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Abstract

African mole-rats (Bathyergidae) are subterranean hystricomorph rodents offering an excellent system with which to test theories relating to the evolution and maintenance of sociality in mammals. The aridity food distribution hypothesis (AFDH) suggests that, within the bathyergids, sociality has evolved in response to patterns of rainfall, its effects on food distribution, and the subsequent costs and risks of foraging and dispersal. Here, in the first detailed study of burrow architecture in a social mole-rat species, with data from 32 burrows, we show that in the giant mole-rat *Fukomys mechowii*, burrow fractal dimension increases with colony size and is higher during the rainy season than during the dry season. The mass of food in the burrow increases with fractal dimension and is higher during the rainy season than during the dry season. These results link for the first time colony size, burrow architecture, rainfall and foraging success and provide support for two assumptions of the AFDH, namely that (1) in arid conditions burrowing may be severely constrained by the high costs of digging; and (2) the potential risks of failing to locate food may be mitigated by increases in colony size.

It was also fundamental in this study to assess whether the *Fukomys mechowii* is (1) An aseasonal or seasonal breeder (2) To investigate whether non-reproductive female giant mole-rats exhibit induced or spontaneous ovulation and finally (3) To estimate the age variation and sexual dimorphism of this little studied giant mole-rat species. Thus in a field study that involved the complete excavation of 32 burrow systems with a mean colony size of 9.9 individuals (range 7-16), it was evident that *Fukomys mechowii* is a cooperatively breeding mole-rat exhibiting a reproductive division of labour in which usually one, or occasionally two, females are responsible for procreation. Pregnant reproductive females
were found throughout the study period (September 2005 until June 2006), supporting preliminary evidence that reproduction occurs throughout the year. Of the 32 colonies sampled, 14 of 18 (87.5%) in which the reproductive female could be identified as pregnant contained a single reproductive female, while four (12.5%) had two females breeding simultaneously (plural breeding). The population sex ratio was skewed towards females at 1:1.46. Autopsy of pregnant reproductive females (n=18) revealed that the production of two (10/18 pregnancies) or three (7/18) offspring was the norm, with one case of four embryos being present. These new data increase our fragmentary knowledge of the natural history of this little studied species.

Six non-reproductive females were removed from their natal colonies and housed individually without a male for a period of 12 weeks as a control group. They were then subsequently housed for a further 6 weeks as experiment 1, on their own before being allowed non-physical contact in experiment 2, with a mature adult male for a further 6 weeks. The non-reproductive females were given a further period of isolation for a month prior to being physically paired with vasectomized males, in experiment 3. Urine was collected every second day for all three experiments and urinary progesterone profiles were generated. The progesterone values measured during the first part of Experiment 2 and 3 were markedly higher than those measured during the first part of Experiment 1 (Z= -2.201, p=0.028 for both comparisons), however, this was not significant after Bonferroni correction. Similarly progesterone values tended to be elevated during the second phase of Experiment 2 and 3 but not significantly so (Experiment 1 vs. 2: Z=-1.782, p=0.075, Experiment 1 vs. 3: Z=-2.201, p=0.028). Thus, chemical or physical stimulation by a male
does not appear to be necessary for ovulation in female giant mole-rats. The giant mole-rat is a spontaneous ovulator.

Due to difficulties in estimating absolute age in mammals, different methods for its estimation have been proposed, and among these, the degree of molar eruption and wear are considered to be one of the most reliable indicators of relative age. Consequently, maxillary molar tooth-row eruption and wear were used to assign individuals of the giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* (Peters, 1881) from two geographically proximal and ecologically similar localities in the Copper-belt Province of Zambia to 9 relative age classes. These were in turn used to assess the nature and extent of sexual dimorphism and age variation in this little-studied social mole-rat based on cranial morphometric data, reference to body mass and a series of both univariate and multivariate statistical analyses. Both univariate and multivariate analyses showed morphological differences between individuals of age classes 1–3 and those of age classes 5–9, while individuals of age class 4 were intermediate between these age class groupings, suggesting that this age class lies at a point on a hypothetical growth curve where it begins to stabilize. The analysis of the nature and extent of sexual dimorphism revealed its absence in the younger individuals of age classes 1–4 and its presence in older age classes 5–9. These results may allow an insight into our understanding of the population social structure, and reproductive strategies in the giant mole-rat.

In conclusion, it is worth mentioning that; (1) A number of studies have examined burrow architecture, although not necessarily fractal dimensions in the Bathyergidae but the majority of these have concentrated on solitary species in which when there is plural occupancy it is during the breeding season or when the mother has a litter. This study is thus the first to examine in detail the dynamic nature of social mole-rat burrows, with respect to
seasonal changes. The burrow fractal dimension is a good indication of the mole-rats ability to burrow to find food and thus results support the critical assumption which underlies the aridity food distribution hypothesis. The results accord well with previous data in social mole-rats indicating that larger colonies have greater survival and link colony size, burrow architecture and foraging success for the first time; (2) the giant mole-rat is an aseasonal breeder which in a few instances can have two queens per colony; (3) the giant mole-rat is a spontaneous ovulator and finally (4) the giant mole-rat *Fukomys mechowii* exhibits a sexual dimorphism amongst its older age classes 5-9. Suggesting that there are different growth curves in males versus females, whereby males attain much larger size (skull size and body mass) than females after puberty and finally intimating that opportunistic mating competition among males is very high.
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Fig 2.1 Right maxillary molar tooth row of the giant mole-rat *Fukomys mechowii* illustrating nine tooth-wear classes as adopted and modified from Janse van Rensburg *et al.*, (2004) and Hart *et al.*, (2007) and described in the text.

Fig. 2.2 Abbreviations and reference points of 22 cranial measurements used in the present study as adopted and modified from those defined and illustrated by Janse van Rensburg *et al.*, (2004) and Hart *et al.*, (2007): (1) GLS – greatest length of skull, from the tip of the front of the incisors to the posterior part of the skull; (2) ITC – incisor to condyle length, from the anterior surface of the incisor at alveolus to the most posterior projection of the occipital condyle; (3) BCW – widest measurement of braincase breadth; (4) ZMB – zygomatic breadth - parietal width, (5) ZYW – greatest zygomatic width, between outer margins of the zygomatic arches, perpendicular to longitudinal axis of the skull; (6) IOB – least breadth of the interorbital constriction; (7) WR – width of the rostrum; (8) NA – anterior width of nasal where it joins with the premaxillae; (9) UTR – crown length of maxillary tooth row, from the anterior edge of the first molar to the posterior edge of the last molar; (10) PAC – hard plate width at point of constriction immediately posterior to the last molar; (11) NPP – the distance from anterior edge of nasals to the anterior edge of posterior part of the zygomatic arch; (12) GHS – greatest height of skull, perpendicular to horizontal plane through bullae; (13) MLT – greatest length of mandible, including teeth, from the posterior surface of condylar process to the tip of incisor; (14) MDL – greatest length of mandible (excluding teeth), from the posterior surface of condylar process to anteroventral edge of the incisor alveolus; (15) MTR – mandibular toothrow length, from the anterior edge of the first molar alveolus to the posterior edge of the last molar alveolus; (16) CPL-coronoid process length to posterior edge of fourth molar; (17) ATP – articual process length, from the ventral edge of mandibular foramen to mid-posterodorsal edge of the coronoid process; (18) APL – angular process length of the middle mandible; (19) MRH –
mandibular-ramus height, from the dorsal edge of the coronoid process to the ventral edge of angular process; (20) UJI – upper jaw incisor length, measured from the tip of the incisors to the base, where the teeth connect to the skull; (21) LJI – lower jaw incisor length, measured from the tip of the incisor to the base, where the teeth connect to the skull; and (22) WI – width of the incisor where the incisor meets the premaxilae.

Fig. 3.1 Two burrows representing the observed differences in fractal dimension, from (a) the dry season (colony 24, fractal dimension =1.194) and (b) the rain season (colony 15, fractal dimension = 1.422). G = granary; N = nest; T = toilet chamber.

Fig. 3.2 The mass of food in the burrow as a function of burrow fractal dimension. Open circles: dry season, Filled circles: rainy season. Note the anomalous data point for colony 23, with 1310g of food.

Fig. 5.1 A plot of the first two principal components from a principal components analysis of the giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* of tooth-wear classes 1–9 from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Minimum convex polygons enclose individuals of each tooth-wear class, which together with their associated sexes have been omitted.

Fig. 5.2 A distance phenogram from an unweighted pair-group method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA) cluster analysis male and female giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* of age tooth-wear-classes 1–9 from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Zambia, showing 3 distinct clusters of individuals comprising the following sample sizes, tooth-wear classes and sexes (M = male; F = female), respectively: (1) Cluster 1 – 4:1F, 2:1M, 8:2F, 6:2M, 6:3F, 4:3M, 8:4F, 5:4M and 2:6F; (2)

**Fig. 5.3** A plot of the first two principal components from a principal components analysis of the giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* of the younger tooth-wear classes 1–4 from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Dashed and continuous minimum convex polygons enclose male (m) and female (f) individuals of each age class, respectively.

**Fig. 5.4** A plot of the first two principal components from a principal components analysis of the giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* of older tooth-wear classes 5–9 from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Dashed and continuous minimum convex polygons enclose male (m) and female (f) individuals of each age class, respectively.

**Fig. 5.5** A plot of nine relative age classes (1–9) defined and illustrated in Fig. 2 and body mass (g) (± 2 standard errors of the mean (SE)) of male (m) and female (f) giant mole-rats, *Fukomys mechowii* from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Statistical significance: * = $P < 0.05$; ** = $P < 0.01$; *** = $P < 0.001$; NS = not statistically significant.

**Fig. 6.1** Mean urinary progesterone profile (nmols Progesterone/mmols creatinine) before experimental manipulation of the female (*F. mechowii*) housed singly without any form of contact with a male.
Fig. 6.2 Mean urinary progesterone profile (nmols Progesterone/mmols creatinine) after experimental manipulation of the female (*F. mechowii*) housed in a chemical contact with a male.

Fig. 6.3 Mean urinary progesterone profile (nmols Progesterone/mmols creatinine) before and after experimental manipulation for female (*F. mechowii*) housed in physical contact with a vasectomised male.

Fig. 6.4 Mean urinary progesterone concentrations (nmols Progesterone/mmols creatinine) before and after experimental manipulation of the female (*F. mechowii*) housed singly, in a chemical contact with a male and physical contact with a vasectomised male.

Fig. 6.5a Electrone micrograph of the penis of male *F. mechowii* showing smooth glans and shallow longitudinal ridges.

Fig. 6.5b Electrone micrograph of the penis of male *F. mechowii* showing smooth glans and shaft with shallow longitudinal ridges.

**List of Tables**

Table 3.1 Colony composition, burrow metrics and capture dates for each of the 32 colonies in the study.

Table 4.1 Date of capture and the site location of colonies of *F. mechowii* from Kakalo and Mushishima farm Blocks in Chingola, Copperbelt Province, Zambia, together with the respective incidence of reproduction and litter sizes.

Table 5.1 *F*-values and percent SSQ of each source of variation derived from a two-way
Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of nine age classes (1–9) based on the degree of tooth eruption and wear in male and female giant mole-rats, *Fukomys mechowii*, from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks in Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Statistical significance: * = $P < 0.05$; ** = $P < 0.01$; *** = $P < 0.001$. Measurements are defined and illustrated in Fig. 5.2.

**Table 5.2** *Post hoc* Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) tests of tooth-wear classes (AC) 1–9 of the giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Non-significant subsets ($P > 0.05$) are indicated by vertical lines, while NS = no significant difference; AS = all means significantly different; $n$ = sample size; SD = standard deviation. Measurements are defined and illustrated in Fig. 5.2.

**Table 5.3** Standard descriptive statistics of 22 measurements of male and female giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks in Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. $\bar{x}$ = arithmetic mean; $SD$ = standard deviation; $2SE$ = two standard errors; $n$ = sample size. Measurements are defined and illustrated in Fig. 5.2.

**Table 5.4** Relative loadings of measurements from the first two principal components of a principal components analysis of the giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii* of tooth-wear classes 1–9 from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks in Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Measurements are defined and illustrated in Fig. 5.2.

**Table 5.5** $F$-values and percent SSQ of each source of variation derived from a two-way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of four age classes (1–4) based on the degree of
tooth eruption and wear in male and female giant mole-rats, *Fukomys mechowii*, from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Statistical significance: * = \( P < 0.05 \); ** = \( P < 0.01 \); *** = \( P < 0.001 \). Measurements are defined and illustrated in Fig. 5.2.

Table 5.6  *F*-values and percent SSQ of each source of variation derived from a two-way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of four age classes (5–9) based on the degree of tooth eruption and wear in male and female giant mole-rats, *Fukomys mechowii*, from Kakalo and Mushishima farm blocks, Chingola, Copperbelt Province of Zambia. Statistical significance: * = \( P < 0.05 \); ** = \( P < 0.01 \); *** = \( P < 0.001 \). Measurements are defined and illustrated in Fig. 5.2.

Plate 1.1  The giant mole-rat, *Fukomys mechowii*