-initialisation Methods	94
		5.2.2	New Pheromone Updates Methods	94
	5.3	Perform	mance Metrics for Dynamic Optimisation Algorithms	99
	5.4	Dynan	nic Multi-objective Optimisation	103
	5.5	Perform	mance Metrics for Dynamic Multi-Objective Optimisation Problems	104
	5.6	Summ	ary	106
6	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}$	lti-Obj	ective Optimisation Algorithms for Power-Aware Routing	
	Met	trics		107
	6.1	Introd	uction	107
	6.2	Suitab	ility of Ant Algorithms for the Power-Aware Routing Problem	109
	6.3	Metric	s for Power-Aware Routing	110
	6.4	Multi-	Objective Optimisation Problem for Power-Aware Routing Metrics	
		Using	a Mobility Model	117
		6.4.1	Problem Formulation	117
		6.4.2	Heuristic Information	119



	6.5	Refere	nce Point Group Mobility Model	121
	6.6	Multi-	Objective Ant Colony Optimisation	123
		6.6.1	General Framework of ACO Algorithms for the Power-Aware Rout-	
			ing Problem $\ldots$	123
		6.6.2	Energy Efficiency Using Multi-Objective Ant Colony Optimisa-	
			tion, Multi-Pheromone Algorithm $\hfill .$	125
		6.6.3	Energy Efficiency Using Multi-Objective Ant Colony Optimisa-	
			tion, Multi-Heuristic Algorithm $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	132
		6.6.4	Energy Efficiency Using Multi-Objective MAX-MIN Ant System	
			Optimisation, Multi-Pheromone Algorithm	135
		6.6.5	Energy Efficiency Using Multi-objective MAX-MIN Ant System	
			Optimisation, Multi-heuristic Algorithm	140
		6.6.6	Energy Efficiency Using Multi-Objective Ant Colony Optimisa-	
			tion, Multi-Colony Algorithm	141
	6.7	Elitist	Non-Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm for Multi-Objective	
		Power	-Aware Routing	147
	6.8	Summ	ary	151
7	$\mathbf{Sim}$	ulatior	n and Empirical Analysis	153
	7.1	Experi	imental Procedure	153
		7.1.1	Network Scenarios	153
		7.1.2	Simulation Environment	155
		7.1.3	Performance Measures	156
		7.1.4	Sending a Packet	157
	7.2	Empir	ical Analysis of the Ant-Based Algorithms Control Parameters	157
		7.2.1	Heuristics vs Pheromone Parameters	158
		7.2.2	Exploration Vs Exploitation Parameter, $r_0$	167
		7.2.3	Local Decay Parameter, $\rho_l$	171
		7.2.4	Global Decay Parameter, $\rho_g$	177
		7.2.5	Importance of the Pheromone Trail Concentrations Parameter, $\alpha$	184
		7.2.6	$\eta$ -Strategy Parameter	188
		7.2.7	Importance of the Objectives Parameters	196
		728	Pareto Archive Size	196



		7.2.9	Summary of Ant Based Control Parameters which Affect Explo-	
			ration and Exploitation	196
		7.2.10	Summary of Ant Based Control Parameters	199
	7.3	NSGA	-II-MPA Parameters	199
	7.4	Algori	thm Comparison $\ldots$	199
		7.4.1	Experimental Procedure	201
		7.4.2	Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Metric	203
		7.4.3	Spacing Metric	211
		7.4.4	Hypervolume Metric $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	219
		7.4.5	Performance of the Algorithms Over the Environmental Changes	225
		7.4.6	Optimization Criteria	230
		7.4.7	Ranking Of The Algorithms Based On Performance Criteria $\ . \ .$	250
		7.4.8	Computational Complexity of the Algorithms $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	258
		7.4.9	Overall Performance of Algorithms	260
	7.5	Summ	ary	262
8	Cor	nclusio	n	264
8	<b>Cor</b> 8.1	nclusio Summ	<b>n</b> ary	<b>264</b> 264
8	Con 8.1 8.2	n <b>clusio</b> Summ Conclu	n ary	<ul><li>264</li><li>264</li><li>265</li></ul>
8	Con 8.1 8.2 8.3	<b>Iclusio</b> Summ Conclu Future	n ary	<ul><li>264</li><li>264</li><li>265</li><li>267</li></ul>
8 Bi	Con 8.1 8.2 8.3 bliog	nclusion Summ Conclu Future graphy	n ary	<ul> <li>264</li> <li>264</li> <li>265</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> </ul>
8 Bi A	Cor 8.1 8.2 8.3 bliog Def	Inclusion Summ Conclu Future graphy inition	n ary	<ul> <li>264</li> <li>264</li> <li>265</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> <li>292</li> </ul>
8 Bi A B	Con 8.1 8.2 8.3 bliog Def	Inclusion Summ Conclu Future graphy inition	n ary	<ul> <li>264</li> <li>264</li> <li>265</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> <li>292</li> <li>297</li> </ul>
8 Bi A B	Cor 8.1 8.2 8.3 bliog Def	Inclusion Summ Conclu Future graphy inition inition	ary	<ul> <li>264</li> <li>264</li> <li>265</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> <li>292</li> <li>297</li> <li>305</li> </ul>
8 Bi A B C	Cor 8.1 8.2 8.3 bliog Def Def	Inclusion Summ Conclu Future graphy inition inition	ary	<ul> <li>264</li> <li>264</li> <li>265</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> <li>292</li> <li>297</li> <li>305</li> </ul>
8 Bi A C D	Con 8.1 8.2 8.3 bliog Def Def Con	Conclusion Summ Conclu Future graphy inition inition inition	ary	<ul> <li>264</li> <li>264</li> <li>265</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> <li>292</li> <li>297</li> <li>305</li> <li>307</li> </ul>
8 Bi A C D E	Cor 8.1 8.2 8.3 bliog Def Def Cor Cor	Inclusion Summ Conclu Future graphy inition inition inition inition ntrol Pantrol Pant	ary	<ul> <li>264</li> <li>264</li> <li>265</li> <li>267</li> <li>268</li> <li>292</li> <li>297</li> <li>305</li> <li>307</li> <li>329</li> </ul>



G	Illustration of the Influence of Change Frequency and Change Severity	
	on the Performance Metrics	374
н	Results of the Mann-Whitney U Test	384
Ι	Optimisation Criteria Results	405
J	Illustration of the Influence of Change Frequency, Change Severity, and	ł
	Number of Nodes on the Optimisation Criteria	413



# List of Algorithms

1	CMAX Algorithm
2	General Procedure of OML
3	Generic ACO Meta-Heuristic
4	General Procedure of Ant System Algorithm
5	General Procedure of Ant Colony System Algorithm
6	General Procedure of Max-Min Ant System Algorithm
7	General Scheme of an Evolutionary Algorithm
8	Procedure to Find the Set of Non-Dominated Solutions (Find-Non-Dominated-
	Front)
9	Procedure to Find the Set of Non-Dominated Fronts (Non-Dominated-Sort) 84
10	General Procedure of Crowding-distance-assignment
11	General Procedure of NSGA-II
12	General Procedure of ApplyMobilityChanges
13	General Procedure of EEMACOMP
14	General Procedure of EEMACOMH
15	General Procedure of EEMMASMP
16	General Procedure of EEMMASMH
17	General Procedure of BuildPathMultiColony
18	General Procedure of BuildAllPathsMultiColony
19	General Procedure of EEMACOMC



20	General Procedure of ApplyMobilityChangesNSGA	150
21	General Procedure for RebuildRoutesUpdatePopulation $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	151
22	$General\ {\rm Procedure}\ of\ {\rm NSGA-II}\ for\ the\ {\rm Multi-objective}\ {\rm Power-Aware}\ {\rm Rout-}$	
	ing Problem	152



## List of Tables

2.1	Protocol stack for a generic wireless network	9
2.2	Subset of the base cost table for the 486DX2	11
6.1	Objective functions calculated for the route of Figure 6.2	119
7.1	Different simulation parameters used to generate network scenarios $\ldots$	154
7.2	List of scenarios for comparing the algorithms $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	154
7.3	Simulation parameters for the MOO ACO algorithms	200
7.4	Simulation parameters for the NSGA-II algorithm	201
7.5	Average value for $\bar{n}_{alg}$ over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	204
7.6	Average value for $\bar{n}_{alg}$ over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	205
7.7	Average value for $\bar{\varrho}$ over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	212
7.8	Average value for $\bar{\varrho}$ over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	213
7.9	Average value for $\overline{\xi}$ over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	220
7.10	Average value for $\overline{\xi}$ over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	221
7.11	Average value of the $EP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots$	231
7.12	Average value of the $EP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	232
7.13	Average value of the $TNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots$	235
7.14	Average value of the $TNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	236
7.15	Average value of the $VNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots$	238
7.16	Average value of the $VNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	239



7.17	Average value of the $CP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots$	243
7.18	Average value of the $CP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	244
7.19	Average value of the $MNC$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	247
7.20	Average value of the $MNC$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ldots$	247
7.21	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 30, R_g = 300$	256
7.22	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 30, R_g = 500 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	256
7.23	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 30, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	256
7.24	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 100, R_g = 300$	257
7.25	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 100, R_g = 500 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	257
7.26	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 100, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	257
7.27	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 300, R_g = 300$	257
7.28	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 300, R_g = 500$	257
7.29	Ranks for scenarios with $N_G = 300, R_g = 800$	257
7.30	Average rank of all algorithms with respect to all performances measures	258
D 1	$\mathbf{L}$ (1)	
D.1	Influence of parameter $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $n_{alg}$ , $\rho$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and $p_{alg} = 200$	200
Ъŋ	$R_g = 300 \dots \dots$	308
D.2	Influence of parameter $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $n_{alg}$ , $\rho$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and $p_{alg} = 500$	910
Ъŋ	$R_g = 500 \qquad \dots \qquad$	310
D.3	Influence of parameter $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $n_{alg}$ , $\rho$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	010
	$R_g = 800 \qquad \dots \qquad$	312
D.4	Influence of parameter $r_0$ on the $n_{alg}$ , $\rho$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and $p_{alg} = 200$	014
	$R_g = 300 \dots \dots$	314
D.5	Influence of parameter $r_0$ on the $n_{alg}$ , $\rho$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	015
Ъć	$R_g = 500 \qquad \dots \qquad$	315
D.6	Influence of parameter $r_0$ on the $n_{alg}$ , $\rho$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	010
	$R_g = 800 \qquad \dots \qquad$	316
D.7	Influence of parameter $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
D o	$R_g = 300 \qquad \dots \qquad$	317
D.8	Influence of parameter $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
E -	$R_g = 500 \qquad \dots \qquad$	318
D.9	Influence of parameter $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
	$R_g = 800 \qquad \dots \qquad$	319



D.10 Influence of parameter $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 300 \qquad \dots \qquad$	320
D.11 Influence of parameter $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\rho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 500 \qquad \dots \qquad$	321
D.12 Influence of parameter $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 800 \qquad \dots \qquad$	322
D.13 Influence of parameter $\alpha$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 300 \qquad \dots \qquad$	323
D.14 Influence of parameter $\alpha$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 500 \qquad \dots \qquad$	324
D.15 Influence of parameter $\alpha$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\xi$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 800 \qquad \dots \qquad$	325
D.16 Influence of parameter $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 300 \qquad \dots \qquad$	326
D.17 Influence of parameter $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 500 \qquad \dots \qquad$	327
D.18 Influence of parameter $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ metrics, for 30 nodes and	
$R_g = 800  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots $	328
F.1 Scenario 1a: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 1, R_g = 300 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	365
F.2 Scenario 1b: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 2, R_g = 300 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	365
F.3 Scenario 1c: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 3, R_g = 300 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	365
F.4 Scenario 1d: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 4, R_g = 300 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	366
F.5 Scenario 1e: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 5, R_g = 300 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	366
F.6 Scenario 1f: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 6, R_g = 300$	366
F.7 Scenario 2a: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 1, R_g = 500 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	366
F.8 Scenario 2b: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 2, R_g = 500 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	366
F.9 Scenario 2c: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 3, R_g = 500 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	366
F.10 Scenario 2d: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 4, R_g = 500 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	366
F.11 Scenario 2e: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 5, R_g = 500 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	367
F.12 Scenario 2f: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 6, R_g = 500$	367
F.13 Scenario 3a: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 1, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	
	367
F.14 Scenario 3b: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 2, R_g = 800$	$\frac{367}{367}$
	367



F.16 Scenario 3d: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 4, R_g = 800$	 367
F.17 Scenario 3e: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 5, R_g = 800$	 367
F.18 Scenario 3f: $N_G = 30, T_{sm} = 6, R_g = 800$	 368
F.19 Scenario 4a: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=1,R_g=300$	 368
F.20 Scenario 4b: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=2,R_g=300$	 368
F.21 Scenario 4c: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=3,R_g=300$	 368
F.22 Scenario 4d: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=4,R_g=300$	 368
F.23 Scenario 4e: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=5,R_g=300$	 368
F.24 Scenario 4f: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=6,R_g=300$	 368
F.25 Scenario 5a: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=1,R_g=500$	 369
F.26 Scenario 5b: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=2,R_g=500$	 369
F.27 Scenario 5c: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=3,R_g=500$	 369
F.28 Scenario 5d: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=4,R_g=500$	 369
F.29 Scenario 5e: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=5,R_g=500$	 369
F.30 Scenario 5f: $N_G = 100, T_{sm} = 6, R_g = 500$	 369
F.31 Scenario 6a: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=1,R_g=800$	 369
F.32 Scenario 6b: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=2,R_g=800$	 370
F.33 Scenario 6c: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=3,R_g=800$	 370
F.34 Scenario 6d: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=4,R_g=800$	 370
F.35 Scenario 6e: $N_G=100,T_{sm}=5,R_g=800$	 370
F.36 Scenario 6f: $N_G = 100, T_{sm} = 6, R_g = 800$	 370
F.37 Scenario 7a: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=1,R_g=300$	 370
F.38 Scenario 7b: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=2,R_g=300$	 370
F.39 Scenario 7c: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=3,R_g=300$	 371
F.40 Scenario 7d: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=4,R_g=300$	 371
F.41 Scenario 7e: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 5, R_g = 300$	 371
F.42 Scenario 7f: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=6,R_g=300$	 371
F.43 Scenario 8a: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=1,R_g=500$	 371
F.44 Scenario 8b: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=2,R_g=500$	 371
F.45 Scenario 8c: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=3,R_g=500$	 371
F.46 Scenario 8d: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=4,R_g=500$	 372
F.47 Scenario 8e: $N_G=300,T_{sm}=5,R_g=500$	 372
F.48 Scenario 8f: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 6, R_g = 500$	 372



Scenario 9a: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 1, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	372
Scenario 9b: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 2, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	372
Scenario 9c: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 3, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	372
Scenario 9d: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 4, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	372
Scenario 9e: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 5, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	373
Scenario 9f: $N_G = 300, T_{sm} = 6, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	373
EP objective: $N_C = 30$ , $R_c = 300$	405
EP objective: $N_C = 30$ , $R_c = 500$	405
EP objective: $N_C = 30$ $B_c = 800$	405
EP objective: $N_C = 100$ $B_c = 300$	406
EP objective: $N_C = 100$ , $R_z = 500$	406
EP objective: $N_C = 100, R_c = 800$	406
EP objective: $N_C = 300$ , $R_a = 300$	406
EP objective: $N_G = 300$ , $R_g = 500$	406
EP objective: $N_G = 300, R_\sigma = 800$	406
TNP objective: $N_G = 30, R_a = 300 \dots \dots$	407
TNP objective: $N_G = 30, R_a = 500 \dots \dots$	407
TNP objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 800 \dots \dots$	407
TNP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 300$	407
TNP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 500$	407
TNP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 800$	407
TNP objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 300$	408
TNP objective: $N_G = 300, R_q = 500$	408
TNP objective: $N_G = 300, R_q = 800$	408
VNP objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 300$	408
VNP objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 500$	408
VNP objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 800$	408
VNP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 300$	409
VNP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 500$	409
VNP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 800$	409
VNP objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 300$	409
VNP objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 500$	409
VNP objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 800 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	409
	$\begin{aligned} & \text{Scenario 9a: } N_G = 300, \ T_{sm} = 1, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{Scenario 9b: } N_G = 300, \ T_{sm} = 2, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{Scenario 9c: } N_G = 300, \ T_{sm} = 3, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{Scenario 9d: } N_G = 300, \ T_{sm} = 4, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{Scenario 9e: } N_G = 300, \ T_{sm} = 5, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{Scenario 9f: } N_G = 300, \ T_{sm} = 6, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{Scenario 9f: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 300 \\ & \text{Scenario 9f: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 300 \\ & \text{Scenario 9f: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{Scenario 9f: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 300 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{EP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 300 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{TNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 800 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 30, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 300 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 100, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } N_G = 300, \ R_g = 500 \\ & \text{VNP objective: } $



I.28	CP objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 300$
I.29	CP objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 500 \dots 100$
I.30	CP objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 800 \dots 1000$
I.31	CP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 300 \dots 100$
I.32	CP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 500 \dots \dots$
I.33	CP objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 800$
I.34	CP objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 300$
I.35	CP objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 500 \dots \dots$
I.36	CP objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 800$
I.37	MNC objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 300 \dots \dots$
I.38	MNC objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 500 \dots \dots$
I.39	MNC objective: $N_G = 30, R_g = 800 \dots 100000000000000000000000000000000$
I.40	MNC objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 300 \dots 100$
I.41	MNC objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 500 \dots 100$
I.42	MNC objective: $N_G = 100, R_g = 800 \dots 100$
I.43	MNC objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 300$
I.44	MNC objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 500 \dots \dots$
I.45	MNC objective: $N_G = 300, R_g = 800$



# List of Figures

2.1	Movements of MNs using RPGM	16
3.1	Binary bridge experiment	40
3.2	Ants start exploring the double bridge	41
3.3	Shortest path selection by forager ants	41
4.1	The concept of dominance	60
4.2	Pareto-optimal front for objectives $f_1$ and $f_2$	61
4.3	Mapping between decision space and objective space	62
5.1	Best of generation averages	102
6.1	A network illustrating the problem with energy per packet as a metric.	113
6.2	A network illustrating the multi-objective optimisation problem $\ldots$ .	118
6.3	Overview of ACO algorithms for the power-aware routing problem	124
6.4	Routing tables and chromosomes	148
7.1	Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	161
7.2	Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on $\bar{\varrho}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	162
7.3	Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	163
7.4	Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	164
7.5	Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on $\bar{\varrho}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	165
7.6	Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g \ldots \ldots$	166



7.7	Influence of $r_0$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{em}$	169
7.8	Influence of $r_0$ on $\bar{\rho}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{em}$	170
7.9	Influence of $r_0$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	170
7.10	Influence of $r_0$ on $\bar{n}_{ala}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_a$	170
7.11	Influence of $r_0$ on $\bar{\rho}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_q$	171
7.12	Influence of $r_0$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_a$	171
7.13	Influence of $r_l$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	174
7.14	Influence of $r_l$ on $\bar{\rho}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	174
7.15	Influence of $r_l$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	175
7.16	Influence of $r_l$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	175
7.17	Influence of $r_l$ on $\bar{\rho}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_a$	176
7.18	Influence of $r_l$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_q$	176
7.19	Influence of $\rho_q$ on $\bar{n}_{alq}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	178
7.20	Influence of $\rho_q$ on $\bar{\varrho}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	179
7.21	Influence of $\rho_g$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	180
7.22	Influence of $\rho_g$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	181
7.23	Influence of $\rho_g$ on $\overline{\varrho}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	182
7.24	Influence of $\rho_g$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	183
7.25	Influence of $\alpha$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	186
7.26	Influence of $\alpha$ on $\bar{\varrho}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	186
7.27	Influence of $\alpha$ on $\overline{\xi}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	186
7.28	Influence of $\alpha$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	187
7.29	Influence of $\alpha$ on $\bar{\varrho}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	187
7.30	Influence of $\alpha$ on $\overline{\xi}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	187
7.31	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	190
7.32	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on $\bar{\varrho}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	191
7.33	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on $\bar{\xi}$ metric, for different change frequencies, $T_{sm}$	192
7.34	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g \ldots$	193
7.35	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on $\bar{\varrho}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	194
7.36	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on $\overline{\xi}$ metric, for different change severities, $R_g$	195
7.37	Average value for $\bar{n}_{alg}$ over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	204
7.38	Average value for $\bar{n}_{alg}$ over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	205
7.39	Average value for $\overline{\varrho}$ over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	212



7.40	Average value for $\bar{\varrho}$ over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	214
7.41	Average value for $\overline{\xi}$ over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	221
7.42	Average value for $\overline{\xi}$ over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	222
7.43	Performance of the algorithms over time with regard to the number of	
	non-dominated solutions metric, $\bar{n}_{alg}$	227
7.44	Performance of the algorithms over time with regard to the spacing metric, a	<u>5</u> 228
7.45	Performance of the algorithms over time with regard to the hypervolume	
	metric, $\overline{\xi}$	229
7.46	Average value of the $EP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	232
7.47	Average value of the $EP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	233
7.48	Comparing the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm against the ACO algorithms	
	with regard to EP using the Mann-Whitney U test	234
7.49	Average value of the $TNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	235
7.50	Average value of the $TNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ldots$	237
7.51	Comparing the ACO algorithms against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm	
	with regard to the TNP objective using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots$	238
7.52	Average value of the $VNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	239
7.53	Average value of the $VNP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values $\ . \ .$	240
7.54	Comparing EEMACOMP, EEMMASMP, EEMMASMH, and EEMACOMC	
	against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the VNP objective	
	using the Mann-Whitney U test	241
7.55	Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
	regard to the VNP objective using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots$	242
7.56	Average value of the $CP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	243
7.57	Average value of the $CP$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	244
7.58	Comparing the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm against the ACO algorithms	
	with regard to the CP objective using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots$	246
7.59	Average value of the $MNC$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $R_g$ values	247
7.60	Average value of the $MNC$ objective over all the $N_G$ and $T_{sm}$ values	248
7.61	Comparing the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm against the ACO algorithms	
	with regard to the MNC objective using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ . \ .$ .	249
7.62	Energy consumed per packet, EP, criterion over time	251
7.63	Utilisation of the most heavily used link, TNP, criterion over time	252



Variance in node power levels, VNP, criterion over time	253
Cost per packet, CP, criterion over time	254
Maximum node cost, MNC, criterion over time	255
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMP	330
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMP	330
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMP	331
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMH	331
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMH	332
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMH	332
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMP	333
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMMASMP	333
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMP	334
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMH	334
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMMASMH	335
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMH	335
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMC	336
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMC	336
Influence of $\beta_{\psi}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMC	337
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMP	337
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMP	338
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMP	338
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMH	339
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMH	339
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMH	340
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMC	340
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMC	341
Influence of $r_0$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMC	341
Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMP	342
Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMP	342
Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMP	343
Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMH	343
Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMH	344
Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMH	344
	Variance in node power levels, VNP, criterion over time



E.31 Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMC	345
E.32 Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMC	345
E.33 Influence of $\rho_l$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMC	346
E.34 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMP	346
E.35 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMP	347
E.36 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMP	347
E.37 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMH	348
E.38 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMH	348
E.39 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMH	349
E.40 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMP	349
E.41 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMMASMP	350
E.42 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMP	350
E.43 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMH	351
E.44 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMMASMH	351
E.45 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMH	352
E.46 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMC	352
E.47 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMC	353
E.48 Influence of $\rho_g$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMC	353
E.49 Influence of $\alpha$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMP	354
E.50 Influence of $\alpha$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMMASMP	354
E.51 Influence of $\alpha$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMP	355
E.52 Influence of $\alpha$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMH	355
E.53 Influence of $\alpha$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMMASMH	356
E.54 Influence of $\alpha$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMH	356
E.55 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMP	357
E.56 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMP	357
E.57 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMP	358
E.58 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMH	358
E.59 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMACOMH	359
E.60 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\overline{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMH	359
E.61 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMP	360
E.62 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for EEMMASMP	360
E.63 Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMP	361



E.64	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMMASMH	361
E.65	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\rho}$ metric for EEMMASMH	362
E.66	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMMASMH	362
E.67	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for EEMACOMC	363
E.68	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\rho}$ metric for EEMACOMC	363
E.69	Influence of $\lambda_E$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for EEMACOMC	364
G.1	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for $N_G = 30$	375
G.2	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for $N_G = 100$	376
G.3	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric for $N_G = 300$	377
G.4	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for $N_G = 30$	378
G.5	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for $N_G = 100 \dots \dots \dots \dots$	379
G.6	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric for $N_G = 300 \dots \dots \dots \dots$	380
G.7	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for $N_G = 30$	381
G.8	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for $N_G = 100 \dots \dots \dots \dots$	382
G.9	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the $\bar{\xi}$ metric for $N_G = 300 \dots \dots \dots \dots$	383
H.1	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
H.1	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	385
H.1 H.2	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots$ . Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with	385
H.1 H.2	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	385 385
H.1 H.2 H.3	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with	385 385
H.1 H.2 H.3	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	385 385 386
H.1 H.2 H.3 H.4	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with	385 385 386
H.1 H.2 H.3 H.4	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	385 385 386 386
H.1 H.2 H.3 H.4	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	385 385 386 386
H.1 H.2 H.3 H.4 H.5	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	385 385 386 386 387
H.1 H.2 H.3 H.4 H.5 H.6	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	385 385 386 386 387
H.1 H.2 H.3 H.4 H.5 H.6	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	385 385 386 386 387 387
H.1 H.2 H.3 H.4 H.5 H.6 H.7	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	385 385 386 386 387 387
<ul> <li>H.1</li> <li>H.2</li> <li>H.3</li> <li>H.4</li> <li>H.5</li> <li>H.6</li> <li>H.7</li> </ul>	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	385 385 386 386 387 387 388
<ul> <li>H.1</li> <li>H.2</li> <li>H.3</li> <li>H.4</li> <li>H.5</li> <li>H.6</li> <li>H.7</li> <li>H.8</li> </ul>	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMAASMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test Comparing the EEMAASMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	385 385 386 386 387 387 388



H.9 Comparing the EEMACOMC against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	389
H.10 Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMMASMP algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	389
H.11 Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	390
H.12 Comparing the EEMMASMH against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	390
H.13 Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{n}_{alg}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	391
H.14 Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	391
H.15 Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	392
H.16 Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	392
H.17 Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	393
H.18 Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	393
H.19 Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	394
H.20 Comparing the EEMMASMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	394
H.21 Comparing the EEMMASMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	395
H.22 Comparing the EEMACOMC against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	395
H.23 Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMMASMP algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	396
H.24 Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with	
regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	396



H.25	Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	397
H.26	Comparing the EEMMASMH against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\varrho}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	397
H.27	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	398
H.28	Comparing the EEMACOMC against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	398
H.29	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	399
H.30	Comparing the EEMACOMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	399
H.31	Comparing the EEMMASMP against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	400
H.32	Comparing the EEMMASMH against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
	regard to the $\overline{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	400
H.33	Comparing the EEMACOMC against the NSGA-II-MPA algorithm with	
	regard to the $\overline{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	401
H.34	Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\bar{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test	401
H.35	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMACOMC algorithm with	
	regard to the $\overline{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	402
H.36	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMMASMP algorithm with	
	regard to the $\overline{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	402
H.37	Comparing the EEMACOMP against the EEMMASMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\overline{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	403
H.38	Comparing the EEMMASMH against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\overline{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	403
H.39	Comparing the EEMMASMP against the EEMACOMH algorithm with	
	regard to the $\overline{\xi}$ metric using the Mann-Whitney U test $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	404
Ţ1	Influence of $P$ and $T$ on the FD objective for $N = 20$	111
10 1.1	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the EP objective for $N_G = 50$	414
J.2 1.2	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the EP objective for $N_G = 100$	417
1.5	influence of $R_g$ and $I_{sm}$ on the EP objective for $N_G = 300$	420



J.4	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the TNP objective for $N_G = 30 \ldots \ldots$	423
J.5	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the TNP objective for $N_G = 100$	426
J.6	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the TNP objective for $N_G = 300$	429
J.7	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the VNP objective for $N_G = 30 \ldots \ldots$	432
J.8	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the VNP objective for $N_G = 100$	435
J.9	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the VNP objective for $N_G = 300$	438
J.10	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the CP objective for $N_G = 30$	441
J.11	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the CP objective for $N_G = 100$	444
J.12	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the CP objective for $N_G = 300$	447
J.13	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the MNC objective for $N_G = 30 \dots \dots \dots$	450
J.14	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the MNC objective for $N_G = 100 \ldots \ldots$	453
J.15	Influence of $R_g$ and $T_{sm}$ on the MNC objective for $N_G = 300 \ldots \ldots$	456