

Scenario thinking and stochastic modelling for strategic and policy decisions in agriculture

by

Petrus Gerhardus Strauss

**A Thesis in partial fulfilment for a PhD degree
Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development
University of Pretoria**

September 2009



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis which I hereby submit for the degree PhD Agricultural Economics at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at this or any other tertiary institution.

SIGNATURE:

DATE: **17 September 2009**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would hereby like to thank the following people and institutions for their continued support, advice, help and patience in helping me to get this thesis completed:

- My friend and colleague, Ferdinand Meyer. Thank you Ferdi, it's been a huge privilege and adventure working with you on these ideas.
- Prof. Johann Kirsten. Thank you for providing the opportunity, as well as for the continued advice and support, I appreciate it.
- The people at FAPRI, the University of Missouri, and Texas A & M who partly trained me, supported me, and who provided opportunities for developing the idea. Specifically thanks to Pat Westhoff, Julian Binfield, Willi Meyers, Abner Womack, Peter Zimmer, Brent Carpenter, James Richardson, Peter Klein, Oksana Loginova, Vicki Trower, and Joe Truillo.
- Thank you to the Boessens, the greatest family, who housed us and provided many adventures while staying in the US.
- Thank you to Bankies Malan, Venete Klein, Pienaar Viviers, Andrew Makenete, Pine Pienaar and Smiley Kamffer who provided me with the opportunity and support to study while working at Absa Bank.
- Thank you to all the private sector partners who were willing to finance and take part in testing and developing the ideas in this thesis. I would like to thank specifically Pieter Fourie, Jaco Heckroodt, Jeanette de Beer, Marius Nel, Bankies Malan, and Abraham Bekker.
- Thank you to the University of Pretoria for providing me the opportunity to study at such an excellent institution.
- To all my colleagues at BFAP and LEVLO who assisted, directly and indirectly, in getting the thesis done, thank you.
- To my parents (all four of you!), thank you for the opportunities and support that you gave me.
- To my wife, Ilse, thank you for your continued support, encouragement and love – you are the best!
- To God Almighty, thank You: To You be all the honour and glory and praise. Amen.

ABSTRACT

Scenario thinking and stochastic modelling for strategic and policy decisions in agriculture

by

Petrus Gerhardus Strauss

Degree: PhD

Department: Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development

Supervisor: Dr. Ferdinand Meyer

Co-supervisor: Prof. Johann Kirsten

Keywords: scenario thinking, strategy, risk analysis, stochastic modelling, agricultural policy

In 1985, Pierre Wack, arguably the father of modern scenario thinking, wrote the following: *“Forecasts often work because the world does not always change. But sooner or later forecasts will fail when they are needed most: in anticipating major shifts...”* (Wack, 1985: 73). The truth of this statement have again become apparent, first as the “food price crisis” played out during 2007 and 2008, and secondly as the current financial and economic crisis are playing out. Respected market commentators and analysts, both internationally and within South Africa, made all sorts of “informed predictions” on topics ranging from oil prices, interest rates, and economic growth rates to input costs and food prices. The problem is that none of these “respected views” and “informed predictions and estimates” became true within the period that was assigned to these predictions. In fact, just the opposite occurred: the unexpected implosion of the global economy and hence commodity markets.

The result of the experts “getting it so wrong”, is that questions are being asked about the reliability of risk and uncertainty analysis. Even though the experts used highly advanced analytical techniques in analyzing the risks and uncertainties in order to formulate

predictions and outlooks, both the “food price crisis” and the economic implosion were totally unanticipated. The same questions need to be asked in terms of risk and uncertainty analyses in agricultural economics. With agriculture experiencing a period of fundamental changes causing significant uncertainty, risk and uncertainty analyses in agriculture will need to move to the next level in order to ensure that policies and business strategies are robust enough to withstand these newly arising uncertainties.

The proposed solution to this problem and therefore the hypothesis offered and tested by this thesis is to work with two techniques in conjunction without combining it when developing a view of the future. The two techniques used, namely intuitive scenario thinking and stochastic modelling are based on two fundamentally different hypotheses namely: the future is like the past and present (stochastic modelling), and the future is not like the past and present but is a result of combining current and unexpectedly new forces or factors (intuitive scenario thinking). The idea behind this stems from the philosophy of Socrates, whereby he postulated that the truth can never be fully known and therefore, when working with the truth, one needs to work with multi-hypotheses about the truth until all but one hypothesis can be discarded. This will then bring one closer to the truth, but never lead you to know the truth in full, since the truth can't be known in full.

Applying this idea means conjunctively using two techniques which are based on the two hypotheses about the future. From a literature review it was realised that two such techniques existed, namely, stochastic modelling and scenario thinking. Stochastic modelling, by its very nature, is based on the assumption that the future is like the past and present since historical data, historical inter-relationships, experience, and modelling techniques are used to develop the model, apply it, and to interpret its results. Scenario thinking on the other hand, and specifically intuitive logics scenario thinking, is based on the notion that the future is not like the past or present, but is rather a combination of existing and new and unknown factors and forces.

At first the perceived problem with this idea was thought to exist in the problem of using both techniques in combination, since the two techniques are fundamentally different

because of the fundamentally different assumptions on which they are based. The question and challenge was therefore whether these two techniques could be used in combination, and how? However, the solution to this problem was more elementary than what was initially thought. As the two techniques are fundamentally different, it implies that the two techniques can't be combined because the two underlying assumptions can't be combined. However, what is possible is to use it in conjunction without adjusting either technique. Rather, one would allow each technique to run its course, which at the same time leads to cross-pollination in terms of ideas and perspectives, where possible and applicable. The cross-pollination of ideas and perspectives will then create a process whereby ideas regarding the two basic assumptions on the future are crystallised and refined through a learning process, hence resulting in clearer perspectives on both hypotheses about whether the future will be like the past and present, or whether the future will be a combination of existing and new but unknown factors and forces. These clearer perspectives provide a framework to the decision-maker whereby the two basic hypotheses on the future can be applied simultaneously to develop strategies and policies that are likely robust enough to be successful in both instances. It also provides a framework whereby reality can be interpreted as it unfolds, which signals to the decision-maker which of the two hypotheses is playing out. This will assist the decision-maker in better perceiving what is in fact happening, hence what the newly perceived truth is in terms of the future, and therefore what needs to be done in order to survive and grow within this newly developing future, reality, or truth.

The presentation of three case studies assists in testing the hypothesis of this thesis as presented in chapter one, and concludes that the hypothesis can't be rejected. Hence, through the presentation of the case studies it is found that using scenario thinking in conjunction with stochastic modelling does indeed facilitate a more complete understanding of the risks and uncertainties pertaining to policy and strategic business decisions in agricultural commodity markets, through fostering a more complete learning experience. It therefore does facilitate better decision-making in an increasingly turbulent and uncertain environment.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Hypothesis	12
1.4 Research objective, methods, and contribution	14
1.4.1 Objective	14
1.4.2 Methods	15
1.4.3 Contribution of study	16
1.5 Outline of chapters	16
CHAPTER 2: Risk and Stochastic Modelling	18
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Definition and sources of risk	19
2.3 Risk management	21
2.4 Risk analysis in agricultural economics	25
2.4.1 Basic assumptions	25
2.4.2 Probabilities and correlation	27
2.4.3 Risk analysis methods	31
2.4.3.1 Regression modelling	32
2.4.3.2 Time series econometric modelling	38
2.4.3.3 Mathematical programming	42
2.5 Conclusion	47
CHAPTER 3: Uncertainty and Scenario Thinking	49
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 Defining uncertainty	50
3.3 The link between uncertainty and scenario thinking	53
3.4 Scenario thinking techniques	55
3.4.1 The Intuitive Logics approach to scenario thinking	55
3.4.2 Probabilistic modified trends approaches	63
3.4.3 The prospective thinking approach	64
3.5 Selecting a scenario thinking technique	65
3.6 Conclusion and summary	66
CHAPTER 4: Conceptual Framework: Using Scenario Thinking in Conjunction with Stochastic Modelling	68
4.1 Introduction	68
4.2 The proposed conceptual framework	69
4.3 Why will this framework lead to better decisions?	77
4.3.1 Normality (risk) and abnormality (uncertainty)	77



4.3.2 A more complete cognitive developmental process	80
4.4 Conclusion and summary.....	83
CHAPTER 5: Illustrating past application of the proposed framework with two case studies	85
5.1 Introduction.....	85
5.2 How the framework came about.....	89
5.3 A troubled pork company: Case study one.....	91
5.3.1 Background.....	91
5.3.2 Application of the framework.....	92
5.3.3 Context of application of the framework.....	100
5.3.4 Application of the stochastic model.....	106
5.3.5 Stochastic model versus framework	120
5.4 A farmer co-operative: Case study two	123
5.4.1 Background.....	123
5.4.2 Application of the framework.....	125
5.4.3 Application of the stochastic model.....	131
5.4.4 Stochastic model versus framework	133
5.5 Conclusion and Summary.....	133
CHAPTER 6: Illustrating a current application of the proposed framework: the case of a commercial bank.....	135
6.1 Introduction.....	135
6.2 Background.....	135
6.3 Application of the framework.....	137
6.4 Application of the stochastic model.....	145
6.5 Stochastic model versus framework	155
6.6 Summary and Conclusion.....	155
CHAPTER 7: Summary and Conclusions	157
7.1 Introduction.....	157
7.2 The proposed framework of this thesis.....	158
7.3 Strengths, weaknesses, and contribution of the proposed framework.....	160
7.4 Applying the framework in practice	162
7.5 Additional research and concluding comments	166
Reference List.....	167
Bibliography	177
Appendix A: Reports used in case study one.....	178
Appendix B: Rank correlation matrix, probability distributions used in case studies one and two.....	206
Appendix C: Reports used in case study two.....	208
Appendix D: Reports used in case study three	211
Appendix E: Rank correlation matrix, probability distributions used in case study three	238

LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.1: Simulated probability distribution results for yellow maize for 2005/06 season versus the eventual actual market outcome for the 2005/06 season	118
Table 5.2: Case study two: Framework results versus actual market outcome for 2005/06 season	129
Table 6.1: White maize trends	147
Table 6.2: Yellow maize trends	148
Table 6.3: World grain and livestock price trends	148
Table 6.4: Macro-economic trends	149
Table 6.5: Maize price simulated probability distributions	150

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: An outline of an approach to risk management.....	22
Figure 4.1: The proposed framework for addressing risk and uncertainty	70
Figure 5.1: Nominal yellow maize producer price	102
Figure 5.2: Yellow maize yield and area harvested.....	109
Figure 5.3: Expected gross market returns of summer crops.....	110
Figure 5.4: Input cost indices for grain crops	110
Figure 5.5: Yellow maize domestic consumption.....	111
Figure 5.6: Yellow maize imports and exports.....	112
Figure 5.7: Yellow maize ending stocks.....	113
Figure 5.8: Brent Crude oil price and exchange rate	114
Figure 5.9: US No. 2 (FOB Gulf) Yellow maize price trend.....	115
Figure 5.10: Yellow maize import tariff and premium on world markets.....	116
Figure 5.11: Total rainfall trend, maize planting area of South Africa.....	117
Figure 5.12: Cumulative distribution function of estimated yellow maize price for 2005/06 season.....	119
Figure 5.13: Probability density function of estimated yellow maize price for 2005/06 season.....	120
Figure 6.1: Simulated cumulative distribution functions of white and yellow maize for 2008/09 season.....	152
Figure 6.2: Simulated cumulative distribution functions for white and yellow maize 2009/10 season.....	153