

**Science and technological development of *Omashikwa*; Namibian traditional fermented  
butter milk**

**By**

**Peter George Bille**

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree Doctor of  
Philosophy (PhD)**

**In the**

**Department of Food Science**

**Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences**

**University of Pretoria**

**Pretoria**

**Republic of South Africa**

**August 2009**



## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis which I hereby submit for the degree of PhD at the University of Pretoria is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at any other University or institution of higher education.

Peter George Bille



## **DEDICATION**

This is dedicated to my family; Mrs. Monica Bille, daughters Marylyne and Gloria and sons Dennis and David for their support, prayers and encouragement during the five years I have been toiling, as a part time student, for the study.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I herewith express my sincere gratitude and acknowledgements to all institutions and individuals that have supported me in many ways as follows:

My promoter, Prof. J.R.N. Taylor, for his constant guidance and supervision during the course of the study.

My co-promoter, Prof. E.M. Buys for her interest in my work, especially in dairy technology and microbiology, and for her support and cooperation during the execution of the study.

The Edu-Loan Namibia, the institution that supported my studies for providing funds in the form of a soft loan.

The Department of Food Science and Technology, Department of Chemistry and Neudamm Library of the University of Namibia for allowing me to use laboratory facilities (Spectrophotometer, Microscopes, pH meter, Incubators, Scientific balances and Proximate analysis apparatus etc) and research materials for the study.

Mr. J. Jonazi, a lecturer and statistician from the Faculty of Agriculture and Ms A. Kaduma of the Department of Statistics University of Namibia, are acknowledged for guidance and assistance during the statistical analysis of the data.

Last but not least, special thanks are due to the government staff of the Central Veterinary Laboratory in Windhoek for assistance in the analysis of pathogenic bacteria in the *Omashikwa*.

## ABSTRACT

### Science and technological development of *Omashikwa*; Namibian traditional fermented buttermilk

By

Peter George Bille

Supervisors: Prof. J.R.N. Taylor

Prof. E.M. Buys

Department: Food Science

Degree: Ph.D.

In Namibia, *Omashikwa* traditional fermented buttermilk made with the root of *Omunkunzi* (*Boscia albitrunca*) tree by local farmers is one of the most important rural food products. It provides nutrition, jobs and generates income for food security for the community. As a traditional fermented product, it is unusual as it has a viscous consistency and low syneresis. However, the quality of *Omashikwa* is inconsistent and is characterized by high acid taste, low pH, rancid flavour, root taste and smell and contains filth.

In this research, the production process of *Omashikwa*, physico-chemical properties of the traditional and laboratory prepared *Omashikwa* and the role of the root of *B. albitrunca* tree in *Omashikwa*, viscosity, syneresis, microbiology and sensory properties were studied and compared in order to improve the quality of *Omashikwa* for wider community use and for poverty alleviation. *Omashikwa* was found to have a protein content of about 3.3%, fat 1.6%, moisture 90%, lactose 4.6%, ash 0.7%, total solids 8.7%, lactic acid 0.9% and a pH of 3.3

The quality of traditionally processed *Omashikwa* (TO) was compared with the laboratory processed *Omashikwa* (LO), which was made without the root. Traditional fermentation was carried out with raw milk and under rural conditions. After fermentation the milk was agitated vigorously to churn into butter, whereas LO was made with pasteurized (65°C/30 min) and filtered milk, and cream was scooped off after fermentation instead of churning. LO had a significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher pH (4.44) compared to traditional *Omashikwa* (pH 3.25), lower acidity (0.68%) compared to 0.92% of TO. Fat content was higher in LO (2.44% fat) compared to 1.56% fat in TO. LO was free from filth and had higher viscosity (2.98 Pa.s) compared to 2.54 Pa.s and lower syneresis (14.4ml/24 ml) compared to 19.6ml/24ml of TO.

It was found that extract from *B. albitrunca* root showed a low pH of 4.7 and exhibited bacterial inhibition properties on Total Plate Count Agar ring test. The root appear to specifically inhibit *Escherichi coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Clostridium* species. It also had a high content of soluble carbohydrates (hydrocolloids or gum) (19.4%).

Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in total aerobic counts was observed in TO of 6.62 log cfu/g compared to 8.62 log cfu/g of LO and lower lactic acid bacterial counts, 6.58 log cfu/g compared to 7.87 log cfu/g of LO. Probably the most affected microorganisms in TO were the non acid formers, as lower pH of TO and inhibitory compounds in *Omunkunzi* root could have reduced them. Coliforms, yeasts and moulds counts were not significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). No pathogenic bacteria were found in either product. The lactic acid bacteria identified belonged to the genera *Lactobacillus* (*Lb.*) (*Weissella*), *Leuconostoc* (*Leuc.*), *Lactococcus* (*Lact.*) and *Streptococcus* (*Str.*) Twenty representative strains of LAB isolates were identified to species level; three belonged to the species *Lb. delbrueckii* subsp. *lactis* and two belonged to *Lb. plantarum* and two to *Weissella confusa* (former *Lb. confuses*). Three belonged to *Str. salivarius thermophilus*, three to *Leuc. lactis*, and two to *Leuc. mesenteroides* subsp. *mesenteroides*. Three belonged to *Lact. lactis* subsp. *lactis* and two belonged to *Lact. lactis* subsp. *cremoris*.

Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) in descriptive and consumer sensory attributes scores were observed between traditional and laboratory *Omashikwa*. Sensory attributes scores of TO on

the levels of syneresis was 3.4 compared to 2.9 for LO, filth 3.0 compared to 1.8 in LO, rancidity scores were 3.4 in TO compared to 1.8 in LO, and bitterness 4.2 in TO compared to 2.5 in LO. Aroma scores were 2.6 for TO and 4.2 for LO, viscosity 2.5 (TO) and 3.8 (LO) and texture 2.7 for TO compared to 4.2 for LO. There was an 80% preference score given to the laboratory *Omashikwa* by the young consumer panelists.

The results of this study indicate justification of using *B. albitrunca* root in the processing of *Omashiwa* by the rural community to improve the quality of *Omashikwa* in terms of flavour, smell and consistency compared to other traditional fermented milk products and in the absence of modern technology. However, application of good manufacturing practices on unit operations, particularly heat treatment of milk prior to fermentation, use of lactic acid starter cultures, maintenance of good hygiene and sanitation including packaging, seem to be the effective methods to improve and sustain the quality and safety of traditional fermented buttermilk (*Omashikwa*) for a wider market and better price.

Namibia Dairies Ltd, just like any other dairies in the region and elsewhere, manufactures buttermilk, a byproduct of butter that is fermented with mesophilic lactic acid cultures and branded as *Omashikwa* for the purpose of marketing. It has nothing to do with traditional *Omashikwa* as such; *B. albitrunca* root is not added and is processed by using modern industrial method. In addition, additives such as preservatives (potassium sorbate), stabilizers (pectin) and sugar are added and packed for distribution.

This research project therefore investigated the processing technology, physico-chemical, microbiological, viscosity and sensory quality of traditional *Omashikwa*. The remedial measures to curb inconsistency and poor quality experienced in *Omashikwa* processed in Namibia were also investigated and scientific measures were proposed for production of quality *Omashikwa* for marketing to a wider community.

Since laboratory processing method of *Omashikwa* gave a better quality product compared to traditional method in terms of microbiological quality, sensory attributes, viscosity, filth content, syneresis and general appearance, laboratory processing technique of processing *Omashikwa* is therefore recommended as an alternative and appropriate processing method for small scale production in the rural set up to improve the quality of *Omashikwa*.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.....	I
DECLARATION.....	II
DEDICATION.....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	IV
ABSTRACT.....	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	VIII
LIST OF TABLES .....	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES .....	XIV
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....	7
2.1 FERMENTATION.....	8
2.1.1 Lactic acid fermentation .....	8
2.1.2 Taxonomy of lactic acid bacteria involved in fermentation .....	11
2.1.2.1 <i>Lactobacilli/Weissella</i> .....	12
2.1.2.2 <i>Pediococci and Streptococci</i> .....	13
2.1.2.3 <i>Leuconostocs</i> .....	13
2.1.2.4 <i>Lactococci</i> .....	13
2.1.3 Factors controlling fermentation.....	14
2.1.3.1 Intrinsic factors .....	14
2.1.3.2 Extrinsic factors .....	16
2.2. FLAVOUR COMPOUNDS IN FERMENTED MILK .....	19
2.2.1 Diacetyl.....	19



2.2.2 Acetaldehyde.....	20
2.2.3 Production of polysaccharides (slime).....	21
<b>2.3 THE EFFECT OF FERMENTATION ON FOOD SAFETY .....</b>	<b>22</b>
2.3.1 Organic acids .....	22
2.3.2 Bacteriocins.....	23
2.3.3 Anti-cancer effects .....	24
<b>2.4 PHYSIOLOGICAL BENEFITS OF FERMENTED MILKS .....</b>	<b>25</b>
2.4.1 Lactose utilization.....	25
2.4.2 Hypocholesterolemia activity .....	25
2.4.3 General microbial interference (antagonism) .....	26
<b>2.5 EFFECT OF FERMENTATION ON NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF MILK.....</b>	<b>27</b>
2.5.1 Proteins .....	27
2.5.2 Vitamins.....	27
<b>2.6 TRADITIONAL FERMENTED MILKS IN AFRICA .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>2.7 CONCLUDING REMARKS .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>3 HYPOTHESES AND OBJECTIVES .....</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1 HYPOTHESES .....	35
3.2 OBJECTIVES .....	35
<b>4. RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1. The Technology and Properties of <i>Omashikwa</i> , Traditional Fermented Buttermilk Produced by Small-holder Milk Producers in Namibia.....	36
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	38
4.1.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	39
4.1.2.1 Materials .....	39



4.1.2.2 Production of traditional <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	39
4.1.2.3 Production of laboratory <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	40
4.1.2.4 Chemical analyses.....	41
4.1.2.5 Microbial enumeration and isolation .....	41
4.1.2.6 Enumeration of common pathogens .....	41
4.1.2.7 Sensory evaluation.....	42
4.1.2.8 Viscosity .....	42
4.1.2.9 Syneresis .....	42
4.1.2.10 Filth.....	42
4.1.2.11 Statistical analysis.....	42
<b>4.1.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>4.1.4 CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4.1.5 LITERATURE CITED .....</b>	<b>50</b>
4.2 Effect of <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> ( <i>Omukunzi</i> ) root on the bacteriology and viscosity of <i>Omashikwa</i> , traditional fermented buttermilk from Namibia.....	53
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>4.2.1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>55</b>
4.2.2.1 Collection of <i>Omashikwa</i> , <i>B. albitrunca</i> root and skim milk samples .....	55
4.2.2.2 Preparation of the <i>B. albitrunca</i> root for analysis.....	55
4.2.2.3 Processing of traditional <i>Omashikwa</i> (TO).....	55
4.2.2.4 Processing of <i>Omashikwa</i> without <i>B. albitrunca</i> root (LO) .....	56
4.2.2.5 pH of <i>B. albitrunca</i> root and <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	56
4.2.2.6 Proximate analysis of <i>B. albitrunca</i> root .....	56
4.2.2.7 Viscosity of <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	57



4.2.2.8 Enumeration and identification of microorganisms.....	57
4.2.2.9 Bacterial inhibition test by <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> root.....	59
4.2.2.10 Statistical analyses .....	59
<b>4.2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>60</b>
4.2.3.1 Proximate composition .....	60
4.2.3.2 Bacterial inhibition properties.....	62
4.2.3.3 pH of <i>B. albitrunca</i> root and <i>Omashikwa</i> samples .....	64
4.2.3.4 Total microbial composition of <i>Omashikwa</i> with and without <i>B. albitrunca</i> root .....	66
4.2.3.5 Identification of LAB to genus level .....	68
4.2.3.6 Identification of LAB to species levels.....	70
<b>4.2.4 CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>4.2.5 LITERATURE CITED .....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>4.3 DESCRIPTIVE SENSORY EVALUATION OF OMASHIKWA TRADITIONAL FERMENTED MILK FROM NAMIBIA .....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.3.1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>4.3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>79</b>
4.3.2.1 Fresh raw milk, <i>Omashikwa</i> and <i>Omukunzi</i> samples.....	79
4.3.2.2 Processing of <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	79
4.3.2.3 Descriptive Sensory Analysis .....	80
4.3.2.4 Consumer preference test.....	82
4.3.2.5 Statistical analysis .....	82
<b>4.3.2.6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>83</b>
4.3.2.6.1 Mean scores for descriptive sensory analysis .....	83
4.3.2.6.2 Consumer acceptability results .....	85



4.3.3	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	89
4.3.4	<b>LITERATURE CITED</b> .....	90
5.	<b>TRAINING OF MILK PRODUCERS/PROCESSORS</b> .....	93
5.1	Background .....	93
5.2	Objective of training programme .....	96
5.3	Training needs assessment.....	96
5.4	Training.....	97
5.4.1	Dairy training .....	98
6.	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b> .....	101
6.1.	Methodologies: a critical review.....	101
6.2	Enumeration of microorganisms from <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	106
6.3	Effect of pH on viscosity of fermented milks	108
6.4	Descriptive and consumer sensory analyses	108
6.5	Use of the knowledge to improve the quality of <i>Omashika</i>	109
6.6	Recommendation for future research.....	112
7.	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	113
8.	<b>LITERATURE CITED</b> .....	115
9	<b>APPENDIX</b> .....	142

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 4.1:</b> Proximate composition and properties of <i>Omashikwa</i> (g/100 g).....	44
<b>Table 4.2:</b> Sensory evaluation of traditional (TO) and laboratory (LO) <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	46
<b>Table 4.3:</b> Mean total microbial numbers (log cfu/g) of traditional (TO) and laboratory (LO) <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	48
<b>Table 4.4:</b> Proximate composition of <i>B. albitrunca</i> root (g/100 g).....	61
<b>Table 4.5:</b> Effect of <i>B. albitrunca</i> root on the total counts of aerobic microbes and lactic acid bacteria counts (log cfu/g) of samples of <i>Omashikwa</i> (TO and LO) from Namibia.....	67
<b>Table 4.6:</b> Effect of <i>B. albitrunca</i> root on the distribution of 100 dominant lactic acid bacteria isolated from <i>Omashikwa</i> samples with and without the <i>B. albitrunca</i> root in northern Namibia .....	69
<b>Table 4.7:</b> Identification of lactic acid bacteria isolated from <i>Omashikwa</i> with and without <i>B. albitrunca</i> root to species level by API 50 CH method.....	71
<b>Table 4.8:</b> Descriptors and definitions for sensory attributes of <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	81
<b>Table 4.9:</b> Mean scores for descriptive sensory attributes of <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	85
<b>Table 4.10:</b> Consumer acceptance results: Mean rating of acceptability and attributes of two <i>Omashikwa</i> samples .....	87
<b>Table 4.11:</b> Consumer Preference results.....	88

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1.1:</b> Pieces of root of <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> tree used for processing <i>Omashikwa</i> .....	3
<b>Figure 1.2:</b> Fermented buttermilk ( <i>Omashikwa</i> ) displayed for sale in the open market in northern Namibia with lumps of butter .....	4
<b>Figure 1.3:</b> <i>Omashikwa</i> display in recycled bottles for sale at Omuthiya northern Namibia. .	5
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> The pathway for glucose dissimilation by homofermenters and heterofermenters .....	10
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> Mixed acid fermentation by some enteric bacteria.....	11
<b>Figure 2.3:</b> Traditional procedure for making <i>Maziwa-lala</i> .....	31
<b>Figure 2.4:</b> Flow diagram for making commercial <i>Mala</i> .....	32
<b>Figure 2.5:</b> Flow diagram for commercial <i>Omashikwa</i> . .....	33
<b>Figure 4.1:</b> Procedure for the production of traditional <i>Omashikwa</i> in Namibia .....	40
<b>Figure 4.2:</b> Bacterial inhibition effect of <i>B. albitrunca</i> root on TPC Agar .....	63
<b>Figure 4.3:</b> Effect of incubation at 10°C on the pH and viscosity of <i>Omashikwa</i> with and without <i>B. albitrunca</i> root .....	65
<b>Figure 5.1:</b> Communal farmers who participated in the training .....	98
<b>Figure 5.2:</b> Milk-Pro processing facilities at Ogongo used for training .....	99
<b>Figure 5.3:</b> Cream separation for manufacturing of butter and ghee .....	99
<b>Figure 5.4:</b> Laboratory for milk analysis and quality control –Ogongo Campus .....	100
<b>Figure 6.1:</b> Flow-chart of the proposed small-scale <i>Omashikwa</i> processing.....	111