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SUMMARY

Cloning, sequencing and expression analysis of the gene encoding the putative RNA polymerase of African horse sickness virus.

by

Frank Theodoor Vreede

Promoter: Prof. H Huismans
Department of Genetics
University of Pretoria

For the degree PhD

The aim of this investigation was to clone, characterize by sequencing and express the gene that encodes the minor core protein VP1 of African horse sickness virus (AHSV), with a view to the analysis of this protein as the putative viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase.

A generally applicable technique for the amplification and cloning of large dsRNA genome segments was developed. The approach is both sequence-independent, not requiring any prior knowledge of the gene to be cloned, and convenient, introducing terminal restriction enzyme sites for subsequent subcloning. The full-length VP1 gene of AHSV-1 was cloned.

The VP1 gene of AHSV-9, previously cloned as incomplete cDNA fragments, was assembled and sequenced in its entirety. This represents the first AHSV VP1 gene sequence, and completes molecular characterization of the AHSV genome. AHSV-9 genome segment 1 is 3965 nucleotides in length, encoding a protein of 1305 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 150.3K. The amino acid sequence was shown to possess conserved motifs specific for RNA-dependent RNA polymerases. Comparisons with VP1 of other orbiviruses revealed high conservation, confirming the evolutionarily imposed functional constrictions.
The AHSV VP1 gene was furthermore transcribed and expressed in a system that enables the *in vivo* generation of authentic viral RNA. This system utilises recombinant vaccinia virus-expressed T7 RNA polymerase to synthesise transcripts *in vivo* that are autolytically cleaved by ribozyme activity to yield authentic 3' termini. However, expression of AHSV VP1, alone or in combination with other potentially fundamental AHSV proteins, yielded no detectable VP1-specific replicase activity on authentic viral RNA templates in RNA-dependent RNA polymerase assays. AHSV VP1 was subsequently also expressed in a baculovirus system, yielding high levels of insoluble protein.

The results serve as the basis for future investigation of the molecular biology of AHSV and specifically into RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity of VP1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>adenosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>angstrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSV</td>
<td>African horse sickness virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSV-1</td>
<td>African horse sickness virus serotype 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMV</td>
<td>avian myeloblastosis virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>American type culture collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine-5'-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaMV</td>
<td>bamboo mosaic virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>base pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>bovine serum albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTV</td>
<td>bluetongue virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV</td>
<td>bovine viral diarrhea virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>cytidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>degrees Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>complementary DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CER</td>
<td>chicken embryo rabbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cf.</td>
<td>confer (compare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ci</td>
<td>Curie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLP</td>
<td>core-like particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dA</td>
<td>deoxyadenosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dATP</td>
<td>2'-deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dC</td>
<td>deoxycytidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCTP</td>
<td>2'-deoxycytidine-5'-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dG</td>
<td>deoxyguanosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dGTP</td>
<td>2'-deoxyguanosine-5'-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>defective interfering particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM</td>
<td>Dulbecco's modified Eagles’ medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP</td>
<td>2'-deoxynucleoside-5'-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds</td>
<td>double-strand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dT</td>
<td>deoxythymidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>1,4-dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dTTP</td>
<td>2'-deoxythymidine-5'-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEV</td>
<td>equine encephalosis virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHDV</td>
<td>epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et al.</td>
<td>et alii (and others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fg</td>
<td>femtogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCS</td>
<td>foetal calf serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHV</td>
<td>flock house virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLUAV</td>
<td>Influenza A virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gram / gravitational acceleration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>guanosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>haemaglutinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDV</td>
<td>hepatitis delta virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethane-sulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRI</td>
<td>human placental ribonuclease inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBDV</td>
<td>infectious bursal disease virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.e.</td>
<td>id est (that is)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISVP</td>
<td>infectious sub-viral particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>kilodalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kb</td>
<td>kilobase pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacZ</td>
<td>β-galactosidase gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mA</td>
<td>milliamperes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCi</td>
<td>millicuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml</td>
<td>millilitre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mM</td>
<td>millimolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMOH</td>
<td>methylmercuric hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol</td>
<td>millimole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOI</td>
<td>multiplicity of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol</td>
<td>mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_r</td>
<td>molecular weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/v</td>
<td>mass per volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL</td>
<td>milliliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mM</td>
<td>millimolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMOH</td>
<td>methylmercuric hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol</td>
<td>millimole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOI</td>
<td>multiplicity of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol</td>
<td>mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_r</td>
<td>molecular weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/v</td>
<td>mass per volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLRV</td>
<td>Nila parvata lugens reovirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaAc</td>
<td>sodium acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ng</td>
<td>nanogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>non-structural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD_{550}</td>
<td>optical density at 550nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>orf</td>
<td>open reading frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pfu</td>
<td>plaque forming units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmol</td>
<td>picomole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNK</td>
<td>polynucleotid kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
<td>2,5-diphenyloxazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>poliovirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RABV</td>
<td>rabies virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDV</td>
<td>rice dwarf virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNP</td>
<td>ribonucleoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRSV</td>
<td>rice ragged stunt virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeV</td>
<td>Sendai virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sf9</td>
<td>Spodoptera frugiperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ss</td>
<td>single-strand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV40</td>
<td>simian virus 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>uridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µCi</td>
<td>microcurie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µg</td>
<td>microgram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µl</td>
<td>microlitre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µM</td>
<td>micromolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>volts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VACV</td>
<td>vaccinia virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLP</td>
<td>virus-like particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td>viral protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vRNA</td>
<td>viral RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSV</td>
<td>vesicular stomatitis virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/v</td>
<td>volume per volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-gal</td>
<td>5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER 1

LITERATURE SURVEY

The study of viruses and their interactions with host cells has benefited greatly from the ability to engineer specific mutations into viral genomes, a technique known as reverse genetics. This ability to generate infectious viruses from cloned sequences has contributed greatly to our biological understanding of pathogens and their replication and hence to disease control. It also enables the exploitation of the viral replication machinery for the expression of heterologous proteins.

1. REVERSE GENETICS

1.1 DNA viruses

DNA-containing viruses were the first to become amenable to reverse genetic techniques. This breakthrough was achieved when DNA of SV40 (~5000 bp in length) was found to be infectious, giving rise to new viral particles when a cloned copy was transfected into cells. This allowed the first rescue of defined viral mutants from mutated DNA molecules (Goff & Berg 1976).

Subsequently, the molecular engineering of large DNA-containing viruses such as herpes and pox viruses was enabled by methodology that involved homologous recombination of the viral genome with plasmids bearing foreign sequences flanked by viral sequences, under appropriate selection conditions (Post & Roizman 1981; Mackett et al. 1982; Panicali & Paoletti 1982). Similarly, techniques have been developed to specifically alter the genomes of adenoviruses and many other DNA viruses (Jones & Schenk 1978; Samulski et al. 1989). Reverse genetic strategies to recover infectious and mutant viruses by recombination between cotransfected cosmids containing overlapping portions of
Large viral genomes have also been developed and successfully used (Cunningham & Davison 1993; Kemble et al. 1996; Cohen et al. 1989b). Thus, extraordinary progress has been made in harnessing the genomes of DNA viruses to facilitate study and understanding of structure-function relationships of the viral components and as viral vectors expressing foreign proteins.

1.2 RNA viruses

1.2.1 Retroviruses

Unique among the RNA viruses are the retroviruses whose replication involves a dsDNA phase, making these viruses an easy target for genetic manipulation. Transfection of full-length cDNA molecules results in the formation of replicating virus particles and integration of the viral genetic information into the host genome, as first demonstrated by Wei et al. (1981). Engineering of retroviruses has been widely applied in the study of viral gene expression and of protein-structure analysis and has enabled them to be used as vectors for gene transfer and gene therapy (Mulligan 1993).

1.2.2 Single-strand RNA viruses

The study of the molecular biology of non-retroviral RNA viruses has long been hampered by the fact that these viruses do not encompass a DNA intermediate step in their replication cycle.

One of the original distinctions between positive- and negative-strand RNA viruses was based on the ability or not of their purified RNAs to initiate an infectious cycle after transfection of appropriate host cells (Baltimore et al. 1970).

Positive-sense ssRNA viruses

In the case of positive-strand RNA viruses, the full-length genomic RNA functions as mRNA, directing the production of some or all viral proteins necessary for the initiation of virus propagation, and as template for viral RNA replication, making these viruses highly amenable to genetic engineering. Advances in molecular techniques have enabled direct genetic manipulation of positive-strand RNA viruses, through the use of cDNA intermediates to produce biologically active RNA molecules. Thus, infectious positive-strand RNA viruses...
can be generated from cloned cDNAs, by transfecting plasmids (or RNA transcribed from plasmids) containing the viral genome directly into cells, as was first demonstrated with Poliovirus (PV; Racaniello & Baltimore 1981).

Due to their generally smaller genome sizes compared to DNA viruses, whole RNA virus genomes can be cloned as cDNA and manipulated at will. This approach has been successfully achieved for multiple small and medium sized positive-strand RNA viruses (see Boyer & Haenni 1994), greatly enhancing the potential of investigations. Indeed, they can facilitate studies of viruses that are present only in low titres in infected cells or whose isolation is problematic. For instance, the development of a reverse genetics system for caliciviruses (Sosnovtsev & Green 1995) was anticipated to assist in the identification of the molecular basis for the strong host- and tissue-specific restrictions of many members of the Caliciviridae and to lead to the development of recombinant DNA-based systems for the non-cultivatable caliciviruses.

Clearly, the synthesis and cloning of full-length cDNA of larger positive ssRNA viral genomes, with correct termini, and the instability of these clones in bacteria, can be troublesome (reviewed in Boyer & Haenni 1994). However, remarkable success has been achieved in studies using alphaviruses such as Sindbis virus (Rice et al. 1987) and Semliki forest virus (Liljestrom et al. 1991). cDNA-derived RNAs of these positive-strand RNA viruses were used to efficiently rescue infectious viruses, thus allowing extensive analyses of promoter elements of the viral RNAs as well as structure-function studies of the viral proteins. Furthermore, these viruses have shown excellent potential for expressing large quantities of heterologous proteins via recombinant constructs.

Obvious advantages to the use of positive-strand RNA viruses as vectors for the expression of heterologous sequences include the easy and rapid engineering of the DNA constructs and, in contrast to DNA viruses like Vaccinia virus (VACV), the possibility of avoiding any wild type virus background by de novo generation of viruses entirely from cloned sequences. In addition, RNA virus vectors have the advantage as vaccine vectors in that they don’t appear to (down) modulate the immune system, as do many large DNA viruses, including pox and herpes viruses (Ploegh 1998). Moreover, because these RNA viruses lack a DNA phase, there is no concern about unwanted integration of foreign sequences into chromosomal DNA.
An alternative reverse genetic approach, particularly with larger (30 kb) RNA genomes such as that possessed by the coronaviruses, utilises defective interfering particles (DI's). It is based on the generation of DI's by transcription of smaller RNAs that contain deletions and are not able to replicate autonomously. DI's are able to replicate with the help of normal viruses that provide the functions not encoded by the DI itself. Since DI genomes have to contain certain cis-acting sequences to be replicated and packaged into infectious particles, construction of synthetic DI genomes can be used for the identification of replication and packaging signals (Makino et al. 1990; Van der Most et al. 1991). In addition, recombinant DI-RNAs can serve as replicons designed for the expression of foreign genes (Finke & Conzelmann 1999).

Recent advances

In order to achieve successful infection, viral transcripts must interact with virus-encoded proteins, most particularly with the viral replicase, and with host cell components such as the translation machinery; therefore the structure of viral transcripts has to mimic that of the virion RNA as closely as possible. Investigations using the reverse genetics strategies described above were markedly promoted by in vivo expression of infectious viral RNAs. This was initially achieved by T7 promoter-driven transcription from transfected cDNA-containing vectors in cells infected a recombinant VACV (vTF7-3) encoding T7 RNA polymerase (Fuerst et al. 1986). Alternatively, a host range-restricted VACV recombinant (MVA-T7) that expresses T7 polymerase, but does not replicate, in many mammalian cells (Schneider et al. 1997) and a recombinant baculovirus expressing T7 RNA polymerase (Yap et al. 1997) have also been developed. Cell lines constitutively expressing T7 polymerase alone or together with helper proteins have also been used (Radecke et al. 1995). Recently, cellular RNA polymerase II promoters have also been used for intracellular synthesis of foreign transcripts (Johnson & Ball 1997).

In order to retain intact 3' terminal sequences for functional genome transcripts, the development of plasmid vectors that allowed intracellular generation of RNAs with discrete termini was a further major technical breakthrough in optimising the system. This was achieved by the discovery (Cech 1986) and subsequent exploitation of the autolytic activity of ribozyme sequences, as first successfully used for the intracellular generation of functional
nodavirus RNA (Ball 1992; Ball 1994) and Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) RNAs (Pattnaik et al. 1992). This system allowed much more efficient production of appropriate RNA inside a cell, as compared to transfection with in vitro transcribed RNA or with linearised DNA constructs to obtain intracellular runoff transcripts. The latter in particular is not very effective in the presence of VACV, most likely owing to ligation and modification of DNA by VACV enzymes. The ribozyme sequence of Hepatitis delta virus (HDV) has generally been used, which has the advantage of requiring only sequences downstream of the cleavage site for autolytic activity and is indiscriminate with regard to sequences 5' of its cleavage site. Thus RNAs ending with a specific 3' terminal nucleotide can be generated by autolytic cleavage of primary transcripts containing the HDV ribozyme sequence immediately downstream of the viral sequences. According to the ribozyme cleavage mechanism, the 3' terminal ribose of the (upstream) genome analogue possesses a cyclic 2'-3' phosphate instead of a hydroxyl group (Long & Uhlenbeck 1993). This modification might be speculated to contribute to the success of the approach, in preventing polyadenylation of the RNA by VACV enzymes, for example, or in delaying degradation of the RNA 3' terminus.

Negative-sense ssRNA viruses

The genomes of negative-strand RNA viruses have been less amenable to artificial manipulation as neither naked genomic viral RNA nor anti-genomic complementary RNA can serve as a direct template for protein synthesis and are therefore not infectious. Both genomic and positive-sense anti-genomic RNAs exist as viral ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes, and the viral RNA polymerase is essential for transcribing both mRNA and anti-genome template RNA from these RNP complexes. Thus the minimal biologically active replication unit is formed by the genomic or anti-genomic RNA complexed with nucleoprotein and the viral RNA polymerase (Emerson & Wagner 1972). In addition, precise 5' and 3' ends are required for replication and packaging of the genomic RNA (Zheng et al. 1996). The absence or extremely low frequency of homologous recombination during the replication cycle of negative-sense RNA viruses eliminates the possibility of inserting novel genes into the genome of these viruses by targeted recombination with synthetic nucleic acids.

Unfortunately, this lack of systems for genetic manipulation of RNP viruses long limited experimental approaches to studying the genetics and
biology of negative-strand RNA viruses. This also prevented the enormous potential of RNP viruses from being exploited as tools for basic and applied biomedical research. This potential derives from the high integrity of RNP genomes within the cell, the mode of gene expression from simply organised genomes, the cytoplasmic replication cycle of most RNP viruses and also from the relatively simple structure of virions.

The genomes of segmented negative-sense RNA viruses allowed some genetic manipulation through the isolation of reassortant viruses, but manipulation of the complete genome progressed slowly, hampered by the very fact that the genome is segmented, requiring a separate viral RNA for each segment.

Site-specific manipulation of a negative-strand RNA virus was first made possible in 1990 for the segmented *Influenza A virus* (FLUAV; Enami et al. 1990). Biologically active RNP complex (comprised of synthetic cDNA-derived RNA of one viral genomic segment complexed with purified nucleoprotein and polymerase proteins) was reconstituted *in vitro* and then transfected into helper virus-infected cells. The helper virus provides the viral proteins required for the rescue and isolation (under selection) of infectious genetically engineered (reassortant) virus. More recently, intracellular reconstitution of RNP complexes by expression of a viral RNA-like transcript from plasmid-based expression vectors containing a truncated human polymerase I promoter and a 3′ ribozyme sequence, demonstrated efficient transcription and replication of a reporter in FLUAV-infected cells (Pleschka et al. 1996).

However, it took some time to produce active RNP complexes of non-segmented negative-strand RNA viruses *in vitro*, most likely owing to the tighter RNP structure of full-length genomes of 11 kb or more (Baudin et al. 1994). Park et al. (1991) demonstrated that a synthetic RNA generated *in vitro* by T7 RNA polymerase from a linearised plasmid to create precise *Sendai virus* (SeV) genome-specific untranslated ends could be amplified and expressed in SeV-infected cells. Similar helper virus-driven rescue of transfected RNAs was also successful in other paramyxovirus systems, such as *Respiratory syncytial virus* (Collins et al. 1991) and *Measles virus* (Sidhu et al. 1995). The first recovery of infectious non-segmented negative-strand RNA virus from cDNA came when Schnell et al. (1994) succeeded in constructing a plasmid that expresses a full-length *Rabies virus* (RABV) RNA transcript (in plus-sense) from the T7 RNA
polymerase promoter. Co-transfection of the plasmid DNA containing this viral insert with plasmids expressing the viral polymerase complex proteins led to the formation of recombinant RABV. This system has been elegantly exploited to study the promoter elements of RABV RNA and to elucidate the interaction of this virus with cells (Mebatsion & Conzelmann 1996; Mebatsion et al. 1996), demonstrating that genetic engineering can redirect the host range and cell tropism of rabies viruses.

The key to reproducibly recovering recombinant virus was the use of a plasmid directing transcription of anti-genome (positive-sense) RNA rather than genome RNA. This strategy avoided a potentially deleterious anti-sense problem, in which the vast amounts of positive-sense mRNA transcripts encoding polymerase complex proteins would hybridise to the complementary negative-sense vRNA genome sequences and interfere with critical assembly of the genome into RNP. In starting with anti-genome RNA, only one successful round of replication driven by the plasmid-encoded support proteins is required to yield an infectious genome RNP. The same strategy was also used for the successful recovery and manipulation of paramyxoviruses (Collins et al. 1995) and of the prototype rhabdovirus VSV (Lawson et al. 1995; Whelan et al. 1995). In the latter case, this reverse genetics system was utilised in a fascinating study to systematically alter the phenotype of the virus by manipulation of the genome (Wertz et al. 1998; Ball et al. 1999). Relative levels of gene expression in VSV, as in other members of the order Mononegavirales, is controlled by the highly conserved order of the genes relative to the single transcriptional promoter at the 3' end of the viral genome through progressive transcriptional attenuation at the intergenic junctions. By rearranging the gene order in an infectious cDNA clone, the authors were able to alter their expression levels and thereby the viral phenotype. Viable viruses were recovered from all ten nucleocapsid (N) gene (Wertz et al. 1998) and phosphoprotein (P), matrix protein (M) and glycoprotein (G) gene (Ball et al. 1999) rearrangements constructed to date. Levels of gene expression were found to vary in concordance with their distance from the 3' promoter, yielding rearranged variant viruses with altered replication potential and virulence. Such gene rearrangements yielding stable variant viruses were envisaged to facilitate the study of many aspects of virus biology and the rational development of attenuated live vaccines.
The application of similar reverse genetics strategies for segmented negative-strand RNA viruses posed a formidable challenge, as multiple separate viral RNAs with their RNP complex proteins need to be produced through transfection and co-expression. In one study, Bridgen and Elliott (1996) used reverse genetics to generate *Bunyamwera virus*, a bunyavirus with a tri-segmented negative-sense RNA genome, entirely from cloned cDNAs. This helper-free system involved the co-transfection of plasmids expressing the three anti-genomic viral RNA segments from a T7 promoter, and terminating in the self-cleaving HDV ribozyme sequence at the 3' end, with T7 plasmids expressing the viral mRNAs encoding all the viral proteins, into cells infected with vTF7-3. This eliminated the need for selection to retrieve a small number of transfectants from a vast number of helper viruses. This allows the virus from the initial transfection to be characterised immediately, thus limiting the chance of viruses containing reversions or spontaneous mutations from becoming significant contaminants.

Recently, the generation of influenza A viruses, which possess eight negative-sense RNA genome segments, entirely from cloned cDNAs was reported (Neumann *et al.* 1999). The viral RNP complexes were generated *in vivo* through intracellular plasmid-derived synthesis of the eight vRNAs under control of the cellular RNA polymerase type I promoter and transcription terminator, and simultaneous expression of the viral polymerase complex proteins and nucleoprotein under control of RNA polymerase type II promoters in cotransfected protein expression plasmids. This achievement required minimum co-transfection of 12 different plasmids. However, the authors reported that the addition of plasmids expressing all of the remaining viral structural proteins, requiring co-transfection of up to 17 plasmids, led to a substantial increase in virus recovery. The primary RNA transcripts produced by RNA polymerase I are ribosomal RNAs that possess neither a 5' cap nor a 3' poly(A) tail. The major difference in this system from the reverse genetics of non-segmented negative-sense RNA viruses described above lies in the use of plasmids expressing anti-genome- or genome-sense RNA transcripts. It was speculated that the use of anti-genomic plasmids might further increase the already high efficiency of influenza virus recovery. Shortly following this report, Fodor *et al.* (1999) provided independent evidence for similar plasmid-based rescue of FLUAV, albeit with lower efficiency. The latter also expressed negative-sense genomic viral
RNA, but utilised the HDV ribozyme sequence downstream of the vRNA-coding genes in order to obtain the correct 3' end of vRNA.

This technology thus permits the generation of transfectants with defined mutations in any gene segment, enabling investigators to address issues such as the nature of regulatory sequences in non-translated regions of the viral genome, structure-function relationships of viral proteins, and the molecular basis of host range restriction and viral pathogenicity. Furthermore, this may translate into rationally designed vaccines and the enhanced use of influenza viruses as vaccine vectors and gene delivery vehicles.

1.2.3 Double-strand RNA viruses

Double-strand RNA viruses have been found infecting vertebrate and invertebrate hosts, plants, fungi, bacteria and protozoans, and are taxonomically divided into six families (Murphy et al. 1995). As the host cells do not possess enzymes for transcribing dsRNA, these viruses share a common requirement to introduce their own virion-associated RNA polymerase into the host cell together with the viral genome. This requirement is reflected in their specialised structures and infection mechanisms.

In theory, infectious dsRNA viral particles should be formed in cells in which a full complement of viral mRNA is introduced. Indeed, Chen et al. (1994a) demonstrated that the electroporation of fungal spheroplasts with synthetic plus-sense RNA transcripts corresponding to the non-segmented dsRNA Hypovirus, an uncapsidated fungal virus, yields mycelia that contain cytoplasmically replicating dsRNA. Mundt and Vakharia (1996) subsequently described the development of a system for the generation of infectious IBDV (Infectious bursal disease virus; Birnaviridae) by transfection of synthetic plus-sense transcripts derived from full-length cDNA clones of the entire coding and non-coding regions of the 2 viral genomic segments.

In the case of bacteriophage Φ6, a Cystovirus with a dsRNA genome consisting of three linear segments, acquisition of the plus-strands of the small (S), medium (M) and large (L) genomic segments into procapsids is serially dependent, involving the exposure and concealment of binding sites on the outer surface of the procapsid. This is effected by the amount of RNA causing the empty procapsid to expand. The plus-strand of segment S can be packaged alone, while packaging of the plus-strand of segment M depends on prior
Packaging of S. Packaging of M is a prerequisite for the packaging of the plus-strand of L (reviewed in Mindich 1999). Proteins P1, P2, P4 and P7, encoded by the L genomic segment, have been found to assemble into empty procapsids when expressed in *E. coli* (Gottlieb et al. 1988). Such cDNA-derived procapsids were found capable of packaging and replicating viral plus-strand RNA to double-stranded genomic segments, as well as producing transcripts using the dsRNA as a template (Gottlieb et al. 1990). This approach was recently used by Poranen and Bamford (1999) to demonstrate that the 5' end of the L genome segment in single-stranded form is required to switch from packaging to minus-strand synthesis and the same sequence in double-strand form switches on plus-strand synthesis. By combining previous efforts, Olkkonen et al. (1990) demonstrated that such cDNA-derived 6 polymerase complexes that have replicated the viral RNA *in vitro* can be rendered infectious by assembly with *E. coli* expressed coat protein P8, enabling the generation of recombinant virus.

However, it has generally proved extremely difficult to introduce heterologous genetic information into more complex dsRNA viral genomes, such as those of the family *Reoviridae*, whether by transfection of ssRNA or dsRNA, or by intracellular generation of plus-sense ssRNA (Moody & Joklik 1989). Roner et al. (1990) reported the development of a complex system for the recovery of infectious reovirus from *in vitro* synthesised components. Cells were transfected with a combination of ssRNA, dsRNA and *in vitro* translated viral proteins, and complemented with a helper virus of a different serotype. Resulting viruses were distinguished from helper virus by plaque assay. The study determined that dsRNA is 20 times as infectious as ssRNA but that dsRNA and ssRNA together yield 10 times as much infectious virus as dsRNA alone. The addition of *in vitro* translated protein was not found to be absolutely essential, but increased virus yields up to 100 fold, depending on the time for which translation was allowed to proceed. However, destruction of the RNA template following translation abolished the activity, suggesting that the active molecular species was RNA-protein complexes and not protein alone.
2. MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF THE FAMILY REOVIRIDAE

The family Reoviridae includes nine genera, infecting a variety of vertebrates, invertebrates and plants. The most highly characterised genera of the Reoviridae are Orbivirus, Rotavirus and Reovirus. These viruses share a similar yet unique double layered capsid structure. The viral genome, comprising 10 to 12 dsRNA segments, is encapsidated within an inner core with icosahedral symmetry (Fields 1996). The complete nucleotide sequences of the genome segments of certain species in all three genera are available (Fukusho et al. 1989; Wiener & Joklik 1989; Mitchell et al. 1990). De-proteinised viral dsRNAs are not infective, reflecting the fact that the virus particles contain their own RNA-dependent-RNA-polymerase for transcription of dsRNA into active mRNAs. The dsRNA segments are base-paired end to end, and the plus-sense strand possesses a 5' cap structure. The 5' and 3' terminal sequences of the genomic segments within a species are highly conserved suggesting that they contain signals important for RNA transcription, replication or assembly during viral morphogenesis. mRNAs of members of the Reoviridae are largely mono-cistronic, possessing 5' guanylate residues with a cap structure, but lacking 3' poly(A) tails. The open reading frame is ensconced between non-coding regions of varying lengths, possessing initiation codons in a strong context for initiation (Kozak 1981).

2.1 Infection cycle

The life cycle of the Reoviridae is unique and can be summarised as follows. The first step in infection is attachment of the virion or infectious sub-viral particle (ISVP) to various receptor molecules (often unknown) on the cell surface via specific interactions with the viral haemaglutinin (HA) and cell-attachment proteins, in a strain-specific manner. Attached particles are internalised by endocytosis, although alternatives such as phagocytosis or direct penetration of the cell membrane by some viruses and sub-viral particles have also been shown. Following internalisation, viral particles are contained in vacuoles (endosomes or lysosomes) located in the cytoplasm. Within these vacuoles, morphological changes involving uncoating of the viral particles occur, to yield structures very similar to ISVPs and cores. These processes appear to be
essential for further viral infection, specifically penetration of the vacuolar membrane and entry into the cytoplasm.

The uncoated viral core remains intact during the early stages of infection, carrying viral enzymes into the host cell. These include a transcriptase and helicase, as well as guanylyl transferase and transmethylase activities, required to synthesise, cap and methylate mRNA copies of the viral genome segments.

Coincident with uncoating, the sub-viral particle-associated transcriptase is activated. Transcription in the Reoviridae is asymmetric and conservative i.e. the negative-sense strand serves as template for mRNA synthesis, but remains in the dsRNA form. The dsRNA is also retained within the core. Distinct ssRNA transcripts representing full-length copies of the genomic plus-stands are synthesised and extruded from the core particle into the cytoplasm. The ssRNA can function as message for the translation of viral proteins by the cellular machinery or as templates upon which progeny dsRNA genomes are made by the synthesis of the complementary negative-sense strand (replication). The latter occurs in particles that are assembled from newly synthesised viral protein and positive-sense template RNAs. Following replication, the minus-strand RNA replica remains associated with the plus-strand template, reconstituting the genomic dsRNA. The core particles bearing the dsRNA can either support additional rounds of transcription or alternatively undergo further maturation to form infectious progeny particles. The steps involved in assortment, assembly and packaging of single copies of each different segment that makes up the viral genome into progeny virions are still not well defined.

The significant complexities of these mechanisms may explain why no truly effective reverse genetics system is as yet available for the members of the Reoviridae and why the few reports of initial successes (discussed previously) use methods that are poorly understood.

2.2 Development of reverse genetic systems for the Reoviridae

The applicability of reverse genetics to segmented dsRNA viruses, by definition, depends on (i) the availability of a system that permits the introduction of a full complement of genome segments into cells and their assembly into functional genomes and (ii) the ability of this system to insert
foreign or altered genetic information. Various individual aspects of the life cycle of the *Reoviridae*, including transcription, replication and assembly, have been investigated with the aim of understanding the requirements for viral infection, replication and assortment, which are all more or less crucial to the development of effective reverse genetics systems. This approach has involved recombinant VACV and baculovirus expression of virion components, the analysis of reassortants and temperature sensitive mutants.

### 2.2.1 Transcription

In contrast to the members of the *Birnaviridae*, in which the intact virion is an active polymerising complex (Mertens *et al.* 1982; Spies *et al.* 1987), intact *Reoviridae* virions are not transcriptionally active; activation of mRNA synthesis requires a structural alteration involving uncoating. However, although virions can't make full-length transcripts, they have been found in the case of reoviruses to readily synthesise short oligonucleotides when given appropriate substrates, suggesting that the transcriptase is constitutively active in virions, but only capable of limited elongation (Yamakawa *et al.* 1981). Therefore, activation of transcription during uncoating may be a misnomer in the sense that this process does not actually modify the enzyme complex, but rather releases the complex from structural constraints.

Investigation of the viral transcriptase activity in the *Reoviridae* has largely been achieved by *in vitro* uncoating of the virion (removal of specific outer capsid polypeptides) by various chemical or physical treatments, resulting in activation of the transcriptase. These approaches have yielded important information regarding the basic essentials for transcriptase activity, including temperature, salt and pH requirements. In addition, data concerning the relative levels of mRNAs in infected cells have been collected. Thus, it was determined that the *in vitro* transcription reaction by reovirus and rotavirus cores is absolutely dependent on magnesium ions and has an unusually high temperature optimum of 45°C to 50°C (Kapuler 1970; Cohen 1977; Yamakawa *et al.* 1982; Yin *et al.* 1996). The *in vitro* transcriptase reaction of orbiviruses is also dependent on magnesium ions but it has a lower temperature optimum of 28°C to 37°C (Verwoerd & Huismans 1972; Van Dijk & Huismans 1980; Van Dijk & Huismans 1982). Transcription in the orbivirus *Bluetongue virus* (BTV) also differs from that of reoviruses in such a way that the different mRNA species are
not transcribed at a frequency proportional to their molecular weight (Van Dijk & Huismans 1988). Similar results have been reported for other orbiviruses (Huismans et al. 1979; Namiki et al. 1983). Furthermore, the relative frequency of transcription of the respective BTV genome segments remains the same throughout the infection cycle, another distinctive feature of BTV transcription (Huismans & Verwoerd 1973).

Both moving transcriptase and moving template models have been proposed (Yamakawa et al. 1982; Joklik 1983; Shatkin & Kozak 1983); the latter is generally accepted. This model states that complexes of the transcriptase enzymes are bound at specific sites in the inner capsid, necessitating movement of both product and template RNAs during transcription. The latter has been corroborated by numerous structural studies investigating the localisation of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase within viral particles (Coombs 1998; Dryden et al. 1998; Loudon & Roy 1991; Gouet et al. 1999), suggesting a fixed binding position for the transcriptase complex within the core on the icosahedral five-fold axes near the base of channels spanning the outer capsids. The function of these channels is not known, but it is believed possible that they are involved in exporting nascent RNA transcripts from the core (Bartlett et al. 1974; Prasad et al. 1988; Dryden et al. 1993). It is proposed that the entire length of each dsRNA gene segment moves past the fixed transcriptase and that the nascent mRNA is directed past the capping enzyme and out through the spikes on the core surface.

2.2.2 Assortment

Roner et al. (1990) concluded that their results from the infectious reovirus system focussed attention on the assortment process i.e. the formation of complexes that contain one of each of the 10 progeny genome segments and then mature into infectious virus particles, as the most critical during the reovirus replication cycle. Indeed, in contrast to other viruses such as influenza virus, where virus particles probably contain random 11-segment collections of the eight actual influenza genome segment species, so that roughly 1 in 25 particles contains at least one of each genome segment and is therefore infectious (Lamb & Choppin 1983; Enami et al. 1991), the ratio of virus particles to infectious units is essentially 1 (Spendlove et al. 1970; Larson et al. 1994).
As such, the assembly of genome segments in the reoviruses is an extraordinarily efficient and precise process.

Assembly of a reovirus particle requires specific signals for encapsidation and selective sorting of individual genome segments. Characterisation of functional deletion fragments of specific genome segments (DI RNAs) has proved useful for studies on viral genome encapsidation and replication (Levis et al. 1986; DePolo et al. 1987). The analysis of DI RNAs associated with Wound tumour virus, a plant virus member of the Reoviridae, provided an emerging view of the mechanism underlying packaging (Anzola et al. 1987). The minimal sequence information required for replication and packaging of a genome segment was found to be located within the terminal domains of a genome fragment. In addition, packaging of one pair of terminal structures excluded the subsequent packaging of a structure with identical termini. This exclusion mechanism implies the presence of two operational sorting signals in each segment: one signal specifies it as a viral and not a cellular RNA molecule, and the second that it is a particular RNA segment (Anzola et al. 1987). All the Reoviridae RNA segments have strictly conserved terminal sequences about 4 to 8 bp long, perhaps representing the first sorting signal. Additionally, the genome segments also appear to have a 6- to 9-nucleotide segment-specific inverted repeat immediately adjacent to the conserved terminal sequences (Anzola et al. 1987). This could represent the putative second sorting signal needed for segment recognition during encapsidation. The significance of both the 5' and 3' terminal regions has been demonstrated by Zou and Brown (1992). The smallest packaging-competent genomic deletion fragment was only 344 bp long (132-135 5' nucleotides and 183-185 3' nucleotides) and still contained all the necessary signals for encapsidation. They also predicted a panhandle hairpin structure in the non-coding region at the terminal consensus sequences, suggesting a role for RNA secondary structures in defining the packaging signals.

Recent studies looking to discover and more precisely characterise the nature of the molecular interactions involved in the assembly of reovirus genomes have utilised both the infectious reovirus RNA system described earlier and genome segment reassortment (Joklik 1998). Genome segment reassortment occurs when cells are infected with two species of reovirus particles e.g. particles of different serotypes, but not with different genera e.g. rotaviruses or orbiviruses. In cells infected with viruses belonging to any two of
the three reovirus serotypes, roughly 15%, but not more, of the progeny are reassortants, the genomes of which contain all possible combinations of parental genome segments in roughly equal proportions (Brown et al. 1983). However, no such reassortants were found using the infectious RNA system (Joklik & Roner 1995; Roner et al. 1995; Joklik & Roner 1996). It was then shown that two mutations in the S4 genome segment (G74 to A and G624 to A) function as acceptance signals (Roner et al. 1995). The presence of these signals appears essential for the acceptance of heterotypic genome segments into the genome, provided that the incoming genome segments possess appropriate recognition signals. The effect of the mutations was traced to the function of protein σ3, most likely through interaction with RNA.

Specific non-structural proteins with intrinsic ssRNA binding activity have been identified that may act as condensing agents to bring together the ssRNA templates for dsRNA synthesis in reoviruses (αNS and μNS; Antczak & Joklik 1992), rotaviruses (NSP2; Gombold et al. 1985; Kattoura et al. 1992) and orbiviruses (NS2; Thomas et al. 1990). Detection of protein-RNA complexes containing both ssRNA and dsRNA suggest that genome segment assortment into progeny genomes is linked to minus-strand synthesis (Antczak & Joklik 1992).

2.2.3 Replication

In the case of rotaviruses, the eleven dsRNAs have been shown to be synthesised in equimolar amounts within replicase particles, leading to virions containing equimolar concentrations of the genome segments (Patton 1990). Analysis of RNA products detected in replicase particles suggests that RNA replication is regulated such that synthesis of full-length dsRNAs proceeds from the smallest to the largest genome segment (Patton & Gallegos 1990). Replicase particles appear to undergo a continuous change in size during RNA replication due apparently to plus-strand RNA templates moving into the replicase particle during synthesis of dsRNA (Patton & Gallegos 1990). Rotavirus single-shelled particles are assembled by the sequential addition of VP2 and VP6 to pre-core replication intermediates consisting of VP1, VP3, VP9, NSP2 and NSP3 (Gallegos & Patton 1989).

With the report of the development of a groundbreaking in vitro template-dependent replicase assay for rotaviruses, considerable research has been
carried out to determine the cis-acting signals regulating replication of the viral genome (Chen et al. 1994b). This assay describes replicase activity associated with sub-viral particles derived from native virions or baculovirus co-expression of rotavirus genes, on native rotavirus mRNA templates or in vitro transcripts with bona fide 5' and 3' termini. Essentially, the combined data indicate that the core proteins VP1 (the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase) and VP2 (core scaffold) of rotaviruses constitute the minimal replicase particle in the in vitro replication system (Zeng et al. 1996; Patton et al. 1997). Rotavirus VP1 specifically binds to the 3' end of viral mRNA, but this interaction alone, although required (Chen & Patton 1998), is not sufficient to initiate minus-strand synthesis, requiring the presence of VP2 for replicase activity (Patton 1996). In addition, it was shown that the single-stranded nature of the 3' end of rotavirus mRNA is essential for efficient dsRNA synthesis (Chen & Patton 1998) and that the 3' terminal consensus 7 nucleotides of rotavirus mRNA is the minimal promoter of negative-strand RNA synthesis (Wentz et al. 1996). Recently, the open reading frame in rotavirus mRNA has also been shown to specifically promote synthesis of dsRNA (Patton et al. 1999).

2.2.4 Assembly

Another process in the life cycle of the Reoviridae playing a role in the development of a reverse genetics system is clearly assembly of the virion. Significant advances in orbivirus research have been made in recent years through the use of the baculovirus expression system. Both BTV virus-like particles (VLPs) and core-like particles (CLPs) (French & Roy 1990; French et al. 1990) and AHSV CLPs (Maree et al. 1998) have thus been synthesised through self-assembly of the individual components. In addition, protein-protein interaction studies on the components of the virion particle have yielded considerable insight into the intricate organisation and topography of the individual viral components (Le Blois et al. 1991; Loudon & Roy 1991; Loudon & Roy 1992). The structure of the core particle of BTV has recently been determined by X-ray crystallography at a resolution approaching 3.5Å (Grimes et al. 1998). This transcriptionally active compartment, 700Å in diameter, represents the largest molecular structure determined in such detail.
3. CONCLUSIONS AND AIMS

Thus, many avenues have been explored in the development of reverse genetic systems for DNA and RNA viruses, both segmented and non-segmented. Despite numerous successes in all categories, as enlarged upon above, many viruses still resist breakthroughs, whether due to complexity or simply to genomic size. In the Reoviridae considerable progress has been made to the elucidation of the mechanisms of viral transcription and replication. However, the development of a truly efficient reverse genetic system for these complex viruses still eludes researchers. The benefits that such a system would embody are clear, as has been touched upon above. Not least, these include elucidation of structure-function relationships of viral components and the possibility of constructing highly efficient and safe vaccine strains for clinically and economically very important pathogens.

In order to enable the development of reverse genetics systems for the segmented dsRNA viruses, clones of the entire viral genome are required. In the case of African horse sickness virus (AHSV), no such library of all the genome segments of a single serotype exists. Specifically, no full-length cDNA clone of the largest genome segment, encoding VP1, of any AHSV serotype has been reported to date. In addition, very little is currently known about the molecular details of the transcription and replication mechanisms of the orbiviruses. These processes are central to the life cycle of the virus and determination of the nature of VP1, the putative viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, is thus crucial to an understanding of the molecular biology of the virus and the development of a reverse genetics system. Accordingly, the following aims were envisaged for this study:

- Development of an efficient technique to enable the cloning of complete genomes of the orbiviruses, and cloning of the AHSV VP1 gene.
- Characterisation of the AHSV VP1 gene by sequence determination and analysis.
- Expression and analysis of VP1 as the putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of AHSV.
CHAPTER 2

AHSV cDNA SYNTHESIS AND CLONING

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability to clone isolated genes represents an extremely powerful, yet simple technology to allow thorough molecular investigation of the encoded proteins. In the case of a dsRNA genome, such as that of AHSV, it is necessary that cDNA clones of the genes encoding the proteins in question be constructed. A wide array of methods has been developed for this purpose, but the synthesis and cloning of full-length cDNA of the larger genome segments of AHSV, such as the 4 kb genome segment 1, which encodes VP1, has proved difficult.

Historically, the polyadenylation strategy of Cashdollar et al. (1982) has served as the foundation for much of the cloning of Reoviridae genes carried out to date, including those of reoviruses (Cashdollar et al. 1984), rotaviruses (Both et al. 1982) and orbiviruses (Purdy et al. 1984; Fukusho et al. 1989; Yamakawa et al. 1999a). This approach involves polyadenylation of genomic dsRNA and cDNA synthesis on denatured dsRNA with oligo(dT) primers, followed by either blunt-ended cloning into a suitable vector or dC-tailing and cloning into dG-tailed Pst I-cut pBR322. However, this strategy has important limitations. Firstly, it biases the cloning towards smaller genome segments or truncated cDNAs (unpublished observations). Cashdollar et al. (1984) surmounted this problem by fractionation of the cDNA by alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis to optimize the cloning of complete gene copies. Secondly, blunt-ended ligation is notoriously inefficient, whereas the addition of homopolymeric G/C tails has been shown to inhibit the expression of cloned genes (Galili et al. 1986). Nel and Huismans (1991) introduced an additional PCR amplification step, with primers specific for the sequenced termini of the cloned gene, in order to remove the homopolymer tails. A recently published modification of the polyadenylation method utilises an adaptor oligo(dT) primer for cDNA synthesis (Shapouri et al. 1995). Restriction enzyme sequences were incorporated at the 5' end of the oligo(dT) primer to
simplify cloning of the synthesised cDNA. However, the largest clone obtained using this approach represented a truncated gene of 1505 bp.

PCR amplification of cDNA increases the efficacy of these cloning methods, but requires knowledge of the terminal flanking sequences of the gene of interest. Kowalik et al. (1990) and Cooke et al. (1991) utilised segment termini-specific primers (based on the sequence conservation of termini within the serogroups of the Reoviridae) to selectively synthesise and amplify specific full-length cDNA of the dsRNA genes. However, researchers amplifying cDNAs of genome segments larger than 3 kb resorted to an overlapping RT-PCR approach, utilising primers specific for sequences internal in the gene (Hwang & Li 1993; Hwang et al. 1994; Huang et al. 1995).

In order to overcome a lack of terminal sequence information, Lambden et al. (1992) devised a novel strategy for the cloning of non-cultivatable rotavirus through single primer amplification: a universal oligonucleotide ligated to genomic dsRNA serves as template for cDNA synthesis and amplification with a single complementary primer. However, this approach has been reported to only yield full-length clones of smaller dsRNA segments (Lambden et al. 1992; Bigot et al. 1995). Bigot et al. (1995) used internal segment primers for genes larger than 1.7 kb, thereby obtaining overlapping clones of the 5’ and 3’ ends of the gene.

In this chapter, the cloning of the 4 kb AHSV genome segment 1 using a novel strategy derived from the above methods is described. The major advantage of this approach is that clones with convenient flanking restriction enzyme sites can be obtained, without any prior sequence information.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Cells and viruses: A South African isolate of AHSV serotype 1 (AHSV-1), was propagated by limited passaging in suckling mice and thereafter propagated firstly in Vero cells and then in CER (chicken embryo rabbit) cells using modified Eagles’ medium supplemented with 5% bovine serum.

2.2 Isolation and purification of viral dsRNA: Genomic AHSV dsRNA was isolated from infected cells and purified by the SDS-phenol extraction method essentially as described by Sakamoto et al. (1994). Monolayers of CER cells infected with AHSV-1 at a
multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 plaque forming units (pfu)/cell were harvested at 48 h post infection by low speed centrifugation. Cells from 24 Roux flasks were resuspended in 80ml 2mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) pH9.0. Sodium acetate (NaAc) pH5.0 and ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA) were added to final concentrations of 10mM each and then sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was added to a final concentration of 1% (m/v). The pH of the solution was adjusted to 5.0 with glacial acetic acid before extracting the solution with an equal volume of phenol at 60°C (15 min 60°C, 15 min on ice, 15 min centrifugation at 10000g). Phenol residues were removed with two chloroform extractions and the RNA precipitated by the addition of 0.1M NaCl and two volumes ethanol. The precipitate was dissolved in 0.01M STE (10mM NaCl, 10mM Tris-HCl pH7.6, 1mM EDTA) and the ssRNA was removed by salt precipitation in 2M LiCl. The supernatant was diluted with 0.01M STE to 0.2M LiCl and the dsRNA precipitated with two volumes ethanol.

2.3 Oligonucleotide ligation: Three oligonucleotides, with sequences as follows, were synthesised. The molecular weights given are as supplied by the supplier (Syngene or Boehringer Mannheim).

Oligo-1: 5'GGATCCGGGAATTCGG-3' (molecular weight = 5413g/mol)
Oligo-2: 5'CAGGATTCGGGAATTC-3' (molecular weight = 5115g/mol)
Oligo-3: 5'GGATCCGGGAATTCGG(A)17-3' (molecular weight = 10933 g/mol)

Oligo-1 and -3 were 5’-phosphorylated to allow ligation to the 3’ ends of dsRNA genome segments using T4 RNA ligase as described by Lambden et al. (1992), and 3’-terminally linked to an amino group to prevent concatenation of oligonucleotides during ligation. 2µg freeze-dried oligonucleotide was incubated with approximately 10 - 50µg AHSV-1 dsRNA in 60mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) pH 8.0, 18mM MgCl2, 1mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1mM ATP, 0.6µg bovine serum albumin (BSA), 1/10 volume concentrated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and 10 units T4 RNA ligase for 16 h at 4°C. Unligated oligonucleotides were removed by spin column chromatography with Promega Sephacryl® S400 matrix.

2.4 Determination of efficiency of oligonucleotide ligation: 250ng samples of AHSV-1 dsRNA ligated to ³²P-labelled oligo-1, and an equivalent amount of unligated dsRNA as a ligation-negative control, were separated by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The RNA was transferred to Nylon membrane by electrobietting with a Biorad mini trans-blot cell at 50V for 2 h in 1X TAE (0.04M Tris-acetate, 1mM EDTA pH8.5). The membrane was cut into strips corresponding to the lanes on the agarose gel. Two ten-fold dilution series of the unlabelled oligo-1, from 1µg to 1fg and from 900ng to 9fg, were also slot-blotted onto Nylon membrane strips as standard controls. The membrane strips were hybridised overnight to complementary ³²P-labelled oligo-2 in hybridisation
buffer (5X Denhardt’s reagent, 5X SSC (750mM NaCl, 75mM trisodium citrate, pH7.0), 0.1% SDS, 50% formamide). One membrane strip blotted with ligated dsRNA was mock hybridised to serve as the hybridisation-negative control. All the membranes were washed in 1X SSC, 0.1% SDS for 15 min at varying temperatures. Membranes blotted with ligated dsRNA were washed at 37°C, 42°C, 50°C, 60°C or 72°C, whereas the control membranes (negative and standard controls) were all washed at 37°C. Following autoradiography, the profiles were analyzed by scanning densitometry using the Roche Lumiljmagertm and Lumianalysttm version 2.1 software.

2.5 dsRNA size fractionation and purification: Oligonucleotide-ligated dsRNA was enriched for the larger genome segments by centrifugation on a 5mI linear density gradient of 5-40% sucrose in 1xTE buffer (10mM Tris pH 7.4, 1mM EDTA pH 8.0). Centrifugation was carried out for 16 h at 48 000 rpm in a Beckman SW50.1 rotor at 4°C. Gradients were fractionated using a gradient tube fractionator (Hoefer Scientific Instruments) and collecting 8-10 drops per fraction. Following agarose gel electrophoretic analysis, fractions containing predominantly (>80%) genome segments 1-3 were pooled, diluted in an equal volume of water and ethanol precipitated.

2.6 cDNA synthesis: Oligonucleotide-ligated dsRNA was denatured in 20mM methylmercuric hydroxide (MMOH) for 10 min at room temperature. cDNA synthesis was carried out at 42°C for 1 h using 1μg oligo(dT)18 (Boehringer Mannheim) as primer and 18 units Avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase (Promega) in the presence of 50mM Tris-HCl pH8.3, 10mM MgCl2, 70mM KCl, 3mM β-mercaptoethanol, 100 units human placental ribonuclease inhibitor (Amersham), 0.5mM each dNTP and 20μCi α32P-dCTP (>400Ci/mmol, Amersham) in a final volume of 60μl. Thereafter the reaction was diluted to a final volume of 100μl with 1mM Tris-HCl pH8.0 and the cDNA was separated from unincorporated nucleotides by Sephadex G-100 column chromatography in 1mM Tris pH8.0. α32P-dCTP incorporation was monitored by Cerenkov counting. The cDNA fractions in the leading peak were pooled and lyophilised.

2.7 Size separation and purification of cDNA: Lyophilised cDNA was resuspended in a suitable volume of water, with the addition of an equal volume of 10X alkaline buffer (0.3M NaOH, 20mM EDTA) to hydrolyse the RNA. The cDNA samples were then diluted, and bromophenol blue in 40% sucrose was added, to a final concentration of 2.5X alkaline buffer. Separation was effected by vertical 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in 1X alkaline buffer at 100mA for 4 h (with one buffer change), followed by autoradiography of the wet agarose gel wrapped in Gladwrap. Gel slices containing cDNA of individual (or multiple moderately separated) genome segments were excised from the gel. An equal volume of
30mM HCl, 10mM Tris pH 8.0 was added to the gel slices prior to recovery of the cDNA by Geneclean™ II kit methodology. Alternatively, the cDNA was fractionated by centrifugation on a linear density gradient of 5-40% sucrose in 1X alkaline buffer, as described before. Fractions were analysed by Cerenkov counting and three pools of fractions in the leading peak were collected. Pool samples were analysed by 1.5% vertical alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis and autoradiography to determine the segment representation of each pool. cDNA was recovered by NENsorb (NEN) column chromatography.

2.8 Annealing of cDNA: Recovered cDNA was allowed to anneal in 50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100mM NaCl, 10mM MgCl₂, 1mM DTT buffer by heating to 80°C for 5 min, incubating at 65°C for 16 h and finally cooling to 30°C over 3 h. Partial duplexes were filled in using Klenow as described by Sambrook et al. (1989).

2.9 G/C-tailed cloning of cDNA: Double-stranded cDNA samples were cleaned by NENsorb (NEN) column chromatography and lyophilisation prior to dC-tailing with 15 units terminal deoxynucleotide transferase (Gibco BRL) in 100mM potassium cacodylate pH 7.2, 2mM CoCl₂ and 0.2mM DTT buffer in the presence of 100μM dCTP for 20 min at 37°C. Following repurification by NENsorb (NEN) column chromatography and lyophilisation, cDNA was annealed to 200ng dG-tailed Pst I-cut pBR322 (Gibco BRL, stock supplied by Prof. H Huismans, University of Pretoria) in 10mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA for 5 min at 80°C followed by 1 h each at 65°C, 56°C, 42°C and room temperature. Annealed DNA was transformed into competent HB101 cells.

2.10 PCR amplification of cDNA: Oligo-2 was used as single primer for PCR amplification of the cDNA. 1/100 of double-stranded cDNA samples were incubated in a reaction mixture containing 10mM Tris pH8.8, 50mM KCl, 1.5mM MgCl₂ 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.2mM each dNTP, 500ng primer-2 and 2.5 units DyNAZyme™ II (Finnzymes Oy). Optimization of PCR conditions allowed amplification of distinct cDNA species representing full-length 3 to 4 kb AHSV genome segments. This entailed 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds (120 seconds on the first cycle), annealing at 67°C for 30 seconds and extension at 72°C for 270 seconds (extended to 420 seconds on the final cycle). Amplified material was either first purified from agarose gels by Geneclean™ II methodology or cloned directly.

2.11 Cloning of cDNA: PCR-amplified cDNA was TA cloned into the pMOSBlue T-vector (Amersham Life Science) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. This system exploits the template-independent preferential addition of a single 3' A residue to
dsDNA by many thermostable polymerases, enabling ligation of the PCR product to compatible single 3' T overhangs at the insertion site in pMOSBlue.

2.12 Northern blotting of dsRNA: AHSV-1 dsRNA genome segments were separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) using the buffer system described by Loening (1967). Preparative 6% acrylamide, 0.16% bisacrylamide gels were prepared by polymerization in Loening buffer (40mM Tris-HCl pH7.8, 20mM NaAc, 2mM EDTA) containing 0.08% ammonium peroxodisulfate (m/v) and 0.0008% N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethlenediamine (TEMED) (v/v) and electrophoresis was carried out at 80V for 22 h. After staining in ethidium bromide, the genome segments were visualised by UV fluorescence and their positions blueprinted. The gel was soaked in 0.1N NaOH for 30 min to denature the dsRNA and then washed in 0.5X TAE. The RNA was transferred to Hybond N (Amersham) nylon membrane by electroblotting with a Biorad trans-blot cell in 0.5X TAE for 3 h at 0.8A and fixed to the membrane by UV exposure. The blueprint was used to pinpoint the positions of the genome segments on the membrane. Strips cut from the membrane were then used for hybridisation.

2.13 Sequencing of plasmid DNA: DNA sequencing was carried out by the Sanger et al. (1977) dideoxynucleotide chain termination method, using the Sequenase™ Version 2.0 kit (USB) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.14 Labelling of probes: Radioactive labelling of plasmid DNA was carried out using the Promega nick translation system according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nicks introduced in DNA by DNase I are translated by a combination of the exonuclease and polymerase functions of DNA polymerase I, incorporating radioactively labelled nucleotides. Oligonucleotides were radiolabelled by phosphorylation with T4 polynucleotide kinase, as described by Sambrook et al. (1989).

2.15 Geneclean™ purification of DNA: DNA fragments were isolated and purified from agarose gels by binding to glassmilk using Geneclean™ II kit (Bio101) methodology.

2.16 In vitro translation: In vitro synthesised RNA was translated with the Promega rabbit reticulocyte lysate or wheat germ extract systems, wherein the lysate or extract contains the cellular components necessary for protein synthesis, according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.17 Molecular biological manipulation of DNA: All further standard molecular biological manipulations of DNA were carried out as described by Sambrook et al. (1989).
3. RESULTS

Prior to and at the commencement of this study, the protocols described by Lambden et al. (1992) for oligonucleotide ligation and cDNA synthesis were imitated in our laboratory in pursuit of clones of the large genome segments of AHSV, specifically genome segments 1 and 2. However, this approach only yielded clones of the smaller genome segments, including full-length or partial clones of genome segments 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 of AHSV-5 (Viljoen & Cloete, personal communication). Accordingly, a more thorough investigation of this approach was initiated to confirm its relevance to our application.

3.1 Efficiency of oligonucleotide ligation to AHSV dsRNA

An experiment to investigate the efficiency of oligonucleotide ligation was performed by hybridisation of an oligo-2 probe to oligo-1 ligated dsRNA and oligo-1 standard dilutions, as described in Materials and Methods. The resultant autoradiograph and the densitometrically-scanned profiles of the pertinent membranes are shown in Figure 2.1. The ligation-negative control (lane 4) represents hybridised but unligated dsRNA (= background), whereas the hybridisation-negative control (lane 10) represents label incorporated by ligation of radiolabelled oligo-1. Representative background profiles were subtracted from each lane to minimize background noise. Thus, the background-corrected intensity of the bands in the ligated dsRNA samples following hybridisation, subtracted by the intensity of the corresponding band in the hybridisation-negative control, reflects the amount of oligo-1 ligated to dsRNA. Lane width was adjusted to the maximum width of the slots and kept constant for all lanes during densitometric analysis, to include all blotted target and minimize effects of uneven distribution. Band width was determined by peaks in the profiles. An equilibration curve was prepared by densitometric analysis of bands 5, 6 and 7 in lane 2, corresponding to radiolabelled oligo-2 hybridising to 900pg, 90pg and 9pg oligo-1 respectively. Higher concentrations of the oligo-1 dilution series were excluded from the equilibration curve due to excessive overspill. The background-corrected intensities of each band on the autoradiograph, determined
as a function of the equilibration curve and given as pg oligo-1, are shown in Table 2.1. Calculations were done as follows:
Considering that 250ng AHSV dsRNA, with a genome size of 19528 bp, was blotted per lane, the number of moles of dsRNA per lane = 250 x 10^-9 g / (19528 x 649 g/mol) = 1.97 x 10^-14 moles
Therefore, there are 1.97 x 10^-14 x 2 moles of 3' ends for each AHSV genome segment represented in every lane.
The number of moles of oligo-1 represented in each band (as determined by densitometric profiling) was divided by the calculated number of moles of AHSV genomic 3' ends in that specific band.
Using the sum of band intensities in every lane to make allowances for variation in dsRNA transfer efficiency and varying levels of background noise, the measure of ligation efficiency in lane 3 was calculated as follows:
[(1759.8 - 490.36) x 10^-12 g / 5413 g/mol] / (1.97 x 10^-14 mol dsRI'JA x 20 3' ends) = 0.6
Excluding lane 3 band 5, which is clearly an outlier, this value varies to a minimum of approximately 0.45 (for lane 3 band 1) with the calculations based on individual bands.

Figure 2.1 Autoradiograph (A) and densitometric profiles (B) following hybridisation of an oligo-2 probe to Southern dot blotted oligo-1 standard dilutions (lanes 1 and 2) and electrophoretically separated and Northern blotted oligo-1 ligated dsRNA (lanes 3 to 10). Densitometrically detected bands are indicated on the left for lanes 1 and 2 and on the right for lanes 3 to 10. Standard 10 times dilutions of oligo-1 range from 1μg (band 1) to 10pg (band 6) in lane 1 and from 900ng (band 2) to 9pg (band 7) in lane 2. The bands in lanes 3 to 10 represent AHSV-1 genome segments 1 (band 1), 2 and 3 (band 2), 4 to 6 (band 3), 7 to 9 (band 4) and 10 (band 5). Lane 4 represents the ligation-negative control and lane 10 the hybridization-negative control. The respective membranes were washed at 37°C (lanes 1 to 4 and 10), 45°C (lane 5), 52°C (lane 6), 60°C (lanes 7 and 8) and 72°C (lane 9).
Table 2.1 Background-corrected densitometric intensities, given as pg oligo-1 relative to lane 2 band 5, of pertinent bands detected on the autoradiograph shown in Figure 2.1.
Figure 2.1 (Legend footnoted in text)

Table 2.1 (Legend footnoted in text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Lane 1</th>
<th>Lane 2</th>
<th>Lane 3</th>
<th>Lane 5</th>
<th>Lane 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>956.77</td>
<td>118.14</td>
<td>126.46</td>
<td>21.808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>284.95</td>
<td>1835.9</td>
<td>285.39</td>
<td>345.71</td>
<td>81.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1361.6</td>
<td>2807.2</td>
<td>623.92</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>249.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1113.2</td>
<td>1932.9</td>
<td>595.26</td>
<td>468.34</td>
<td>110.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>180.24</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>137.11</td>
<td>43.373</td>
<td>26.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.037</td>
<td>02.156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.0525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1759.8</td>
<td>1633.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on this experiment, it appeared that at least 45% of the dsRNA was ligated with oligo-1 after overnight incubation. Thereafter, autoradiographical analysis of \(^{32}\)P-labelled cDNA synthesised from ligated dsRNA by the method described by Lambden et al. (1992) and separated by alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis revealed ill-defined smears (results not shown). In contrast, previous work (by the author (Vreede 1994) and others) in the laboratory of Prof. H Huismans at the University of Pretoria using the oligo(dT)-primed strategy for orbivirus cDNA synthesis described by Huismans and Cloete (1987), yielded defined bands corresponding in profile to the AHSV genomic segments.

3.2 Poly(dA)-oligonucleotide ligation strategy for cloning of AHSV genome segments

A hybrid approach utilising facets of the published protocols of Lambden et al. (1992) and Huismans and Cloete (1987) was designed and investigated. A schematic diagram of this approach is shown in Figure 2.2

3.2.1 Poly(dA)-oligonucleotide ligation

An oligonucleotide (oligo-3) comprising convenient restriction enzyme sequences and modified by the inclusion of a poly(dA) tail to facilitate oligo(dT) priming for cDNA synthesis was synthesised and ligated to purified AHSV-1 dsRNA, as described. The \(^{32}\)P-labelled oligo-3 ligated dsRNA was purified and enriched for larger genome segments by sucrose gradient centrifugation. Gradient fraction samples analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis are shown in Figure 2.3. Fractions 4 to 7 were pooled, yielding oligonucleotide-ligated dsRNA enriched for genome segments 1, 2 and 3, as shown.

3.2.2 cDNA synthesis

Following precipitation, this purified and enriched oligo-3 ligated dsRNA served as template for the synthesis of cDNA with an oligo(dT) primer, using the protocols described by Huismans and Cloete (1987). cDNA was size fractionated by either vertical alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis or by alkaline sucrose gradient centrifugation. An autoradiograph of a cDNA sample separated by
Figure 2.2 Schematic representation of the strategy for synthesis and amplification of full-length cDNA of AHSV dsRNA.
Figure 2.3 Autoradiographs of agarose gel electrophoretic analyses of oligonucleotide-ligated dsRNA fractions, separated by sucrose gradient centrifugation (left), and enriched for large genome segments (right) by combining the fractions shown in lanes 4 to 7. The AHSV genome segments are labelled (S).
alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis is shown in Figure 2.4. cDNA of genome segments 1, 2 and 3 was isolated and purified.

3.2.3 cDNA amplification and cloning

Purified cDNA was allowed to anneal and then filled in with Klenow enzyme. In separate experiments with similarly prepared cDNA fractions of smaller genome segments (segments 7 – 9) of AHSV-1 and AHSV-5, attempts at direct cloning by C-tailing and ligating into dG-tailed Pst I-cut pBR322 yielded various cloned fragments of approximately 0.4 to 1.6 kb, with single or no terminal oligo-3 specific restriction enzyme sites (results not shown). Subsequently, samples of the larger genome segment cDNA fractions were therefore subjected to PCR amplification with the single complementary primer, oligo-2. In the initial exploratory experiments, purified cDNA fractions of AHSV-1 genome segment 2 and segments 4 to 6 were used as templates for amplification, yielding cDNA of genome segments 1 (very faintly), 2 and 3, as shown in Figure 2.5, or segments 4, 5 and 6 (results not shown) respectively. The individual genome segment 1 cDNA amplicon was isolated and purified by Geneclean™ methodology prior to cloning into pMOSBlue (Amersham).

3.2.4 Clone analysis

Putative recombinants were analyzed by restriction enzyme digestions and agarose gel electrophoresis and their identity and status as full-length AHSV-1 genome segment 1 clones were confirmed by Northern blot hybridisation (results not shown) and sequencing of the termini (Figure 2.6 and Figure 2.7). The conserved terminal hexanucleotides of AHSV genome segments (Mizukoshi et al. 1993) were identified abutting directly onto oligo-2 specific restriction enzyme sequences. One clone containing cDNA representing only the 5' 970 bp of the AHSV-1 genome segment 1 was identified. Despite the non-directional cloning approach, it was observed that all clones of the AHSV-1 VP1 gene in pMOSBlue were found to be in the same orientation, and not suitable for in vitro transcription from the T7 RNA polymerase promoter. As no other promoter was available for in vitro transcription, the genes from four clones were subcloned into pBS (Stratagene), a vector possessing T7 and T3 RNA polymerase promoters flanking the multiple cloning site, using Xma I. Once again, despite the non-directionality of the cloning strategy, all subclones were found to be in
Figure 2.4 An autoradiograph of an alkaline agarose gel electrophoretic analysis of oligo(dT)-primed AHSV-1 specific cDNA synthesized on poly(dA)-oligonucleotide ligated dsRNA enriched for genome segments 1 to 3. The AHSV genome segments are labelled on the left.
Figure 2.5 Electrophoretic analysis of the PCR amplification products of AHHSV segments 2 and 3 cDNA (lane 2). The AHHSV genomic dsRNA segments (lane 1) are labelled on the left.
Figure 2.6 Sequences of the pMOSBlue vector and AHSV gene clone junction site confirming the full-length status of the cloned gene and demonstrating the incorporation of terminal flanking restriction enzyme sequences. The sequence on the left (GTTAT...) represents the 5' consensus sequence of AHSV gene segments and that on the right (GTAAGT...) the 3' consensus sequence.
Figure 2.7 Partial nucleotide sequence of AHSV-1 genome segment 1. Numbering of the nucleotides is based on homology with the sequence of the AHSV-9 genome segment 1, discussed in chapter 3.
the same orientation. T7 RNA polymerase-driven transcripts of \( Sph \) I-linearised recombinant pBS plasmids were translated with the Promega rabbit reticulocyte lysate and wheat germ extract systems. SDS-PAGE analysis of the translation products revealed no translation products from the AHSV-1 VP1 genes using the rabbit reticulocyte lysate expression system. However, SDS-PAGE analysis of translation products obtained with wheat germ extract established the presence of a protein of the expected size (150kDa) from one clone, confirming the presence of an intact open reading frame, whereas transcripts of at least two further clones yielded products of approximately 28kDa (Figure 2.8).

4. DISCUSSION

As discussed earlier, several sequence-independent methods for the cloning of dsRNA genes have been investigated, but none have proved truly efficient at cloning of the 3 to 4 kb genome segments of the Reoviridae. Accordingly, a novel approach to clone the 4 kb AHSV VP1 gene was sought. Hence, an amalgamation of the original polyadenylation method of Cashdollar et al. (1982), as described by Huismans and Cloete (1987), for the cloning of orbivirus genes, with the single primer amplification approach of Lambden et al. (1992), was investigated. This was anticipated to combine the optimised effectiveness and functionality of the former with the advantage of the sequence independence and convenience of the latter.

The resultant poly(dA)-oligonucleotide ligation method described here is a significant technological advance in routinely obtaining full-length clones of large dsRNA genes. The novel modification presented in this report involved the terminal ligation of an oligonucleotide with a 3' poly(dA) tail to the dsRNA genome segments with T4 RNA ligase as template for oligo(dT)-primed cDNA synthesis. This sequence-independent procedure is rapid and yields full-length cDNA with convenient terminal restriction enzyme sites.

During the course of the development of this technique, an investigation to determine the efficiency of ligation of oligodeoxyribonucleotides to dsRNA with T4 RNA ligase was carried out. This enzyme was first identified for its ability to catalyze the circularisation of ssRNA chains in the presence of ATP (Silber et al. 1972), through the formation of a phosphodiester bond between a
Figure 2.8 Autoradiograph of SDS-PAGE separated *in vitro* translations of AHSV VP1 gene clones. Lane 1 represents $^{14}$C-labelled molecular weight marker (labelled on left), lanes 2, 3 and 4 *in vitro* translations of AHSV-1 VP1 gene clones obtained by the poly(dA)-oligonucleotide ligation strategy, and lane 5 *in vitro* translation of an AHSV-9 VP1 gene clone as control (see chapters 3 and 4).
5′-phosphoryl terminated donor and a 3′-hydroxyl terminated acceptor. The enzyme was subsequently also described to ligate oligodeoxyribonucleotides to RNA or DNA acceptors (Snopek et al. 1976). More recently, Tessier et al. (1986), reinvestigating the ligation of oligodeoxyribonucleotides, pointed out that the yield of ligation decreased from 67% to 40% when the length of the acceptor oligonucleotide increased from 25 to 40 nucleotides. In our hands, analysis of ligation of oligodeoxyribonucleotide to dsRNA indicated that at least 45% of the dsRNA 3′ termini were ligated, closely matching the reported figures. However, it should be noted that the analytical approach utilised, namely hybridisation and densitometry of autoradiographed blots, was not necessarily accurately quantitative. This is reflected by a lack of linearity in the signals associated with hybridisation to the dilution series of oligo-1, particularly at higher concentrations. On the other hand, the proportional intensities of bands representing one (segment 1 and segment 10), two (segments 2/3) or three (segments 4/5/6 and segments 7/8/9) genome segments lends validity to the approach.

Utilising the described conditions, excellent yields of full-length cDNA of AHSV genome segments 1, 2 and 3 were achieved through reverse transcription of oligo-3 ligated dsRNA. The quality of cDNA obtained, as adjudged by electrophoresis on alkaline agarose gels, was at least as good as that described by Cashdollar et al. (1982) with publication of the original polyadenylation method and that previously obtained by this author using the latter method for synthesis of AHSV genome segment 2 cDNA (Vreede 1994). The former represents the only group thus far to have directly cloned full-length 4 kb Reoviridae cDNA successfully. In the course of this study, attempts at direct cloning by G/C-tailing of poly(dA)-oligonucleotide ligation-generated cDNA fractions of genome segments 7 to 9, using severely dated stocks of dG-tailed Pst I-cut pBR322, yielded only incomplete segments lacking terminal oligo-3 specific sequences. These clones are probably derived from the slight smearing observed on autoradiographs of electrophoretically separated cDNA. Conservatively, fractions of larger segments were not subjected to direct cloning attempts. It may be postulated that this approach may have proved successful considering the limited presence of incomplete genomic segment cDNA fragments in this region of the alkaline agarose gel.
Nonetheless, PCR amplification of the cDNA using a single complementary primer yielded full-length AHSV genome segment size-specific amplicons, including the largest genome segments, confirming the efficiency of the approach. An intact full-length clone of the 4 kb AHSV-1 VP1 gene was obtained following PCR amplification, as adjudged by the presence of conserved 5’ and 3’ terminal sequences and in vitro translation of a 150kDa protein. This represented the first full-length clone of AHSV VP1 obtained to date. Effectively, approximately 200ng total dsRNA was utilised as source material for this cloning. This is proportionally similar to the quantity of material reportedly used by Lambden et al. (1992) for cloning the 728 bp rotavirus gene 10 by single primer amplification.

At least two other full-length clones of the AHSV-1 VP1 gene which were obtained in this study following amplification of segment 2-specific cDNA yielded partial proteins on translation, implying the presence of chain terminating mutations in the cloned genes. Taking the renowned lack of fidelity of DNA polymerases used in the PCR into account (Eckert & Kunkel 1991), combined with the 4 kb length of the VP1 gene, it can be postulated that these mutations were most likely introduced during PCR amplification. The more appropriate use of a high fidelity DNA polymerase with 3’ – 5’ exonuclease-dependent proofreading activity (Cline et al. 1996) for PCR amplification may have reduced the incidence of mutant clones.

Clones of a number of other genome segments of AHSV, both full-length and partial, were also obtained using this method during this study. Most notably, in terms of its large size, this included an intact full-length clone of the AHSV-5 VP2 gene.

The protocol described here requires careful attention to detail and maintenance of fresh stocks of reagents, but it has nonetheless proved repeatable in different researchers’ hands. Indeed, subsequent to the present study, this protocol (with some minor modifications for rationalization) has been used routinely for the cloning of complete genomes of a number of segmented dsRNA viruses, including AHSV, Equine encephalosis virus (EEV) and rotavirus, utilising minimal quantities of dsRNA as starting material (Potgieter, personal communication). pGEM-T (Promega), as opposed to pMOSBlue (Amersham), was found to be the vector of choice for cloning of the PCR-amplified cDNA, apparently improving yields of full-length clones. Furthermore, by manipulating
the sequence of the oligonucleotides used for ligation, cDNA produced can be cloned into any suitable vector with the appropriate restriction enzyme.

Considering the above discussion, it is clear that the full-length AHSV-1 VP1 gene clone obtained may also contain undetected base substitutions and missense mutations. With this in mind, and with the concomitant availability of an AHSV VP1 gene clone obtained without PCR amplification (described in the following chapter), it was considered prudent to continue the analysis of the AHSV VP1 gene and gene product with this cDNA clone of AHSV-9 genome segment 1.
CHAPTER 3

SEQUENCING OF GENOME SEGMENT 1 OF AHSV-9

1. INTRODUCTION

Virally-encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerases which mediate the replication of the viral genome are a common feature to all RNA viruses, with the exception of retroviruses. Despite the rapid mutational change that is typical of RNA viruses, enzymes mediating the replication and expression of virus genomes contain functional sequence motifs that appear as most conserved. Kamer and Argos (1984) identified several similar motifs between the known PV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and the putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of several other positive-strand RNA viruses of plant, animal and bacterial origin. Subsequent analyses have extended the range of viruses and identified further conserved motifs (Habili & Symons 1989; Poch et al. 1989; Bruenn 1991; Koonin 1991; Koonin & Dolja 1993). Three such conserved sequence motifs show unequivocal conservation, containing a few absolutely conserved amino acids between the positive-strand, negative-strand and dsRNA viruses. These motifs have been suggested to form the catalytic centre of this class of polymerases (Poch et al. 1989; Bruenn 1991; Koonin 1991), defining a "signature" by which putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerases can be identified.

In the case of the Reoviridae, two processes requiring polymerase activity can be distinguished, namely transcription of dsRNA genome segments into plus-strands and replication of plus-strands to form progeny dsRNA genome segments. As already discussed in chapter 1, considerable advances have been made in unraveling the molecular details of the transcription and replication mechanisms of both rotaviruses and reoviruses, but progress with orbiviruses has been somewhat slower. These processes are central to the viral life cycle and determination of the nature of the RNA polymerase is thus crucial to an understanding of the molecular biology of the virus.
RNA-dependent RNA polymerase sequence motifs have been identified in the deduced amino acid sequences of λ3 of reovirus (Morozov 1989) and VP1 of rotavirus (Cohen et al. 1989a). Similarly, the VP1 amino acid sequence predicted from the genome segment 1 gene sequence of BTV has been found to include the proposed characteristic signature of RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (Koonin et al. 1989).

VP1, VP4 and VP6 of orbiviruses are minor proteins closely associated with the viral genome and encapsidated by the major proteins VP3 and VP7 to form the core particle. The core particles of AHSV, BTV and Epizootic haemorrhagic disease virus (EHDV) have been shown to possess transcriptase activity (Verwoerd & Huismans 1972; Van Dijk & Huismans 1982). VP3 is believed to form the scaffold of the core particle (Roy 1996). VP4 within BTV-derived cores has been demonstrated to covalently bind GTP, advocating VP4 as the candidate guanylyl transferase of the virus (Le Blois et al. 1992), whereas sequence analyses of VP6 of AHSV (Turnbull et al. 1996) and BTV (Roy 1992) have revealed motifs common to several helicases.

In the case of VP1 of AHSV, genome segment 1 represents the only genome segment that has not been cloned or characterized by sequencing (Roy et al. 1994). This chapter describes the cloning, without PCR amplification, and sequencing of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene, and reports the similarities of the predicted VP1 amino acid sequence to other viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Cloning and construction of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene: Partial clones of the VP1 gene of AHSV-9, prepared by the author (Vreede 1994) and GB Napier, were available from the laboratory of Prof. H Huismans at the Department of Genetics of the University of Pretoria. Briefly, libraries of AHSV-9 specific clones were generated by standard shotgun cloning techniques using homopolymer tails as previously described (Bremer et al. 1990). The method entails the addition of poly(A) tails to the genomic RNA (with or without prior denaturation), cDNA synthesis using oligo(dT) primers and subsequent dCTailing of the cDNA to permit cloning into dG-tailed Pst I-cut pBR322. Genome segment 1-specific clones were identified by Northern blotting and characterized by terminal sequencing and restriction enzyme analysis. Although no full-length segment 1 clones
were identified, as determined by the presence of the terminal consensus sequences, two overlapping clones of 3.2 kb and 3.4 kb, together representing the entire gene, were identified. A unique \textit{Xho I} site within the overlap was exploited to construct a full-length clone (91.pBR). The full-length gene was subsequently also cloned into the pLITMUS29 (NEB; 91.pLITMUS), pGEM3 (Promega; 91.pGEM3) and pGEM3z f(+) (Promega; 91.pGEM3z) vectors.

2.2 Sequencing of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene: An extensive restriction map of the gene was drawn up using a panel of available restriction enzymes. A strategy was devised whereby the complete genome segment sequence could be determined by terminal (<300 nucleotides) sequencing of 17 restriction enzyme fragments (Figure 3.1). The devised strategy involved isolation of the 17 fragments from 8 restriction enzyme digestion reactions of the full-length clones (using different enzyme combinations), and cloning into 6 different linearised vectors (Table 3.1). The fragments as shown in Table 3.1 and Figure 3.1 are hereinafter referred to as # 1 to 17. The fragments were subcloned by standard techniques and terminally sequenced by the dideoxy chain termination method using the Sequenase 2.0 kit (USB) with M13/pUC forward and reverse primers.

2.3 Analysis of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene and gene product sequences: All computer analyses of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene nucleotide sequences and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences were carried out using the GCG version 8.1 software package (Genetics Computer Group 1994). The fragment assembly programs, namely 'Gelstart', 'Gelenter', 'Gelmerge', 'Gelassemble', 'Gelview' and 'Geldisassemble' were utilised to assimilate the raw sequence data into a complete gene sequence. Nucleotide and amino acid sequence comparisons were carried out with the 'Bestfit' program, or the 'Pileup' program in the case of multiple sequences. Hydrophobicity plots (Kyte & Doolittle 1982) were determined using the ANTHEPROT version 4.0 protein analysis software (written by G. Deleage), available at http://www.ibcp.fr/ANTHEPROT. Clustalx (Thompson \textit{et al.} 1997), available from http://www-igbmc.ustrasbg.fr/BioInfo/ClustalX/Top.html, and MEGA (Kumar \textit{et al.} 1994), available at http://evolgen.biol.metro-u.ac.jp/MEGA/manual/default.html, were used to construct phylogenetic trees, The PHD method of Rost and Sander (1994), as found on the PredictProtein server at http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/predictprotein/, was used to predict the secondary structure of the polymerase sequences.
Figure 3.1 Schematic representation of the subcloning strategy for sequencing of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene. A partial restriction enzyme map of the full-length gene is represented. The subclones are numbered according to Table 3.1.

\[ B = Bgl \ II \quad D = Dra \ I \quad E = Eco R I \quad H = Hpa I \quad Hi = Hind III \quad K = Kpn I \quad N = Nsi I \quad S = Spe I \]
Table 3.1 Summary of the AHSV-9 segment 1 (AHSV-9.1) restriction enzyme fragment subclones utilised for the complete sequencing of the VP1 gene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Restriction Fragment</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>AHSV-9.1 nucleotides</th>
<th>Cloning Vector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Bgl II</em> 37 - <em>Spe I</em> 1370</td>
<td>91 pBR <em>Bgl II</em> - <em>Spe I</em></td>
<td>37 - 1370</td>
<td>pGEM3z f(+) dom III - <em>Kpn I</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Spe I</em> 1370 - <em>Bgl II</em> 1561</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1370 - 2561</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Kpn I</em> 1467 - <em>Hind III</em> 964</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>667 - 964</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Hind III</em> 964 - <em>Kpn I</em> 1557</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>964 - 2557</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Kpn I</em> 1557 - <em>Nsi I</em> 1909</td>
<td>91 pGEM3 <em>Kpn I</em> - <em>Nsi I</em></td>
<td>2557 - 3099</td>
<td>pGEM3z f(+) <em>Kpn I</em> - <em>Nsi I</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>Nsi I</em> 1909 - <em>Kpn I</em> 4667</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>3099 - 3965</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Eco RI</em> 1877 - <em>Eco RI</em> 1762</td>
<td>91 pBR <em>Eco RI</em></td>
<td>1762 - 877</td>
<td>pGEM3z f(+) <em>Eco RI</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Eco RI</em> 1762 - <em>Eco RI</em> 1626</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1762 - 3626</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>Eco RI</em> 1626 - <em>Eco RI</em> 1522</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>3626 - 3965</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><em>Dra I</em> 150 - <em>Dra I</em> 1500</td>
<td>91 pBR <em>Dra I</em></td>
<td>57 - 1509</td>
<td>pGEM3z f(+) <em>Dra I</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>Dra I</em> 1500 - <em>Dra I</em> 1900</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1509 - 1900</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><em>Dra I</em> 1900 - <em>Dra I</em> 2290</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>2050 - 2290</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><em>Dra I</em> 2290 - <em>Eco RV</em> 4904</td>
<td>91 plITMUS <em>Eco RV</em></td>
<td>2290 - 3965</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><em>Hpa I</em> 1174 - <em>Hpa I</em> 3310</td>
<td>91 pGEM3 <em>Hpa I</em></td>
<td>1174 - 3310</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><em>Hpa I</em> 3310 - <em>Sma I</em> 1263</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>3310 - 3965</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. RESULTS

3.1 Cloning and sequence determination of AHSV-9 genome segment 1

Two partial clones of AHSV-9 genome segment 1, previously obtained by shotgun cDNA synthesis and cloning by the polyadenylation method of Cashdollar et al. (1982), were identified as overlapping and representative of the full-length gene by restriction enzyme mapping and terminal sequencing. The two clones were spliced using an internal restriction enzyme site to prepare a full-length cDNA clone. The complete sequence was determined by terminal (less than 300 nucleotides) sequencing of 11 restriction enzyme fragment subclones (#1 to 11), as shown in Table 3.1 and Figure 3.1. Ambiguous sequences or areas with strong secondary structures were subsequently elucidated by subcloning and terminal sequencing of 6 additional restriction enzyme fragments (#12 to 17). The AHSV-9 genome segment 1 nucleotide sequence is shown in Figure 3.2, together with the predicted amino acid sequence of the VP1 protein.

3.2 Sequence analysis of AHSV-9 genome segment 1 and its encoded protein

Genome segment 1 of AHSV-9 is 3965 nucleotides in length with a base composition of 31.6% A, 15.8% C, 24.8% G and 27.8% U residues. The 5' and 3' terminal hexanucleotides are 5' GUUUAU and ACUUAC 3' respectively, supporting the consensus AHSV terminal sequences proposed by Mizukoshi et al. (1993), namely 5' GUUAuA A U and ACCAUUAC 3'. The longest open reading frame was defined by an AUG at position 14-16 and a UGA at position 3929-3931, delineating terminal non-coding regions of 13 and 34 nucleotides respectively. The AUG flanking sequences, specifically a purine at position -3 and a guanosine at position +4, place this codon in a favourable context for initiation of translation according to the consensus sequences identified by Kozak (1984). Translation of this open reading frame yields a protein comprising

---

**Figure 3.2** Nucleotide sequence of AHSV-9 genome segment 1 and the translated amino acid sequence (numbered) of AHSV-9 VP1. Non-coding nucleotides are shown in lower case. Motifs IV, V and VI (underlined) represent conserved amino acid sequence motifs in RNA-dependent RNA polymerases.
1305 amino acids (Figure 3.2) with a predicted molecular weight of 150.292kDa and an overall charge of +25.5 at neutral pH.

The AHSV-9 VP1 amino acid sequence was found to include the proposed characteristic signature

\[ DX_3[FYWLCAlXo.1DX_n[STM]GX_3[TX_3[NEIX_n[GS]DD \]

of RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of positive-strand and some dsRNA viruses (X indicates an unspecified amino acid residue; alternative amino acids at particular sites are shown in square brackets; Koonin & Dolja 1993). The three conserved motifs defining this signature are indicated in Figure 3.2 (labelled IV, V and VI as specified by Koonin (1991), located between residues 591-605, 720-741 and 762-771 respectively.

3.3 Observation with reference to colony morphology and insert orientation

An incidental observation made during non-directional subcloning of complete and partial AHSV genome segment 1 cDNA is of interest. In certain recombinants one orientation of the segment 1-specific insert in the plasmid appeared to affect the morphology, or specifically to decrease the size, of the transformed bacterial colony on agar plates (Figure 3.3) and its growth in liquid culture. This effect will loosely be referred to hereinafter as ‘toxicity’. The most clear-cut and repeatable distinctions appeared with non-directional subcloning of the full-length AHSV-9 VP1 gene (with terminal GC tails) and the 5′-proximal DraI fragment (nucleotides 57 through 1509; # 3) into pGEM3z f(+) with repeatable, though not absolutely unequivocal, prediction of the orientation of the insert based on the colony morphology possible. Deletion of the 3′ SalI fragment (nucleotides 1567 through 3965) from clones of 91.pGEM3z in the ‘toxic’ orientation did not alter or restore ‘normal’ morphological characteristics of the colony. In all these cases, small colony sizes translated into noticeably reduced DNA yields in standard mini-preparations from liquid culture relative to DNA prepared from ’normal’ colony-size cultures (Figure 3.4). Although similar orientation-dependent colony sizes were distinguishable in the subcloning of segment 1 5′ distal fragments (# 10, 15 and 16), the distinctions were less apparent, and no significant differences in DNA yield from mini-preparations could be observed. A summary of the observed effects is provided in Table 3.2 and Figure 3.5.
Figure 3.3 Agar plate showing colonies of *E. coli* (DH5α) transformed with recombinant pGEM3z f(+) plasmid containing full length AHSV-9 genome segment 1 cDNA. Examples of a distinctly larger and a distinctly smaller colony are labelled, although colonies of less distinguishable size are also present.
Figure 3.4 Agarose gel electrophoretic analysis of pGEM3z plasmid DNA extracted from E. coli colonies and digested with Sal I. Lane 1 represents λ-Pst I marker. Plasmids originate from either larger (lanes 2 to 4) or smaller (lanes 5 to 7) colonies and possess the HSV-9 VP1 gene in opposite (lanes 2 to 4) or like (lanes 5 to 7) orientation to the lacZ gene on the pGEM3z vector.
Table 3.2 Summary of the phenotypic effects of cloning the AHSV-9 VP1 gene-specific fragments shown in Figure 3.5 in opposite orientations in plasmid vectors. The clones are graphically depicted in Figure 3.5 below. Clone numbers are cross-referenced (in parentheses) to Table 3.1 where applicable. “lacZ +” indicates the VP1 reading frame of the insert being in the same orientation as the reading frame of the β galactosidase gene (lacZ) in the vector, and “lacZ −” in the opposite orientation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone #</th>
<th>‘Toxic’ (lacZ +) cf ‘non-toxic’ (lacZ −) orientation</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>smaller</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>smaller</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (#12)</td>
<td>smaller</td>
<td>lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d (#9)</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e (#16)</td>
<td>smaller</td>
<td>equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f (#10)</td>
<td>smaller</td>
<td>equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g (#15)</td>
<td>indistinctly smaller</td>
<td>equal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.5 Summary of AHSV-9 VP1 gene-specific fragments (a to g) displaying phenotypic discrimination when cloned in different orientations into plasmid vectors. The clone numbers are cross-referenced (in parentheses) to Table 3.1 where applicable. Phenotypic effects are summarized in Table 3.2 above.
4. DISCUSSION

The complete genomes of a number of different members of the family *Reoviridae* have been cloned, including reovirus serotype 3 (*Orthoreovirus*; Cashdollar *et al.* 1984), rotavirus SA11 (*Rotavirus*; Mitchell *et al.* 1990), BTV-10 (*Orbivirus*; Fukusho *et al.* 1989), Chuzan virus (*Orbivirus* (Palyam virus serogroup); Yamakawa *et al.* 1999b), *Rice dwarf virus* (RDV, *Phytoreovirus*; Uyeda *et al.* 1995) and the *Nilaparvata lugens* reovirus (NLRV, *Fijivirus*; Nakashima *et al.* 1996). Besides the expression of the encoded proteins, cloning of the genome greatly facilitates investigation into the molecular biology of the virus. Characterization of the cloned genes through nucleotide sequencing allows derivation of the amino acid sequence of the encoded gene product, enabling detailed comparisons of cognate genes and proteins and provides insights into the possible function of the protein and hence its role in the morphogenesis of the virus.

In this chapter, the cloning and characterization by sequencing of the AHSV-9 genome segment 1 gene has been described. This completes the cloning and sequencing of all the AHSV genome segments, albeit of different serotypes. While taking note of the inter-serotype variation, combining the sequences of AHSV-9 segments 1, 5, 6, 8 and 10, AHSV-3 segments 2 and 9 and AHSV-4 segments 3 and 4 yields the first composite AHSV genome (summarized in Table 3.3) comprising 19528 base pairs (cf. the BTV-10 genome comprises 19218 bp (Fukusho *et al.* 1989) and Chuzan virus 18915 bp (Yamakawa *et al.* 1999b).

Limited AHSV inter-serotype comparisons of the VP1 gene were possible using sequences generated from AHSV-1 genome segment 1 clones obtained earlier in this study, as described in chapter 2. Conservation of nucleotides varied from 92% to 96%, whereas the conservation of predicted amino acid sequences varied from 95% to 100%, in different short sequenced regions (Table 3.4).

Inter-serogroup comparisons of sequences of the VP1 genes (and the deduced proteins) of AHSV-9, BTV-10 (Accession # X12819; Roy *et al.* 1988) and Chuzan virus (Accession # AB018086; Yamakawa *et al.* 1999b) were performed by best-fit alignments using the default parameters of GCG. Results of pairwise comparisons are shown in Table 3.5. The amino acid sequence of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome segment</th>
<th>serotype</th>
<th>segment length (bp)</th>
<th>terminal sequences of coding strand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5' UTR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3' UTR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3965 GUUUAU ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3221 GUUUAA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2792 GUUUUA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1978 GUUUUA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1566 GUUUUA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1748 GUUUAA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1167 GUUUAA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1166 GUUUAA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1169 GUUUAA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>756 GUUUAA ACUUAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The relevant Genbank accession numbers and source references are footnoted:

- U94887 (present study);
- U01832 (24);
- M94681 (6);
- D14402 (12);
- U74489 (2);
- U01069 (Nel, unpublished);
- U90337 (Maree et al., submitted);
- M69090 (21);
- U19881 (18);
- D12480 (20).

<sup>b</sup> untranslated region.

<sup>c</sup> IC = inner core; OC = outer core; C = outer capsid; NS = non-structural.
Table 3.4 Inter-serotype conservation of AHSV-1 and AHSV-9 VP1 genes and proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Nucleotides</th>
<th>Amino acids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residue #</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' terminal</td>
<td>1-156</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internal</td>
<td>756-965</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3' terminal</td>
<td>3761-3965</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5 Inter-serogroup conservation of orbivirus VP1 genes and proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nucleotides</th>
<th>Amino acids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gaps (# residues)</td>
<td>% identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSV : BTV</td>
<td>30 (115)</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSV : Chuzan</td>
<td>17 (58)</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTV : Chuzan</td>
<td>26 (99)</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chuzan virus VP1 used in this study was as published, utilising the second ATG to initiate the open reading frame. However, based on these comparisons, it is suspected that there may be a minor error in the published sequence between the first and second ATGs.

Further alignments of the amino acid sequences of the other AHSV proteins (as listed in Table 3.3) with the cognate BTV-10 (Fukusho et al. 1989) and Chuzan virus (Yamakawa et al. 1999a; Yamakawa et al. 1999b) proteins revealed that the inter-serogroup conservation of the putative viral RNA polymerase is second only to the inner core protein VP3, which forms the basic scaffold of the core particle (results not shown).

Single examples of each of the genera of the family Reoviridae for which RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences have been determined were selected, as listed in Table 3.6, for intra-family comparisons. Automated alignments of these RNA-dependent RNA polymerase sequences reveal very limited homology, even in low stringency pairwise alignments requiring gaps of 40 to 50 residues: identities of approximately 18% and similarities of approximately 50% were obtained in best-fit alignments with a gap weight of 3 and a gap length weight of 0.1. This lack of similarity among the dsRNA viruses of higher eucaryotes has been previously noted (Bruenn 1993). A plot of the similarity along all the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences listed in Table 3.6, aligned by ‘Pileup’ with a gap weight of 1.0 and a gap length weight of 0.1, is shown in Figure 3.6. Despite a generally very low level of similarity, there are clearly 4 peaks of higher similarity in the central portion of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. These peaks represent polymerase motifs I/II, IV, V and VI as defined by Koonin (1991). Through visual inspection and motif-constrained sequence similarity alignments based on this plot, the alignments were manipulated to assist the identification and definition of further conserved motifs. Specifically, more stringent alignment of the central portion of these RNA-dependent RNA polymerases by ‘Pileup’, using a gap weight of 1.6 and a gap length weight of 0.1, exposed a number of conserved residues defining putative motifs, which are shown and correlated with previously identified motifs in Table 3.7. The alignments and the identity of some motifs as shown here are sometimes partially at variance with the versions suggested by other workers (Bruenn 1991; Suzuki et al. 1992; Buck 1996; Nakashima et al. 1996; Upadhyaya et al. 1998). The identities of motif D of Poch et al. (1989)
Table 3.6 Summary of the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of various genera within the *Reoviridae* utilised for multiple sequence alignments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>RdRp # amino acids</th>
<th>Molecular weight (kDa)</th>
<th>Accession #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orbivirus</strong></td>
<td>AHSV-9</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>U94887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rotavirus</strong></td>
<td><em>Bovine rotavirus</em></td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>J04346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orthoreovirus</strong></td>
<td>Reovirus-3</td>
<td>1267</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>M31058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fijivirus (previously</strong></td>
<td>RDV</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>164.4</td>
<td>U73201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phytoareovirus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oryzavirus</strong></td>
<td><em>Rice ragged stunt virus</em> (RRSV)</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>U66714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unclassified (Fijivirus?)</strong></td>
<td>NLRV</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>165.9</td>
<td>D49693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.6 A similarity plot of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences of the dsRNA viruses listed in Table 3.5. The positions of the conserved RNA-dependent RNA polymerase motifs I, IV, V and VI in the aligned amino acid sequences are indicated.
Table 3.7 Alignment of conserved sequence motifs of viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases within the family *Reoviridae*. The motifs are designated according to a) Koonin (1991; I to VIII), b) Poch et al. (1989; A to C) and c) Bruenn (1991; 1 to 6). The most highly conserved residues defining the polymerase domain are highlighted in bold. The number of amino acid residues between the motifs and the first and last amino acid residues in the alignment are indicated. Gaps introduced to optimize the alignments are represented by dots. Conserved amino acid residues are indicated by *, while : denotes conserved substitutions and . semi-conserved substitutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>VIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>AHSV</td>
<td>rotavirus</td>
<td>RDV</td>
<td>reovirus</td>
<td>RRSV</td>
<td>NLRV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>511 GSRDVPi.KSTRIVYSIN 66 AIDYSEFDT 121 LAGENSTLIANSLHN 24 QYCGDDT 43 VRTQTHAKQGI 7 MLVSSERR 839</td>
<td>450 GRRDVP.GRRTRIPFR 51 BTVDSQWDS 63 ASGKEYTAKANIAN 22 RVDGDDN 41 IELAKYIAGK 7 NLLNNEKR 701</td>
<td>617 GESRTTAWPRVPTV.IN 68 LADCSSWDO 76 WEGRLDFTFMNVSQCN 23 QVAGDDA 44 GYAKIYYYAGM 7 QLHESEKD 922</td>
<td>516 GLRNQVO.RRSRPMLN 50 NIDISACDA 89 PSGSTATSTEKTANN 14 YCQGDDQ 42 AYKLKLYFIFGC 8 PIVGKEK 896</td>
<td>495 VGRDQG.RRQRAIPGN 51 SADVDAMDA 88 PSGQPFTLVHTMFTL 24 TVQGDDT 37 SEYLRQRVSCGT 8 SLLFAARRP 770</td>
<td>642 V.RHQID.RRGRIVVP 54 SSMDCMDDA 90 MSGFLATOSQHTMFL 22 YVMGDDI 40 AQPLQQVALNQV 8 GVFCDEKS 922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * * * ** * *** * * ::
and motif 4 of Bruenn (1991) were especially unpronounced in these alignments and could not be unequivocally identified.

Based on the alignments, a signature for the RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of the *Reoviridae* can be defined as follows:

\[
R_{x_{1}}{[RK]}_{2}R_{x_{2}}{[VI]_{3}D_{x_{3}}S_{x_{4}}G_{x_{5}}T_{x_{6}}[NH][I][ST]_{x_{7}}G_{x_{8}}D_{x_{9}}G_{E}_{x_{10}}L_{x_{11}}[IV]_{x_{12}}G_{x_{13}}D_{x_{14}}[OE]_{x_{15}}[K}_{x_{16}}O_{x_{17}}G_{x_{18}}(x_{19})_{2}E_{x_{20}}[RK]
\]

(where x indicates an unspecified amino acid residue and alternative amino acids at particular sites are shown in square brackets).

The RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences of all the members of the *Reoviridae* for which these have been determined thus-far fit this bill. A second GDD sequence motif found between residues 287 and 289 of VP1 of five U.S. BTV’s (Huang et al. 1995) was also present in Chuzan virus VP1, but aligned to a GED motif in AHSV-9 VP1. The significance, if any, of these motifs is presently not known. A second GDD sequence motif identified between residues 754-756 of AHSV-9 VP1 was not present in either BTV or Chuzan virus VP1.

The vast majority of RNA-dependent RNA polymerases have been identified solely on the basis of sequence similarity. Availability of the amino acid sequence enables the prediction of secondary structure that is presumed to be relatively inflexible due to the functionality of the protein. Although these predictions are of limited reliability, their concordance over numerous sequences may lend more credence. Hydropathicity plots of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of the viruses given in Table 3.6 are shown in Figure 3.7. The central polymerase "modules" of the individual proteins are indicated, without any clear indication of structural conservation.

Following determination of the crystal structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of PV, Hansen et al. (1997) found that, whereas the structures of the ‘fingers’ and ‘thumb’ sub-domains of PV polymerase differ from those of other polymerases, the ‘palm’ sub-domain contains a core structure very similar to that of other polymerases. This core structure is composed of the conserved amino acid sequence motifs A to D (IV to VII) described for RNA polymerases. O’Reilly and Kao (1998) have recently published an analysis of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase structure and function guided by known polymerase structures and computer predictions of secondary structure. In this case, the PHD method of Rost and Sander (1994) was used to predict the secondary structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerases from six different positive ssRNA viral families.
Figure 3.7 Kyte-Doolittle hydropathicity plots of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences of the dsRNA viruses listed in Table 3.5, showing regions with a net hydrophobicity (positive values) and a net hydrophilicity (negative values) using a window size of 21. I, IV, V, VI and VII indicate the positions of the conserved RNA-dependent RNA polymerase motifs in the respective amino acid sequences.
(bromoviruses, tobamoviruses, tombusviruses, leviviruses, hepatitis C-like viruses and picornaviruses) and compared with the known crystal structure of PV. The PHD method (for Predict at Heidelberg) uses a neural network that has been trained on more than 130 crystallized protein chains of known crystal structures. To generate more accurate predictions, the program uses sequence alignments rather than single sequences. However, the best alignments are those without redundant information that have sequence identities ranging from 90% to 30% identity. Within the Reoviridae, only the AHSV, BTV and Chuzan virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase sequence alignment fulfils this criterion and was submitted for PHD protein prediction to the ‘PredictProtein’ server at http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/predictprotein. The predicted secondary structures in the vicinity of the most highly conserved polymerase motifs (A through C) showed significant similarity with the results of O’Reilly and Kao (1998). The identity of motifs D and E, the latter of RNA-dependent polymerases, in AHSV VP1 could be determined by comparison of the predicted secondary structure with these and other (Hansen et al. 1997) structure-based sequence alignments. The motifs, along with their predicted secondary structures and those of the PV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase as identified by Hansen et al. (1997), are shown in Table 3.8.

Due largely to their functional conservation, viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases have long been regarded as a primary phylogenetic marker, although this premise has recently been questioned (Zanotto et al. 1996). The latter authors argue that RNA-dependent RNA polymerase sequences cannot be used to construct a single phylogenetic tree including all RNA viruses because of a lack of both basic sequence similarity and reliable phylogenetic signal. It was felt more appropriate to present the evolutionary relationships between RNA viruses as a set of distinct sub-trees, the links between which are unclear. Phylogenetic analysis of selected members of the Reoviridae was performed, based on a multiple alignment of the amino acid sequences of their RNA-dependent RNA polymerases. The multiple alignment was carried out using ‘Pileup’ with a gap weight of 1 and a gap length weight of 0.1, thereby allowing maximum similarity and alignment of the conserved polymerase motifs. A homology matrix of the alignment is shown in Table 3.9. Phylogenetic analyses were subsequently carried out with the full data set of complete amino acid sequences (comprising a best possible alignment of 1771 residues) and a data
Table 3.8 Comparative predicted secondary structure (indicating α-helical and β-strand regions) of conserved sequence motifs of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of AHSV and PV. The motifs are designated A to E according to Poch et al. (1989). Only the extents of structural agreements of PV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase with other polymerases as identified by Hansen et al. (1997) are shown, with exceptions that include highly conserved residues printed in italics. The most highly conserved residues are highlighted in bold and underlined. The number of amino acid residues between the motifs, and the first and last amino acid residues in the alignment are indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AHSV</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>PV</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>589 LNLTIAIDSYSEFTEL 110 SDLALINTLGENSTLIANSLEHAIGTVL 12 SFKSEQVQETDTLYTEL 9 SIVUTIFEVKSGREASMSKTLI 14 GIYIPQDRMN 832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>226 EEKLFAFDYTGVDASL 36 KTIVKGGMPSGCSTISIFSMNNLITRL 10 DLHMAVATQDIASYRS 2 DASLLAQGKDYGMTPADKSA 6 GIYTFKLKRSF 378</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPPPPP a aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa PPP PPP PPP aaaaaaaaaaaa PPPPPP PPPPPP aaaaaaaaaaaa PPPPP PPPPPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.9 Homology matrix of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of some representative members of different genera within the family Reoviridae, showing percentage identity of amino acid sequences in a multiple alignment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AHSV</th>
<th>Chuzan</th>
<th>BTV</th>
<th>RDV</th>
<th>rotavirus</th>
<th>RRSV</th>
<th>NLRV</th>
<th>reovirus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHSV</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuzan</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTV</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDV</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotavirus</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRSV</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLRV</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reovirus</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
set including only the region of conserved sequence motifs shown in Table 3.7, with the 10 flanking amino acids (comprising a best possible alignment of 371 amino acids). Neighbour joining trees were constructed using Clustalx (Thompson et al. 1997) and MEGA (Kumar et al. 1994). In the latter case, various genetic distance calculation methods (p-distances, Poisson correction and gamma distances), with or without complete deletion of the gaps introduced for alignment purposes, were employed. Identical topologies, shown in Figure 3.8A and B, were obtained throughout. Furthermore, the resulting phylogenetic trees show high levels of bootstrap support (based on 1000 replications) for intra-genus clustering of the orbivirus species and inter-genus distinction within the family. However, phylogenetic analyses wherein a more distantly related virus (IBDV, family Birnaviridae), was included as an outgroup, failed to distinguish between the families (Figure 3.8C). Thus, the data suggest that the sequence similarities and phylogenetic signals from RNA polymerase sequences are insufficient to support evolutionary groupings or classifications higher than the genus level of RNA viruses, at least on the basis of simple amino acid sequence alignments.

The observations of orientation-dependent ‘toxicity’ induced by insertion of fragments of the AHSV VP1 gene into plasmids appears to relate to the source proximity of the insert fragment to the 5’ terminus of the AHSV genome segment 1. Cloning of 5’ proximal fragments causes orientation-dependent inhibition of host bacterial cell growth and plasmid yields whereas the effect is diminished with more 3’ proximal fragments. The AHSV VP1-specific open

Figure 3. 8 Phylogenetic trees of some representative members of different genera in the family Reoviridae, based on alignments of the amino acid sequences of the respective RNA-dependent RNA polymerases. Tree A (which is based on alignments of the polymerase motif) was drawn by neighbour-joining using MEGA (Kumar et al. 1994); p-distances, pairwise deletion of gaps and 1000 bootstrap replications were applied. Trees B and C are based on alignments of the full-length polymerase sequences and were prepared by neighbour-joining with Clustalx (Thompson et al. 1997) using 1000 bootstrap replications. The dsRNA virus IBDV (family Birnaviridae) was included as an outgroup in tree C. Bootstrap values are shown as percentages.
Scale: each is approximately equal to the distance of 6.9%
The reading frame of the inserts of all 'toxic' recombinants was found to be in the same orientation as the β-galactosidase gene (lacZ) on the plasmid ('lacZ-positive'), leading to speculation that the 'toxicity' might be related to the orientation of the insert relative to lacZ. However, the possibility that this may reflect in-frame translation of the gene from the lacZ promoter was negated by the presence of the 5' terminal G-tails in full-length clones and the identification of 'toxic' subclones (# 15 and 16) in which the open reading frame of the insert is out of frame with the lacZ promoter. Also of possible relevance in this regard is the recovery of only single orientations of AHSV genome segment 1-specific recombinants in non-directional subcloning experiments. This includes recovery of only one orientation of full-length AHSV-9 segment 1 cDNA cloned into pCI (Promega), a mammalian expression vector which does not contain lacZ, and only the 'lacZ-negative' orientation of the 5'-proximal Eco RI fragment (nucleotides 877 through 1762) being obtained from subcloning into pGEM3z f(+). In addition, all clones of the AHSV-1 VP1 gene in pMOSBlue, described in chapter 2, and subclones of these full-length genes in pBS (Stratagene), were found to be only in the 'lacZ-negative' orientation.

A cloning plasmid described by Thomson and Parrott (1998), pMECA, features a comparable attribute, whereby recombinants are selected on the basis of colony size. In this case, insertion of a DNA fragment into the multiple cloning site within lacZ on the plasmid negates the 'toxicity' of the wild type vector, restoring the normal morphology in the recombinant. In this case, it was found that reduction in bacterial colony size did not affect plasmid yields and it was speculated that a large poly-linker hairpin or a synthetic protein product of the poly-linker affected the bacterial colony.

Analysis of the VP1 amino acid sequences of AHSV and other viruses within the Reoviridae can at best merely provide an indication of the function of the protein and its domains. In order for an in depth analysis of viral RNA polymerase activity to be carried out, it was necessary to express AHSV VP1 in a eucaryotic system in conjunction with other putative components of the polymerase complex.
CHAPTER 4

EXPRESSION ANALYSIS OF VP1 OF AHSV-9

1. INTRODUCTION

Advances in gene manipulations have made it possible to express foreign genes in heterologous systems. In general, the cloning and subsequent expression of individual viral proteins can assist in the elucidation of the function of each protein in viral structure, replication and assembly. Analysis of the functional and molecular properties of expressed gene products, alone or in conjunction with other viral proteins, can also allow the intrinsic properties of each gene product to be determined. In addition, the availability of high levels of individual proteins allows the functions of specific viral proteins to be more easily and directly dissected.

Various systems have been developed for heterologous expression and functional analysis of putative viral polymerases. Although bacterial expression is usually efficient and inexpensive to perform, biologically active eucaryotic proteins often require post-translational modifications that are not carried out by bacterial cells. Thus many eucaryotic proteins synthesised in bacteria fold incorrectly or inefficiently and, consequently, exhibit low specific activities. Recently, however, purified recombinant protein P2 (45kDa) of dsRNA bacteriophage φ6 expressed in bacterial cell culture was shown to possess specific replicase activity in \textit{in vitro} assays (Makeyev & Bamford 2000). The enzyme efficiently utilised phage-specific, positive-sense RNA substrates to produce dsRNA molecules.

In the orbiviruses, the use of baculovirus recombinants for heterologous expression of viral polymerases has been more commonly reported. In the case of BTV, Urakawa \textit{et al.} (1989) analysed lysates of cells infected with a recombinant baculovirus expressing BTV VP1 for extraneous RNA polymerase activity, reporting the incorporation of $\alpha^{32}$P-ATP into the acid-precipitatable products upon incubation with a poly(U) template and oligo(A) primer. More recently, BTV VP1 was shown to possess BTV-specific RNA polymerase activity,
synthesising full-length negative-strand RNA on positive-strand template (Devi & Roy 1999). The activity was initiated without any primer, but required an intact 3' sequence. Protein \( \lambda 3 \) of reoviruses has been shown to be a poly(C)-dependent poly(G) polymerase when expressed under the control of the T7 RNA polymerase promoter in a recombinant VACV (Starnes & Joklik 1993). Purified \( \lambda 3 \) was found not to transcribe reovirus-specific dsRNA into ssRNA, nor to replicate plus-strand reovirus RNA into minus-strand RNA. Complexes formed with \( \lambda 1 \) and \( \lambda 2 \) were also found to be incapable of transcription. On the other hand, in vitro sequence-specific replication assays of baculovirus-expressed rotavirus RNA polymerase (VP1) demonstrated a prerequisite for co-representation of the polymerase and the core shell protein (VP2) for synthesis of full-length dsRNA on positive-sense template RNA, even in assays containing a primer-linked RNA template (Patton et al. 1997). In these experiments, native mRNA's or in vitro cDNA-derived transcripts, with bona fide 5' and 3' termini, were utilised as templates.

The advantages of these eucaryotic expression systems are that they maximise the likelihood of appropriate post-translational modification and folding. An alternative in vivo approach to assaying viral polymerase activity that has already been previously discussed in chapter 1 is also of particular technical interest. This approach utilises a VACV bacteriophage T7 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase recombinant (Fuerst et al. 1986) which provides T7 RNA polymerase in infected cells to drive transcription from the T7 RNA polymerase promoter of a foreign gene inserted into a transfected DNA vector. The transcript is cleaved in vivo through either a downstream satellite Tobacco ringspot virus (Dzianott & Bujarski 1988) or HDV (Perrotta & Been 1991) ribozyme sequence to yield an authentic 3' end. This strategy was used to assay for specific replicase activity of the polymerase of Flock house virus (FHV), a bipartite positive-sense RNA virus, by transcription of the two genomic RNA's in vivo (Ball 1992; Ball 1994). The larger of the two genomic RNA segments (RNA 1), which encodes the entire viral contribution of the RNA replicase, was able to direct its own replication in the absence of the smaller RNA (RNA 2). However, both RNA 1 and RNA 2 were templates for the replicase, which was shown to synthesise the corresponding negative-sense RNA's, and the RNA 1-encoded sub-genomic RNA 3 also produced in FHV-infected cells.

Considering the in vivo nature of the latter system, capable of providing essential cellular cofactors for transcription or replication, and the possibilities for
investigation of the role of other viral proteins in viral replication, this technology was acquired and implemented for investigation of AHSV RNA polymerase activity. In addition, the proven robustness of the baculovirus system for the investigation of orbivirus polymerases was also exploited. Finally, the simplicity of bacterial expression prompted brief investigation of this approach.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 In vivo polymerase assays

2.1.1 Cells and viruses: African green monkey kidney (BSC 40) cells (Hruby et al. 1979), obtained from Prof. LA Ball (UAB, Birmingham, Alabama, USA), were grown as monolayer cultures in Dulbecco's modified Eagles' medium (DMEM) containing 5% foetal calf serum (FCS). Prof. LA Ball also kindly provided the recombinant VACV (Fuerst et al. 1986) that expresses the bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase (vTF7-3). The virus was maintained and titered on BSC 40 cells.

2.1.2 Vectors and plasmids: Vector V(2,0)', suitable for T7 RNA polymerase-driven transcription of an inserted gene and downstream HDV ribozyme-mediated cleavage (Figure 4.1), was obtained from Prof. LA Ball (UAB, Birmingham, Alabama, USA). Plasmid V(2,0) was grown in dcm-negative BL21(DE3) cells for digestion with StuI and SmaI prior to dephosphorylation and utilisation as vector for cloning of blunt-ended genes. Control plasmids (FHV1(1,0) and FHV2(0,0)), containing cDNA inserts of the FHV RNA 1 and 2 under T7 RNA polymerase promoter control and upstream of an HDV ribozyme sequence, were also kindly provided by Prof. LA Ball (Ball 1994). cDNA clones of the genes encoding AHSV-9 VP3 (Maree et al. 1998) and NS2 (Van Staden et al. 1991) were obtained from the laboratory of Prof. H Huismans (UP, Pretoria, South Africa). The AHSV-9 genome segment 3 clone lacked 26 and 43 non-coding nucleotides at the 5' and 3' termini respectively, but included additional terminal BglII sites.

2.1.3 Plasmid constructions: Two oligonucleotides, with sequences 5' GTTTATTGAGCGATGG 3' (S1.1) and 5' GTAAGTGTGGAAGCTG 3' (S1.2), complementary to the 5' terminal sequences of the plus- and minus-strands of AHSV-9 segment 1 respectively, were used as primers in a PCR to amplify the full-length gene from 91.pBR. 10ng template was mixed with 100pmol of each primer, 5µl 10X PCR buffer (100mM Tris-HCl pH8.85, 250 mM KCl, 50 mM (NH4)2SO4; Boehringer Mannheim), 5µl 25mM MgSO4, 5µl 2mM dNTP mix and 2.5 units Pwo DNA polymerase.
Figure 4.1 Diagrammatic representation of the structure of V(2,0) (top) and the construction of recombinant transcription plasmid (bottom) used to generate AHSV-specific transcripts. The shaded boxes represent cDNA encoding the HDV self-cleaving ribozyme and the bacteriophage T7 transcriptional terminator (TΦ term.). The Stu I and Sma I sites represent sites for foreign gene insertion, and the open box the cloned AHSV cDNA sequence. The nucleotides designated 5' correspond to the nucleotides predicted for the 5' end, and the self-cleavage site the predicted 3' end, of RNA transcripts synthesized by T7 RNA polymerase.
(Boehringer Mannheim) in a final volume of 50µl. An initial cycle of 1.5 min 94°C, 45 s 47°C, 3 min 72°C was followed by 25 cycles of 30 s 94°C, 30 s 47°C, 3 min 72°C and a final cycle of 30 s 94°C, 30 s 47°C, 7 min 72°C. Amplification of a 4 kb fragment was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The amplicon was phosphorylated by incubation of 20µl of the PCR reaction mix with 20 units T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK; Amersham) in the presence of 1mM ATP and 1X PNK buffer (70mM Tris-HCl (pH7.6), 10mM MgCl₂, 5mM DTT), in a final volume of 50µl. The PNK was heat inactivated at 65°C for 3 min prior to terminal polishing with 4 units DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment (Amersham) in the presence of 2µM of each dNTP for 20 min at 37°C. The amplicon was then isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis and purified by Qiaquick (Qiagen) gel extraction for ligation into blunt-ended V(2,0)', to yield 91(2,0)p, 91(2,0-3)p and 91(2,0)n. Plasmid 91ΔK(2,0)p was constructed by deletion of a Kpn I fragment (residues 667 – 2557 of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene) from 91(2,0)p to serve as control.

An additional clone of authentic AHSV-9 segment 1 cDNA in V(2,0)', with preferred adjacent sequences for efficient transcription initiation by T7 RNA polymerase, was prepared. 10ng 91.pBR was mixed with 100pmol each of S1.1 and S1.2, 10µl 10X reaction buffer (100mM Tris-HCl pH8.6, 500mM KCl, 15mM MgCl₂, 1% Triton X-100; Gibco BRL), 2µl 10mM dNTP mix and 2.5 units Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco BRL) in a final volume of 100µl. An initial cycle of 1 min 95°C, 30 s 54°C, 3 min 72°C was followed by 25 cycles of 30 s 95°C, 30 s 54°C, 3 min 72°C and a final cycle of 30 s 95°C, 30 s 54°C, 12 min 72°C. Amplification of a 4 kb fragment was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The PCR amplicon was ethanol precipitated and cloned into pGEM-T vector (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions, to yield 91.pGEM-T. The majority of the coding region of this gene was replaced with cDNA from 91.pBR as follows: 91.pGEM-T was fully digested with Xho I, in position 2980 of the VP1 gene, and partially digested with Alw NI, such that the sites in positions 689 and 3756 of the VP1 gene were digested, but leaving the recognition site in the pGEM-T vector undigested. The corresponding Alw NI fragment of the VP1 gene from 91.pBR was inserted by ligation. Similarly, the Bgl II fragment (nucleotides 37 to 2561 of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene) was replaced from 91.pBR, to yield 91c.pGEM-T. Sequences of the PCR-derived termini not replaced with cDNA were confirmed by sequencing. The AHSV-9 VP1 gene was subsequently isolated by Sac II and Not I digestion, blunt-ended with Mung bean nuclease and then subcloned into V(2,0), to yield 91(8,4)p.

The AHSV-9 NS2 gene was cloned into V(2,0) by PCR amplification using Expand Taq polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim) and blunt-ended ligation, to yield 98(2,0)p and 98(2,0)n.
The AHSV-9 VP3 gene was subcloned into V(2,0) by standard techniques involving digestion of inserted terminal restriction enzyme sites, Klenow fragment polishing and ligation, to yield 93(7-26,5-43)p and 93(7-43,5-26)n.

2.1.4 Sequencing: Junction sites and gene termini of all subclones in V(2,0) were confirmed by sequencing, using the Sequenase version 2.0 kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.1.5 In vitro transcription and translation: Equal quantities of 91(8,4)p and 91(2,0)p were both Bam HI and placebo digested, followed by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Approximately 1µg of each template DNA was then mixed with 4µl 5X transcription buffer (200mM Tris-HCl pH7.9, 50mM NaCl, 30mM MgCl₂, 10mM spermidine; Promega), 2µl 100mM DTT, 0.5mM each rNTP, 25 units HPRI and 20 units T7 RNA polymerase (Promega) in a final volume of 20µl. Incubation at 37°C was carried out for 2 h, whereafter 2µl of the reaction mix was analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

**In vitro** translation of transcribed RNA was carried out with the wheat germ extract **in vitro** translation kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. This involved mixing 2µl transcription reaction mix with 12.5µl wheat germ extract, 2µl 1mM amino acid mixture minus methionine, 1µl 35S-methionine (10mCi/ml), 1.3µl 1M potassium acetate and 25 units HPRI in 25µl final volume. Incubation at 28°C for 90 min was followed by SDS-12% PAGE analysis of 10µl translation mix and autoradiography. Alternatively, where indicated, the rabbit reticulocyte lysate **in vitro** translation system (Promega) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.1.6 In vivo protein expression, labelling and analysis: Monolayers of BSC 40 cells were washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 8g NaCl, 0.2g KCl, 2.68g Na₂HPO₄-7H₂O, 0.24g KH₂PO₄ per liter, pH7.4) containing 1mM MgCl₂ (PBSM), prior to infection with vTF7-3 at an MOI of 5 pfu per cell in PBSM. After virus adsorption at room temperature for 60 minutes, the inoculum was removed and the cells were washed once with fresh PBSM. 1ml DMEM per 35mm well was applied and the cells were incubated for 15 to 30 min at 28°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Thereafter, a mixture of 5µg CsCl- or Qiagen plasmid kit-purified DNA in 10µl TE and 10µl 1µg/µl lipofectin (Gibco BRL) was added directly to the medium. Proteins were expressed in infected and transfected cells by incubation at 28°C.

Infected and transfected cell monolayers were starved of methionine at 21 h post infection by washing twice with PBS and once with methionine-free Eagles' medium and then incubating at 28°C in methionine-free Eagles' medium for 1 h. The medium was
then replaced with 1ml methionine-free Eagles' medium supplemented with 60μCi 35S-
methionine per ml and incubation at 28°C was continued for 2 h. The monolayers were
washed with PBS, and the cells were harvested in 300μl 1X PSB (125mM Tris-HCl
pH6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 10% 2-mercaptoethanol) per 35mm well. 30μl samples
were analysed by standard SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis and autoradiography.

2.1.7 RNA polymerase assay: Infected and transfected cells were incubated at
28°C for a period of 21 to 24 h. In order to inhibit DNA-dependent RNA synthesis prior
to labelling the products of RNA replication, the BSC 40 cell monolayers were treated
with 10μg of actinomycin D per ml for 30 min at 28°C. The medium was then replaced
with 1ml per well DMEM containing 20μCi of 3H-uridine per ml and 10μg actinomycin D
per ml, and incubation was continued for 2 h at 28°C in 5% CO2. Total RNA was
extracted from the cells as described by the protocols using the Promega RNAmers total
RNA isolation system. Cells were lysed in 500μl denaturing solution per well, with the
addition of 1/10 volume 2M NaAc pH4. This was followed by a
phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction and isopropanol precipitation. The washed
and dried RNA pellets were resuspended in 20μl RNase-free water. 5μl RNA was treated
with formaldehyde at 65°C in the presence of formamide and subjected to
electrophoresis on 1% agarose-formaldehyde gels (Lehrach et al. 1977). After
electrophoresis, the gels were fixed with 30% methanol - 10% acetic acid and subjected
to fluorography. The latter involved equilibrating the gel in methanol, and then
impregnating the gel with 3% PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole) in methanol for 3 h. The
methanol was removed by soaking the gel in water for an hour. After drying, the gel was
exposed to X-ray film.

2.1.8 Northern hybridisation: Purified RNA was heat denatured at 70°C for 5 min
and dot-blotted onto Hybond N+ membrane. The RNA was fixed by UV exposure for 10
min and baking at 90 – 100°C for 30 min. The blots were prehybridised overnight at
42°C in hybridisation buffer (5X SSPE (0.9M NaCl, 50mM sodium phosphate, 5mM
EDTA pH7.7), 5X Denhardt’s solution, 0.1% SDS, 50% formamide). 32P-labelled RNA
probe, synthesised by incorporation of 32P-ATP during in vitro transcription of 91(2,0)p
or 91(2,0)n, was heat denatured at 70°C for 5 min, added directly to the hybridisation
bag and allowed to hybridise overnight at 42°C. The filters were washed successively in
1X SSPE, 0.1% SDS at room temperature and twice in 0.1X SSPE, 0.1% SDS at 60°C.
The wet filters were autoradiographed at -70°C with intensifying screens.
2.2 Baculovirus protein expression: The BAC-TO-BAC baculovirus expression system (Gibco BRL) was utilised for expression purposes according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.2.1 Cells: Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CRL 1711). All cell culture handling techniques were essentially as described by Summers and Smith (1987). The Sf9 cells were grown as confluent monolayers or as suspension cultures in spinner flasks at 28°C in Grace's insect medium modified with antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Highveld Biological) and 10% FCS.

2.2.2 Plasmid constructions: In order to allow correctly oriented cloning of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene into the baculovirus transfer vector, the SphI- and BamHI-restricted gene from 91c.pGEM-T was subcloned into SphI- and Bgl II-digested pMTL24 (Public Health Laboratory Service; 91.pMTL24). The BamHI-restricted gene was then cloned into the BamHI site of pFastBac1 (Gibco BRL) to yield 91.pFB.

Removal of a termination codon in the 5' non-coding region of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene to allow expression of NH\textsubscript{2} histidine-tagged VP1 was achieved by PCR modification of the gene in 91.pGEM3z with primers 9p1.1 (5' CGGGATCCATGGTCATCACCGTG 3') and S1.2. 9p1.1 was complementary to the 5' terminal of the genome segment 1 open reading frame, incorporating an NcoI restriction enzyme recognition sequence (underlined) overlapping the VP1 gene translation initiation sequence. S1.2 was complementary to the 3' non-coding sequence of the AHSV-9 genome segment 1, without terminal extension. The PCR amplicon was Klenow polished and then NcoI digested to clone into NcoI-digested pFastBacHT (Gibco BRL) vector (yielding 91orf.pFB-HT).

2.2.3 Transposition and preparation of recombinant bacmid DNA: 91.pFB and 91orf.pFB-HT were transformed into CaCl\textsubscript{2}-prepared competent DH10Bac cells (Gibco BRL) by standard techniques. Selection on kanamycin/gentamycin/tetracycline/X-gal/ IPTG plates enabled identification of white colonies containing recombinant bacmid DNA. The colonies were re-streaked to confirm that they were truly white, and recombinant bacmid DNA was isolated from liquid culture by standard mini-preparations. Insertion of the VP1 gene was verified by PCR analysis of the recombinant bacmid DNA by amplification with combinations of the pUC/M13, 9p1.1 and S1.2 primers.

2.2.4 Transfection: 10\mu l miniprep bacmid DNA mixed with 10\mu l cellfectin reagent (Gibco BRL) in Grace's medium without FCS or antibiotics was transfected into monolayers of Sf9 cell in 35mm wells. The cells were incubated at 28°C for 5 h before
the transfection supernatant was replaced with 2ml Grace’s medium. Virus was harvested after a further 48 h incubation period.

2.2.5 Baculovirus infection: Monolayers of 9 x 10^5 Sf9 cells per 35mm well were infected with baculovirus at an MOI of 10. Incubation was carried out for 3-4 days at 28°C.

2.2.6 Expressed protein analysis: Infected cells were harvested in 1ml 1X PBS per 35mm well, pelleted at 1000 rpm in a benchtop centrifuge, and resuspended in 30μl 1X PSB. 10μl samples were boiled for 5 minutes, sonicated for 2 minutes and analysed by SDS-8% PAGE. Following electrophoresis, gels were stained with Coomassie blue, dried and autoradiographed if applicable.

2.2.7 Virus titration and plaque purification: Serial dilutions of recombinant virus were used to infect 9 x 10^5 Sf9 cell monolayers in 35mm wells. After 1 to 2 h at room temperature, the supernatant was replaced with molten 3% low melting agarose diluted 50% with Grace’s medium at 37°C. Following incubation at 28°C for 4 days, 1ml 1mg/ml Neutral Red diluted 10X with molten 1.5% low melting agarose was added to the wells and incubated for a further day. Individual plaques were counted and picked with a 1ml micropipette tip and added to 1ml Grace’s medium.

2.2.8 Protein labelling: Monolayers of Sf9 cells in 16mm wells were infected with wild type or recombinant baculoviruses at an MOI of 10 pfu/cell. After 1 h, the inoculum was replaced with Grace’s medium and incubated at 28°C for 30-32 h. The medium was replaced with 500μl methionine-free Eagles’ medium and incubated at 28°C for 1 h. The starving medium was replaced with 200μl fresh methionine-free Eagles’ medium to which approximately 10μCi 35S-methionine per well had been added. The cells were harvested after a further 16 h incubation at 28°C and resuspended in 60μl 1X PSB.

2.2.9 VP1 solubility assays: VP1 recombinant baculovirus-infected cells were harvested, washed in 1X PBS and concentrated by low speed centrifugation. Cells were suspended at 1 x 10^8 cells/ml in 0.15M STE-TX buffer (0.01M NaCl, 10mM Tris-HCl pH7.4, 1mM EDTA, 0.5% or 1% Triton X-100) and incubation at room temperature for 10 min. Nuclei were removed together with the insoluble fraction by centrifugation at 1800g for 5 min and washed once with half the original volume of 0.15M STE-TX. The supernatants were combined and diluted 50% with 2X PSB, and the pellets were resuspended in an equal final volume 1X PSB.
2.3 Bacterial expression:

2.3.1 Cells: *E. coli* BL21(DE3), obtained from Prof. LA Ball, and DH5α cells were used. BL21(DE3) cells allow high efficiency protein expression of genes cloned into expression vectors under the control of the bacteriophage T7 promoter. The T7 RNA polymerase gene is carried on the bacteriophage λ DE3, which is integrated into the chromosome of BL21.

2.3.2 Vectors: Plasmids 91(8,4)p, 91(2,0)p and 91ΔK(2,0)p have been previously described. Plasmids pPROEX HTa, b and c (Gibco BRL) were kindly provided by Prof. H Huismans of the Department of Genetics at the University of Pretoria.

2.3.3 Plasmid constructions: The AHSV-9 VP1 gene open reading frame was subcloned from 91orf.pFB-HT into pPROEX Hta with *Nco* I and *Sac* I to yield 91orf.pPROEX-HT.

2.3.4 Expression: Plasmids 91(8,4)p, 91(2,0)p and 91ΔK(2,0)p were transformed into BL21(DE3) cells by standard methods. Cultures were grown to an OD₅₅₀ of 0.3 – 0.5 prior to induction of expression by addition of IPTG to 1mM.

Expression from 91orf.pPROEX-HT was similarly achieved, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. This involved transformation into DH5α cells, incubation to an OD₅₉₀ of 0.5 – 1.0 prior to addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.6mM. Non-recombinant vectors served as negative controls.

2.3.5 Expression analysis: Samples taken pre- and 1, 2 or 3 h post-induction were precipitated, lysed and analysed by standard SDS-PAGE.

3. RESULTS

3.1 vTF7-3 driven *in vivo* gene transcription and expression

The VACV bacteriophage T7 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase recombinant system for expression of foreign genes *in vivo* has previously been used to demonstrate sequence-specific replication by a viral RNA polymerase, specifically that of FHV (Ball 1994). In order to investigate the application of this system to AHSV replication, it was hypothesised that, in addition to VP1
(encoded by AHSV genome segment 1), VP3 (encoded by AHSV genome segment 3) may also be required for replicase activity of AHSV-specific RNA. This hypothesis was based on results obtained with rotavirus replication (Patton et al. 1997), which indicated a prerequisite for co-representation of the polymerase and the core shell protein. A clone of AHSV genome segment 8 was also procured to serve as a possible alternative (shorter) template for replication, and for investigation of a possible role of the RNA-binding NS2 in replication.

3.1.1 Vector constructs

An exact cDNA copy of the AHSV-9 genome segment 1, without extraneous sequences, was PCR amplified from the cloned full-length gene (91.pOR322) using terminal sequence-specific primers. A precise blunt-ended amplicon of the AHSV-9 genome segment 8 (encoding NS2) was similarly prepared. A blunt-ended cDNA copy of the open reading frame of the AHSV-9 VP3 gene with non-AHSV-specific termini was isolated by enzymatic excision and terminal fill-in. These genes were cloned into V(2,0), a vector for insertion of foreign genes under the control of the bacteriophage T7 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase promoter, with a downstream HDV ribozyme sequence for self-cleavage of transcribed RNA. The constructs were designed to serve as a source of both mRNA for expression of VP1, VP3 and NS2 and competent genome segment 1 and 8 RNA templates for AHSV-specific replication. The vector-labelling convention uses the numerals within parentheses to indicate extraneous nucleotides remaining at the 5’ and 3’ termini respectively of T7 RNA polymerase-initiated transcripts after ribozyme-mediated cleavage. Thus, V(2,0) contained 2 nucleotides (GG) between the major site of transcriptional initiation and the Stu I site for foreign gene insertion, and the Sma I site for foreign gene insertion coincidental with the site for ribozyme-mediated self-cleavage. Clones were labelled as ab(x,y)p or ab(x-x1,y-y1)n, where a refers to the AHSV serotype and b to the relevant genome segment, x indicates the number of nucleotides between the site of transcriptional initiation and the first nucleotide of the inserted gene sequence and y the number of nucleotides between the end of the inserted gene sequence and the site of autolytic cleavage. x1 and y1 indicate, where relevant, the number of 5’ or 3’ terminal AHSV-specific non-coding nucleotides deleted from processed transcripts respectively. p (positive) or n (negative) indicates the sense of the transcript, pertaining to the AHSV-specific
protein coding. Negative-sense clones were prepared either as negative controls (absence of expressed protein) or to serve as source for negative-sense templates for replication. The junction sites of every clone were analysed by DNA sequencing. The clones obtained are described in Table 4.1.

The inserts in all AHSV-9 segment 1 clones, except one, were derived by PCR amplification with high fidelity Pwo polymerase. In the case of 91(8,4)p, the possibility of Taq polymerase-induced errors in the VP1 gene sequence advocated the replacement of the majority of the coding region of the PCR-tailored gene of 91(8,4)p with cDNA from a9s1.pBR. The integrity of the remaining DNA was confirmed by sequencing. This plasmid served as a control source for the expression of authentic AHSV VP1. In addition, this clone was designed to overcome the possible detrimental effect of uridylate residues downstream of the initiation site for T7 RNA polymerase, as documented by Ling et al (1989), by the inclusion of a 5' uridylate-poor extension.

An additional control in the form of 91ΔK(2,0)p was constructed to serve as source of shorter (2075 bp) replication-competent transcripts encoding deletion mutant VP1. The open reading frame of AHSV-9 VP1 was modified to eliminate codons 218 through 847, such that the mutated gene encoded a protein of 675 amino acids (called VP1mut), with a predicted molecular weight of 78.5kDa.

3.1.2 In vitro transcription and translation

T7 RNA polymerase-driven transcription efficiency and ribozyme-mediated self-cleavage activity was analysed by in vitro transcription of approximately equal quantities of Bam HI-linearised and circular or Nco I-mock digested 91(8,4)p and 91(2,0)p (Figure 4.2). Linear DNA appeared to be transcribed more effectively than circular DNA, although a considerable amount of nucleic acid, that was presumably protein-bound, from the transcription of circular templates was held back in the wells of the agarose gel. It would appear that inefficient transcriptional termination and incomplete ribozyme-mediated self-cleavage, as well as low levels of non-linearised plasmid, led to some background run-through transcripts. No significant difference in the yields of in vitro-generated transcripts from 91(8,4)p or 91(2,0)p could be detected.

In vitro translation of positive-sense transcripts with wheat germ extract demonstrated the expression of full-length (150kDa) VP1 (Figure 4.3). The
Table 4.1 AHSV genes cloned into V(2,0). The labeling of the clones is described in the text. The 5' and 3' terminal sequences of ssRNA derived from T7 RNA polymerase-driven transcription are based on sequence data of the junction sites of vector and insert. AHSV-specific sequences are highlighted in bold, hyphens delineating terminal extensions of vector or other origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>RNA terminal sequences</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHSV-9 VP1</td>
<td>91(8,4)p</td>
<td>GGGGGATT-GTTTAT..</td>
<td>cDNA clone; preferred transcription initiation sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..ACCTAC-AATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91(2,0)p</td>
<td>GG-GTTTAT..</td>
<td>exact 3' terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..ACCTAC-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91ΔK(2,0)p</td>
<td>GG-GTTTAT..</td>
<td>Kpn I667 - Kpn I1257 deleted; exact 3' terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..ACCTAC-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91(2,0-3)p</td>
<td>GG-GTTTAT..</td>
<td>3' terminus deleted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..ACCTAC-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91(2,0)n</td>
<td>GG-GTAAGT..</td>
<td>(-) sense RNA; exact 3' terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..ATAAAC-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSV-9 VP3</td>
<td>93(7-26,5-43)p</td>
<td>GGGATCT-ATGCAA..</td>
<td>start and stop codons underlined; complete open reading frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..TAGCAGCC-AGATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93(7-43,5-26)n</td>
<td>GGGATCT-GGCTGC..</td>
<td>(-) sense RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..TTGCAT-AGATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHSV-9 NS2</td>
<td>98(2,0)p</td>
<td>GG-GTTTAA..</td>
<td>exact 3' terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..ACATAC-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98(2,0)n</td>
<td>GG-GTATGT..</td>
<td>(-) sense RNA; exact 3' terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..TTAAC-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.2 Agarose gel electrophoretic analyses of in vitro transcriptions of recombinant AH5V-9 VP1 constructs cloned into V(2,0) ribozyme vector plasmid.

A) 91(8,4)p (lanes 1 and 2) and 91(2,0)p (lanes 3 and 4) were linearised with Bam HI prior to transcription (lanes 1 and 3) or left undigested (lanes 2 and 4).

B) 91(8,4)p was digested with Bam HI (linearised; lanes 1 and 2) or Nco I (non-linearised; lanes 4 and 5) prior to transcription. Transcription products were analysed directly (lanes 1 and 5) or treated with Dnase I prior to analysis (lanes 2 and 4). Lane 3 represents λ Hind II dsDNA size marker (labelled on the right).
Figure 4.3 An autoradiograph of an SDS-PAGE analysis of *in vitro* translated AHSV-9 VP1 (lane 1). *In vitro* translation of the same gene in the reverse orientation was used as a negative control (lane 2). Molecular weight markers are indicated on the left.
observed doublet is thought to be an artefact of the in vitro translation system used. The slightly lighter larger band represents full-length VP1 when compared to subsequently in vivo expressed protein (results not shown). Once again, as found with AHSV-1 VP1 (chapter 2), no product could be obtained with the rabbit reticulocyte lysate in vitro translation system.

3.1.3 In vivo expression

The integrity of the open reading frames of the genes and functionality of the ribozyme in all positive-sense clones was confirmed by in vivo labelling of expressed proteins in vTF7-3 infected cells transfected with the relevant plasmid. Herein, T7 RNA polymerase-driven transcripts function as mRNA for translation of the encoded protein. Expression of AHSV-9 VP1, VP1mut and VP3 was shown (Figure 4.4). The level of VP1 expressed from 91(8,4)p was considerably greater than that expressed from the 91(2,0)p template. The gene product of 91ΔK(2,0)p (VP1mut) appeared on SDS-PAGE as a protein of approximately 100kDa in contrast to the predicted molecular weight of 78.5kDa. In the case of AHSV NS2, labelled protein could not be distinguished from background proteins by SDS-PAGE. Accordingly, circular 98(2,0)p plasmid was transcribed in vitro with T7 RNA polymerase, and the resultant RNA translated with the rabbit reticulocyte in vitro translation system. SDS-PAGE analysis and autoradiography (Figure 4.5) confirmed the synthesis of full-length protein.

3.1.4 In vivo polymerase assay

Hereafter, various combinations of the cloned genes (as shown in Table 4.2) were transfected singly or jointly into vTF7-3 infected cells, and the cells were screened for AHSV-specific RNA replication as prescribed by Ball (1992) and described in Materials and Methods. In these assays, ribozyme-mediated cleavage of the primary T7 RNA polymerase-driven transcripts yields RNA molecules with precise AHSV-specific 3' termini to function as potential templates for AHSV-specific replicase activity. The addition of actinomycin D served to inhibit DNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity prior to radioactive labelling. Hence, if the transfected cells expressed active AHSV replicase and if the cleaved transcripts were competent templates for replication, cRNA would be synthesised in an RNA-dependent, actinomycin D-resistant reaction. If, in turn, the cRNA was a competent template, full RNA replication would ensue.
Figure 4.4 Autoradiographs of SDS-PAGE analyses, by longer (A) or shorter (B) electrophoretic separation, of \textit{in vivo} translated recombinant AHHSV-9 proteins. The lanes represent samples of \textit{vTF7-3} infected cells transfected with 91(8,4)p, 91(2,0)p, V(2,0), 91\Delta K(2,0)p, 93(7-26,5-43)p and 98(2,0)p (lanes 1 to 6 respectively), expressing VP1 (lanes 1 and 2), a VP1 deletion mutant (lane 4), VP3 (lane 5) and NS2 (lane 6). Lane 3 represents a negative control. The expressed AHHSV-specific proteins are indicated with arrows. NS2 (lane 6) is not visible. The molecular weight markers (lane 7) are labelled on the right of each autoradiograph.

Figure 4.5 Autoradiograph of SDS-PAGE analysis of \textit{in vitro} translated AHHSV-9 NS2. The positions of the molecular weight markers are indicated on the left.
Table 4.2 Summary and combinations of AHSV gene clones transfected into vTF7-3 infected BSC 40 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Gene product</th>
<th>Replication-competent transcript</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VP1</td>
<td>VP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VP1</td>
<td>VP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VP1 mut</td>
<td>VP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus, the assay sought to identify AHSV VP1-dependent RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity through actinomycin D-resistant synthesis of radioactively labelled RNA. A standard incubation temperature of 28°C was used throughout for analysis of polymerase activity based on reports in the literature regarding the optimal temperature for activity of the BTV RNA polymerase in an *in vitro* assay with core AHSV particles (Van Dijk & Huismans 1982). However, upon formaldehyde agarose gel electrophoresis and fluorography of isolated RNA, no evidence for AHSV VP1-dependent RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity could be found, based on the lack of detectable $^3$H-labelled RNA resulting from the replication of a competent RNA template by active VP1 in the presence of actinomycin D. Smears visible on the fluorographs in certain cases (Figure 4.6) appeared not to be correlated to the presence or absence of a particular AHSV protein, being particularly evident in transfections with $91(8,4)p$ and to a lesser extent with $93(7-43,5-26)n$. These smears were shown not to be the result of AHSV RNA-specific replication, as only transcript-specific RNA could be detected by hybridisation of the isolated RNA to strand-specific $^{32}$P-labelled AHSV RNA probes (Figure 4.7). Initial promising hybridisation results which suggested possible low levels of AHSV-specific replication in the presence of $91(2,0)p$ could not be confirmed by repetition. In fact, repetitions with control plasmids, such as $91\Delta K(2,0)p$, revealed occasional but obstinate low level cross contamination of samples (results not shown).

The application of this system in our laboratory was controlled by reproduction of the self-directed replication of FHV RNA 1, and replication of FHV RNA 2, as described by Ball (1994). RNA replication of FHV genomic RNA 1 and RNA 2 is catalysed by highly active RNA-dependent RNA polymerase encoded by RNA 1. During RNA 1 replication, a small sub-genomic RNA (RNA 3) is also synthesised. In this case, the RNA replicase encoded by transcripts of transfected FHV1(1,0) was demonstrated to be capable of authentically replicating plasmid-derived transcripts of FHV RNA 1 and 2 in the presence of actinomycin D. This was achieved by RNA analysis following transfection of combinations of FHV1(1,0) and FHV2(0,0) in vTF7-3 infected cells, which yielded distinct bands representing FHV RNA 1, 2 and 3 replicons (Figure 4.8). In line with the findings of Ball (1994), synthesis of RNA 3 was suppressed by the replication of RNA 2.
Figure 4.6 Fluorograph of agarose-formaldehyde gel electrophoretic analysis of labelled cytoplasmic RNAs in vTF7-3 infected cells, transfected with 91(8.4)p (lane 1) or FHV1(1,0) (lane 3). Lane 2 represents an untransfected control. Labelling with $^3$H-uridine occurred at 21 hours post transfection in the presence of actinomycin D. The FHV RNA species (labelled on the right) serve as positive control and size marker.
Figure 4.7 Autoradiograph of northern slot blots probed with 32P-ATP labelled AHSV-9 segment 1 positive-sense (column 1) or negative-sense (column 2) *in vitro* transcripts. Rows a to h represent cytoplasmic RNAs isolated from vTF7-3 infected cells transfected with the plasmids indicated on the right, whereas positive- and negative-sense *in vitro* RNA transcripts were blotted in rows i and j respectively as controls. Bands are visible on the original autoradiograph in rows a, c, e, f and i in column 1 and rows b, f and j in column 2.
Figure 4.8 Fluorograph of agarose-formaldehyde gel electrophoretic analysis of labelled cytoplasmic RNAs in vTF7-3 infected cells, untransfected (lane 1) or transfected with FHV1(1,0) (lane 2) or FHV1(1,0) and FHV2(0,0) (lane 3). Labelling with $^3$H-uridine occurred at 21 hours post transfection in the presence of actinomycin D. The FHV RNA species are labelled on the right.
3.2 Baculovirus expression of AHSV VP1

The Bac-to-Bac baculovirus expression system is based on site-specific transposition of an expression cassette from recombinant donor plasmid into a baculovirus shuttle vector (bacmid) propagated in *E. coli* DH10Bac as a large plasmid.

3.2.1 Construction of recombinant baculoviruses

Recombinant bacmids containing the AHSV-9 VP1 gene were constructed according to the manufacturers' instructions and as described in Materials and Methods to express VP1 as wild type protein or as a fusion protein with an amino-terminal histidine tag. The latter required PCR modification of the AHSV genome segment 1 VP1 gene to remove a stop codon in the 5' non-coding region. A novel *Bgl* II restriction enzyme site, presumably introduced during PCR amplification, was detected near to the 3’ terminus of the cloned gene. Insertion of the VP1 gene into the bacmid DNA was verified by PCR analysis (Figure 4.9). The recombinant bacmids were transfected into insect cells. Viral stocks harvested from the transfected cells were used to infect cells for subsequent protein expression.

3.2.2 VP1 expression

Initially, expression was confirmed by *in vivo* radiolabelling, demonstrating expression of a 150kDa protein from both wild type and fusion protein constructs (Figure 4.10). The expression yield from the former was clearly superior, prompting titration of this recombinant baculovirus. This action was also supported by possible mutations in the PCR-derived fusion protein gene. Individual plaques were picked and screened for VP1 expression by *in vivo* radiolabelling and/or Coomassie staining of SDS-PAGE analyses of infected cell lysates. 9 out of 10 plaques screened displayed high levels of VP1 expression. 5 of these were passaged 4 times to investigate stability of expression levels. VP1 was expressed at maximum levels in the second and third passages (approximately 5-10μg/10^6 cells) but the level of expression was slightly reduced in the fourth passage.

It should be noted that re-infection of cells with recombinant AHSV VP1 baculoviral stocks stored at 4°C or -70°C for a number of weeks was found to
Figure 4.9 PCR analysis of bacmid transposed with AHHSV-9 genome segment 1 cDNA recombinant pFastBac1 (lanes 2 to 5) or pFastBacHT (lanes 6 and 7). Putative recombinants were amplified with the pUC/M13 forward and reverse primers (lanes 2, 4 and 6) and AHHSV-9 genome segment 1 termini-specific primers (lanes 3, 5 and 7). The former primers are directed at sequences on either side of the transposon attachment site on the bacmid, yielding amplicons of 2300bp or 2430bp (excluding gene insertions) from bacmids transposed with pFastBac1 or pFastBacHT respectively. Lane 1 represents $\lambda_{Hind \text{ III}}$ size marker (labelled on the left). The sizes of the relevant PCR amplicons are indicated on the right. Lane 2 was negative for recombinant bacmid DNA. Low level non-specific background amplification is visible.
Figure 4.10 Autoradiograph of SDS-PAGE separated extracts of putative wild type (lanes 2 and 3) or histidine-tagged (lanes 4 to 7) AHSV-9 VP1 recombinant baculovirus-infected cells. Lanes 8 and 9 represent mock- and wild type baculovirus-infected cells respectively. The molecular weight markers are labelled on the left and the position of expressed VP1 is indicated with an arrow.
yield little or no detectable VP1 by Coomassie staining. Additional passaging of these stocks appeared to result in total loss of detectable VP1 expression, even though evidence of viral infection through the presence of viral proteins in infected cell lysates and the reduction of cellular proteins could be clearly observed. In addition, further attempts to repeat generation of recombinant AHSV VP1-expressing baculoviruses proved unsuccessful, with no recombinant protein detectable on Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gels of lysates of cells infected with viruses harvested from cells transfected with recombinant bacmids.

3.2.3 VP1 solubility assays

Solubility assays of recombinant baculovirus-expressed VP1 were performed by treatment of infected cells with varying concentrations of Triton X-100. 100% of the expressed VP1 was found to pellet during 1800g centrifugation (Figure 4.11).

3.3 Bacterial expression of AHSV VP1

Attempts at bacterial expression of full-length AHSV-9 VP1 proved unsuccessful. Neither 91orf.pPROEX-HT nor inducible T7 RNA polymerase-driven expression from 91(8,4)p and 91(2,0)p in BL21(DE3) cells yielded detectable recombinant protein compared to wild type negative controls on Coomassie-stained SDS PAGE gels.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 vTF7-3 driven in vivo gene transcription and expression

The in vivo replication system using vTF7-3 for expression of foreign genes on transfected plasmids as described represents extremely powerful technology. The in vivo nature of the assay at least partially simulates the naturally virus-infected cell, providing possible essential cellular cofactors for replication, and additional or mutated genes can simply be subcloned into a bacterial plasmid vector for incorporation into the assay and analysis. The
Figure 4.11 Solubility assays of recombinant baculovirus-expressed AHV-9 VP1. Recombinant baculovirus-infected cells were harvested and treated with 0.5% or 1% Triton X-100 prior to low speed centrifugation. Pellets (p) and supernatants (s) were analysed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. Lane 6 represents unfractionated cell extracts. The size markers (lane 1) are labelled on the left. The position of AHV VP1 is indicated with an arrow.
investigation described here aimed to investigate the capacity of this approach for research into AHSV replication. However, due to the relative complexity of AHSV compared to the FHV model, possible alternative approaches involving high level VP1 expression were also envisaged.

In vivo vTF7-3 assisted assays for RNA polymerase activity were carried out with AHSV-9 VP1. Based on reports that both the rotavirus RNA polymerase and the core shell protein are essential for replicase activity (Patton et al. 1997), AHSV-9 VP3 was also included in the assay. Reports of the open reading frame of rotavirus mRNAs specifically promoting the synthesis of dsRNA and a reported inverse correlation between replication efficiency and the length of the RNA template (Patton et al. 1999) were also taken into account. Hence, full-length cDNA of genome segments 1 and 8 of AHSV-9, encoding VP1 and NS2 respectively, were obtained and cloned into a vector suitable for generating RNA with authentic 3' termini following in vivo transcription in vTF7-3 infected cells. T7 RNA polymerase-driven transcription of the foreign genes in this vector effected the integration of two additional 5' G residues on the mRNA, thus detracting from the authenticity of the 5' termini. It was envisaged that these RNAs would serve as templates for AHSV VP1-dependent replication. In addition, the coding region of AHSV-9 genome segment 3, lacking the non-coding termini, was cloned into this vector as a source of non-authentic mRNA encoding VP3. Transcription and translation of the genes in vivo was verified by radioactive labelling and SDS-PAGE analysis, or in vitro in the case of NS2.

Transcription and expression of the AHSV-9 VP1 gene alone or in conjunction with the VP3 gene yielded no detectable RNA-dependent RNA polymerase-dependent replication of AHSV-9 segment 1 mRNA on agarose-formaldehyde gels. Inclusion of the AHSV-9 NS2 gene in the assay as an alternative shorter template for replication similarly yielded negative results. It was suspected that smears that were obtained on fluorographs following formaldehyde agarose gel electrophoresis with certain samples related to T7 RNA polymerase-dependent transcriptional breakthrough. Laboratory application of the assay was confirmed using the FHV template-dependent replication (Ball 1994) as positive control.

In an attempt to improve the sensitivity of the AHSV assay, isolated RNA was subjected to Northern hybridisation with corresponding specific probes. No
evidence of replication through the presence of negative-strand RNA could be found.

Ribozyme cleavage of RNA transcripts yields a 2'3'-cyclic phosphate 3' terminus as opposed to the natural 3'-OH terminus (Long & Uhlenbeck 1993). Although the ribozyme-cleaved cDNA transcripts of FHV were shown to replicate with comparable efficiency to wild type RNA (Ball & Li 1993), it is not known what effect this may have on AHSV VP1-specific replication.

It should also be noted that the two additional G residues at the 5' end of transcribed RNA might play a role in preventing, or reducing, template-specific replication. In the case of FHV, the 5' termini of the genome segments RNA 1 and RNA 2 are GUUUUGA and GUAAAC respectively. Authentic RNA transcripts with no additional 5' nucleotides were found to replicate to approximately ten times higher levels than transcripts with 5' extensions of one or two G residues (Ball & Li 1993). On the other hand, plasmids with one or two additional 5' G residues at the T7 polymerase initiation site were transcribed much more efficiently (Ball & Li 1993; Ball 1995).

Ling et al. (1989) have previously documented the negative influence of uridylate residues downstream of the T7 RNA polymerase initiation site on transcription, as also confirmed by Ball and Li (1993). The extensive representation of such residues in all AHSV genome segment 5' sequences (GUUAuAAu) prompted the selection of a vector possessing two additional G residues at the T7 polymerase initiation site. In vivo transcription of all AHSV-specific constructs prepared during this study was established by verifying expression of the encoded proteins. It was also demonstrated that higher expression levels of VP1 were obtained from vectors in which fewer uridylate residues were present downstream of the T7 RNA polymerase initiation site.

Any assay investigating viral replication demands the consideration of a wide array of determinants that may play a role. Some of the most obvious elements include the components of an active replication complex, the level of replicase expression and activity and possible inhibitory factors such as the high concentrations of actinomycin D, temperature-dependence etc.

In contrast to the vast majority of cellular proteins, proteins of the Reoviridae are translated from capped but non-polyadenylated mRNAs. Vende et al. (2000) recently demonstrated that NSP3 plays a role in the enhancement of rotavirus mRNA translation through interaction with the eucaryotic translation
initiation factor eIF4G and the 3' end of the mRNA, again highlighting the importance of the non-structural proteins in virus replication. Similar inferences with AHSV may have a bearing on and would be interesting to investigate in the assay under discussion.

Previous work on the effect of temperature on the in vitro transcriptase reaction of orbiviruses (Van Dijk & Huismans 1982) indicated a low temperature optimum of 28°C. However, it was pointed out that the low temperature preference of the viral transcriptase was not necessarily an inherent characteristic of the enzyme itself. Indeed, virus propagation in cell culture is carried out at 37°C.

Unfortunately, institutional reorganization prevented further investigation of the in vivo assay for AHSV VP1 activity.

4.2 Baculovirus expression

Baculovirus expression vectors have been widely employed to produce high levels of accurately processed and biologically active proteins. In the case of the Reoviridae, multiple genes have been expressed by recombinant baculoviruses and found to be biologically active, including the RNA polymerases of BTV (Urakawa et al. 1989) and rotavirus (Chen et al. 1994b).

The expression of foreign genes in baculovirus vectors is usually achieved under polyhedrin promoter control (O'Reilly et al. 1992). The polyhedrin protein is produced at very high levels in the nuclei of baculovirus-infected cells in the late phase of infection and accumulates to form inclusion bodies that contain embedded virus particles. As such, the protein is dispensable for virus replication and only plays a role in the occlusion phase of baculovirus infection. The BAC-TO-BAC (Gibco BRL) baculovirus expression systems are suitable for generating recombinant baculoviruses expressing foreign genes under control of the polyhedrin promoter by site-specific transposition in E. coli (Luckow et al. 1993). The pFastBac plasmids contain all the elements necessary for cloning and subsequent transfer to the baculovirus genome (bacmid DNA). The transfer of the expression cassette in the recombinant pFastBac plasmid to the bacmid DNA occurs in DH10Bac cells by Tn7 site-specific transposition.

Utilising this system, VP1 of AHSV-9 was shown to be expressed in recombinant baculovirus-infected cells as a 150kDa protein by radiolabelling and
on Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE gels. Both wild type VP1 protein and VP1 protein with an amino-terminal histidine tag were expressed. The lack of availability of anti-AHSV serum containing sufficient titres of anti-VP1 antibodies for detection prevented the use of Western immunoblotting or immunoprecipitation studies to confirm the identity of the expressed protein. Similarly, anti-BTV serum was found not to react with either BTV virion VP1 nor baculovirus-expressed BTV VP1 (Urakawa et al. 1989; Loudon & Roy 1991). In these cases, the dilemma was resolved by preparing rabbit monospecific serum against purified baculovirus-expressed BTV VP1, which was then shown to bind to BTV virion VP1.

Four rounds of passaging with maintenance of high expression levels of the wild type AHSV VP1 suggested a stable recombinant baculovirus. However, storage of the virus appeared to abolish VP1 expression upon reinfection. No satisfactory explanation of this outcome or the apparent disparity has been found. Lack of funding support and infrastructure necessitated termination of further attempts.

Expression of high levels of AHSV VP1 opens possibilities for the investigation of RNA binding capacity, interactions with other viral proteins and polymerase activity.

However, high level expression of proteins may eventuate insolubility and hence inactivity. Solubility assays of recombinant baculovirus-expressed AHSV-9 VP1 through differential centrifugation of cell lysates suggested absolute insolubility of the protein. Similarly, baculovirus-expressed VP1 of rotavirus was recovered from the pellet following low speed centrifugation of infected cells lysed with 0.1% deoxycholate (Patton et al. 1997). Nonetheless, recovered rotavirus VP1 replicated RNA when supplemented with purified core protein VP2. On the other hand, 30% of baculovirus-expressed BTV VP1 was reportedly solubilized and present in the cell lysate supernatant used for polymerase activity assays (Urakawa et al. 1989). In the case of Bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), full-length NS5B protein expressed in insect cells by recombinant baculovirus was shown to possess RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity when solubilized by high concentrations of detergent, salt and glycerol (Zhong et al. 1998). It was subsequently also demonstrated that removal of a C-terminal hydrophobic domain from BVDV NS5B yielded soluble protein with RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity when expressed in E. coli (Lai et al. 1999).
In a recent report, 45kDa dsRNA bacteriophage φ6 polymerase protein expressed to high levels in bacteria was found to be in an insoluble form (Makeyev & Barnford 2000). However, expression at low temperatures yielded detectable levels of soluble and active protein, leading to the first description of template-dependent RNA synthesis by an isolated polymerase protein of a dsRNA virus.

4.3 Bacterial expression

Bacterial expression of AHSV VP1 has thus far been unsuccessful. Similar difficulties with the expression of large viral proteins in bacteria have been described by other researchers, such as with the expression of Bamboo mosaic virus (BaMV) RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Li et al. 1998). Open reading frame 1 (encoding a 155kDa viral protein containing methyltransferase, RNA helicase and polymerase motifs) of BaMV, a positive-sense RNA virus in the potexvirus group, was inserted into four different bacterial and one baculoviral expression vector (Li et al. 1998). The expression was found throughout to be "low or even barely detectable", except when expressed with thioredoxin fused at its amino terminus, using pET-32a vector, in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells. This purified fusion protein was shown to be able to generate a 32P-labelled RNA product complementary to 3'-terminal viral RNA fragments of the positive- or negative-strand in vitro polymerase assays. Several hypothetical reasons were proposed for the limited heterologous expression. The efficiency of the read-through translation of the 155kDa viral protein may have been poor and the incompletely translated polypeptides degraded by proteolytic action. It was also speculated that the viral protein might be toxic to the hosts, killing the host once the protein was expressed. It is possible that these factors may play a role in inhibiting bacterial expression of the AHSV VP1 gene.
CHAPTER 5

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The recent breakthrough of the establishment of a system to recover infectious segmented negative-strand RNA viruses entirely from cDNA, and hence allow reverse genetics, has “profound implications for the study of viral life cycles and their regulation, the function of viral proteins, and the molecular mechanisms of viral pathogenicity” (Neumann et al. 1999). Unfortunately, no effective system has similarly yet been implemented to recover infectious multi-segmented dsRNA viruses from cDNA.

The objective of this study was to investigate VP1 of AHSV as the putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerase with a view to the potential future development of a reverse genetics system. In particular, the envisaged approach to this investigation involved the cloning and characterization, through sequencing, of the gene that encodes VP1 and the expression and activity analysis of the protein in a suitable eucaryotic expression system. The results have been discussed in detail in the relevant preceding chapters. These concluding remarks serve to highlight the pertinent information that contributes to a better understanding of the molecular biology of AHSV and points to future research in this field.

One of the bottlenecks which has long inhibited progress in the research of dsRNA viruses has been the cloning of genomic cDNA. In particular, it is notable that in the 18 years since the first description of the cloning of the dsRNA genes of reovirus (Cashdollar et al. 1982), and 10 years since the initial cloning of fragments of the genome segments of AHSV (Bremer et al. 1990), no full-length genome segment 1 gene, which encodes the putative viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, of any AHSV serotype has been cloned. Clearly, besides the obvious requirement of a complete complement of genomic clones for the establishment of a reverse genetics system, the central role of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in transcription and replication, and thus in the life cycle of the virus, makes this omission incisive.
An alternative method for the cloning of large dsRNA genome segments has been established. This approach involves ligation of an oligonucleotide containing convenient restriction enzyme recognition sequences and 3' extended with an oligo(dA) tail to the 3' termini of genomic dsRNA segments, followed by cDNA synthesis with an oligo(dT) primer and single primer PCR amplification. This technique was applied in the generation of a functional full-length AHSV VP1 gene during the course of this study, and subsequently extended to the cloning of complete genomes of multi-segmented dsRNA viruses (including rotavirus, EEV and AHSV) by other researchers in the laboratory (Potgieter, personal communication). This paves the way for further investigation and development of reverse genetics systems. In addition, the approach has also enabled the cloning of the genes encoding the major determinant of serotype-specificity and the neutralization-specific immune response (VP2) of all nine AHSV serotypes (Potgieter, personal communication), an important and long-awaited milestone in the pursuit of rapid diagnostics and effective subunit vaccines.

The nucleotide sequence of genome segment 2 dsRNA of AHSV-9 was determined, representing the first and, to date, only AHSV VP1 gene sequence published. Translation of the open reading frame enabled the identification of conserved RNA-dependent RNA polymerase-specific motifs and the definition of a signature for the polymerase sequences of the Reoviridae. Inter-serogroup comparisons of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences within the orbiviruses were carried out with previously published BTV and more recently published Chuzan virus sequences. The conservation exposed by these comparisons confirmed the limitations on sequence variability imposed by presumably functional specification. Grouping of the orbivirus serogroups could be clearly distinguished in phylogenetic analyses of the Reoviridae based on the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase amino acid sequences. However, distinction of the genera within the Reoviridae from other families proved less conspicuous. This corroborated previous arguments (Zanotto et al. 1996) that RNA-dependent RNA polymerase sequences cannot be used to construct a single phylogenetic tree including all RNA viruses, but that the evolutionary relationships between these viruses can more appropriately be presented as a set of distinct sub-trees, the links between which are unclear.
A further objective of this study was to express the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of AHSV in a eucaryotic expression system and to assay for activity. Various systems for expression analysis were investigated, with varying levels of success. AHSV-9 VP1 was firstly expressed in a T7 RNA polymerase recombinant VACV system, whereby simultaneous synthesis of authentic mRNA sanctions in vivo replicase activity. Although expression of full-length protein was confirmed, no AHSV-specific RNA replication could be detected or confirmed. Unfortunately, circumstances inhibited further experimentation with this approach during the course of this study, but it is believed to have merit that requires further investigation.

AHSV VP1 was also expressed utilising the baculovirus system, yielding high levels of full-length protein. Although the expressed protein was insoluble, no attempts at solubilization, purification or assaying for polymerase activity were carried out. Precedents set with other viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases expressed in insect cells demand investigation of AHSV VP1 activity. In addition, the recent reports of the formation of AHSV core-like particles in recombinant baculovirus-infected insect cells (Maree et al. 1998) invites investigation of protein-protein interactions between VP1 and core-like particles as well as other AHSV minor proteins. In the case of rotaviruses, protein-protein cross-linking studies have indicated that complexes of VP1 and NSP2 are present in rotavirus-infected cells (Kattoura et al. 1994). VP1 of rotaviruses has also been shown to bind specifically to cis-acting signals at the 3' end of viral mRNA that serve to promote replication (Patton 1996) and that also include the entire target for the 3'-specific RNA-binding protein NSP3 (Poncet et al. 1994). Investigation and analysis of similar protein-protein and protein-RNA (ss- and dsRNA) interactions with expressed AHSV VP1 should improve our understanding of viral morphogenesis.

On the reverse genetics development front, additional research needs to address the gaps in our understanding of viral replication and morphogenesis. In theory, infectious dsRNA viral particles should be formed in cells in which a full complement of viral mRNA is introduced. However, the evidence suggests that the construction of active virus particles in infected cells is a complex but precise process, involving specific recruitment and localization of the components. As with the segmented ssRNA viruses, recovery of infectious reovirus from viral RNA required additional viral proteins, evidently specifically
through the formation of RNA-protein complexes (Roner et al. 1990). However, their function, the role of the helper virus and the mechanism by which dsRNA enhances the infectiousness of ssRNA are not clearly understood. In addition, the lack of subsequent reports confirms the complexity and questions the efficiency of this system.

Thus, a number of factors have to be taken into account in the development of a reverse genetics system for the dsRNA viruses. These include the RNA binding specificity of many viral proteins, the interactions between viral proteins and the accumulation of viral proteins and RNA at so-called virus inclusion bodies or viroplasms, which are believed to be sites for the early stages of virion assembly and morphogenesis.

The development of an effective system for the cloning of complete genomes of segmented dsRNA viruses, and the concomitant availability of a full complement of expressed viral proteins, including the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, forms an excellent basis for ongoing research into the development of a reverse genetics system for AHSV, with potentially important implications.
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