

**Managing the trade-off between conservation and exploitation of
wetland services for economic well-being: The case of the Limpopo
wetland in southern Africa**

By

Wellington Jogo

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

PhD Environmental Economics

In the
Department of Agricultural Economics,
Extension and Rural Development
Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences
University of Pretoria
South Africa

August 2010



Dedication

To my daughter, Celine, and wife, Phillipa

Declaration

I declare that this thesis hereby submitted by me for the PhD degree in Environmental Economics at the University of Pretoria is entirely my own independent work and has not been submitted by me anywhere else for the award of a degree or otherwise.

Parts of the thesis have been published in journals.

Any errors in thinking or omissions are solely my responsibility.

Signed: _____

Date: _____

Name: Wellington Jogo.

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to my supervisor, Professor Rashid Hassan, for his untiring support throughout my PhD programme. I acknowledge his high intellectual guidance and patience throughout the course of this work. His enormous contribution has really shaped this work to what it is.

This study benefited from the financial support of several institutions. The financial support received from the CEEPA-SIDA PhD programme is greatly appreciated. Further acknowledgement goes to the Challenge Program on Water and Food for research funding provided through Project Number 30, which is hosted by the International Water Management Institute (IWMI). The fellowship I was awarded by IWMI through its capacity-building programme is gratefully acknowledged. The author also acknowledges the International Start Secretariat for providing a START/PACOM Doctoral Research fellowship, which funded some stages of this work.

Various persons deserve to be accredited for the support they gave at various stages of this work. In particular, I would like to thank Dr Renneth Mano for his financial assistance during the early stages of my PhD programme without which I could not have proceeded this far. Dr Sylvie Morardet played a significant role in linking me to the project which funded this research. The contributions of Drs Sylvie Morardet, Mutsa Masiyandima and Dinis Juizo in the development of some components of the ecological-economic model are gratefully acknowledged. I also received some useful comments and references from Dr Donovan Kotze, Dr Sara Aniyar and Professor Max Finlayson which I acknowledge.

Many thanks go to Olalekan Adekola, Charles Mametja and local enumerators for helping in the collection of household survey data. I would also like to thank the people of Ga-Mampa for their cooperation and for offering their time and useful insights during the household survey.

I have benefited a lot from the wonderful atmosphere in the Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development. Special thanks go to Dr Eric Mungatana for his friendship, encouragement and, above all, brotherly advice. I treasure the comfort and encouragement received from my fellow PhD students in particular the following: Davison Chikazunga; Charles Nhemachena; Abebe Beyene; Temesgen Deressa; and Sinqobile Chumi.

My father, Benjamin Jogo sadly passed away before he could see the completion of this work. I would like to acknowledge the foundational support he gave me without which I wouldn't have proceeded this far. May his soul rest in peace.

The support, love and care of my daughter, Celine, and wife, Phillipa, inspired me particularly during the final stages of this thesis. Thank you for your unwavering support and patience during these trying times.

Finally, many others have contributed in various ways to the completion of this thesis, and although not mentioned by name, you are really appreciated.

**Managing the trade-off between conservation and exploitation of
wetland services for economic well-being: The case of the Limpopo
wetland in southern Africa**

By

Wellington Jogo

Degree: PhD Environmental Economics
Supervisor: Professor Rashid M. Hassan
Department: Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development

Abstract

This study had two main objectives. The first objective was to determine the factors that influence rural households' labour allocation and supply decisions for competing livelihood activities, including wetland activities. The second objective was to: develop an ecological-economic model establishing the linkages between the economic and ecological components in a wetland system and apply the model to evaluate the impacts of alternative wetland management and policy regimes on wetland functioning; and supply ecosystem services and economic well-being.

To achieve the first objective an agricultural household framework was used. The reduced form labour use and supply equations for wetland products and agricultural grain, derived from optimising the agricultural household model, were estimated jointly using a seemingly unrelated regression model. The model was fitted to data collected from a survey of 143 households in a wetland system in the Limpopo basin of South Africa.

Results showed that poor households, most of whom are female-headed households, have less capacity to participate in off-farm employment and rely heavily on farm and wetland activities for their livelihood. This implies that environmental protection policies that limit access to the wetland resources will deepen poverty as the poor will suffer more from deprivation of resources, which play a key role as a livelihoods safety net for the poor. This suggests that in order to enhance the sustainable management of wetlands there is need to identify and promote local level wetland management practices that allow the poor to use wetlands to enhance their economic well-being with minimum adverse effects on wetland ecological conditions instead of adopting strict wetland protection measures. In addition, there is also a need to broaden the opportunities for the poor to diversify into off-farm livelihood activities. This minimises the risks of income fluctuations associated with farm and natural resource-base livelihood sources and therefore provides the necessary positive incentives for wetland conservation and sustainable use. Better access to education is an important instrument for enhancing the poor's ability to diversify into off-farm livelihood options. These results suggest that wetland conservation and sustainable use has to be integrated with the broader rural poverty reduction initiatives such as: improved access to education; investment in irrigation infrastructure; and improving access to markets.

Results also indicate that a household's exogenous income and wealth status (asset endowment) enhance farm production whilst reducing dependence on wetland products for livelihood. The government should pursue policy measures that reduce rural household liquidity constraints and enhance investment in productive assets (e.g. improving rural household access to credit and off-farm income opportunities) to boost farm production and enhance wetland conservation and sustainable use.

To achieve the second objective the study developed a dynamic ecological-economic model. The model is based on the system dynamics framework to capture the multiple interactions and feedback effects between ecological and economic systems. The application of the model in simulating policy scenarios suggests that wetland ecosystem

services (crop production and natural resource harvesting) are interlinked with trade-offs involved through their competition for labour, water and land resources. Policy scenario simulation results showed that diversifying livelihoods out of agriculture simultaneously improves economic well-being and enhances wetland conservation. Pure conservation strategies impose significant losses in the economic welfare of the local population unless supported by diversification of livelihood sources. The simulation results also show that the development of a competitive marketing system for harvested biomass products increases returns to wetland biomass products relative to that of wetland grain and it reduces conversion of wetlands to agriculture. Simulation of the predicted reduction in annual precipitation due to climate change in southern Africa showed that climate change is likely to accelerate the conversion of wetlands to agriculture, confirming the important role wetlands play in managing climate variability in smallholder agricultural systems. Government policies that support livelihood diversification into off-farm livelihood opportunities and improve the capacity of the rural poor to adapt to climate change, especially droughts, are critical for wetland conservation and sustainable use.

Keywords: wetlands; southern Africa; agricultural household model; labour allocation decisions; dynamic ecological-economic models; human well-being; ecological security.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dedication	ii
Declaration	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xiv
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background and Statement of the Problem	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	3
1.3 Hypotheses of the study	4
1.4 Approaches and methods of the study	4
1.5 Organisation of the thesis	5
CHAPTER 2	6
WETLAND ECOSYSTEMS IN SOUTHERN AFRICA AND THEIR IMPORTANCE FOR HUMAN WELL-BEING	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Biophysical and socio-economic characteristics of the study area	6
2.2.1 Climate and major ecosystems	6
2.2.2 Demographic and socio-economic characteristics	10
2.3 Characterisation of wetland ecosystems	11
2.3.1 Definition of wetlands	11
2.3.2 Types of wetland ecosystems	12
2.3.2.1 Marine systems	13
2.3.2.2 Estuarine systems	14
2.3.2.3 Lacustrine systems	14
2.3.2.4 Palustrine systems	14
2.3.2.5 Riverine systems	15
2.3.2.6 Endorheic systems	15
2.3.3 The distribution of wetlands	16
2.4 The importance of wetlands for human well-being	19
2.5 Major threats to wetland ecosystems in southern Africa	24
2.6 Concluding Summary	27
CHAPTER 3	29
ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK FOR RURAL HOUSEHOLDS RESOURCE ALLOCATION DECISIONS AMONG COMPETING LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES ..	29
3.1 Introduction	29
3.2 Review of selected literature on the determinants of rural household labour allocation decisions for competing livelihood activities	29
3.3 The Analytical Framework	37
3.4 Concluding Summary	43



CHAPTER 4	44
EMPIRICAL MODEL AND RESULTS OF THE DETERMINANTS OF HOUSEHOLD RESOURCE ALLOCATION AND SUPPLY DECISIONS	44
4.1 Introduction.....	44
4.2 Description of the study area	44
4.2.1 Location of the area	44
4.2.2 Characterisation of the hydrology and ecology as well as trade-offs between ecosystem services in the studied wetland system.....	46
4.2.3 Main livelihood activities in the study area	50
4.3 Data and data collection methods	51
4.4 The survey of wetland uses.....	53
4.4.1 Edible plants collection.....	54
4.4.2 Crop production	54
4.4.3 Livestock grazing.....	56
4.4.4 Reeds and sedge harvesting	56
4.4.5 Domestic water abstraction.....	57
4.5 The empirical model	58
4.5.1 Model variables and expected direction of relationships.....	59
4.5.2 Econometric estimation procedures	64
4.6 Empirical results and discussion.....	65
4.6.1 Summary statistics of variables used in the econometric analysis	65
4.6.2 Econometric results.....	67
4.7 Concluding Summary	73
CHAPTER 5	75
REVIEW OF APPROACHES TO ASSESS THE IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT AND POLICY SCENARIOS ON ECOSYSTEM FUNCTIONING AND HUMAN WELL- BEING	75
5.1 Introduction.....	75
5.2 Review of analytical approaches	75
5.2.1 Economic valuation	75
5.2.2 Multi-criteria analysis	79
5.2.3 Integrated ecological-economic models	80
5.2.3.1 Heuristic models	80
5.2.3.2 System dynamics models.....	81
5.3 Approaches and methods of the study	85
5.4 Concluding Summary	87
CHAPTER 6	88
EMPIRICAL MODEL AND RESULTS FROM ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVE MANAGEMENT REGIMES ON WETLAND FUNCTIONING AND ECONOMIC WELL-BEING.....	88
6.1 Introduction.....	88
6.2 Conceptual framework.....	88
6.3 The empirical model components and assumptions	90
6.3.1 Hydrology module	91
6.3.2 Crop production module	96
6.3.3 Land use change module.....	99



6.3.4 Natural wetland vegetation module	101
6.3.5 The economic well-being module.....	104
6.4 The full system of equations showing the linkages between modelled ecological-economic systems	109
6.5 Specification of model parameters and validation.....	114
6.6 Simulation of impacts of alternative wetland management and policy regimes ..	120
6.7 Concluding Summary	126
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICY AND RESEARCH.....	128
7.1 Introduction.....	128
7.2 Summary of key findings and policy implications	128
7.3 Limitations of the study and areas for further research	131
REFERENCES	133
APPENDICES	146
Appendix A1: First order conditions for the household optimisation model	146
Appendix A2: Logical rules for hydrological dynamics in the modelled wetland	148
Appendix A3: Wetlands-based livelihoods agronomic and socio-economic household questionnaire	149
Appendix A4: Household questionnaire used for economic valuation of provisioning services of the Ga-Mampa wetland.....	175

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Area under the Limpopo river basin by riparian country	7
Table 2.2: The main ecosystems of southern Africa.....	9
Table 2.3: Selected population statistics for the Limpopo basin	10
Table 2.4: Examples of major wetland types in southern Africa and the main services they provide	16
Table 2.5: Estimates of global wetland areas by Ramsar region	17
Table 2.6: Estimates of wetland area (in km ²) in Limpopo basin countries	19
Table 2.7: Ecosystem services provided by or derived from wetlands.....	21
Table 2.8: Net financial values per user household of selected services for selected wetland systems in southern Africa	24
Table 2.9: Major threats to wetlands in southern Africa ranked according to extent of occurrence	27
Table 4.1: Vegetation characteristics of the Ga-Mampa wetland.....	49
Table 4.2: Sample distribution of interviewed households.....	52
Table 4.3: Number of households using wetlands for different uses and estimated values per household	54
Table 4.4 Definition of variables used in the econometric analysis	61
Table 4.5: Descriptive statistics of variables used in the econometric analysis	66
Table 4.6: Seemingly unrelated regression results for labour use in productive activities and supply of grain and wetland products.	71
Table 6.1 Parameters used in the CROPWAT model for maize grain	98
Table 6.2: Definition of endogenous model variables	113
Table 6.3: State variables (stocks) in the model	114
Table 6.4: Parameter values and sources	115
Table 6.5: Changes in value of selected indicator variables, expressed as percentages of baseline values	122

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Map showing (a) African river basins and (b) the Limpopo river basin riparian countries.....	7
Figure 2.2: Wetland distribution and location of Ramsar sites across major river basins in Sub-Saharan Africa	18
Figure 2.3: Linkages between wetland services and human well-being.....	22
Figure 4.1: Maps showing the location of the Limpopo province and the Ga-Mampa area	45
Figure 4.2: Contribution percentage of flow (i.e. monthly average) from the Mochlapiiti catchment to the Olifants River	47
Figure 4.3: Conceptual picture of the main hydrological fluxes in the Ga-Mampa wetland.	48
Figure 4.4: Trend in wetland area in the Ga-Mampa wetland	55
Figure 5.1: The Total Economic Value framework.	77
Figure 5.2: Analytical framework for evaluating the impacts of alternative wetland ecosystem management and policy regimes on ecosystem functioning, ecosystem services and human well-being	86
Figure 6.1: Conceptual framework showing the interactions between components of the system.....	90
Figure 6.2: Schematic representation of the main hydrological fluxes of the wetland....	92
Figure 6.3: Comparison of model predicted and actual wetland area converted to agriculture.	119
Figure 6.4: Comparison of model predicted versus actual social grant rate	120

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CBA	Cost-Benefit Analysis
CPI	Consumer Price Index
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GLWD	Great Lakes and Wetlands Database
MCA	Multi-criteria Analysis
MEA	Millennium Ecosystem Assessment
NTFP	Non-Timber Forest Products
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
SAR	South Africa Rand
SUR	Seemingly Unrelated Regressions
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
USD	United States Dollars
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service