

**Evaluation of polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (PGIP)-  
mediated resistance against *Verticillium dahliae*,  
a fungal pathogen of potato**

by

**Inge Maritz**

**Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree  
MASTER OF SCIENCE  
in  
PLANT BIOTECHNOLOGY**

**in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science  
School of Biological Science  
Department of Botany  
University of Pretoria  
Pretoria**

**November 2002**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Summary</b>	v
<b>Opsomming</b>	vii
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	ix
<b>List of abbreviations</b>	x
<b>Index of Figures</b>	xii
<b>Index of Tables</b>	xv
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	1
<b>Aim of study</b>	
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	3
<b>Literature review</b>	
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Potato as an economically important crop	3
2.3 <i>Verticillium</i> -wilt of potato	3
2.4 Fungal virulence and plant resistance genes	10
2.5 Plant defence mechanisms	11
2.6 Polygalacturonases (PG)	13
2.7 Polygalacturonase-inhibiting proteins (PGIPs)	15
2.8 <i>GST1</i> promoter of <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	30
2.9 <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> as a model experimental plant	33
2.10 <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> -mediated plant transformation	34
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	37
<b>Cloning of the apple <i>pgip1</i> gene under control of the <i>gst1</i> promoter of <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i></b>	
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Materials and Methods	40
3.2.1 Plasmid DNA isolation	40
3.2.2 Polymerase Chain Reaction	41
3.2.3 Recovery of DNA fragments from agarose gels	42
3.2.4 pMOSBlue blunt-end ligation	42
3.2.5 Ligation	42

3.2.6	Preparation of competent <i>E. coli</i> DH5 $\alpha$ cells	43
3.2.7	Transformation of ligation reaction into <i>E. coli</i> DH5 $\alpha$	43
3.2.8	Restriction enzyme digestion	44
3.2.9	Nucleotide sequencing	45
3.3	Results	46
3.3.1	Subcloning of the <i>gstI</i> promoter into pMOSBlue	46
3.3.2	Subcloning of the <i>gstI</i> promoter into pCAMBIA2300	52
3.3.3	Subcloning of the apple <i>pgip1</i> cassette into <i>GST1</i> prom-pCAMBIA2300	55
3.4	Discussion	63
3.4.1	PCR primer design	63
3.4.2	PCR amplification and gel purification of the <i>gstI</i> promoter	64
3.4.3	Subcloning of the <i>gstI</i> promoter PCR product into pMOSBlue	64
3.4.4	Subcloning of the <i>gstI</i> promoter into pCAMBIA2300	64
3.4.5	Subcloning of the apple <i>pgip1</i> cassette downstream of the <i>gstI</i> promoter in pCAMBIA2300	65
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>		<b>66</b>
	<b>Transformation, molecular analysis and expression studies of <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> transformed with apple <i>pgip1</i> gene constructs</b>	
4.1	Introduction	66
4.2	Materials and Methods	68
4.2.1	Transformation of <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	68
4.2.2	Screening of <i>A. tumefaciens</i> transformants by PCR	68
4.2.3	Transformation of <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> using the floral dip method	69
4.2.4	<i>In vitro</i> kanamycin selection for transgenic <i>A. thaliana</i> seedlings	70
4.2.5	Isolation of genomic DNA from <i>A. thaliana</i>	70
4.2.6	PCR screening of putative transgenic <i>A. thaliana</i>	71
4.2.7	PGIP extraction from <i>A. thaliana</i> plants	72
4.2.8	Methyl-salicylate treatment of <i>A. thaliana</i> leaves	72
4.2.9	Agarose diffusion assay of PGIP extracts	72
4.3	Results	73
4.3.1	Creating apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> plants	73
4.3.2	PCR analysis of transgene insertion	75
4.3.3	Analysis of transgene expression by PGIP inhibition assays	76
4.4	Discussion	79

<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	83
<b>Molecular analysis of the apple <i>pgip1</i> gene in transgenic potato</b>	
5.1 Introduction	83
5.2 Materials and Methods	85
5.2.1 Isolation of genomic DNA from plant leaf material	85
5.2.2 PCR with plant genomic DNA for screening of putative apple <i>pgip1</i> transformants	87
5.2.3 Southern blot hybridisation of selected apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic potato lines	87
5.3 Results	91
5.3.1 PCR analysis of putative apple <i>pgip1</i> transformant plants	91
5.3.2 Southern blot hybridisation of selected apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic potato lines	95
5.3.3 Restriction digestion of the pCAMBIA2300- <i>appgip1A</i> and pCAMBIA2300- <i>appgip1B</i> plasmids	102
5.3.4 PCR of the six potato lines to verify the construct used for transformation	103
5.4 Discussion	105
5.4.1 PCR of putative apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic potato <i>in vitro</i> plants	105
5.4.2 PCR to verify the presence of the apple <i>pgip1</i> gene in the glasshouse transgenic material	105
5.4.3 Restriction digestion of potato genomic DNA for Southern blot	105
5.4.4 Southern blot hybridisation of selected apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic potato lines	106
5.4.5 Fragment sizes expected during the Southern blot of apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic potato genomic DNA	107
5.4.6 PCR of the six potato lines to verify the construct used for transformation	110
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	112
<b>Expression studies of apple PGIP1 in transgenic potato and inhibition studies with <i>V. dahliae</i> PG</b>	
6.1 Introduction	112
6.2 Materials and Methods	114
6.2.1 <i>V. dahliae</i> PG isolation	114
6.2.2 Preparation of PGIP extracts from plant material	115
6.2.3 Assay for PGIP activity	116
6.2.4 Protein concentration determination	118
6.3 Results	119
6.3.1 <i>V. dahliae</i> PG isolation	119
6.3.2 ADA to determine the efficiency of AS precipitation	119
6.3.3 ADA to test PGIP inhibition of precipitated PG	121
6.3.4 Assay for PGIP activity	122

6.3.5 Protein concentration determination of PG and dialysed PGIP extracts	128
6.3.6 <i>V. dahliae</i> PG activity per microgram crude PGIP extract	130
6.4 Discussion	133
6.4.1 <i>V. dahliae</i> PG isolation and AS precipitation	133
6.4.2 Quick PGIP extraction and ADA	134
6.4.3 Assay for PGIP activity	134
6.4.4 Protein concentration determination of PG and dialysed PGIP extracts	138
6.4.5 Combined results of the inhibition assays	139
6.4.6 Conclusion	139
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	140
<b>Glasshouse trial of potato for increased resistance to <i>V. dahliae</i></b>	
7.1 Introduction	140
7.2 Materials and Methods	142
7.2.1 Planting of tubers in the glasshouse	142
7.2.2 Preparation of <i>V. dahliae</i> microsclerotia inoculum	142
7.2.3 Visual assessment of <i>V. dahliae</i> symptoms	143
7.2.4 Plating out of stem sections onto potato dextrose agar (PDA)	143
7.2.5 Calculation of the disease index	144
7.3 Results	145
7.3.1 Planting of tubers in the glasshouse	145
7.3.2 Visual assessment of <i>V. dahliae</i> symptoms	145
7.3.3 Plating out of stem sections onto PDA	146
7.3.4 Calculation of the disease index	146
7.3.5 Statistical analysis of the disease index data	146
7.3.6 Median week of symptom appearance	149
7.4 Discussion	151
<b>CHAPTER 8</b>	154
<b>Concluding Discussion</b>	
<b>CHAPTER 9</b>	157
<b>References</b>	
<b>Appendices</b>	168
<b>APPENDIX A</b> Buffers, Solutions, Reagents and Culture media	168
<b>APPENDIX B</b> Primers used in this study	175
<b>APPENDIX C</b> Plasmid maps	176

## SUMMARY

---

Polygalacturonase-inhibiting proteins (PGIPs) are plant proteins believed to play a role in the defence against pathogenic fungi. In this study, it was hypothesized that apple PGIP1 could be used to confer enhanced resistance against *Verticillium*-wilt, a major disease of potato caused by the fungus *Verticillium dahliae*. Transgenic lines containing the apple *pgip1* gene under control of the enhanced CaMV 35S (e35S) promoter had been generated previously. Stable integration of the transgene into the potato genome was shown by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Southern blot with a DIG-labelled apple *pgip1* fragment as probe.

Polygalacturonase (PG)-inhibiting assays (the agarose diffusion assay and reducing sugar assays) were employed to investigate the inhibiting activity of apple PGIP1 extracts, prepared from the transgenic potato lines, on the PGs secreted by *V. dahliae* grown on pectin medium. Inhibition was successful for all but one of the transgenic lines. Active PGIP1 was expressed in the leaves of *in vitro*- and glasshouse grown plants, as well as in roots of *in vitro*-grown plants. Due to the success of the *in vitro* inhibition results, it was anticipated that the apple *pgip1* transgene would protect the transgenic lines against *Verticillium*-wilt in a subsequent glasshouse trial.

The transgenic lines and untransformed BP1 potato control were planted in soil inoculated with *V. dahliae* microsclerotia and control soil. Assessments of the visual symptoms of yellowing and wilt were made on a scale of 1-5. Colonisation of stem sections was determined by plating onto potato dextrose agar plates. Disease index values were calculated from the symptom and colonisation data. Analysis of variance indicated six lines to be significantly different from the rest when grown in the inoculated soil, but five of them also showed significantly slower senescence symptoms when grown in the control soil. It is proposed that the physiological effect of an extended juvenile phase resulted in the apparent increased disease resistance. This could be caused by transformation or tissue culture-induced somaclonal variation of the potato plants. The hypothesis that transformation of the apple *pgip1* gene into potato would confer enhanced resistance against *Verticillium*-wilt was not supported by the data that was obtained.

Expression of antifungal genes by pathogen-inducible promoters is a valuable strategy in the development of disease resistant crops of importance. A construct containing the apple *pgip1* gene under control of the pathogen-inducible *gst1* promoter from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh was generated. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101(pMP90RK) was transformed with the plant transformation vector pCAMBIA2300 containing the *gst1* and e35S promoter-*pgip1* inserts. *A. thaliana* was transformed using the floral-dip method, and putative transgenic progeny were selected

by kanamycin selection of the seeds. PCR verified the insertion of the transgene into the genomes of T2 and T3 lines. Gene expression from the two promoters was compared by performing PGIP extractions and the agarose diffusion assay. The *gst1* promoter was active even without induction by methyl-salicylate. Both constructs led to the expression of active apple PGIP1 against *V. dahliae* PG in the heterologous plant *A. thaliana*.

## OPSOMMING

---

Poligalakturonase-inhiberende proteïene is plant proteïene wat 'n rol speel in die beskerming teen patogeeniese fungi. In hierdie studie word dit voorgestel dat appel PGIP1 gebruik kan word om verhoogde weerstand teen *Verticillium*-verwelk, 'n belangrike siekte van aartappel veroorsaak deur die fungus *V. dahliae*, te verleen. Transgeniese lyne wat die appel *pgip1* geen onder beheer van die verbeterde CaMV 35S (e35S) promoter bevat is voorheen gegenereer. Stabiele integrasie van die transgeen in die aartappel genoom is bewys deur die polimerase ketting reaksie (PKR) en Southern klad met 'n DIG-gemerkte appel *pgip1* fragment as 'n peiler.

Poligalakturonase (PG)-inhiberende analises (die agarose diffusie en reduserende suiker analises) is aangewend om die inhiberende aktiwiteit van appel PGIP1, berei van die transgeniese aartappel lyne, op die PG ensieme afgeskei deur *V. dahliae* in pektien medium te ondersoek. Inhibisie was suksesvol vir al die potensiële transgeniese lyne behalwe een. Aktiewe PGIP1 was uitgedruk in die blare van *in vitro*- en glashuis gekultiveerde plante, asook die wortels van *in vitro* plante. As gevolg van die sukses van die *in vitro* inhibisie resultate, was dit voorspel dat die appel *pgip1* transgeen die transgeniese lyne teen *Verticillium*-verwelk sal beskerm in die daaropvolgende glashuisproef.

Die transgeniese lyne en ongetransformeerde BP1 aartappel kontrole is geplant in *V. dahliae* mikrosklerotia-geïnkuleerde grond en kontrole grond. Evaluasies van die visuele simptome van vergeling en verwelk is gemaak op 'n skaal van 1-5. Kolonisasie van die stingels is bepaal deur dit uit te plaat op aartappel dekstrose agar plate. Siekte-indekse is bereken van die simptome en kolonisasie data. Analise van variansie het aangedui dat ses lyne betekenisvol verskil het van die res toe dit in die geïnkuleerde grond gegroei is, maar vyf van hulle het ook betekenisvol stadiger veroudering simptome getoon toe dit in die kontrole grond gegroei is. Dit word voorgestel dat die fisiologiese effek van vertraagde volwassenheid verantwoordelik is vir die skynbare verhoogde siekte-weerstand. Hierdie kon veroorsaak gewees het deur transformasie of somaklonale variase geïnduseer deur weefselkultuur van die aartappelplante. Die hipotese dat die transformasie met die appel *pgip1* geen in aartappel verhoogde weerstandbiedendheid teen *Verticillium*-verwelk kon verleen was nie ondersteun deur die verkrygte data nie.

Uitdrukking van fungi-werende gene deur patogeen-stimuleerbare promoters is 'n waardevolle strategie in die ontwikkeling van siekte-bestande belangrike gewasse. 'n Konstruk wat die appel *pgip1* geen onder beheer van die patogeen-stimuleerbare *gst1* promoter van *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh bevat is gegenereer. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101(pMP90RK) is getransformeer met die plant transformasie vektor pCAMBIA2300 wat die *gst1* en e35S promoter-*pgip1* insetsels bevat.





*A. thaliana* is getransformeer met die blom-doop metode, en potensiële transgeniese nageslag is geselekteer met kanamycin seleksie van die sade. Integrasie van die transgeen in die genome van T2 en T3 lyne is geverifieer met PKR. Geen-ekspressie van die twee promoters is vergelyk deur PGIP ekstraksies te toets met die agarose diffusie analise. Die *gst1* promoter was aktief selfs sonder induksie deur metiel-salisilaat. Beide konstrukte het gelei tot die ekspressie van aktiewe appel PGIP1 teen *V. dahliae* PG in die heteroloëe plant *A. thaliana*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

My sincere gratitude and appreciation to:

My supervisor, Prof. Dave Berger, for his advice and input into this project.

My co-supervisor, Mr. Dean Oelofse, for guidance in the techniques.

The UK-SA bilateral fund, for funding this project.

Prof. Gary Loake at the University of Edinburgh, for valuable experience acquired in his laboratory.

The ARC-Roodeplaat, for the opportunity to further my studies.

My family and friends, for their interest and encouragement.

My fiancé, Albert, for his sustained support.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

ADA	Agarose diffusion assay
ARC	Agricultural Research Council
AS	Ammonium sulphate
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
<i>Avr</i>	Avirulence
bp	Basepair
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
CaMV	Cauliflower mosaic virus
CSPD	Disodium 3-(4-methoxyspiro{1.2-dioxetane-3,2'-(5'-chloro)tricyclo[3.3.1.1 <sup>3,7</sup> ]decan}-4-yl) phenyl phosphate
CTAB	Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide
dATP	Deoxyadenosine triphosphate
dCTP	Deoxycytosine triphosphate
dGTP	Deoxyguanine triphosphate
dH <sub>2</sub> O	Distilled water
DIG	Digoxygenin
DIG-11-dUTP	Digoxygenin-11-deoxyuridine triphosphate
DMF	Dimethylformamide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
DPE	Diphenyl ether
dTTP	Deoxythymidine triphosphate
EDTA	Ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
endoPG	Endopolygalacturonase
ERE	Ethylene-responsive element
exoPG	Exopolygalacturonase
gDNA	Genomic DNA
GST	Glutathione <i>S</i> -transferase
GUS	β-glucuronidase
kb	Kilobasepair
lsd	Least significant difference
LB	Luria Bertani
LRR	Leucine-rich repeat



MCS	Multiple cloning site
Me-Sa	Methyl-salicylate
MS	Murashige and Skoog
NaAc	Sodium acetate
<i>nptII</i>	Neomycin phosphotransferase II
PAHBAH	<i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic acid hydrazide
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PDA	Potato dextrose agar
PG	Polygalacturonase
PGA	Polygalacturonic acid
PGIP	Polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein
PVP	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
RNase	Ribonuclease
rpm	Revolutions per minute
TAE	Tris-acetate ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
TE	Tris ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
TEV	Tobacco etch virus
T <sub>m</sub>	Melting temperature
TNE	Tris-sodium chloride ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
Tris	Tris hydroxy methyl aminoethane
X-gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$ -galactoside

## INDEX OF FIGURES

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	Schematic drawing of PGIP secondary structure elements. 20
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	Comparison of the nucleotide sequence of the <i>gstI</i> promoter from G. Loake with the published sequence (Yang <i>et al.</i> , 1998). 32
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	Nucleotide sequence of a section of p <i>GSTI</i> -BluescriptSK <sup>-</sup> showing restriction enzyme sites and primer annealing sites. 47
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	PCR of the <i>gstI</i> promoter using Taq DNA polymerase. 48
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	PCR of the <i>gstI</i> promoter with the Expand Long template PCR system. 49
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	<i>gstI</i> promoter PCR fragment eluted from an agarose gel. 49
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	Restriction enzyme screening of eight putative recombinant <i>GSTI</i> prom-pMOSBlue clones. 50
<b>Figure 3.6</b>	Restriction digestion of putative recombinant <i>GSTI</i> prom-pMOSBlue clones 6 and 9 plasmid DNA. 51
<b>Figure 3.7</b>	Graphical representation of <i>GSTI</i> prom-pMOSBlue clones 6 and 9 recombinant plasmids. 52
<b>Figure 3.8</b>	<i>KpnI</i> / <i>PstI</i> digested <i>gstI</i> promoter restriction fragment eluted from an agarose gel. 53
<b>Figure 3.9</b>	Restriction enzyme screening of eight putative recombinant <i>GSTI</i> prom-pCAMBIA2300 transformed colonies. 54
<b>Figure 3.10</b>	Restriction enzyme screening of putative recombinant <i>GSTI</i> prom-pCAMBIA2300 clones 9 to 16. 55
<b>Figure 3.11</b>	Partial <i>PstI</i> digestion of <i>XhoI</i> -linearised pAppRTL2. 57
<b>Figure 3.12</b>	Preparation of the 1379 bp apple <i>pgip1</i> cassette insert fragment for cloning. 57
<b>Figure 3.13</b>	Full and partial digested pAppRTL2 and gel extracted insert fragment containing the contaminating fragment. 58
<b>Figure 3.14</b>	<i>KpnI</i> and <i>PstI</i> digestion of plasmid DNA from 44 putative <i>GSTI</i> prom-app <i>gip1</i> -pCAMBIA2300 clones. 59
<b>Figure 3.15</b>	Restriction analysis of seven positive <i>GSTI</i> prom-app <i>gip1</i> -pCAMBIA2300 clones. 60
<b>Figure 3.16</b>	Nucleotide sequencing of the <i>gstI</i> promoter - apple <i>pgip1</i> cassette inserted into pCAMBIA2300. 61
<b>Figure 3.17</b>	Nucleotide sequence and predicted amino acid sequence between the TATA box of the <i>gstI</i> promoter and the initiator codon of the apple <i>pgip1</i> gene in the <i>GSTI</i> prom-app <i>gip1</i> -pCAMBIA2300 construct. 61



<b>Figure 3.18</b>	Plasmid map of <i>GST</i>	62
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Restriction analysis of constructs used for <i>A. thaliana</i> transformation.	73
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Colony PCR of <i>A. tumefaciens</i> GV3101 using AP-PGIP and NPTII primers.	74
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	PCR analysis of <i>A. thaliana</i> Col-0 transformed with apple <i>pgip1</i> constructs, using AP-PGIP and NPTII primers.	76
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Inhibition of <i>V. dahliae</i> PG activity by PGIP extracts from putative apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic <i>A. thaliana</i> leaf material.	77
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Apple <i>pgip1</i> PCR with gDNA from <i>in vitro</i> transgenic potato leaf material.	91
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	<i>nptII</i> PCR with gDNA from <i>in vitro</i> transgenic potato leaf material.	92
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Unsuccessful PCR with gDNA from glasshouse transgenic potato leaf material.	92
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	RNA contamination of gDNA (prepared from glasshouse transgenic potato leaf material).	93
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	gDNA cleaned up from RNA contamination.	93
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	Apple <i>pgip1</i> PCR with gDNA from glasshouse transgenic potato leaf material.	94
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	<i>nptII</i> PCR with gDNA from glasshouse transgenic potato leaf material.	95
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	<i>PstI</i> restriction digestion of pAppRTL2.	96
<b>Figure 5.9</b>	DIG-labelled apple <i>pgip1</i> PCR product.	96
<b>Figure 5.10</b>	Restriction digestion of 400 ng potato gDNA.	97
<b>Figure 5.11</b>	Restriction digestion of 600 ng potato gDNA.	98
<b>Figure 5.12</b>	Agarose gel to check if large-scale digestion of potato gDNA is complete.	99
<b>Figure 5.13</b>	Large-scale digestion of six transgenic potato lines for Southern blot.	99
<b>Figure 5.14</b>	Southern blot of six apple <i>pgip1</i> transgenic potato lines.	101
<b>Figure 5.15</b>	Restriction digestion of pCAMBIA2300- <i>appgip1A</i> and pCAMBIA2300- <i>appgip1B</i> plasmids.	103
<b>Figure 5.16</b>	PCR of six potato lines using U19F and AP-PGIP primers.	104
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	Agarose diffusion assay (ADA) of <i>V. dahliae</i> culture supernatants.	119
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	ADA of pools of <i>V. dahliae</i> PGs before and after ammonium sulphate precipitation.	120
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	ADA plate of <i>V. dahliae</i> PGs from pool 2 before and after ammonium sulphate precipitation.	120
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	ADA of apple PGIP1 inhibition of <i>V. dahliae</i> PGs isolated from different pools.	121
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	ADA with <i>V. dahliae</i> PGs to compare the inhibiting activity of dialysed PGIP extracts with extracts that have not been dialysed.	122



<b>Figure 6.6</b>	ADA of <i>V. dahliae</i> PG activity with PGIP extracts from <i>in vitro</i> leaf material.	123
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	ADA with <i>V. dahliae</i> PGs and PGIP extracts prepared from <i>in vitro</i> transgenic potato root material.	124
<b>Figure 6.8</b>	Quick PAHBAH assay of <i>V. dahliae</i> PG activity with PGIP extracts from <i>in vitro</i> transgenic material.	125
<b>Figure 6.9</b>	Determination of time points at which different dilutions of <i>V. dahliae</i> PG exhibit a linear increase in activity in the reducing sugar assay.	126
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	Reducing sugar assay of <i>V. dahliae</i> PG activity with PGIP extracts from <i>in vitro</i> material.	127
<b>Figure 6.11</b>	Standard curve for the Bio-Rad protein assay using BSA as protein standard.	129
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	<i>Verticillium</i> -wilt symptoms on a scale of 1 to 5.	145
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	Progression of visual disease symptoms over time for three potato lines.	150
<b>Figure 7.3</b>	Median time (in weeks) after planting of symptom development of three potato lines grown in inoculated soil.	150