

**A CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM AND AN INTER-
DISCIPLINARY ACTION PLAN FOR THE
PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF
RECIDIVISM**

By

MARELIZE ISABEL SCHOEMAN

FOR PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE DEGREE

**DOCTOR PHILOSOPHIAE IN
SOCIAL WORK**

in the

**FACULTY OF HUMANITIES
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK**

at the

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

PROMOTER: PROF. DR. ANTOINETTE LOMBARD

NOVEMBER 2002

PRETORIA

DEDICATION

**THIS STUDY IS DEDICATED TO THE
FOLLOWING PERSONS:**

**My husband Ryno for all his motivation and moral
support through long and often difficult years of
study**

My children Joggie and Rynhard

**My parents Joggie and Isa van Bruggen as well as
Tom and Nellie-Marie Schoeman for their
encouragement**

**All the professional people, especially social
workers, who are involved in offender care**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TO GOD ALL THE GLORY

The Department of Correctional Services is acknowledged for permission and assistance in this study. The opinions expressed and the conclusions reached, are those of the author and not necessarily supported by the Department of Correctional Services.

The United States of America is acknowledged for the opportunity they granted the researcher, by means of their visitors program, to explore the prevention and management of crime and recidivism in America.

My sincere appreciation to the following individuals to who I am most grateful;

- Prof. Dr. A Lombard, my promoter, for her guidance, expertise, motivation and support
- Bertie Hannekom of the Perspective Training College for assisting with the development of the PFIR econometric scale
- Karin Coode for editing the research report
- To all the social workers of the Department of Correctional Services who assisted me during the quantitative phase of this study
- To all the experts mentioned in this study for sharing their knowledge with me
- To all my friends, especially Maryna, Lyndsay, Annie and Marelle for their assistance and support

ABSTRACT

A CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM AND AN INTER-DISCIPLINARY ACTION PLAN FOR THE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF RECIDIVISM

by

M I Schoeman

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Antoinette Lombard

Department of Social Work

The high crime rate in South Africa and the government's apparent inability to deal with this problem is a reality. Even though no official statistics exist regarding the recidivism rate in South Africa it is estimated that it could be between 55% and 95%. The contributing role that recidivism plays towards the high crime rate can therefore not be ignored.

In South Africa no classification system exists whereby a repeat offender can formally be classified as a recidivist. The crime prevention and management strategies currently utilised in South Africa furthermore does not recognise and address the role that recidivism plays as contributing factor towards the high crime rate.

The aim of this study was to formulate a classification system for the South African recidivist in order to compile an inter-disciplinary action plan for the prevention and management of recidivism.

The research design of this study was exploratory and both quantitative and qualitative data gathering methods were used in this study. The quantitative study involved the completion of the PFIR eco-metric scale by offenders falling within the classification criteria for recidivism. From the analyses of this data a proposed profile of the South African recidivist was compiled. During the qualitative phase of the research interviews were conducted with experts in the field of crime prevention and management. A semi-structured interview schedule was used for this purpose.

Based on the key findings of the study an inter-disciplinary action plan for the prevention and management of recidivism was compiled. The purpose of this action plan is to propose an inter-disciplinary and inter-sectoral intervention and management strategy to address recidivism holistically. Within the action plan it is suggested that recidivism should be addressed on three levels, namely prevention, therapeutic and developmental intervention and reintegration. The primary recommendation of this study was that the proposed inter-disciplinary action plan should be adopted by policy makers and be included in the crime management and prevention strategies

University of Pretoria etd - Schoeman, M I (2002)

of South Africa. The study concluded with specific recommendations to help facilitate this process.

KEY TERMS

Recidivism

Chronic offender

Habitual offender

Criminal career

Inter-disciplinary action plan

Classification system

Crime management

Crime prevention

Psycho-social functioning inventory for recidivism (PFIR)

Forensic social work



TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 TREND IN THE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF CRIME AND RECIDIVISM IN SOUTH AFRICA	4
1.3 MOTIVATION FOR THE CHOICE OF RESEARCH TOPIC	9
1.4 PROBLEM STATEMENT	14
1.5 AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	15
1.5.1 Aim	15
1.5.2 Objectives	16
1.6 RESEARCH QUESTIONS FOR THE STUDY	16
1.7 RESEARCH APPROACH	17
1.8 TYPE OF RESEARCH	18
1.9 RESEARCH DESIGN	19
1.10 RESEARCH STRATEGY AND PROCEDURE	20
1.11 PILOT STUDY	22
1.12 RESEARCH POPULATION, SAMPLING AND SAMPLING METHOD	26
1.13 ETHICAL ISSUES	30
1.14 DEFINITION OF KEY CONCEPTS	31
1.15 LIMITATION OF THE STUDY	33
1.16 CONTENT OF THE RESEARCH REPORT	34

CHAPTER 2

RECIDIVISM AS PHENOMENON

2.1 INTRODUCTION	36
2.2 CONCEPTUALISING RECIDIVISM	37
2.2.1 Defining recidivism	38
2.2.2 Analysing recidivism	43
2.2.3 Redefining and classifying recidivism as a South African phenomenon	54
2.3 RECIDIVISM AS PHENOMENON	56
2.3.1 The chronic offender	56
2.3.2 The criminal career	61
2.3.4 A general profile of the chronic offender and recidivist	69
2.4 CONCLUSION	73

CHAPTER 3

CAUSATIVE FACTORS OF CRIME AND RECIDIVISM

3.1 INTRODUCTION	75
3.2 INDIVIDUAL RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH CRIMINAL CAUSATION	78
3.2.1 Genetic and hereditary factors in relation to criminal causation	79
3.2.2 Physical and biological factors as risk factors associated with criminal causation	81
3.2.3 Intelligence as risk indicator of criminal causation	84
3.2.4 Personality and temperament as criminal risk predictor	85

3.2.5 Mental and physiological disorders as criminal risk factor	90
3.2.6 Socialisation as risk factor for criminal causation	98
3.2.7 Alcohol and drug abuse as risk factor for offending	103
3.3 FAMILIAL RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH CRIMINAL CAUSATION	106
3.3.1 Perinatal risk factors associated with causation of criminal behaviour	107
3.3.2 Family structure and composition versus crime	110
3.3.3 Family functioning as risk factor for criminal causation	114
3.4 COMMUNITY ASSOCIATED CRIMINAL RISK FACTORS	125
3.5 CONCLUSIONS	127
CHAPTER 4	
A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK ON RECIDIVISM AND CRIME	
4.1 INTRODUCTION	129
4.2 BEHAVIORISM	131
4.3 SOCIAL PROCESS THEORIES	137
4.3.1 Learning theory (Differential association theory)	141
4.3.2 Control theory	149
4.3.3 Developmental perspective	153
4.4 LABELLING THEORY	158
4.5 THE COPING AND RELAPSE THEORY	166
4.6 INTEGRATED MODEL FOR THE RECIDIVISM BEHAVIOURAL PROCESS	175
4.6.1 Introduction	175

4.6.2 Conceptualising of the model	176
4.7 CONCLUSION	182

CHAPTER 5

EMPIRICAL STUDY AND FINDINGS OF THE QUANTITATIVE PHASE OF THE STUDY

5.1 INTRODUCTION	184
5.2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	184
5.2.1 Research approach	184
5.2.2 Type of research	185
5.2.3 Research design	186
5.2.4 Pilot study	186
5.2.5 Research population, sample and sampling method	187
5.3 DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE PSYCHO- SOCIAL INVENTORY FOR RECIDIVISM (PFIR)	188
5.4 RESEARCH FINDINGS OF QUANTITATIVE STUDY	194
5.4.1 Biographical interpretation	194
5.4.2 Social functioning of research respondents	202
5.4.2.1 Positive indicators of social functioning	202
5.4.2.2 Negative indicators of social functioning	214
5.4.2.3 Functioning within the environment	227
5.5 CONCLUSION	237

CHAPTER 6

A PROFILE OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN RECIDIVIST

6.1 INTRODUCTION	238
6.2 CONCLUSION OF THE QUALITATIVE PHASE OF THIS STUDY	238

6.2.1 Biographical composition	238
6.2.2 Social functioning of recidivist	240
6.2.2.1 Positive indicators of social functioning	241
6.2.2.2 Negative indicators of social functioning	243
6.2.2.3 Functioning in the environment	248
6.3 PROFILE OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN RECIDIVIST	251
6.4 RECOMMENDATIONS	257
6.5 CONCLUSION	258

CHAPTER 7

EMPIRICAL STUDY AND FINDINGS OF THE QUALITATIVE PHASE OF THE STUDY

7.1 INTRODUCTION	259
7.2 SUMMARY OF THE QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	259
7.3 EMPIRICAL FINDINGS OF THE QUALITATIVE PHASE OF THE STUDY	262
7.3.1 Respondent's perception of recidivism as concept	262
7.3.2 Prevention and management of recidivism	264
7.3.3 Assessment of need for statistics on recidivism	272
7.3.4 Identification of strategies that should be part of an inter-disciplinary action plan	274
7.3.5 Role players and partnerships in the inter-disciplinary action plan	277
7.4 CONCLUSION	278

CHAPTER 8

CONCLUSIONS, AN INTER-DISCIPLINARY ACTION
PLAN FOR THE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF
RECIDIVISM AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 INTRODUCTION	280
8.2 AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	280
8.2.1 Aim	280
8.2.2 Objectives	281
8.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	282
8.4 KEY FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION OF THE STUDY	283
8.4.1 Recidivism as crime management tool	283
8.4.2 Role players and partnerships	284
8.4.3 Prevention strategies for recidivism	284
8.4.4 Intervention strategies for recidivism	285
8.4.5 Reintegration strategies for recidivism	285
8.4.6 Continuous research on recidivism	286
8.5 AN INTER-DISCIPLINARY ACTION PLAN FOR THE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF RECIDIVISM	286
8.6 RECOMMENDATIONS	289
8.7 BIBLIOGRAPHY	292

TABLES

	PAGES
TABLE 1: Addressing crime pro- and reactively	7
TABLE 2: Population for the quantitative phase of the study	28
TABLE 3: Somatypes according to Sheldon	81
TABLE 4: Evaluation of Barkan and Brown's Disciplinary styles	123
TABLE 5: The problem management process	169
TABLE 6: Constructs measured in PFIR eco- metric scale	192
TABLE 7: Analyses of the construct - perseverance	203
TABLE 8: Analyses of the construct - satisfaction	206
TABLE 9: Analyses of the construct - problem Solving ability	210
TABLE 10: Analyses of the construct - moral values/ empathy	212
TABLE 11: Analyses of the construct - anxiety level	215
TABLE 12: Analyses of the construct - guilt feelings	216
TABLE 13: Analyses of the construct - isolation	218
TABLE 14: Analyses of the construct - lack of self- Worth	221
TABLE 15: Analyses of the construct - frustration	222
TABLE 16: Analyses of the construct - helplessness	224
TABLE 17: Analyses of the construct - peer pressure	231
TABLE 18: Analyses of the construct - social support	232

TABLE 19:	Analyses of the construct - responsibility for others	233
TABLE 20:	Analyses of the construct - integration into society	235
TABLE 21:	Respondents for qualitative research	260