Chapter 1

Literature review: Diseases of *Eucalyptus* with particular reference to the taxonomy and population biology of pathogens in the *Teratosphaeriaceae*

CHAPTER 1

Diseases of *Eucalyptus* with particular reference to the taxonomy and population biology of pathogens in the *Teratosphaeriaceae*

INTRODUCTION

Eucalypt trees are endemic to Australia (including Tasmania), Papua-New Guinea and the Indonesian islands of Timor, Wetar, Flores and the Lesser Sunda Islands (Ladiges, 1997). The name *Eucalyptus* comes from the Greek word, “ευκάλυπτος” meaning "well covered". The trees were named by the botanist Charles Louis L’Hetelier in 1788, probably based on a specimen brought back by Captain James Cook from the Bruny Island in Tasmania on his third expedition in 1777.

In their natural range, eucalypts are adapted to a wide variety of environmental conditions. They occur from 40 degrees north to 45 degrees south covering tropical, subtropical and temperate latitudes (Eldridge *et al.,* 1994). They occur at altitudes from sea level to 1800 m and are found in areas with perennial rainfall or seasonal rains and in areas with more than 3000 mm rainfall a year to semi-desert regions with 300mm a year (Eldridge *et al.,* 1994). The wood produced by different species varies in physical and mechanical properties resulting in a considerable versatility of uses for these trees. The wood can be dense and hard in some species or light and soft in others (Ladiges 1997).

Ancestors of eucalypts came to the Australian region of Gondwana from the Antartica in the late Cretaceous Period, 90-65 million year ago. A rapid species radiation followed in the Tertiary Period (Ladiges 1997). However, there are reports of macrofossils similar to eucalypts in Patagonia, South America from the Miocene or Eocene epochs, (Ladiges 1997) and in New Zealand from the early Miocene (Ladiges 1997). The most recent radiation occurred 200 000 years ago and seems to be associated with an increase of the frequency of fire due to the arrival of humans and the increased aridity of land masses.

Taxonomy of eucalypts

Recent views on the phylogenetic history and the classification of eucalypts are based on both DNA sequencing analysis and morphology. Three major lineages have

been distinguished; *Angophora* (7 species)*, Corymbia* (125 species) and *Eucalyptus* (> 600 spp.) (Ladiges 1997). The majority of species used in forestry are included in one subgenus of *Eucalyptus*, viz. *Symphyomyrtus* (>300 spp.). In 2000, Brooker introduced a new classification of the eucalypts, defending the monophyly of *Angophora*, *Corymbia* and *Eucalyptus* into one genus. However, Ladiges & Frank (2000) rejected this view in support of the currently accepted separation of *Angophora*, *Corymbia* and *Eucalyptus,* based on sequence data of various regions of nuclear and chloroplast DNA (5S rDNA spacer region, ITS1, ITS2, *trn*L intron, *trn*L-F spacer and *psb*A-*trn*H spacer), Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms (RFLPs) as well as morphology.

The taxonomy of the eucalypts is continually being updated and a regular surveillance of the literature is needed to remain abreast of the current views. *Eucalyptus* is a large genus comprising more than 700 species. A similar number of sub-species, varieties and natural hybrids (Ladiges, 1997) have also been reported. There is a trend to increase the current number of species and sub-species within the genus. The list of examples in the literature has consistently been growing in the last decade. Reconsideration of the taxonomic status of established species (using both, morphology and DNA sequence analyses) and new discoveries at different taxonomic levels have contributed to the debate on the real number of natural species (Potts & Pederick, 2000). For instance, DNA sequence analyses have helped to improve resolution in difficult areas of the phylogenetic analyses. This approach has been used successfully to clarify the higher level relationships among eucalypts (Steane *et al.,* 2002) and to assess the phylogenetic position of anomalous eucalypts species (Steane *et al.,* 2007). For example DNA sequence data have recently been applied to address infra-generic questions within *Corymbia* (Parra-O *et al.,* 2009) and there has been a recent taxonomic revision of *E. camaldulensis* Dehnh (McDonald *et al*., 2009).

At the specific and sub-specific level, the literature regarding the taxonomy of Eucalyptus also grows steadily. Some recent examples include new subspecies described by Nicolle & Brooker (2005) within the *Eucalyptus spathulata* Hook. complex and new subspecies *within Eucalyptus sargentii* Maiden. *Eucalyptus sargentii* subsp. *onesia* D. Nicolle was separated from subsp. *sargentii* based on the capability to tolerate highly saline soils and a higher propensity to regenerate after fires. Other examples are the new subspecies of *E. jutsonii* Maiden (Nicolle & French, 2007) and a *new subspecies* of Corymbia, C. cadophora *subsp. polychrome* R.L. Barret (Myrtaceae), described in the east Kimberley region of Western Australia (Barrett, 2007). *At the species level,* 14 new species were described in the book by Hill *et al.,* (2001). In South Western Australia the Diamond Gum tree (*Eucalyptus rhomboidea* Hopper & D. Nicolle) was described (Hooper & Nicolle, 2007), along with four other new species viz. E. sinuosa *D. Nicolle, M.E. French & McQuoid*, *E. retusa D. Nicolle, M.E. French & McQuoid ,* E. lehmannii (Schauer) Benth. subsp. *parallela* D. Nicolle & M.E. French and E. conferruminata D. Carr & S. Carr subsp. *recherche* D. Nicolle & M.E. French *(Nicolle* et al., *2008). The natural occurrence of hybrid eucalypt species adds another level of complexity to the taxonomic discussions of the group (McKinnon* et al., *2004; Nicolle* et al*., 2008; Walker* et al., *2009).* For further information, a compilation of 569 papers beginning in 1725 can be found at the Flora Base Botanical Library following the link: http://florabase.calm.wa.gov.au/search/library?authors=&id=&publdate=&publisher=&series=&source=&subjects=&title=eucalyptus&type=sum&page=1

Domestication of eucalypt trees for forestry

Eucalypts makes up the second most important tree resource after pines used for plantation forestry worldwide. Estimates included in the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) forestry reports (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2006 http://www.fao.org/docrep/008/a0400e/a0400e00.htm; 2009 http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0640e/i0640e00.htm) are that there are over 19.6 million hectares of these trees planted worldwide covering 8% of the productive cultivated forests areas. These plantations are a source of wood and wood products in areas with remarkably different climates. They are planted as exotics in more than 60 countries in North Africa, the Middle East, Central and East Asia, Southern Europe, North and South America (Eldridge *et al.,* 1994). It has also been predicted that by 2010, the total area plated to eucalypts would be over 20 million ha (Turnbull 2000).

It was only in the latter part of the last Century that industries based on fast-growing eucalypts developed worldwide. In Australia, 60 out of 400 species are considered to be of economic importance. Of these, 10 to 15 species are commonly cultivated worldwide (Ladiges, 1997). Around 100 species are planted worldwide, including hybrids. *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill, *E. pellita* F. Muell., *E. urophylla* S.T. Blake*, E. camaldulensis, E. nitens* (Deane & Maiden), *E. grandis* Hill: Maiden, and *E. tereticornis* Sm. are the most important species currently in plantations (Turnbull 2000). Reliable and updated information about the status of plantations per species and areas under which they are cultivated in different countries is difficult to collect. Currently available private and public information is scattered. At present, this kind of information is not well captured in global reference reports. Internet sources are useful in this regard and they show the current dynamism of the sector in different countries. A summary list of internet sites including relevant general information on *Eucalyptus* and per species is provided in Table 1.

The initial choice of species for forestry has varied in different countries according to climatic and edaphic factors, and the objective of planting (Eldridge *et al.,* 1994; Florence 1996; Poynton 1979). The most extensive plantations of *Eucalyptus* in the world are found in India (8 million hectares) in relatively low productivity plantations, and Brazil (4 million hectares), where plantations are of hybrid-clones, intensively managed and of high productivity (Stape *et al.* 2010). A

detailed world map of *Eucalyptus* planted areas, compiled from information from the FAO, Department of Forestry, 35 organizations and individual experts worldwide is available at:

http://git-forestry.com/download_git_eucalyptus_map.htm.

There is increasing demand for wood products worldwide. Forestry companies can fulfil these requirements either by increasing cultivated areas or by increasing productivity. Available land for forestry purposes, however, is a limited resource. In countries such as South Africa where expansion of area for planting is not possible, technology will play a fundamental role. In this regard, it has been estimated that the productivity of *Eucalyptus* plantations could potentially be increased by 40% (Little *et al.,* 2003).

Both the health of trees and stress factors are tightly associated with increased productivities of plantations (Keane *et al.,* 2000). Healthy plantations are better able to naturally resist some pathogens and pests. Research is important to understand the stress factors plantations are exposed to and how to avoid or eliminate them. For example, correct nutrition can help to prevent or eliminate stress factors in plantations (Carnegie 2000; Stape *et al.,* 2004). Another important means to avoid stress problems is to achieve a correct site-species matching of trees by choosing tolerant genotypes in high-risk areas for disease (Carnegie 2007). This is an area of concern that is currently strongly supported by multidisciplinary studies including disciplines such as soil science, microclimate modelling and monitoring of climate change (Kirilenko & Sedjo 2007). A general area of recent interest aims to achieve "induced resistance" to pests and pathogens. There is broad experience on how to trigger these mechanisms in herbaceous plants. This is an area currently under investigation for woody plants (Eyles *et al.,* 2010). This approach could lead to important tools in the management of plantations as it could be used to overcome the economic and environment restrictions of pesticides.

Biotechnology relating to *Eucalyptus* plays an important role in increasing productivity. All these technologies rely on the natural variability of *Eucalyptus* to adapt to a large range of bioclimatic conditions and the ability to produce natural hybrids (Eldridge 1994). The level of natural variation within populations is high. It is

common to find variation within provenances that allows for selection of a wide variety of special traits. Some examples are frost tolerance (Byrne *et al.,* 1997; Fernández *et al*., 2006; Moraga *et al.,* 2006; Volker *et al.,* 1994), salinity tolerance and waterlogging (Mahmood *et al*., 2003), adaptation to arid conditions (Merchant *et al.,* 2007), pulpwood quality (Miranda & Pereira 2002) flowering times (Mora *et al*., 2007) and "Mycosphaerella" leaf disease (MLD) disease resistance (Eiles *et al.,* 2010; Milgate *et al.,* 2005).

Vegetative propagation of *Eucalyptus* has made possible the propagation of trees with exceptional characteristics in clonal plantations. Hybrid propagation has been important in fighting disease. One of the first successes was the production of hybrids resistant against Chryphonectria canker caused by *Chryphonectria cubensis* (Bruner) Hodges (= *Chrysoporthe cubensis* (Bruner) Gryzenhout & M.J. Wingf.) in Brazil (Wingfield 2003). Since then, producing and planting hybrids has become a common practice to find resistance in many countries (Denison & Kietzka 1993). There have, nevertheless, been some exceptions. For example, *E. globulus* x *E. nitens* hybrids developed for tolerance to MLD resulted in higher susceptibility than any of the parental trees species to MLD (Carnegie & Ades 2002; Dungey *et al.,* 1997).

Biotechnological developments in particular based on molecular biology have been increasingly incorporated into breeding programmes. The strength of these technologies relies in their power to unravel the basic mechanisms of adaptation and physiology and the ability to determine the genetic basis of desirable characteristics (e.g. disease resistance, quality attributes of the wood, oil production and fragrances).Ultimately, these technologies will allow the direct manipulation of characteristics based on gene transferring approaches. It is certainly expected that there will be a new boost of technological improvements in these research and application areas as a result of the completion of the *Eucalyptus* genome project (DOE Joint Genome Institute, http://www.jgi.doe.gov/ and EUCAGEN http://web.up.ac.za/eucagen/viewnews.aspx?id=28. Currently, a preliminary 8X assembly produced by JGI of the ~600 Mbp *E. grandis* genome (690Mb in 6043 scaffolds) is available at *Eucalyptus*DB, http://eucalyptusdb.bi.up.ac.za/.

EMERGENT FUNGAL PATHOGENS AND PEST IN FOREST PLANTATION

Forestry specialists and organizations around the world recognize that there are increasing numbers of pests and pathogens affecting the health status of forests worldwide (FAO 2006 http://www.fao.org/docrep/008/a0400e/a0400e00.htm; FAO 2009 http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0640e/i0640e00.htm; McDonald 2010; Wingfield 2003; Wingfield *et al.,* 2001, 2008). However, it is difficult to source precise data regarding global evaluations of the problem. The FAO forestry assessment reports (produced approximately every 5 years) provide the most comprehensive source of data on the topic. In this section, I examine and introduce some comments on the information included in the latest FAO global forestry report (2009, http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0640e/i0640e00.htm) on the general status of diseases in forest and plantations on a global scale.

The 2009 global review of forest pest and diseases by the FAO included information from 25 countries, http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0640e/i0640e00.htm. As mentioned in the report, the quality of the information is not homogeneous. Only 13 of the 25 participant countries provided quantitative data. The remaining countries were able to provide only qualitative and fragmented data. The information was not easily accessible for various reasons (e.g. no presence on public databases and presence of manual records only, monitoring programs not implemented due to lack of specialized people in the field and lack of resources). In general terms, more information was gathered from the private sector groups than from the public sectors. The information provided is, in many cases "the best guess" of the researchers and the actual sources and origin of particular species remain unknown. The *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen *Teratosphaeria zuluensis* M.J. Wingf., Crous & T.A. Cout.) M.J. Wingf. & Crous provides a good example. It is classified in the FAO study as introduced, although there is actually no proof supporting this status for any of the countries from which the fungus has been reported.

The most relevant global conclusions included in the report are summarized in the following points:

- Seventy seven percent of the reported diseases are caused by insect pests, mainly Coleoptera and Lepidoptera.

- Twenty three percent are reported as caused by other pests or pathogens, mainly from Ascomycota.

- Fifty four percent of pests and pathogens were recorded in cultivated forests.

- In all participating regions, more pests and pathogens were reported in cultivated forests than in regenerated or natural forests.

-Introduced pathogens and pests were found most prevalently in cultivated forests.

- In all geographical regions considered, more pests and pathogens were recorded on broad-leaf trees (62% broad leaf, 30% conifers, 8 % on both). In cultivated forests the same trend was observed; most commonly affected trees were broadleaf trees.

As a further exercise, the numerical information contained in the 2009 FAO report (http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0640e/i0640e00.htm) was used to evaluate global trends relating to pests and diseases. The information was compiled by continent and plotted (Fig 1). The graph shows the abundance of pathogen and pests diseases (endemic + introduced diseases) per continent. Interestingly, the diseases caused by pathogens were relatively more abundant than damage caused by pests on the African and Asian continents. The opposite relationship between pathogens and pests was shown for Europe and America.

Specifically relating pests and diseases to eucalypts, the total planted area of *Eucalyptus* per continent was plotted together with the abundance of pests and pathogens. It is not possible to suggest a direct relationship between the abundance of pests and pathogens and planted areas of *Eucalyptus* trees. Nevertheless, it is interesting that the continent with the most extensive areas of cultivated *Eucalyptus* is the continent with highest abundance of pest and pathogens. This might be explained by the fact that *Eucalyptus* provides opportunities on that the continent for pathogens and pests to encounter new niches on susceptible trees. In fact, recent work has shown that the diversity of pathogens in the *Mycosphaerellaceae* and *Teratosphaeriaceae* on *Eucalyptus* in Asia is higher than

previously thought and new species (Burgess *et al.,* 2007b; Crous *et al.,* 2009b; Zhou *et al.,* 2008) as well as host shifts to *Eucalyptus* have been documented (Burgess *et al.,* 2007b).

Emergent fungal pathogens in *Eucalyptus* plantations

In their natural range, eucalypts (*Eucalyptus* and *Corymbia*) are damaged by a wide variety of pests and diseases (Keane *et al.,* 2000). During the first years where eucalypts were established in plantations in new and non-native locations, the trees showed improved development in comparison to that achieved in their natural environments (Wingfield 2003). The explanation for this response is thought to be due to the "enemy and escape hypothesis" originally by Jeffries & Lawton (1984). This hypothesis has subsequently been supported by other authors (Keane & Crawley 2002; Mitchell & Power 2003). The hypothesis suggests that trees in the absence of natural enemies grow more vigorously than in their original geographical range as they grow relatively free of problems. The favourable conditions persist in plantations until the local pests and diseases adapt to the new- comer trees or until their natural enemies are also introduced into the exotic locations.

Unfortunately, this favourable period of *Eucalyptus* forestry has come to its end. There is a constant trend of increasing numbers of pests and diseases in plantations worldwide (Old *et al.,* 2000; Old 2003b; Sankaran *et al.,* 1995; Wingfield *et al.,* 2008). This is not a completely unexpected as it has happened before to more traditional crops (Anderson *et al*., 2004).

A number of factors have contributed to the end of the favourable period for *Eucalyptus* plantations where they were largely free of pests and pathogens. At one side of the spectrum, the initial success of exotic plantations led to clonal forestry and monoculture plantations. Such plantations are characterized by high levels of genetic uniformity. Although appropriate to optimize productivity, uniform monocultures have introduced high levels of risk to establish pests and diseases (Burgess and Wingfield 2004; FAO 2009, http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0640e/i0640e00.htm; Jactel *et al.,* 2002; Old *et al.,* 2003b; Wingfield *et al.,* 2008; Zhu *et al.,* 2000). Planted in large areas, monocultures provide the opportunity for pest and pathogens to reach populations of large size in

a short period of time. Large populations become a threat to future attempts to manage and keep the populations of pathogens under control (Keane *et al.,* 2000; Wingfield *et al.*, 1995; Old *et al.,* 2003b).

Original sources of pathogens causing disease in *Eucalyptus* trees plantations

There are few examples of well documented situations regarding the determination of the origins of diseases of *Eucalyptus* in exotic plantations. Many species of pathogens are completely new to forestry and in the majority of the cases there is little knowledge on the biology and geographical ranges of these organisms. In general, the movement and spread of the pathogens does not follow a clear route or pattern of distribution (Wingfield *et al.,* 2008). Recent studies, particularly population genetics studies are making an important contribution to understanding epidemiological aspects of *Eucalyptus* diseases as well as to explain the origins of the major pathogens of these trees.

At a global scale, the problem of the origin of these species gets more complicated as the globalization contributes to the dispersion of pathogens. Transportation of germplasm in the form of seeds has been recognized as an important medium allowing pathogens of *Eucalyptus* to spread globally (Old *et al.,* 2003b). The pathogens can also be accidentally transported and spread between regions or countries by exchanges of infected plant material. For example, they can be carried on machinery, tools and even introduced by humans through the frequent exchange of forestry personal among companies (Wingfield *et al.,* 2008). In many parts of the world, particularly in regions of South-East Asia, non-registered exchange of plant materials between companies is a common practice and the movement of large amounts of seed between many different countries of the world adds to the threats. Analyses of the movement of germplasm based on clear records of exchange could help in the future to understand the movement of diseases around the globe. This would also contribute to more effective risk assessment (Wingfield *et al.,* 2001).

Many pathogens of *Eucalyptus* have spead to new locations, substantially extending their geographical areas of occurrence. For example, native pathogens from Australia have been encountered *Eucalyptus* in non-native locations. This is for

example the case for *Teratosphaeria nubilosa* (Cooke) Crous & U. Braun (Hunter *et al.,* 2004), previously treated as *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* (Cooke) Hansford and *Eucalyptus globulus* in South Africa. *Eucalyptus globulus,* known as the "blue gum" tree, was selected as the main species to initiate a hard-wood forestry industry in South Africa due to the notable growing characteristics of the species (Poynton 1979). Shortly after the establishment of the tree, a devastating leaf blotch disease, thought to be caused by *Mycosphaerella molleriana* (Thuemen) Lindau) (Crous 1998; Crous & Wingfield 1997b; Doidge *et al*., 1953; Lundquist & Purnell 1987) seriously impacted the plantations *E. globulus.* The plantations had to be permanently replaced by new resistant and later, hybrids developed in breeding programs. Population genetic data confirmed that *T. nubilosa* originated from Australia (Hunter *et al.,* 2008) and was subsequently spread to other countries from this source population. Today, the fungus remains a problem and it is the most important species of *Teratosphaeria* causing Mycosphaerella leaf disease (MLD) in South Africa where it affects the growth of Victoria provenances of *E. nitens*.

Fungal pathogens have also found a way to infect *Eucalyptus* trees by host jumping from other plants (Antonovics *et al.,* 2002; Slippers *et al.*, 2005). Cryphonectria canker disease provides a good example. The disease is caused by various species of *Chrysoporthe* (previously *Cryphonectria*) including Chrysoporthe cubensis in plantations of South-east Asia, South America and Africa (Greyzenhout *et al.,* 2004; Wingfield 2003). The sibling species *Chrysoporthe austroafricana* Gryzenhout & M.J. Wingfield has jumped from native myrtaceaous hosts in southern Africa to the exotic *Eucalyptus* in plantations (Heath *et al.,* 2006; Nakabonge *et al.,* 2006; 2007). Other species of *Chrysoporthe* have been found as natives on native Melastomataceae and have also jumped to infect *Eucalyptus* species in South America and South –east Asia (Hodges *et al.,* 1986; Rodas *et al.,* 2005).The impact of Chryphonectria canker was so important in Brazil that resistant hybrids clones *E. grandis* x *E. urophylla* were developed to substitute the widely cultivated and highly susceptible *Eucalyptus grandis* (Wingfield 2003).

There are other examples of host shifts from native trees to newly introduced *Eucalyptus* trees. The *Eucalyptus* disease caused by the fungus *Puccina psidii* Winter (*Eucalyptus* rust) has jumped from native hosts (Myrtaceae) in South

America to the exotic *Eucalyptus* (Coutinho *et al.,* 1998; Glen *et al.,* 2007). The fungus has expanded its geographical range becoming one of the most feared eucalypt pathogens in plantations. It is also of concern due to the possibility of the fungus reaching the natural forests of *Eucalyptus* (Glen *et al.,* 2007), which has recently been heightened by the appearance of the pathogen in Australia (Carnegie *et al.,* 2010). More recently, *Mycosphaerella citri* Whiteside, a serious pathogen of *Citrus* has been shown to have undergone a host-jump from citrus plantations in South-East Asia to *E. camaldulensis* in Vietnam (Burgess *et al.,* 2007b).

On indigenous *Eucalyptus* plantations, the most important infections are caused by native leaf pathogens. These pathogens belong mainly to *Teratosphaeria* spp. and its anamorphs such as *Teratosphaeria destructans* (M.J. Wingf. & Crous) M.J.Wingf. & Crous (Andjic 2007a, b; Burgess *et al.,* 2007a; Crous *et al.,* 2006). There is, however, an increasing concern that fungi that are expanding their geographical ranges such as with *P. psidii* that they will eventually reach the natural forests of *Eucalyptus* trees.

THE GENUS *MYCOSPHAERELLA*

A number of recent comprehensive reviews have examined *Mycosphaerella* Johanson and its anamorphs. This section presents a concise summary of the work and the current taxonomic status of the genus. The second goal is to provide an overview of the phylogenetic context of the causal agent of Coniothyrium canker, as it has emerged as related to *Mycosphaerella* through DNA sequencing comparisons.

Taxonomy of *Mycosphaerella*

Mycosphaerella spp*.* are Coelomycetes in the *Mycospharellaceae*. Schoch *et al.,* (2006) showed that the *Mycospharellaceae* resides in Capnodiales. In a morphological sense, *Mycosphaerella* includes more than 3000 species (Aptroot 2006) with thousands of additional anamorph species (Arzanlou *et al.,* 2007, 2008; Crous & Brown 2003; Crous *et al*., 2001a, 2004, 2006, 2007). Yet the establishment of links between anamorphs and teleomorphs cannot be made in many cases considering *Mycosphaerella* spp. in the broad sense. The number of links is likely considerably greater than has previously been suggested. At the present time, 30

anamorph genera are linked to *Mycosphaerella* sensu lato (Crous & Braun 2003, Crous *et al.,* 2007).

Approximately 100 species of *Mycosphaerella* are known to cause leaf and stem diseases of *Eucalyptus* trees (Crous 1998; Crous *et al*., 2004, 2006). This number might appear high but considering there are more than 700 species of *Eucalyptus* (Potts & Pederick, 2000), it is possible that there are many other species yet to be described. Indeed there has been a steady flow of new species of *Mycosphaerella* being described from *Eucalyptus* during the course of the last decade. Some species can be found on the same tree or even co-occurring in the same lesion (Crous & Braun 2003; Crous & Mourichon 2002; Taylor & Fisher 2003).

The phylogeny of *Mycosphaerella sensu lato* and its anamorphs represents a complex taxonomic challenge that is far from resolved. The number of species has increased significantly in recent years and as mentioned above, there are reasons to believe that this trend will continue. The trend of increasing numbers of *Mycosphaerella* spp. and its anamorphs being described over the last 35 years is illustrated in Fig 2 and this is likewise captured in research papers and in data bases (Crous 1998; Crous *et al.,* 2004, 2006, 2009a, b; Maxwell *et al*., 2003; Mycobank at http://www.mycobank.org/).

The use of DNA sequence comparisons with which to define species has inevitably revealed that identifications based solely on morphological characters has underestimated species boundaries. Many *Mycosphaerella* spp. resulting in the same or similar symptoms, the same morphological characteristics and the same germination patterns have thus been shown to represent distinct taxa. As a result, there is a consensus of opinion that DNA sequence analyses and phylogenetic inference is required to circumscribe species in this group (Crous *et al*., 2004, 2006).

Contribution of DNA sequence studies to the taxonomy of *Mycosphaerella*

The first universally used DNA region to study the phylogenetic relationship of this fungus (Crous *et al.,* 2001a, b, 2004) was the internal transcribed spacer region ITS 1 and ITS2 region, including the 5.8S gene of the ribosomal RNA operon. This region is commonly referred to as the ITS region for simplification. ITS DNA sequence comparisons offered more discriminatory power than morphological studies to

identify species and to establish species boundaries within *Mycosphaerella* (Crous *et al.,* 2004, 2006; Hunter *et al.,* 2006). Thus, cryptic species sharing symptoms and morphological characteristics were frequently found within *Mycosphaerella.* Recent examples are the identification of "complexes" of species within *M. nubilosa*, *M. parkii* Crous, M.J. Wingfield, F.A. Ferreira & Alfenas*, M. africana* Crous & M.J. Wingfield*, M. suberosa* Crous, F.A. Ferreira, Alfenas and M.J. Wingfield*, M. cryptica* (Cooke) Hansford*, M. endophytica* Crous and H. Smith to name but a few (Crous *et al.,* 2006). In other cases, ITS sequence comparisons made it possible to show that different species of *Mycosphaerella* reported on *Eucalyptus* can co-occur on the same tree, and even in the same lesion. This is the case for *M. cryptica, M. nubilosa* and *M. lateralis* Crous & M.J. Wingfield (Jackson *et al.,* 2004) or *M. secundaria* Crous & A.C. Alfenas*,* found in leaf lesions caused by *M. suberosa* (Crous *et al*., 2006).

For some *Mycosphaerellaceae* phylogeny based on the ITS region has proved to be of limited value (Crous *et al.,* 2004, 2006; Hunter *et al.,* 2006). It is clear for instance, that the ITS region is not able to provide sufficient information in the deep branches of the phylogenies and is not suitable to distinguish species in all species complexes (Crous *et al.,* 2004; Hunter *et al.,* 2006). Differences in rhythm of the "molecular clock" of the ITS region of different species explain the failures to identify and separate species. Nevertheless, the ITS region seems to provide sufficient phylogenetic information to separate species when restricted to local regions of the phylogenetic trees reviewed in (Andjic *et al*., 2007a; Cortinas *et al.,* 2006a; Crous *et al*., 2006).

Current alternatives to the ITS region for phylogenetic studies on *Mycosphaerella* and related fungi include other DNA regions and thus, reveal significant information at different time frames of the phylogeny. A common approach is to utilise multilocus DNA sequencing analyses such as those of Hunter *et al.,* (2006) and Cortinas *et al.,* (2006c) Using this approach, some *Mycosphaerella* spp. were found to represent complexes of cryptic species. In other cases, the multilocus approach allowed candidate species to be reduced to synonymy when their DNA sequences were identical across several DNA regions (*M. grandis* Carnegie & Keane – *parva* R.F. Park & Keane */ M. flexuosa* Crous & M.J. Wingfield –

M. ohnowa Crous & M.J. Wingfield */ M. amphybila* A. Maxwell*, M. molleriana, M. marksii* Carnegie & Keane *and M. intermedia* M.A. Dick & K. Dobbie) (Hunter *et al.,* 2006).

A major assumption, based on ITS data and that has been supported for years, was that *Mycosphaerella* was monophyletic (Crous *et al.,* 2001a; Crous *et al.,* 2004, 2006 Goodwin *et al.,* 2001). DNA sequence analyses using the large subunit of the RNA operon (28S or LSU) have been used recently to study deep branches in the phylogeny of *Mycosphaerella* (Hunter *et al*., 2006; Batzer *et al.,* 2008). The results have suggested that *Mycosphaerella* is not monophyletic as was previously believed.

Analyses by Crous *et al.,* (2007) concluded that *Mycosphaerella* is polyphyletic. In this study, the family *Mycosphaerellaceae* was divided into five major clades. The name *Mycosphaerellaceae* was retained for one clade including *Mycosphaerella* spp. and four new families were delimited. According to this new arrangement, the fungal diseases of *Eucalyptus* are included in a resurrected genus, *Teratosphaeria,* within the new family *Teratosphaeriaceae*. Thus, all fungal species noted thus far in this review from *Eucalyptus* have names in *Teratosphaeria.*

Mycosphaerella anamorphs

Traditionally, morphological characters have been used to separate anamorph genera associated with *Mycosphaerella*. More than 30 anamorph genera have been described and considered linked to this genus (Crous & Brown 2003; Crous *et al.,* 2006, 2007). DNA studies have rejected some of these links, included some anamorphs from other genera (e.g *Coniothyrium*) and they have led to the recognition of new genera and species.

Initial work using ITS sequence comparisons of anamorph forms suggested monophyly in *Mycosphaerella*. In addition, these studies provided sufficient grounds to support the fact that *Mycosphaerella* could be split according the anamorph genera (Sutton & Hennebert 1994; Crous 1998). The same view was supported by Crous *et al*., (2001a, b) although it was shown that some phenotypic characters evolved more than once and thus, some anamorph genera did not form clear groups. More recently, different phylogenetic analyses (Hunter *et al*., 2004,

2006; Crous *et al.,* 2007) analysing different DNA regions showed that the notion that it would be possible to predict the taxonomic location using anamorph characteristics should be discarded. This is because many anamorphs in *Mycosphaerella* are polyphyletic (Crous *et al.,* 2006). Examples of such morphological polyphyletic evolution are found in the anamorph genera *Passalora, Pseudocercospora, Phaeophleospora* and *Stenella, Colletogloeopsis* and *Kirramyces*. In a major taxonomic treatment of *Mycosphaerella* by Crous *et al.,* (2007), the mitotic genera linked to *Mycosphaerella* were considered polyphyletic and treated in *Readeriella* (*Teratosphaerellaceae*).

Crous *et al.,* (2007) introduced major controversy regarding the taxonomic treatment of the mitotic fungi on *Eucalyptus* residing in the new clades. The proposal to consider *Readeriella* as a polyphyletic group was not widely accepted. For example, the majority of the most important pathogenic species of *Eucalyptus,* including *Kirramyces* formed a strongly supported monophyletic group in previous analyses considering *Mycosphaerella* (Andjic *et al.,* 2007a; Cortinas *et al.,* 2006a; Crous *et al.,* 2006; Hunter *et al.,* 2006). Thus, the proposal of Crous *et al.,* (2007) had considerable merit, but *Readeriella* is polyphyletic and thus the monophyletic group defined for the pathogens of *Eucalyptus* was not logical.

The decision to reduce *Kirramyces* to synonymy with *Readeriella* would have serious consequences. The fact that *Kirramyces* spp. on *Eucalyptus* reside in a monophyletic group has important biological and ecological relevance as all of these fungi are important pathogens of *Eucalyptus*. This fact indicates common ancestral characteristics that allow members of the group to be pathogens of *Eucalyptus* plantations in many parts the world. Formally, there are also problems arising from the inclusion of *Kirramyces* in *Readeriella* as mentioned by Andjic *et al.,* (2007a). These authors showed that *Readeriella* is similar to *Kirramyces* but clearly different as they have phialidic conidiogenesis. Following to these morphological observations, *Readeriella* could include *Kirramyces* only if the description of the former genus were emended.

Recently, Crous *et al*., (2009c) have made an effort to alleviate the discomfort caused among the scientific community, by attempting to revise the genera in the *Mycosphaerellaceae* and *Teratosphaeriaceae* based on clear rules.

The approach here was to achieve a classification that respects the genealogical "natural" relationships, as resolved by DNA sequence LSU comparisons as well as morphological information. The proposed rules to define the genera were 1) One generic name per clade 2) DNA sequence similarity accepted over anamorph and teleomorph characteristics and they are considered equally relevant for taxonomic purposes 3) In case there are already names for anamorphs and teleomorphs, the preference is given to the oldest published name. As a result of this study, 12 genera were defined in *Mycosphaeriaceae* and nine in *Teratosphaeriaceae.*

Crous *et al.,* (2009a) published an additional study to bring taxonomic stability at the specific level to the *Teratosphaeriaceae.* LSU DNA sequences were used to study *Teratosphaeriaceae* and four main clades were defined (Fig 3). It is difficult to judge if the proposals contained in this work will result in consensus within the research community interested in this group of fungi. The analysis includes some nomenclatural inconsistencies compared to previous work (Crous *et al.,* 2007). To mention some controversial examples, the polyphyletic nature of *Readeriella* species in Crous *et al.,* (2007) re-appear in this 2009 study. *Readeriella* is together with *Teratosphaeria, Cibiessia* and *Mycosphaerella* within Clade 1 and close to *Davidiellaceae*. Formally, *Teratosphaeria zuluensis* and *T. gauchensis* (M.N. Cortinas, Crous & M.J. Wingf.) M.J. Wingf. & Crous, previously treated as *Kirramyces, Colletogloeopsis and Coniothyrium,* are proposed as *Teratosphaeria* for the first time in this paper within Clade 4. *Teratosphaeria* as well as *Batcherolomyces* remain polyphyletic among the *Teratosphaeriaceae* clades and *Readeriella, Teratosphaeria, Colletogloeopsis* and *Kirramyces* are polyphyletic within the clades. Only *Cibiessia* and *Catenulostroma* are not polyphyletic in the analyses. However, these two groups do not appear to have sufficient support to be considered as "natural" clades by themselves which challenges their "standing alone" status within the phylogeny (Fig 3).

There is a general consensus regarding the need to treat *Mycosphaerella* in more natural groups that describe genealogical relationships. The separation between *Mycospharellaceae* and *Teratosphaeriaceae* families is currently accepted and supported. However, controversy remains at the generic and species levels. Further attempts to improve taxonomic stability in these groups of fungi should

include refinements of theoretical criteria to define genera and species. On the technical side, the refinement of the phylogenies is also necessary. A first step could be achieved by including a study of several DNA regions (Crous *et al.,* 2009b, c; Hunter *et al.,* 2007). These future studies will hopefully improve the resolution of existing phylogenies by discovering new natural groups and by increasing the support of those that already exist.

Teratosphaeria (previously _Mycosphaerella_) diseases of _Eucalyptus_

The first Mycosphaerella leaf deseases (MLD) outbreaks, also referred to as Mycosphaerella Leaf Blotch (MLB) diseases, were associated with *T. cryptica* and *T. nubilosa* species (Cheah 1977; Crous & Wingfield 1996; Wingfield *et al.,* 1996; Dungey *et al.,* 1997, Park *et al.,* 2000a, b). Later, it became clear that there are more species of *Mycosphaerella* involved in causing foliar diseases (*et al.,* 1998; Crous *et al*., 2004, 2006, 2008, 2009a, b).

From 100 species reported as pathogens, only a sub-group are considered to be serious agents of disease (Crous 1998; Crous *et al.,* 2004*.,* 2006, 2008). This group includes teleomorph and anamorph species of fungi. The most important economic impacts have been caused by outbreaks from *T. cryptica*, *T. nubilosa* and more recently by the mitotic species *Teratosphaeria destructans* (Cooke and Massee) J. Walker, B. Sutton and Pascoe in South-east Asia (Barber 2004; Burgess *et al*., 2007a; Burgess & Wingfield 2004; Carnegie 1991; Carnegie *et al.,* 1998; Carnegie & Ades, 2002; Crous & Wingfield 1996; Crous *et al.,* 1989; Park 1988a; Park *et al.,* 2000b; Park & Keane 1982; Hunter *et al*., 2008, 2009; Wingfield *et al*., 1996, 2008).

Symptoms of _Teratosphaeria_ diseases (former _Mycosphaerella_ diseases)

Teratosphaeria spp*.* on *Eucalyptus* cause spots on the leaves of trees of different sizes and shapes. Depending on the severity of the infection and extension of the lesions, MLD can be present in a variety of forms, from mild spotting, to leaf blotches, leaf blight, leaf withering, tip die back, to growth stunting and necrosis (Crous 1998; Crous *et al.,* 1989; Park *et al.,* 2000a,b; Wingfield *et al.,*1996, 1997). In severe cases, the lesions increase in size covering extended areas of the leaves. The

photosynthetic surfaces of the plants can be seriously reduced causing premature defoliation. In extreme cases, infections can interfere with the normal growth and alter the tree structure and form (Carnegie *et al*., 1998; Lunquist and Purnell 1987) and premature defoliation can cause the trees to die (Carnegie 1991; Carnegie 2000; Park & Kane 1982).

In general, different fungal species produce characteristic lesion types. The lesions have been classified according to differences in their colour, colour of their margins and texture as well as their occurrence on the abaxial or adaxial leaf surfaces. Nevertheless, these lesion characteristics cannot be used as absolute parameters for classification and identification of fungal species. For example, *Teratosphaeria epicoccoides* M.J. Wingfield & Crous can present a variation of symptoms depending on the host species and stage of infection (Walker *et al.,* 1992*)* and can be confused with infections caused by other species such as *T. destructans* (Burgess *et al.,* 2007a). In these cases DNA sequencing studies are recommended to confirm the initial diagnoses (Crous *et al.,* 2004, 2006; Hunter *et al.,* 2004).

The severity of the symptoms is dependent on the susceptibility of the trees. This susceptibility varies according to species (Carnegie *et al*., 1998: Hood *et al*., 2002), provenances (Carnegie *et al.,* 1998; Dungey *et al*., 1997) and families (Dungey *et al.,* 1997; Carnegie & Johnson 2004). In addition, outbreaks can be caused by a group of species or a disease complex (Carnegie 1991, 2000; Park & Keane 1982) modifying the “pure” symptoms of the species involved.

Important MLD diseases caused by *Teratosphaeria*

The first species identified to cause MLD, *T. cryptica* and *T. nubilosa,* are also the best studied species of *Teratosphaeria*. Numerous studies have been undertaken to consider on the biology, disease cycle, host range, distribution and epidemiology and more recently population genetics of these species (Beresford 1978, Carnegie 2000, Carnegie *et al.,* 1998; Cheah 1977; Cheah & Hartill 1987; Crous & Wingfield 1996; Dungey *et al.,* 1997; Hunter *et al.,* 2002; 2008; Park 1988a, b; Park & Keane 1982; Wingfield *et al.,* 1996). This is consistent with the fact that they are the two

most important species causing MLD in Australia (Carnegie 2000; Carnegie *et al.,* 1998; Park 1988a; Park *et al.,* 2000a; Park and Keane 1982).

Outside Australia, *T. cryptica,* together with *T. nubilosa* are also serious pathogens in *Eucalyptus* plantations. They cause MLD in New Zealand (Carnegie 2000; Carnegie *et al.,* 1998; Park 1988a; Park *et al.,* 2000 a,b; Park & Keane 1982) and *T. nubilosa* was reported early in the history of plantations in South Africa (Crous 1998; Lundquist 1987; Lundquist & Prunell 1987). Infections caused by *T. nubilosa*, originally reported as *T. molleriana,* were important as early as 1930 in South Africa. The infections were so important that *E. globulus* could not continue to be grown in the country (Park *et al.,* 2000a, b). Currently, *T. nubilosa* has become the most widespread species in this country (Hunter *et al.,* 2004, 2008) where it causes a serious disease on *E. nitens*.

There are areas in which *T. cryptica* and *T. nubilosa* can co-occur. Co-occurring species have been shown to have different biology to infections caused by a single species. For instance, *T. cryptica* can penetrate juvenile and adult leaves and can infect either leaf surface, whereas *T. nubilosa* only infects juvenile leaves (Park 1988a, b). *T. cryptica* produces ascocarps and acervulli on both surfaces of the leaves whereas *T. nubilosa* produces ascospores predominantly on the abaxial surface. *Teratosphaeria nubilosa* can be monocyclic or bicyclic whereas *T. cryptica* is polycyclic, at least, in South-East Australia (Park 1988a). The anamorph of *T. nubilosa* remains unknown (Park & Keane 1982) while the anamorph of *T. cryptica* has been identified as *Colletogloeopsis nubilosum* (Ganap. & Corbin) Crous & MJ. Wingf. This mitotic form is also important as it can cause cankers on young branches and shoots of *E. obliqua* L' Herit and *E. globulus* subsp. *globulus* (Dick 1982; Park and Keane 1982).

Host ranges of *Teratosphaeria* diseases

As the areas where *Eucalyptus* spp. are planted have expanded, the incidence of *Teratosphaeria* diseases has also steadily increased (Burgess *et al.,* 2007b; Maxwell *et al.,* 2003; Park *et al*., 2000a, b; Wingfield *et al.,* 2008). From 100 pathogenic *Teratosphaeria* species currently described on *Eucalyptus*, nearly half have been reported outside Australia (Crous *et al.,* 2004, 2006, 2008, 2009b; Hunter *et al.,*

2004). It is thus likely that in the future, more *Teratosphaeria* species endemic to Australia will be found outside the country. *Teratosphaeria destructans* was first reported in Indonesia, found in other South-east Asian countries (Burgess *et al.,* 2006; Old *et al.,* 2003a, b) and later reported causing disease in Australia (Jackson *et al.,* 2005; Whyte *et al.,* 2005). This is an interesting situation where species in non-native environments are clearly exposed to large, uniform areas of susceptible trees and their occurrence is noticed much more readily than it would be in native situations.

Some of the important *Teratosphaeria* species causing diseases to *Eucalyptus* are *T. epicoccoides* (Andjic *et al*., 2007a; Crous 1998), *T. destructans* (Andjic *et al*., 2007b; Old *et al.,* 2003a), *T. nubilosa* (Hunter *et al.,* 2009; Pérez *et al.,* 2009; Pérez *et al.,* 2009) and *T. cryptica* (Carnegie 2000). These species have broadened their original geographical distribution ranges. Other species, however, have remained limited within narrow geographic ranges as for example in the case of *T. ohnowa* Crous & M.J. Wingfield in South Africa (Crous *et al.,* 2004). The previously *Mycosphaerella* spp. from *Eucalyptus* now included in *Terathosphaeria* (Crous *et al.,* 2008) are considered eucalypt specific and to have, in general, narrow host ranges. But there are exceptions as it has been found with *T. epicoccoides* that occurs on a very wide range of *Eucalyptus* species (Sankaran *et al.,* 1995). Similarly, *T. cryptica* has been reported on more than 50 different species of *Eucalyptus* but it has never been reported from *Corymbia* (Crous 1988; Dick 1982; Ganapathi & Corbin 1979, Park *et al.,* 2000a, b; Park & Keane 1982; Wingfield *et al.,* 1995). More recently, *T. nubilosa* has been found on substantially greater numbers of *Eucalyptus* spp. and this appears to be linked to its spread to new geographic areas. *T. nubilosa* was initially best-known on *E. globulus* in plantations in Australia, New Zealand and South Africa. Currently, it is reported from many countries and numerous *Eucalyptus* species and hybrids (Hunter *et al*., 2009). Nevertheless, *E. globulus* and its close relatives remain the most susceptible species to *T. nubilosa* (Carnegie & Kane 1994; Crous *et al*., 2004; Hunter *et al.,* 2004, 2009; Jackson *et al.,* 2005; Park & Kane 1982); and this emphasises a relatively high level of host specificity within *Eucalyptus.*

Important diseases caused by mitotic *Teratosphaeria* species

Kirramyces spp.as re-defined by Andjic *et al*. (2007a) and now treated as *Teratosphaeria*, includes some of the most serious pathogens of *Eucalyptus*. They occur in plantations as foliar and stem diseases worldwide. Approximately ten of these species affect *Eucalyptus* leaves (Andjic *et al.,* 2007a, b). Of these, only a small number are considered to have an important impact on plantations and the majority are known from the native range of *Eucalyptus*.

The most important species on *Eucalyptus* leaves are *T. eucalypti* (Cooke & Massee) J. Walker, B. Sutton and Pascoe*, T. epicoccoides, T. nubilosum* Ganap. & Corbin) Andjic (anamorph of *M. cryptica*) *T. destructans* (Wingfield *et al.,* 1996; Crous *et al.,* 2006, 2007a) and recently, *T. viscidus* Andjic, Barber & T.I. Burgess (Andjic *et al.,* 2007b). All these species and the diseases they cause have been thoroughly reviewed (Barber 2004; Carnegie *et al.,* 2007; Park *et al.,* 2000b). Of these species *T. eppicoccoides* is the most widely studied and *T. destructans* is the most serious species in terms of the damage caused to plantation forestry.

T. eppicocoides has a broad geographical distribution, occurring worldwide in plantations of the tropics and subtropics (Crous 1998; Crous & Wingfield 1997b). Typically the infections are found on mature leaves on trees under stress conditions (Knipscheer *et al.,* 1990). Prolonged infections lead to the infection of young leaves. The teleomorph of the species, *T. suttoniae,* Crous & M.J. Wingfield (Crous *et al*., 1997) produces ascospores that can be wind dispersed. Nevertheless, the distribution of the teleomorph is narrower than the distribution of the anamorph. *Teratosphaeria suttoniae* has only been reported from Brazil, Indonesia and North-East Australia.

Amongst the leaf pathogens in the previous genus *Kirramyces*, *T. destructans* is considered to be the most serious (Burgess *et al.,* 2006; Carnegie 2007; Wingfield *et al.,* 1996). It was first described in Sumatra and Indonesia causing a devastating disease in plantations of one to three- year-old trees resulting in extensive and premature defoliation (Wingfield *et al.,* 1996). It was later reported from nurseries and young trees in Thailand and Vietnam on *E. camaldulensis* and hybrids. It has been also reported from native *E. urophylla* in East Timor (Old *et al.,* 2003b). In 2006, *T. destructans* was reported from China (Burgess *et al.,* 2006).

DNA sequence comparisons using six gene regions have shown that isolates of *T. destructans* from China, Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam are genetically identical (Andjic *et al*., 2007c). In 2006, infected leaves collected from a clonal taxa trial on Melville Island, 50 km off the coast from Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia (Andjic *et al*., 2007b). Although the symptoms were atypical to *T. destructans*, they were found to belong to this species. Greater variability was found in Australia than the previously observed in South-East Asia and China, suggesting that the species is endemic to this region of Australia (Burgess *et al.,* 2007a).

Another devastating outbreak of a leaf disease linked to *Teratosphaeria* was reported in Northern Australia during 2006 (Burgess *et al.,* 2007a). It was thought to be caused by *T. destructans.* However, when DNA sequence regions were compared to the Asian isolates, fixed polymorphisms were found in the three gene regions studied. Based on these results, a new species *T. viscidus* was described (Andjic *et al,* 2007b).

CONIOTHYRIUM CANKER DISEASE

Species involved

When Coniothyrium canker of *Eucalyptus* was first discovered, the taxonomy of the causal agent was poorly understood. Based on morphology, the fungus was best placed in *Coniothyrium*. At that time, *Coniothyrium* represented a large genus of mitosporic fungi that produce conidia in pycnidia. It is one of the oldest genera of Coelomycetes and has included more than 800 species. Recognition of species has been mostly based on the morphology of the single-celled conidia including wall ornamentation, pigmentation and size (Crous 2001a, b; Taylor *et al.,* 1999).

Sutton (1980) clarified the generic concepts for *Coniothyrium*, limiting the genus to species in which conidia arise via the percurrent proliferation of the conidiogenous cells. Thus, *Coniothyrium* is characterized by having unilocular, immersed, ostiolate, thin-walled and dark brown pycnidia. Conidia are brown, ellipsoidal to cylindrical, formed on annellidic conidiogenous cells. The mentioned characteristics have proven not to be taxonomically meaningful. As time has passed, a high degree of morphological overlap has been observed between *Coniothyrium*

and other taxa. Thus, in the strict sense, *Coniothyrium* should represent anamorphs of *Leptosphaeria* that are morphologically and phylogenetically similar to *Coniothyrium palmarum* (Corda), the type species of *Coniothyrium* (Crous, 1998).

Recent phylogenetic studies based on DNA sequence comparisons have shown that *Coniothyrium* is polyphyletic, encompassing many groups of unrelated species. *Coniothyrium*-like anamorphs can be linked to many Ascomycete genera other than *Leptosphaeria*. For example, *Coniothyrium*- like anamorphs have been accommodated in genera such as *Prosopidicola* (Lennox *et al*., 2004), *Paraconiothyrium* (Verkley *et al.,* 2004), *Colletogloeopsis* (Crous & Wingfield, 1997a), *Phaeophleospora* (Crous *et al.,* 1997) and *Kirramyces* (Andjic *et al.,* 2007a). The latter three genera are anamorphs of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* and thus relevant to this review.

The morphology of cultures obtained from Coniothyrium canker symptoms resembled those typically of the description of *Coniothyrium* at the time of the description of *Coniothyrium zuluense* M.J. Wingf., Crous & T.A. Cout, (Van Zyl 1999; Wingfield *et al.,* 1997). Nevertheless, some doubt arose as these cultures were highly variable in texture, colour and growth characteristics (Fig 4E), and they also varied markedly in their pathogenicity to clones of *Eucalyptus* (Van Zyl 1999; Wingfield *et al.,* 1997). In the case of *C. zuluense* it was clear that DNA sequence comparisons were required to identify this fungus with certainty. The first of these DNA sequencing studies determined that all isolates taken from canker symptoms in South Africa represent the same species. This was despite the phenotypic variability of cultures but did not test the taxonomic relationships with *Coniothyrium* and *Leptosphaeria* (Van Zyl 1999; Van Zyl *et al.,* 2002b).

During the early stage of the studies presented in this thesis, a pilot phylogenetic analysis using DNA sequences showed that *C. zuluense* was not related to *Leptosphaeria* but rather to *Mycosphaerella.* The study also confirmed the earlier association between a *Coniothyrium* sp. and *Mycosphaerella* by Milgate *et al.,* (2001). The latter study based on traditional morphological investigation, linked *Coniothyrium ovatum* Swart as the anamorph of the leaf *Eucalyptus* pathogen, *Mycosphaerella vespa* Carnegie & Keane (Carnegie & Kane 1998). This result has however, never been confirmed using genetic analyses. This group of preliminary

results showed that a more comprehensive study was required and this led to the chapters that follow this review (Cortinas *et al.,* 2006b).

Symptoms, distribution and general characteristics of the disease

Symptoms of the disease known as Coniothyrium canker caused by the pathogen first known as *C. zuluense* were first observed in 1988 in plantations of *E. grandis* trees in the Kwa-Zulu Natal province of South Africa (Wingfield *et al.,* 1997). The causal agent was identified only a decade later based on classical morphological studies and pathogenicity tests (Van zyl *et al*., 2002a; Wingfield *et al.,* 1997). In South Africa and all other countries where Coniothyrium canker occurs, the symptoms are similar, irrespective of the *Eucalyptus* species on which the disease occurs.

Coniothyrium canker first appears as discrete necrotic spots on the young green stems at the tops of the trees (Wingfield *et al.*, 1997). Later, the lesions extend and coalesce to form larger cankers and these interrupt water transport to terminal shoots (Fig 4A, B). These infections result in the production of epicormic shoots on the stems and ultimately dead tops (Fig 5A, B). This in turn leads to dead tops on trees and reduced wood quality due to the formation of Kino pockets in the wood (Fig 4A, B). In transverse sections of the trunks, the distribution of Kino pockets follows concentric rings indicating that infections occur seasonally (Fig 4D).

The severity of Coniothyrium canker varies depending on the susceptibility of the affected trees. In South Africa, *E. grandis* trees are particularly susceptible but hybrids produced through crossing *E. gandis* with other species such as *E. camaldulensis, E. urophylla* and *E. tereticornis* can also be severely affected. Infections on the stems make it difficult to peel the bark from the stems prior to pulping and this leads to increased production costs (Van Zyl *et al.,* 1997, 2002a; Wingfield *et al.,* 1997).

After its first appearance in South Africa, Coniothyrium canker was found in various other countries (Fig 6). These included Thailand (Van Zyl, 1999; Van Zyl *et al.,* 2002b), Mexico (Roux *et al.,* 2002), and during the course of producing this thesis, in Vietnam (Gezahgne 2004; Old *et al.,* 2003b), Ethiopia and Uganda (Gezahgne *et al.,* 2003, 2005), Hawaii (Cortinas *et al.,* 2004), Argentina (Gezahgne

et al., 2004) and Uruguay, (Cortinas *et al*., 2006c) (see Chapter 3) and China (Cortinas *et al.* , 2006b) (see Chapter 2). It also emerged during this time that Coniothyrium canker is caused by two different species named as *K. zuluensis* (M.J. Wingf., Crous and T.A. Cout.) Andjic & M.J. Wingf. and *K. gauchensis* (M.N. Cortinas, Crous and M.J. Wingf.) Andjic, M.N. Cortinas & M.J. Wingf. (Cortinas *et al*., 2006c) and now in the genus *Teratosphaeria*; (see Chapter 3). The taxonomic discoveries and dates of new records of these fungi are presented in a time line in Fig 7 and Fig 8.

Despite various surveys during the course of the two decades and subsequent to the first discovery of Coniothyrium canker in South Africa, this disease has not been found in Australia where *Eucalyptus* spp. are native. This supports the view that the pathogen might represent a host shift from some other plant, possibly species of Myrtales, as has been found with Cryphonectria canker (Heath *et al.,* 2006; Nakabonge *et al*., 2006; Roux *et al.,* 2003). Nevertheless, there remains a possibility that Australia is the true source of the pathogen (Gryzenhout *et al.,* 2004; Wingfield 2003; Seixas *et al.*, 2004).

Recently, a new species phylogenetically closely related to *T. zuluensis* has been found in Australia. The new fungus was found to cause leaf spots lesions on *Eucalyptus botryoides* Smith leaves instead of stem cankers as *T. zuluensis*. This fact and the finding of minor morphological differences, led the researchers to consider the fungus a new species, *Teratosphaeria majorizuluensis* Crous and Summerell (Crous *et al.,* 2009b). Nevertheless, the relatedness of the two species will require further evaluation.

Pathogenicity studies have been suggested (Crous *et al.,* 2009b) to test the hypothesis that *T. zuluensis* is in reality a mixed group of cryptic taxa (Cortinas *et al.,* 2006c) that have the ability to cause canker and leaf spot lesions. Comparisons including a collection of *T. zuluensis* sequences will be necessary to further evaluate the genetic relationships within *T. zuluensis*. A study using microsatellite markers could also be helpful. Microsatellites have been shown to discriminate between species. For instance, *T. zuluensis* microsatellites give no amplification with DNA samples representing *T. gauchensis* and *vice versa* (Cortinas *et al.,* 2006a, 2008).

POPULATION BIOLOGY OF *MYCOSPHAERELLA* AND *TERATOSPHAERIA* SPECIES

Relatively little is known regarding the origin, biology, life cycles, genetics, epidemiology and population structure of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* pathogens. Population genetic studies have been carried out for only six species in these genera. With the exception of *M. graminicola* (Fuckel) J. Schröter studies, the outcome is still fragmented and incomplete for the other species as the sampling scales considered are different. Furthermore, the distribution ranges are not always complete. It is the purpose of this section to briefly summarize the contents of population level studies on *M. graminicola*. This information will be fundamental in assisting the interpretation of the population genetics results obtained for the *T. zuluensis* and *T. gauchensis* presented in the last two chapters of this study.

Population biology studies of *M. graminicola*

The best studied *Mycosphaerella* spp. is the wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. The population genetics of this species has been studied for 20 years. It has consequently become the iconic species of the group. *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (anamorph: *Septoria tritici* Roberge) is a serious pathogen occurring in wheat fields worldwide (Baearchell *et al.,* 2005). It is the cause of the *Septoria tritici* blotch in its mitotic form. The fungus is haploid, heterothallic, with both sexual and asexual reproduction (McDermot & McDonald 1993; Sanderson 1976; Stukenbrock *et al.*, 2007; Van Ginkel *et al.,* 1999 at: http://libcatalog.cimmyt.org/download/cim/68090.pdf).

Recently, *M. graminicola* has been selected for genome sequencing (Department of Energy of the United States (DOE) through the Joint Genome Institute (JGI). Details of the projects and results can be followed on the internet website for the project: http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Mycgr3/Mycgr3.download.html. Phylogenetic studies have indicated that the fungus is distantly related to other ascomycete fungi already sequenced. Thus, data arising from the *M. graminicola* genome project is expanding the genetic knowledge of these fungi beyond the currently studied phylogenetic groups. The project status is “in progress” and can be monitored at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=genomeprj&cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=13707.

The most important information regarding the population biology of *M. graminicola* is summarized in Table 2. A selection of studies covering 20 years of investigations have evaluated and included in this table Results indicate that the fungus has a high degree of diversity across all tested spatial and temporal scales, including intercontinental studies and 20 different countries. The measurement of genetic diversity was the main focus in a group of these papers. High levels of diversity in *M. graminicola* in the majority of the populations was confirmed by using different types of markers (RFLPs, Microstellites, RAPDs, electrophoretic karyotypes) (Banke & McDonald 2005; Brunner *et al.,* 2008; Linde *et al.,* 2002; McDonald & Martinez 1990, 1991a, b; Zhan & McDonald 2004;). One of the most interesting results in this regard was to find lower variability in the mitochondrial genome compared to the nuclear genome (Torriani *et al.,* 2008). These data support the hypothesis of “selective sweep” (Zhan *et al.,* 2004). Following this hypothesis, mitochondrial haplotypes having more rapid metabolic rates are favoured and selected in *M. graminicola*.

The neutral variability is correlated with the variation in quantitative traits in *M. graminicola* (Jürgens *et al.,* 2006; Zhan *et al.*, 2005.). Countries in which the pathogen has more genetic diversity have greater additive genetic variance for most quantitative characters (Zhan *et al.*, 2005). These results suggest that the Australian *M. graminicola* population has been recently introduced as it has all the characteristics of a founder effect population (Zhan *et al.*, 2005) showing the lowest genetic diversity (Zhan *et al.,* 2003) and lowest additive variance (Zhan *et al.*, 2005.)

Estimation of other population parameters including population size, historical gene flow and recombination can explain the high levels of genetic diversity found in *M. graminicola* populations. Gene flow is extensive and global (Zhan *et al.,* 2003). The main mechanism of dispersion appears to be the dispersion by seeds, as ascospores are only important for dispersal at a regional level (Zhan *et al.,* 1998, 2000). Population size calculations have shown that populations of *M. graminicola* are large even at the scale of a single wheat field (N_e > 24.000). Under these conditions, extensive gene flow is expected and the genetic drift is not important allowing the accumulation of mutations (Zhan & McDonald 2004; Zhan *et al.,* 2001). Atypically for eukaryotic populations, *M. graminicola* populations are in

drift/migration equilibrium (Zhan & McDonald 2004). This implies that regardless of population size, new alleles that arrive in a population by migration are balanced by the loss of alleles through genetic drift.

There are clear signs of panmixia in the *M. graminicola* populations. Thus mating types occur at equal frequency at all spatial scales (Zhan *et al.,* 2002b) and there is random association among alleles at unlinked loci (Chen & McDonald 1996). Nevertheless, strains representing the MATI-1 gene are more virulent than the MATI-2 gene (Zhan *et al.,* 2007b). The presence of clones in the populations has been described as "ephemeral" as replicates of individual clones have only be found few meters apart and identical clones were never found in different fields in different years (Chen *et al.,* 1994; Zhan *et al.,* 2001). These observations suggest high degrees of recombination (Zhan *et al.,* 2007a). In fact, some papers show that new alleles are produced by intrageneic recombination during each growing season (Banke & McDonald 2005; Brunner *et al.,* 2008; Zhan *et al.,*1998, 2000).

Questions relating to the age and origin of the populations of *M. graminicola* have also been addressed for *M. graminicola*. This pathogen has been postulated to have emerged and evolved at the time that wheat was domesticated. It was thus calculated that *M. graminicola* has been evolving for >10.000 years, which is consistent with the length of time that wheat has been domesticated. An important factor that adds support to these assumptions was to discover that the main source of migrants was from the Fertile Crescent and Old World (Banke & McDonald 2005). In addition, the discovery of relatives of the fungus living on wild grasses in the Fertile Crescent of Iran is consistent with the view that *M. graminicola* populations have been evolving alongside the movement and domestication of wheat (Stukenbrock *et al.,* 2007).

The main driving force of evolution in *M. graminicola* appears to be natural selection (McDonald *et al.,* 1996). Given the very large sizes of *M. graminicola* populations, resistant mutants will be generated, selection will raise their frequency and recombination will rapidly homogenize the resistant or virulent genes (McDonald & Linde 2002). The competition among strains of the fungus was found to be high (Zhan *et al.,* 2002a) and it has been shown that adaptation can occur within a growing season (Cowger *et al.,* 2000; Zhan *et al.,* 2002a). Populations can

become resistant to fungicides rapidly; one generation was enough to find azole resistance new alleles at the CYP 5I locus, one of the genes in charge of metabolizing of the toxic substance (Brunner *et al.,* 2008; Torriani *et al.,* 2008). Nevertheless, disruptive evolution occurs if host mixtures co-exist (Zhan *et al.,* 2002a).

A positive association between virulence and fungicide resistance has been detected (Zhan *et al.,* 2005). More resistant strains also tend to be more virulent. The virulence and fungicide resistance characters were found to be mainly quantitative characters (Zhan *et al.,* 2005). As a consequence, to achieve an effective management and control of this pathogen, it would be necessary to build up resistance on crops based on quantitative resistance or R-gene Pyramids (McDonald & Linde 2002; Zhan *et al.,* 2005). Also, the application of chemical fungicides as mixtures to avoid generating rapid resistance should be considered.

Population biology of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* spp. causing tree diseases

An attempt to compare the population genetic studies conducted on the other five species of *Mycosphaerella* spp*.* causing diseases on trees is presented in Table 3. The compared species are *M. populorum* G.E. Thompson (anamorph *Septoria musiva* Peck that infects poplar trees) (Feau *et al.,* 2005), *M. musicola* R. Leach ex J.L. Mulder (anamorph *Cercospora musa* Massee*,* a pathogen of banana) (Hayden *et al.,* 2003b, 2005; Zandjanakou-Tachin *et al.,* 2009) and *M. fijiensis* M. Morelet on banana. (Carlier 2004; Hayden *et al,.* 2003a), *T. cryptica* (anamorph *Colletogloeopsis nubilosum* Ganap. and Corbin on *Eucalyptus*) (Milgate *et al.,* 2005) and *T. nubilosa* that infects *Eucalyptus* (Hunter *et al.,* 2008).The last two species, *T. cryptica* and *T. nubilosa,* are genetically closely related to *T. zuluensis* and *T. gauchensis*, both also occurring on *Eucalyptus* trees.

Studies considering the population biology of *Mycosphaerella* spp. and *Teratosphaeria* spp. in tree crops have not been nearly as comprehensive as those on *M. graminicola*. There is clearly a gap of knowledge on the biology of these species regarding the history of the occurrence in the areas where they have been found. These gaps in knowledge complicate the interpretation and comparison of

population genetic information. For instance, sampling scales are different between studies, the number of isolates used is different and frequently low, the molecular markers used are different and the geographical distributions ranges are only partially covered. Nevertheless, the evidence provided in this group of studies (Table 3) is sufficient to outline the basic general population structure of the species concerned.

Globally, moderate to high levels of genetic diversity were found for *M. musicola, M. fijiensis, M. populorum, T. cryptica* and *T. nubilosa.* The distribution of the variability at different scales was different for different species. The majority of the diversity was found at the plant and plantation levels for *T. nubilosa* (Hunter *et al.,* 2008) and *M. fijiensis* (Carlier 2004; Hayden *et al*., 2003a; Rivas *et al*., 2004). This is comparable to the diversity of *M. graminicola,* where the majority of diversity can be found within wheat plots (Boeger *et al.,* 1993; Zhan *et al.,* 2003). *Mycosphaerella populorum* (Feau *et al.,* 2005) and *M. musicola* (Hayden *et al.,* 2003b; 2005) displayed the majority of diversity within a single tree and within a lesion or at the plant level respectively. No comparable information is available for *T. cryptica*.

Population Biology studies of *T. zuluensis*

A pilot population study was carried out on *T. zuluensis* (under the name *C. zuluense*) by Van Zyl *et al.,* (1997, 2002a). In those studies, considerable variability in colony colour and pathogenicity among cultures of *T. zuluensis* from South African plantations was found. Accordingly, it was expected to find high levels of genetic variation. Nevertheless, low levels of genetic variation were found using Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms (AFLPs) (Van Zyl *et al.*, 2002b). Unfortunately, these AFLP studies could not be continued at the time in order to arrive to sound conclusions on the genetic variability of the fungus.

For the purposes of the studies conducted as part of this thesis, microsatellites or Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs) were chosen over continuing with AFLPs studies to determine the level of genetic diversity in populations. In contrast to AFLP data, microsatellite results are easily reproducible and allow comparisons across different studies. They consist of repeating units of 1-6 base pairs in length. They are co-dominant, typically neutral (Jarne & Lagoda 1996) with

the capability of revealing polymorphisms at a given locus and showing high levels of polymorphism in relatedness studies (Tautz & Renz 1984; Tenzer *et al.*,1999). A considerable effort to establish a protocol that would be robust and effective to discover and develop microsatellites for fungi was made in this study. This led to a combination of two protocols, (Hamilton *et al.,* 1999, Zane *et al.,* 2002) with some modifications needed for optimization. The final protocol chosen to select and develop microsatellites for population biology studies on *T. zuluensis* and *T. gauchensis* is presented in Appendix II of this thesis.

CONCLUSIONS

The taxonomy of eucalypts remains dynamic after 300 years of study. More than 700 species have been recognized and a similar number of subspecies and natural hybrids within its natural range in Australasia. Eucalypts harbour tremendous natural variation that has allowed these trees to adapt to very wide climatic and soil conditions.

Only a relatively small number of *Eucalyptus* and *Corymbia* species have been exploited during the domestication of these trees. The domestication process, as for other crops has been linked to productivity needs. There is an increased demand for *Eucalyptus* products worldwide and this is linked increased pressure to increase productivity. As a result, new agricultural and production technologies are constantly being developed and applied. There are enormous possibilities to extend the use of the genetic potential using these new technologies. For example, biotechnological initiatives including the *Eucalyptus* genome project will extend the way genetic variation can be used. It will also introduce into the industry technological possibilities that will enable greater control over favourable phenotypic characteristics.

Concomitant with the increase of plantation areas worldwide, there is an increase in emergent pests and pathogens. There is a repeated pattern emerging from new tree plantations. After a short period of healthy and vigorous growth, pest and pathogens begin to impart damage. Currently, they represent one of the most substantial challenges to the forestry industry worldwide. Current knowledge

regarding the identity and biology of these pest and pathogens remains very limited. Studies are thus needed to better understand the interaction of these pests and pathogens and their eucalypt hosts and further, to incorporate such information into planting and breeding programs.

Ascomycetes, in particular belonging to *Teratosphaeria* represent one of the largest group of pathogenic fungi on *Eucalyptus*. The taxonomy of this group is complex and frustrated by morphological characteristics that are reduced, variable and can be redundant (polyphyletic) across genera. DNA studies have thus become essential to achieve reliable identifications. The most recent phylogenetic studies have shown that the *Eucalyptus* pathogens previously in *Mycosphaerella* are best treated in *Teratosphaeria*.

The taxonomy of the pathogens causing Coniothyrium canker disease of *Eucalyptus* has been heavily affected by the contemporary phylogenetic studies on *Mycosphaerella*. These studies have shown that the species causing this disease reside in *Teratosphaeria* as *Teratospaheria zuluensis* and *Teratosphaeria gauchensis* (Fig 8, 9). For the present *Teratosphaeria* is the most useful genus to accommodate these fungi. Nevertheless, we might expect in the future further taxonomic changes as there are additional ongoing studies on the treatment of *Teratosphaeria*.

Little is known regarding the biology and population structure of species of *T. zuluensis* and *T. gauchensis* causing leaf and stem diseases of *Eucalyptus* trees (Fig 8). There are various intriguing questions at the population level concerning the origin, genetic variation, reproduction, and spread of these species. It is, therefore, important to consider that other phylogenetically closely related pathogenic species probably occur in the natural range of eucalypts and these might appear as pathogens in plantations in the future.

This thesis includes studies on the so-called Coniothyrium canker pathogens, *T. zuluensis* and *T. gauchensis*. The aims of the studies were to resolve various taxonomic questions relating to the pathogens and various new geographic reports are included for them. Furthermore, a suite of studies consider, for the fist time, the population genetics of these two fungi that are emerging as amongst the most important constraints to *Eucalyptus* plantation forestry in the world.

REFERENCES

Anderson PK, Cunningham AA, Patel NG, Morales FJ (2004). Emerging infectious diseases of plants: pathogen pollution, climate change and agrotechnology drivers. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **19,** 535–544.

Andjic V, Barber PA, Carnegie AJ, Hardy GEStJ, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI (2007a). Phylogenetic reassessment supports accommodation of *Phaeophleospora* and *Colletogloeopsis* from eucalypts in *Kirramyces. Mycological Research* **111,** 1184–1198.

Andjic V, Barber PA, Carnegie AJ, Pegg GS, Hardy GEStJ, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI (2007b). *Kirramyces viscidus* sp. nov., a new eucalypt pathogen from tropical Australia closely related to the serious leaf pathogen, *Kirramyces destructans. Australasian Plant Pathology* **36,** 478–487.

Andjic V, Hardy GEStJ, Cortinas MN, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI (2007c). Multiple gene genealogies reveal important relationships between *Phaeophleospora* spp. infecting *Eucalyptus* leaves. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **268,** 22–33.

Antonovics J, Hood ME, Partain J (2002). The ecology and genetics of a host shift: *Microbotryum* as a model system. *American Naturalist* **160,** S40–S52.

Aptroot A (2006). *Mycosphaerella* and its anamorphs: 2. Conspectus of M*ycosphaerella.* CBS *Biodiversity Series* **5,** 1–231.

Arzanlou M, Groenewald JZ, Fullerton RA, Abeln ECA, Carlier J, Zapater M-F, Buddenhagen IW, Viljoen A, Crous PW (2008). Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several novel species of *Mycosphaerella* and related anamorphs on banana. *Persoonia* **20,** 19–37.

Arzanlou M, Groenewald JZ, Gams W, Braun U, Shin H-D, Crous PW (2007). Phylogenetic and morphotaxonomic revision of *Ramichloridium* and allied genera. *Studies in Mycology* **58,** 57–93.

Banke S, McDonald BA (2005). Migration patterns among global populations of the pathogenic fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Molecular Ecology* **14**, 1881–1896.

Barber PA (2004). Forest pathology: the threat of disease to plantation forests in Indonesia. *Plant Pathology Journal* **3,** 97–104.

Barret RL (2007). *Corymbia cadophora* subsp. *polychroma* (Myrtaceae): a new subspecies from the east Kimberley region of Western Australia. *Nuytsia* **17,** 31–36.

Batzer JC, Mercedes Diaz Arias M, Harrington TC, Gleason ML, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2008). Four species of *Zygophiala* (*Schizothyriaceae*, *Capnodiales*) are associated with the sooty blotch and flyspeck complex on apple. *Mycologia* **100,** 232–244.

Beresford RM (1978). *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* (Cooke). Hansf. on *Eucalyptus delegatensis* R.T. Baker: Further studies of epidemiology in the North island of New Zealand. MSc. Thesis Botany Department, University of Auckland, New Zealand.

Boeger JM, Chen RS, McDonald BA (1993). Gene flow between geographic populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (Anamorph *Septoria tritici*) detected with restriction fragment length polymorphism markers. *Phytopathology* **8,** 1148–1154.

Brooker MIH (2000). A new classification of the genus *Eucalyptus* L'Hér. (Myrtaceae) *Australian Systematic Botany* **13,** 79–148.

Brunner PC, Stefanato FL, McDonald BA (2008). Evolution of the CYP51 gene in *Mycosphaerella graminicola. Molecular Plant Pathology* **9,** 305–316.

Burgess T I, Andjic V, Hardy GEStJ, Dell B, Xu D (2006). First report of *Phaeophleospora destructans* in China. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* **18**, 144**–**146.

Burgess TI, Andjic V, Wingfield MJ, Hardy GESJ (2007a). The eucalypt leaf blight pathogen *Kirramyces destructans* discovered in Australia. *Australasian Plant Disease Notes* **2**, 141**–**144.

Burgess TI, Barber PA, Sufaati S, Xu D, Hardy GE StJ, Dell B (2007b). *Mycosphaerella* spp. on *Eucalyptus* in Asia; new species, new hosts and new records. *Fungal Diversity* **24,** 135**–**157.

Burgess T, Wingfield MJ (2004)*. Impact of Fungal Pathogens in Natural Forest Ecosystems*: A Focus on Eucalypts. In: *Microorganisms in Plant Conservation*

and Biodiversity. (Sivasithamparama K, Dixon KW, R. L. Barrett RL, eds.). Springer, Netherlands.

Byrne M, Murrell J C, Owen J V, Williams E R, Moran G F (1997). Mapping of quantitative trait loci infuencing frost tolerance in *Eucalyptus nitens. Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **95**, 975**–**979.

Carlier J (2004). Population genetic structure and dispersal of *Mycosphaerella fijiensis*. *InfoMusa* **13,** 17–20.

Carnegie AJ (1991). The susceptibility of certain *Eucalyptus* species and provenances to infection by *Mycosphaerella* species and other leaf parasites. *Forestry*. Honours Thesis, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia.

Carnegie AJ (2000). A study of species of *Mycosphaerella* on eucalypts in Australia and the impact of Mycosphaerella leaf disease on *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. PhD Thesis School of Forestry, University of Melbourne, Australia.

Carnegie AJ (2007). Forest health condition in New South Wales, Australia, 1996-2005. II. Fungal damage recorded in eucalypt plantations during forest health surveys. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **36,** 225–239.

Carnegie AJ, Ades PK, Keane PJ, Smith IW (1998). *Mycosphaerella* diseases of juvenile foliage in a eucalypt species and provenance trial in Victoria, Australia. *Australian Forestry* **61,** 190–4.

Camegie AT, Ades PK (2002). The proportion of leaf spots caused by *Mycosphaerella*

cryptica and *M. nubilosa* on *Eucalyptus globulus, E. nitens* and their F1 hybrids in a family trial in Tasmania, Australia. *Australian Mycologist* **21**, 53-63.

Carnegie AJ, Burgess TI**,** Beilharz V (2007). New species of *Mycosphaerella* from Myrtaceae in plantations and native forests in eastern Australia. *Mycologia* **99,** 461–474.

Carnegie AJ, Johnson I (2004). Variation among families of blackbutt (*Eucalyptus pilularis*) in early growth and susceptibility to damage from leaf spot fungi (internal review).

Carnegie AJ, Keane PJ (1998). *Mycosphaerella vespa* sp. nov. from diseased *Eucalyptus* leaves in Australia. *Mycological Research* **102,** 1274–1276.

Carnegie AJ, Lidbetter JR, Walker,J, Horwood MA, Tesoriero L, Glen M, Priest MJ (2010). *Uredo rangelii*, a taxon in the guava rust complex, newly recorded on Myrtaceae in Australia. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **39**, 463–466.

Cheah LH (1977). Aerobiology and epidemiology of *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* (Cke.) Hansf. on *Eucalyptus* spp. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Auckland, New Zealand.

Cheah LH, Hartill WFT (1987). Ascospore release in *Mycosphaerella cryptica* (Cke). Hansf. *European Journal of Forest Pathology* **17**, 129–141.

Chen RS, Boeger JM, McDonald BA (1994). Genetic stability in a population of a plant pathogenic fungus over time. *Molecular Ecology* **3,** 209**–**218.

Chen RS, McDonald BA (1996). Sexual Reproduction plays a major role in the genetic structure of populations of the fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Genetics* **142,** 1119–1127.

Cortinas MN, Barnes I, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006a). Polymorphic microsatellite markers for the *Eucalyptus* fungal pathogen *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis*. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **6**, 780–783.

Cortinas MN, Burgess T, Dell B, Xu D, Crous PW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006b). First record of *Colletogloeopsis zuluense* comb. nov., causing stem canker of *Eucalyptus* in China. *Mycological Research* **110,** 229–236.

Cortinas MN, Crous PW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006c). Multi-gene phylogenies and phenotypic characters distinguish two species within the *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis* complex associated with *Eucalyptus* stem cankers. *Studies in Mycology* **55**, 135–148.

Cortinas MN, Koch N, Thane J, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004). First record of the *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen, *Coniothyrium zuluense* from Hawaii. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **33**, 309–312.

Cortinas MN, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2008). Microsatellite markers for the *Eucalyptus* stem canker fungal pathogen *Kirramyces gauchensis*. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **8,** 590–592.

Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Alfenas AC, Crous PW (1998). *Eucalyptus* rust: a disease with the potential for serious international implications. *Plant Disease* **82,** 819–825.

Cowger C, Hoffer ME, Mundt CC (2000). Specific adaptation by *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to a resistant wheat cultivar. *Plant Pathology* **49,** 445–451.

Crous PW (1998). *Mycosphaerella* spp and their anamorphs associated with leaf spot diseases of *Eucalyptus*. *Mycologia Memoir* **21**, 1–170.

Crous PW, Braun U (2003). *Mycosphaerella and its anamorphs 1. Names published in Cercospora and Passalora*. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 1–571.

Crous PW, Braun U, Groenewald JZ (2007). *Mycosphaerella* is polyphyletic. *Studies in Mycology* **58**, 1–32.

Crous PW, Ferreira FA, Sutton BC (1997). A comparison of the fungal genera *Phaeophleospora* and *Kirramyces* (coelomycetes). *South African Journal of Botany* **63**, 111–115.

Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Mansilla JP, Hunter GC, Wingfield MJ (2004). Phylogenetic reassessment of *Mycosphaerella* spp. and their anamorphs occurring on *Eucalyptus. Studies in Mycology* **50,** 195–214.

Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Summerell BA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2009a). Co-occurring species of *Teratosphaeria* on *Eucalyptus*. *Persoonia* **22**, 38–48.

Crous PW, Hong L, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2001b). ITS rDNA phylogeny of selected *Mycosphaerella* species and their anamorphs occurring on Myrtaceae. *Mycological Research* **105,** 425–431.

Crous PW, Kang Ji-C, Braun U (2001a). A Phylogenetic Redefinition of Anamorph Genera in *Mycosphaerella* Based on ITS rDNA Sequence and Morphology. *Mycologia* **93,** 1081–1101.

Crous PW, Knox-Davies PS, Wingfield MJ (1988). *Phaeoseptoria eucalypti* and *Coniothyrium ovatum* on *Eucalyptus* spp. in South Africa. *Phytophylactica* **20,** 337–340.

Crous PW, Knox-Davies PS, Wingfield MJ (1989). A summary of *Eucalyptus* leaf fungi and their potential importance to South African Forestry. *South African Forestry Journal* **149,** 17–29.

Crous PW, Mourichon X (2002). *Mycosphaerella eumusae* and its anamorph *Pseudocercospora eumusae* spp. nov.: causal agent of eumusae leaf spot disease of banana. *Sydowia* **54,** 35–43.

Crous PW, Summerell BA, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ, Groenewald JZ (2009b). Novel species of *Mycosphaerellaceae* and *Teratosphaeriaceae*. *Persoonia* **23,** 119–146.

Crous PW, Summerell BA, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ, Hunter GC, Burgess TI, Andjic V, Barber PA, Groenewald JZ (2009c). Unravelling *Mycosphaerella*: do you believe in genera? *Persoonia* **23,** 99–118.

Crous PW, Summerell BA, Mostert L, Groenewald JZ (2008). Host specificity and speciation of *Mycosphaerella* and *Teratosphaeria* species associated with leaf spots of Proteaceae. *Persoonia* **20**, 59–86.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ (1996). Species of *Mycosphaerella* and their anamorphs associated with leaf blotch disease of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa. *Mycologia* **88**, 441–458.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ (1997a). *Colletogloeopsis*, a new coelomycete genus to accommodate anamorphs of two species of *Mycosphaerella* on *Eucalyptus. Canadian Journal of Botany* **75**, 667–674.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ (1997b). New species of *Mycosphaerella* occurring on *Eucalyptus* leaves in Indonesia and Africa. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **75**, 781–790.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Mansilla JP, Alfenas AC, Groenewald JZ (2006). Phylogenetic reassessment of *Mycosphaerella* spp. and their anamorphs occurring on *Eucalyptus.* II. *Studies in Mycology* **55**, 99–131.

Denison NP, Kietzka JA (1993). The use and importance of hybrid intensive forestry in South Africa. *South African Forestry Journal* **165**, 55–60.

Dick M (1982). Habiting fungi of eucalypts in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science* **12**, 525–537.

Doidge EM, Bottomley AM, Plank JE Van der, Pauer GD (1953). A revised list of plant diseases in South Africa. *Union of South Africa, Department of Agriculture, Science Bulletin* **346**, 1–122.

Dungey HS, Potts BM, Carnegie AJ, Ades PK (1997). *Mycosphaerella* leaf disease: genetic variation in damage to *Eucalyptus nitens*, *E. globulus* and their F1 hybrid. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **27**, 250–259.

Eiles A, Bonello P, Ganley R, Mohammed C (2010). Induced resistance to pests and pathogens in trees. *New Phytologist* **185,** 893–908.

Eldridge Ken, Davidson J, Harwood C, Van Wyk G (1994). Eucalypt Domestication and Breeding. Oxford University Press, UK, pp. 320.

Feau N, Hamelin RC, Vamdecasteele C, Stanosz GR, Bernier L (2005). Genetic structure of *Mycosphaerella populorum* (Anamorph *Septoria musiva*) populations in North-Central and Northeastern North America. *Phytopatology* **95,** 608–616.

Fernández M, Valenzuela S, Balocchi C (2006). RAPD and freezing resistance in *Eucalyptus globulus. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* **9**. Available at http://www.scielo.cl/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0717-34582006000300025&lng=es. doi: 10.4067/S0717-34582006000300025.

Florence RG (1996). *Ecology and Silviculture of Eucalypt Forests*. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, Victoria.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2006). Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005. Progress towards sustainable forest management. Forest Paper 147. Rome, Italy, pp. 57–68. Available at: http://www.fao.org/docrep/008/a0400e/a0400e00.htm

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2009). Global review of forest pests and diseases, FAO Forestry Paper 156. Rome, Italy, pp. 235. Available at: http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/i0640e/i0640e00.htm

Ganapathi A (1979). Studies on the etiology of the leaf spot disease of *Eucalyptus* spp. caused by *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* (Cke.). Hansf. MSc. Thesis, School of Forestry, University of Auckland, New Zealand.

Gezahgne A, Cortinas MN, Wingfield MJ, Roux J (2005). Characterisation of the *Coniothyrium* stem canker pathogen on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in Ethiopia. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **34**, 1–6.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Thu PQ, Wingfield MJ (2004). Coniothyrium stem canker of *Eucalyptus*, new to Argentina and Vietnam. *South African Journal of Science* **99**, 587–588.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Wingfield MJ (2003). Diseases of exotic plantations *Eucalyptus* and *Pinus* species in Ethiopia. *South African Journal of Science* **99**, 29–33.

Glen M, Smith AH, Langrell SRH, Mohammed CL (2007). Development of nested polymerase chain reaction detection of *Mycosphaerella* spp. and its application to the study of leaf disease in *Eucalyptus* plantations. *Phytopathology* **97,** 132–144.

Goodwin SB, Dunkley LD, Zismann VL (2001). Phylogenetic analysis of *Cercospora* and *Mycosphaerella* based on the internal transcribed spacer region of ribososmal DNA. *Phytopathology* **91,** 648–658.

Gryzenhout M, Myburg H, Van der Merwe NA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004). *Chrysoporthe*, a new genus to accommodate *Cryphonectria cubensis*. *Studies in Mycology* **50**, 119–142.

Hamilton MB, Pincus EL, Di Fiore A, Fleischer C (1999). Universal linker and ligation procedures for construction of genomic DNA libraries enriched for microsatellites. *BioTechniques* **27,** 500**–**507.

Hayden HL, Carlier J, Aitken EAB (2003a). Genetic structure of *Mycosphaerella fijiensis* populations from Australia, Papua New Guinea and the Pacific Islands. *Plant Pathology* **52,** 703–712.

Hayden HL, Carlier J, Aitken EAB (2003b). Population differentiation in the banana leaf spot pathogen *Mycosphaerella musicola*, examined at a global scale. *Plant Pathology* **52**, 713–719.

Hayden HL, Carlier J, Aitken EAB (2005). The genetic structure of Australian populations of *Mycosphaerella musicola* suggests restricted gene gene flow at the continental scale. *Phytopathology* **95**, 489**–**498.

Heath RN, Gryzenhout M, Roux J, Wingfield MJ (2006). Discovery of the canker pathogen C*hrysoporthe austroafricana* on native *Syzygium* spp. in South Africa. *Plant Disease* **90**, 433–438.

Hill KD, Johnson LAS, Blaxell DF (2001). *Systematic studies in the eucalypts. 11. New taxa and combinations in Eucalyptus section Dumaria* (Myrtaceae)*. Telopea* **2,** 259–322.

Hodges CS, Alfenas AC, Cordell CE (1986). The conspecificity of *Cryphonectria cubensis* and *Endothia eugeniae. Mycologia* **78,** 343–350.

Hood IA, Gardner JF, Kimberley MO (2002). Variation among eucalypt species in early seceptibility to the leaf spot fungi *Phaeosphleospora eucalypti* and *Mycosphaerella* spp. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science* **32,** 235–255.

Hopper SD, Nicolle D (2007). Diamond gum (*Eucalyptus rhomboidea*: Myrtaceae), a new threatened species endemic to the Bremer Range of the Southwest Ausralian Floristic Region. *Nuytsia* **17**, 185–194.

Hunter GC, Crous PW, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ (2009). *Teratosphaeria nubilosa*, a serious leaf disease pathogen of *Eucalyptus* spp. in native and introduced areas. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **10,** 1–14.

Hunter GC, Roux J, Wingfield B, Crous PW, Wingfield MJ (2004). *Mycosphaerella* species causing leaf disease in South African *Eucalyptus* plantations. *Mycological Research* **108**, 672–681.

Hunter GC, Van der Merwe NA, Burgess TI, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield BD, Crous PW, Wingfield MJ (2008). Global movement and population biology of *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* infecting leaves of cold-tolerant *Eucalyptus globulus* and *E. nitens*. *Plant Patholog*y **57**, 235–242.

Hunter GC, Wingfield BD, Crous PW and Wingfield MJ (2006). A multi-gene phylogeny for species of *Mycosphaerella* occurring on *Eucalyptus* leaves. *Studies in Mycology* **55**, 147–161.

Jackson SL, Maxwell A, Collins S, Dell B, Hardy GEStJ (2005). *Mycosphaerella* species present on *Eucalyptus globulus* in Western Australia, new records for WA and Australia. In: 15th Biennial Australasian Plant Pathology Society Conference Handbook, pp. 185.

Jackson SL, Maxwell A, Neumeister-Kemp HG, Dell B, Hardy GEStJ (2004). Infection, hyperparasitism and conidiogenesis of *Mycosphaerella lateralis* on *Eucalyptus globulus* in Western Australia. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **33**, 49–53.

Jactel H, Goulard M, Menassieu P, Goujon G (2002). Habitat Diversity in Forest Plantations Reduces Infestations of the Pine Stem Borer Dioryctria sylvestrella. *The Journal of Applied Ecology* **39,** 618–628.

Jarne P, Lagoda PJL (1996). Microsatellites, from molecules to populations and back. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **11,** 424–429.

Jeffries MJ, Lawton JH (1984). Enemy-free space and the structure of ecological communities. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **23,** 269–286.

Jürgens T, Linde CC, McDonald BA (2006). Genetic structure of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* populations from Iran, Argentina and Australia. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **115,** 223–233.

Keane PL, Kile GA, Podger FD, Brown BN (2000). *Diseases and Pathogens of Eucalypts.* CS1RO Publishing, Australia.

Keane RM, Crawley MJ (2002). Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **17,** 164–170.

Kirilenko AP, Sedjo RA (2007). Climate change impacts on forestry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104,** 19697–19702.

Knipscheer NS, Wingfield MJ, Swart WJ (1990). *Phaeoseptoria* leaf spot of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa. South African Forestry Journal **154**, 56–59.

Ladiges PY (1997). Phylogenetic history and classification of eucalypts. In: *Eucalypt Ecology: Individuals to Ecosystems* (Williams J, Woinarski J, eds.) Cambridge University Press, UK, pp. 16–29.

Ladiges PY, Frank U (2000). Comment on a new classification of the Eucalypts. *Australian Systematic Botany* **13**, 149–152.

Lennox CL, Serdani M, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2004). *Prosopidicola mexicana* gen. et. sp. nov., causing a new pod disease of *Prosopis* species. *Studies in Mycology* **50**, 187–194.

Linde CC, Zhan J, McDonald BA (2002). Population structure of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*: from lesions to continents. *Phytopathology* **92,** 946–55.

Little KM, Van Staden J, Clarke GPY (2003). The relationship between vegetation management and the wood and pulping properties of a *Eucalyptus* hybrid clone. *Annals of Forest Science* **60**, 673–680.

Lundquist JE Purnell RC (1987). Effects of *Mycosphaerella* leaf spot on growth of *Eucalyptus nitens*. *Plant Disease* **71**, 1025–1029.

Mahmood K, Marcar NE, Naqvi MH, Arnold RJ, Crawford DF, Iqbal S, Aken KM (2003). Genetic variation in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehnh., for growth and stem straightness in a provenance-family trial on saltland in Pakistan. *Forest Ecology and Management* **176,** 405–416.

Maxwell A, Dell B, Neumeister-Kemp HG, Hardy GE St J (2003). *Mycosphaerella* species associated with *Eucalyptus* in south-western Australia: new species, new records and a key. *Mycological Research* **107**, 351–359.

McDermont JM, McDonald BA (1993). Gene flow in plant pathosystems. *Annual Review of Phytopatholy* **31,** 353–73.

McDonald BA (2010). How can we achieve durable disease resistance in agricultural ecosystems? *New Phytologist* **185**, 3–5.

McDonald BA, Linde C (2002). Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **40,** 349–379.

McDonald BA, Martinez JP (1990). DNA restriction fragment length polymorphisms among *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (Anamorph *Septoria tritici*) isolates collected from a single wheat field. *Phytopathology* **80,** 1368–1373.

McDonald BA, Martinez JP (1991). Chromosome length polymorphisms in a *Septoria tritici* population. *Current Genetics* **19,** 265–271.

McDonald BA, Martinez JP (1991). DNA fingerprinting of the plant pathogenic fungus *Mycosphaerella. Experimental Mycology* **2,** 146–158.

McDonald BA, Mundt CC, Chen RS (1996). The role of selection on the genetic structure of pathogen populations: Evidence from field experiments with *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Euphytica* **92,** 73–80.

McDonald MW, Brooker MIH, Butcher PA (2009). A taxonomic revision of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (Myrtaceae). *Australian Systematic Botany* **22,** 257–285.

McKinnon GE, Vaillancourt RE, Steane DA, Potts BM (2004). The rare silver gum, *Eucalyptus cordata*, is leaving its trace in the organellar gene pool of *Eucalyptus globulus. Molecular Ecology* **12,** 3751–3762.

Merchant A, Ladiges P Y, Adams MA (2007). Quercitol links the physiology, taxonomy and evolution of 279 eucalypt species. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **16,** 810–819.

Milgate AW, Vaillancourt RE, Mohammed C, Powell M, Potts BM (2005). Genetic structure of a *Mycosphaerella cryptica* population. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **34,** 345–354.

Milgate AW, Yuan ZQ, Vaillancourt RE, Mohammed C (2001). *Mycosphaerella* species occurring on *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Eucalyptus nitens* plantations of Tasmania, Australia. *Forestry Pathology* **31,** 53–63.

Miranda I, Pereira H (2002). Variation in pulpwood quality with provenances and site in *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Annuals of Forest Science* **59,** 283–291.

Mitchell CE, Power AG (2003). Release of invasive plants from fungal and viral pathogens. *Nature* **421**, 625–627.

Mora F, Perret S, Scapim CA, Martins EN, Molina MP (2007). Source-dependent blooming variability of *Eucalyptus cladocalyx* in the Region of Coquimbo, Chile. *Ciencia e Investigacion Agraria* **34,** 99–106.

Moraga P, Escobar R, Valenzuela S (2006). Resistance to freezing in three *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill subspecies. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* **9,** 310–314.

Nakabonge G, Gryzenhout M,Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, Roux J (2007). Genetic diversity of *Chrysoporthe cubensis* in Eastern and Southern Africa. *South African Journal of Science* **103,** 261–264.

Nakabonge G, Roux J, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ (2006). Distribution of Chrysoporthe canker pathogens on *Eucalyptus* and *Syzygium* species in Eastern and Southern Africa *Plant Disease* **90**, 734–740.

Nicolle D, Brooker MIH (2005). Reassessment of the Saline Dwelling *Eucalyptus spathulata* Complex (Myrtaceae) from South-western Australia. *Nuytsia* **15**, 411–437.

Nicolle, D, French ME (2007). A new rare and geographically disjunct subspecies of *Eucalyptus jutsonii* (Myrtaceae) from Western Australia. *Nuytsia* **17**, 281–288.

Nicolle D, French ME, McQuoid N (2008). A revision of *Eucalyptus ser. Cornutae* subser. *Conjunctae* (Myrtaceae) from the south coast of Western Australia, including the description of four new taxa and a discussion of the hybrid origin of *E. bennettiae. Nuytsia* **18,** 197–222.

Old KM, Davison EM (2000). Canker diseases of eucalypts. In: Diseases and pathogens of eucalypts (Keane PJ, Kile GA, Podger FD, Brown BN, eds.). CSIRO Publishing, Australia: pp. 241–257.

Old KM, Pongpanich K, Thu PQ, Wingfield MJ, Yuan ZQ (2003a). *Phaeophleospora destructans* causing leaf blight epidemics in: ICPP, Christchurch, New Zealand, pp.165.

Old KM, Wingfield MJ, Yuan ZQ (2003b). *A manual of diseases of eucalypts in South-East Asia*. Center for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia.

Park RF (1988a). Epidemiology of *Mycosphaerella nubilosa* and *M. cryptica* on *Eucalyptus* spp. in South-Eastern Australia. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **91**, 261–266.

Park RF (1988b). Effect of certain host, inoculum, and environmental factors on infection of *Eucalyptus* species by two *Mycosphaerella* species. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **90**, 221–228.

Park RF, Keane PJ (1982). Leaf diseases of *Eucalyptus* associated with *Mycosphaerella* species. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **79,** 101–115.

Park RF, Keane PJ, Wingfield MJ, Crous PW (2000a). Leaf diseases of eucalypts. In: *Eucalypt Health Management.* (PJ Keane, GA Kile, eds.). CSIRO Melbourne, Australia. 151–237.

Park RF, Keane PJ, Wingfield MJ, Crous PW (2000b). Fungal diseases of eucalypt foliage. In: *Diseases and pathogens of eucalypts* (Keane PJ, Kile GA, Podger FD, Brown BN, eds). CSIRO Publishing, Australia, pp. 153–239.

Parra-O C, Bayly MJ, Drinnan A, Udovicic F, Ladiges P (2009). Phylogeny, major clades and infrageneric classification of *Corymbia* (Myrtaceae), based on nuclear ribosomal DNA and morphology. *Australian Systematic Botany* **22**, 384**–**399.

Pérez CA, Wingfield MJ, Altier NA, Blanchette RA (2009). *Mycosphaerellaceae* and *Teratosphaeriaceae* associated with *Eucalyptus* leaf diseases and stem cankers in Uruguay. *Forest Pathology* **39,** 349.

Pérez G, Hunter GC, Slippers B, Pérez C, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2009). *Teratosphaeria* (*Mycosphaerella) nubilosa*, the causal agent of Mycosphaerella leaf disease (MLD), recently introduced into Uruguay. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **125,** 109–118.

Potts BM, Pederick LA (2000). Morphology, phylogeny, origin, distribution and genetic diversity of eucalypts. In: *Diseases and pathogens of eucalypts* (Keane PJ, Kile GA, Podger FD, Brown BN, eds.). CSIRO Publishing, Australia.

Poynton RJ (1979). *Tree Planting in Southern Africa*. *Vol. 2. The Eucalypts*. South African Department of Forestry, Pretoria, pp. 882.

Rivas GG, Zapater MF, Abadie C, Carlier I (2004). Founder effects and stochastic dispersal at the continental scale of the fungal pathogen of bananas *Mycosphaerella fijiensis. Molecular Ecology* **13,** 471**–**482.

Rodas CA, Gryzenhout M, Myburg H, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2005). Discovery of the *Eucalyptus* canker pathogen *Chrysoporthe cubensis* on native *Miconia* (Melastomataceae) in Colombia *Plant Pathology* **54,** 460–470.

Roux J, Myburg H, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2003). Biological and phylogenetic analyses suggest that two *Cryphonectria* species cause cankers of *Eucalyptus* in Africa *Plant Disease* **87,** 1329-1332.

Roux J, Wingfield MJ, Cibrián D (2002). First report of Coniothyrium canker in Mexico. *Plant Pathology* **51,** 382.

Sanderson FR (1976). *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (Fuckel) Sanderson comb, nov.,the ascogenous state of *Septoria tritici* Rob. apud Desm. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*, **14,** 359-60.

Sankaran KV, Sutton BC, DW Minter (1995). A checklist of fungi recorded on eucalyptus. *Mycological papers* **170,** 1–376.

Schoch C, Schoemaker RA, Seifert KA, Hambleton S, Spatafora JW, Crous PW (2006). A multigene phylogeny of the Dothideomycetes using four nuclear loci. *Mycologia* **98,** 1043–1054.

Seixas CDS, Barreto RW, Alfenas AC, Ferreira FA (2004). *Cryphonectria cubensis* on an indigenous host in Brazil: a possible origin for eucalyptus canker disease? *Mycologist* **18,** 18–24.

Slippers B, Stenlid J, Wingfield MJ (2005). Emerging pathogens: fungal host jumps following anthropogenic introduction. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **20,** 420–421.

Stape JL, Binkley D, Ryan MG (2004). *Eucalyptus* production and the supply, use and efficiency of use of water, light and nitrogen across a geographic gradient in Brazil. *Forest ecology and management* **193**, 17–31.

Stape JL, Binkley D, Ryan MG, Fonseca S, Loos RA, Takahashi EN, Silva CR, Silva SR, Hakamada RE, Ferreira JMdeA, Lima AMN, Gva JL, Leite FP, Andrade HB, Alves JM, Silva GGC, Azevedo MR (2010). The Brazil Eucalyptus Potential Productivity Project: Influence of water, nutrients and stand uniformity on wood production. *Forest Ecology and Management* **259**, 1684–1694.

Steane DA, Nicolle D, McKinnon GE, Vaillancourt RE, Potts BM (2002). Higher-level relationships among the eucalypts are resolved by ITS-sequence data *Australian Systematic Botany* **15**, 49–62.

Steane DA, Nicolle D, Potts BM (2007). Phylogenetic positioning of anomalous eucalypts by using ITS sequence data. *Australian Systematic Botany,* **20**, 402–408.

Stukenbrock EH, Banke S, Javan-N M, McDonald BA (2007). Origin and domestication of the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* via sympatric speciation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **24,** 398–411.

Sutton BC (1980). The Coelomycetes: fungi Imperfecti with Pycnidia, Acervuli and Stromata. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew.

Sutton BC, Hennebert GL (1994). Interconnections amongst anamorphs and their possible contribution to ascomycete systematics. In: *Ascomycete systematics: problems and perspectives in the nineties*. Hawksworth DL (ed.), Plenum Press, New York, pp. 77–100.

Tautz D, Renz M (1984). Simple sequences are ubiquitous repetitive components of eukaryotic genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research,* **12,** 4127–4138.

Taylor JW, Fisher MC (2003). Fungal multilocus sequence typing – it's not just for bacteria. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **6,**351–356.

Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Fischer MC (1999). The evolution of asexual fungi: reproduction, speciation and classification. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **37,** 197–246.

Tenzer I, Ivanissevich SD, Morgante M, Gessler C (1999). Identification of microsatellite markers and their application to population genetics of *Venturia inaequalis*. *American Phytophthology Society* **89,** 748–753.

Torriani SFF, Brunner PC, McDonald BA, Sierotzki H (2008). QoI resistance emerged independently at least four times in European populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola. Pest Management Science* **65,** *155–162.*

Turnbull JW (2000). Economic and social importance of eucalypts. In: *Diseases and pathogens of eucalypts*. Eds Keane PJ, Kile GA, Podger FD, Brown BN CSIRO publishers, Australia.

Van Ginkel M, McNab A, Krupinsky J, eds (1999). *Septoria and Stagonospora*

Diseases of Cereals: A Compilation of Global Research. Mexico, D.F.: International maize and wheat improvement center (CIMMYT) at: http://libcatalog.cimmyt.org/download/cim/68090.pdf

Van Zyl LM (1999). Factors associated with Coniothyrium canker of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa. PhD thesis, University of the Orange Free State, Bloemfontein.

Van Zyl LM, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ (2002a). Morphological, cultural and pathogenic characteristics of *Coniothyrium zuluense* isolates from different plantation regions in South Africa. *Mycopathologia* **155,** 149–153.

Van Zyl LM, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Pongpanich K, Wingfield BD (2002b). Morphological and molecular relatedness of geographically diverse isolates of *Coniothyrium zuluense* from South Africa and Thailand*. Mycological Research* **106,** 51–59.

Van Zyl LM, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA (1997). Diversity among isolates of *Coniothyrium zuluense,* a newly recorded *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen in South Africa. In: *Proceedings of the IUFRO Conference on Silviculture and Improvement of Eucalyptus* (EMBRAPA/CNPF). Salvador, 24–29 August 1997, Brazil. Anais, Colombo. **3,** 135–141.

Verkley GJM, Da Silva M, Wicklow DT, Crous PW (2004). *Paraconiothyrium,* a new genus to accomodate the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans,* anamorphs of *Parapaheosphaeria*, and four new species. *Studies in Mycology* **50,** 323–335.

Volker PW, Owen JV, Borralho NMG (1994). Genetic variances and covariances for frost tolerance in *Eucalyptus globulus* and *E. nitens. Silvae genetica* **43,** 366–372 .

Walker E, Byrne M, Macdonald B (2009). Clonality and hybrid origin of the rare *Eucalyptus bennettiae* (Myrtaceae) in Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany* **57,** 180–188.

Walker J, Sutton BC, Pascoe IG (1992). *Phaeoseptoria eucalypti* and similar fungi on *Eucalyptus* with description of *Kirramyces* gen. nov. (*Coelomycetes*). *Mycological Research* **96,** 911–924.

Whyte G, Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GEStJ (2005). First record of *Mycosphaerella heimii* in Australia. Australasian *Plant Pathology* **34**, 605–606.

Wingfield MJ (2003). Increasing threat of diseases to exotic plantation forests in the southern hemisphere: Lessons from *Cryphonectria* canker. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **32,** 133–139.

Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Boden K (1996). *Kirramyces destructans* sp. nov., a serious leaf pathogen of *Eucalyptus* in Indonesia. *South African Journal of Botany* **62,** 325–327.

Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Couthinho TA (1997). A serious new canker disease of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa caused by a new species of *Coniothyrium. Mycopathologia* **136,** 139-145.

Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Peredo HL (1995). A preliminary, annotated list of foliar pathogens of *Eucalyptus* sp. in Chile. *South African Forestry Journal* **173**, 53**–**57.

Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Hurley BP, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Roux J (2008). Eucalypt pests and diseases: growing threats to plantation productivity *Southern Forests: a Journal of Forest Science* **70,** 139**–**144.

Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Roux J, Wingfield BD (2001). Worldwide Movement of Exotic Forest Fungi, especially in the tropics and the southern hemisphere. *BioScience* **51,** 134–140.

Zandjanakou-Tachin M, Vroh-Bi I, Ojiambo PS, Tenkouano A, Gumedzoe YM, Bandyopadhyay R (2009). Identification and genetic diversity of *Mycosphaerella* species on banana and plantain in Nigeria. *Plant Pathology* **58**, 536–546.

Zane L, Baegelloni L, Patarnello T (2002). Strategies for microsatellite isolation: a review. *Molecular Ecology* **11,** 1–16.

Zhan J, Kema GHJ, Waalwijk C, McDonald BA (2002b). Distribution of mating type alleles in the wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* over spatial scales from lesions to continents. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **36,** 128–136.

Zhan J, Kema GHJ, McDonald BA (2004). Evidence for natural selection in the mitochondrial genome of *Mycosphaerella graminicola. Phytopathology* **94**, 26–267.

Zhan J, Linde CC, Jürgens, Merz U, Steinebrunner F, McDonald BA (2005). Variation for neutral markers is correlated with variation for quantitative traits in the plant pathogenic fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola. Molecular Ecology* **14,** 2683–2693.

Zhan J, McDonald BA (2004). The interaction among evolutionary forces in the pathogenic fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola. Fungal Genetics and Biology* **41,** 590–599.

Zhan J, Mundt CC, Hoffer ME, McDonald BA (2002a). Local adaptation and effect of host genotype on the rate of pathogen evolution: an experimental test in a plant pathosystem. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **15,** 634–647.

Zhan J, Mundt CC, McDonald BA (1998). Measuring immigration and sexual reproduction in field populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Phytopathology* **88,** 1330–1337.

Zhan J, Mundt CC, McDonald BA (2000). Estimation of rates of recombination and migration in populations of plant pathogens - A reply. *Phytopathology* **90,** 324–326.

Zhan J, Mundt CC, McDonald BA (2001). Using restriction fragment length polymorphisms to assess temporal variation and estimate the number of ascospores that initiate epidemics in field populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola. Phytopathology* **91,** 1011–1017.

Zhan J, Mundt CC, McDonald BA (2007a). Sexual reproduction facilitates the adaptation of parasites to antagonistic host environments: Evidence from empirical study in the wheat-*Mycosphaerella graminicola* system. *International Journal for Parasitology* **37,** 861–870.

Zhan J, Pettway RE, McDonald BA (2003). The global genetic structure of the wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* is characterised by high nuclear diversity, low mitochondrial diversity, regular recombination, and gene flow. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **38,** 286–97.

Zhan J, Torriani SFF, McDonald B (2007b). Significant difference in pathogenicity between MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 isolates in the wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. **Fungal Genetics and Biology *44, 339–346.***

Zhou XD, Xie YJ, Chen SF, Wingfield MJ (2008). Diseases of eucalypt plantations in China: challenges and opportunities. *Fungal Diversity* **32,** 1–7.

Zhu Y, Chen H, Fan J, Wang Y, Li Y, Chen J, Fan J, Yang S, Hu L, Leung H, Mew TW, Teng PS, Wang Z, Mundt CC (2000). Genetic diversity and disease control in rice. *Nature* **406,** 718–722.

Table 1 Selection of search results of web sites containing useful information regarding forestry and eucalypts species. Examples of results using string of words within" " are shown, examples of result searching for books are shown, and different kind of sites containing general information on plantations or species specific information. Links are functional and can be followed using Ctrl+click.

Examples of searches using strings of words (string within " ")

„*Eucalyptus* diseases" the search retrieved 2880 direct links
http://books.google.ch/books?ei=18szSo-WAsausAbHv7zMCQ&ct=result&lr=&q=eucalyptus+diseases&sa=N&start=0

„*Eucalyptus* transgenic" the search retrieved 600 direct links
http://books.google.ch/books?ei=18szSo-WAsausAbHv7zMCQ&ct=result&q=eucalyptus+transgenic&lr=&sa=N&start=0

search results of web pages on *Eucalyptus* species

General information, maps, statistics
http://www.git-forestry.com/
http://www.git-forestry.com/downloads/GIT_Forestry_Global_Eucalyptus_Map_2009_Marketing_Campaign_ENG.pdf

General information
http://florabase.calm.wa.gov.au/browse/profile/21824

General information
http://trees.stanford.edu/ENCYC/EUCdiv.htm

General information
http://www.eucalyptus.com.br/index_eng.html

General information
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eucalyptus

General information
http://www.worldagroforestrycentre.org/SEA/Products/AFDbases/AF/asp/SearchList.asp?txtSearch=Eucalyptus&Submit2=Search&intCat=1

General information
http://www.angelfire.com/bc/eucalyptus/

Examples of search results for Books

K. Eldridge, J. Davidson, C. Harwood, Garrit Eucalypt Domestication and Breeding
http://books.google.ch/books?id=XrKmcLpu1DsC&pg=PA139&lpg=PA139&dq=E+tereticornis&source=bl&ots=VcwKfPaNqq&sig=0jTNk9Ub1nszndNcK1o6JktSEi8&hl=de&ei=NtIzSo4l08r-BvGY3bUK&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=6

PJ. Keane, GA. Kile, FD. Podger Diseases and pathogens of eucalypts
http://books.google.ch/books?id=8ZCnv-ClKvAC&pg=PA223&lpg=PA223&dq=E+citridora+diseases&source=bl&ots=9P84ACHpaQ&sig=j_U_8ZFlDiCCN1FvSsrIY4XPhSU&hl=de&ei=18szSo-WAsausAbHv7zMCQ&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=1

JJW. Coppen Eucalyptus
http://books.google.ch/books?id=sovmINZsxdEC&pg=PA208&lpg=PA208&dq=E+citridora+diseases&source=bl&ots=-cyfFMvvp9&sig=tihajCNI0IBAiMb1HEt29xKcp6g&hl=de&ei=18szSo-WAsausAbHv7zMCQ&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=4#PPA19,M1

R-P. Wei, D. Xu Eucalyptus plantations
http://books.google.ch/books?id=qtjcvNSMupUC&pg=PA88&dq=eucalyptus+transgenic&lr=&ei=3OA0SrWpLIS8yQSD9_SKCQ

Examples of search results as per species

E. globulus
General characteristics
http://www.herbs2000.com/herbs/herbs_eucalyptus.htm
General characteristics
http://www.git-forestry.com/

E. camaldulensis
General characteristics
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eucalyptus_camaldulensis
Transformation techniques
http://jxb.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/47/2/285

E. grandis
General characteristics
http://www.na.fs.fed.us/pubs/silvics_manual/Volume_2/eucalyptus/grandis.htm
General characteristics
http://www.australiaplants.com/Eucalyptus_grandis.htm
E. grandis in Argentina
http://www.iufrodurban.org.za/Presentations/Thursday/JuanPaul_GermanRaute.pdf

E. nitens
General characteristics
http://git-forestry.com/EucalyptHighlandForests01.htm
General characteristics
http://www.australiaplants.com/Eucalyptus_nitens.htm

E. urophylla
Soil preparation and weed control
http://www.inta.gov.ar/bellavista/info/documentos/forestales/respuestas.pdf
General characteristics
http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sea/Products/AFDbases/af/asp/SpeciesInfo.asp?SpID=821
FAO corporate document repository:
Linkage maps
http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/picrender.fcgi?artid=1206059&blobtype=pdf
Information on Patent of transformation method
http://www.wipo.int/pctdb/en/wo.jsp?wo=2006052554

E. pellita
Productivity comparison
http://www.springerlink.com/content/wj4j58p218g81p11/
Productivity of monocultures vs. mixed plantations
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=ArticleURL&_udi=B6T6X-4K7WJ67-

1&_user=10&_rdoc=1&_fmt=&_orig=search&_sort=d&view=c&_acct=C000050221&_version=1&_urlVersion=0&_userid=10&md5=4281ff63a4c4e488a147c2d136117cb7

Mixed plantations vs. monoculture
http://espace.library.uq.edu.au/eserv/UQ:8212/R104_Bristow_pp.pdf
Diseases
http://www2.dpi.qld.gov.au/hardwoodsqld/1819.html

E. tereticornis
General characteristics
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eucalyptus_tereticornis
General characteristics
http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au/cgi-bin/NSWfl.pl?page=nswfl&lvl=sp&name=Eucalyptus~tereticornis
Wood quality in India http://209.85.129.132/search?q=cache:rzlK6ZReeJcJ:bio.kuleuven.be/sys/iawa/IAWA%2520J%2520pdf%27s/26.no.1.2005/137-147.pdf+Eucalyptus+tereticornis+India&cd=12&hl=de&ct=clnk&gl=ch
General characteristics
http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sea/Products/AFDbases/af/asp/SpeciesInfo.asp?SpID=817

Table 2 Summary on population genetic studies of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. Population parametes, major findings for such parameters and main references.

Main Topic	Findings	References
***Mycosphaerella graminicola* populations are high variable**	High diversity across all tested spatial and temporal scales (more than 20 countries in 5 continents). Consistent results across different nuclear markers.	Linde *et al*., 2002 McDonald and Martinez, 1990; 1991. Zhan *et al.,*2002b Zhan and McDonald 2004. Banke and McDonald 2005. Brunner *et al*., 2008.
Lower variability in the mitochondrial genome	Diversity tested using RFLPs. Mt DNA lower diversity is hypothesized as selective sweep where haplotypes with faster metabolic rate are favored.	Torriani *et al*., 2008a. Zhan *et al*.,2004.
Variation in neutral markers and variation in quantitative traits	They are correlated. Australia with the lowest genetic diversity (neutral markers) had the lowest additive genetic variance for most quantitative characters.	Zhan *et al.,* 2005.
Population size	>24.000 per field. Few mutations are lost by genetic drift.	Zhan and McDonald 2004. Zhan *et al*., 2001.
Gene flow	Very extensive and global. Major source of migrants was from the Fertile Crescent and "Old world". Global populations are at drift/migration equilibrium. Clear founder effect in Australia. Seeds are proposed to be the most likely mechanism of historical intercontinental gene flow. Ascospores are important at the regional level.	Zhan *et al.,* 2003. Banke and McDonald 2005. Zhan and McDonald 2004.
Recombination and "sex signature"	Ascospores are primary ans secondary inoculum during growing season. High degree to generate new alleles through recombination. Mating types occurring at equal frequency at all spatial scales. Random association among alleles at unlinked loci. Clones are "ephemeral".Individual clones found in a very few meters scale.Identical clones never found in different fields across years.	Zhan *et al*., 1998; 2000. Banke and McDonald 2005. Bruner *et al*., 2008. Zhan *et al*., 2002b. Chen and McDonald 1996. Chen *et al*.,1994 Zhan *et al*., 2001.
Origin, Age	>10.000 years. Relatively old for a crop disease. Timeframe to accumulate mutations. *M. graminicola* emerged during the same time as the domestication of wheat. Close relatives are still present on wild grasses I the Fertile Crescent in Iran.	Stukenbrock *et a*l., 2007.
Evolution	Selection seems to be a main driver of evolution: Competition among strains is very high. Adaptation for higher virulence can occur over short periods of time. MATI-1 is more virulent than MATI-2. Local adaptation can occur in a single growing season in field experiments. Sexual recombination enables faster evolution of the pathogen. Disruptive evolution occurred in host mixtures. Populations rapidly can become resistant to fungicides.	Cowger *et al*., 2000. Zhan *et al*., 2002. Zhan *et al*., 2007. Brunner *et al.*, 2008. Torriani *et al*., 2008b. Zhan *et al.,* 2006.

	Possible association between virulence and fungicide resistance.	
Genetics of Virulence and Resistance	Virulence an fungicide resistance are mainly quantitative characters. From theoretical point of view, Search for breeding resistance should be based on quantitative resistance or R-gene pyramids.	Zhan *et al.,* 2005. McDonald and Linde 2002.

Table 3 Summary of the population genetic studies on pathogenic *Mycosphaerella and Teratosphaeria* spp.

Teleomorph	***M. populorum***	***M. musicola***	***M. fijiensis***	***T. cryptica***	***T. nubilosa***
Anamorph	*Septoria musiva*	*Cercospora musae*	Paracercospora fijiensis	*Colletogloeopsis nubilosum*	*(Uwebraunia)* In nature only the sexual state is found.
Host	Poplar trees	Banana trees	Banana trees	*Eucalyptus* trees	*Eucalyptus* trees
Studied Geographic range.	North America	Africa, Latin America, Caribbean, Australia, Indonesia	Philippines, Papua new Guinea, Africa, Latin America, Pacific Ilands, Australia	Australia	Spain, Portugal, Tanzania, South Africa, Australia
Used Molecular markers.	RAPDs	RFLPs; SNPs	RFLPs; SNPs		Microsatellites
Global range genetic diversity.	Moderate (Isolation by distance)	Moderate to High	Moderate	No data	Moderate.
Distribution of diversity: Sampling level containing higher genetic diversity.	90 % diversity within a single tree	Lesion and Plant level in Australia	Plant and plantation	No data	Plant and plantation in South Africa
Linkage disequilibrium (Evidence of recombination)	Yes Gamet eq. at pop. level	Yes Gamet eq. at pop. level Gamet deseq. at plant level	Yes Gamet eq. at pop. level	No	Yes.
Level of differentiation among populations	High	High Low within Australia: (Founder effect)	Low. Lack of significant differentiation among populations of Aus, Papua, Pacific Ilands	No Data	Low. Lack of significant differentiation among populations

Source hypothesis	North America	South-East Asia	South-East Asia	Australia	East Australia
References	Feau *et al.,* 2005	Hyden *et al.,* 2003b; 2005 ; Zandjanakou-Tachin *et al.,* 2009	Carlier2004; Hyden *et al*., 2003a ; Rivas *et al*., 2004 ; Zandjanakou-Tachin *et al.,* 2009;	Milgate *et al.,* 2005	Hunter *et al.,* 2008

RFLP = Restriction Fragment Lenght Polymorphism; RAPD ; = Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA ; SNP = Single Nucleotide Polymorphism ; H = Nei gene distance ; G= Genotypic Diversity.



Fig 1 Plot showing the abundance in percentage of pests (orange), diseases (light green) of the world forests as per continent (source FAO, 2009) and *Eucalyptus* planted areas of as per continent (purple line).

Fig 2



Graph showing the cumulative increase of reports (axis y) on *Mycosphaerella* species of *Eucalyptus* during the last 35 years (axis x) of research on this genus.



Fig 3 Simplified maximum parsimony tree as in Crous *et al.,* 2009. The support values separating *Davidiellaceae, Mycosphaerellaceae, Teratosphaeriaceae* and the Clades within *Teratosphaeriaceae* are indicated with red numbers on the corresponding nodes.



Fig 4 Symptoms and culture morphological characteristics of Coniothyrium canker. A) Lesions on twig of *Eucalyptus* B) the same twig, peeled, showing the internal cankers C) typical lesions on the trunk D) transversal cut of a trunk showing concentric kino pockets. E) Variability of morphology in culture. In this picture is possible to appreciate differences in colour as well as the texture, rate of growth in some cases and staining of the growing media.

UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA



Fig 5 Example of two infected plantations. Severe cases in the locations of A. Venters and B. Mtubatuba, both in Kwa-Zulu Natal.



Fig 6 Countries where *Coniothyrium zuluensis* has been reported. Countries are indicated with yellow dots (South Africa, Malawi, Uganda, Ethiopia, China, Thailand, Vietnam, Hawaii-US, Mexico, Uruguay, Argentina). Map by www.theodora.com.



Fig 7 Timeline 1 of Coniothyrium canker disease showing the dates the fungus has been reported in different countries and taxonomic changes since its first description in 1997.



Fig 8 Timeline 2 Coniothyrium canker disease: evolution of taxonomic changes, publications and summary of topics included in the publications.



Fig 9 Summary of the Coniothyrium canker story. Important discoveries along time are highlighted.

Chapter 2

First record of *Colletogloeopsis zuluense* comb. nov., causing a stem canker of *Eucalyptus* spp. in China

Chapter 2

First record of *Colletogloeopsis zuluense* comb. nov., causing a stem canker of *Eucalyptus* spp. in China

ABSTRACT

Coniothyrium zuluense causes a serious canker disease of *Eucalyptus* in various parts of the world. Very little is known regarding the taxonomy of this asexual fungus, which was provided with a name based solely on morphological characteristics. In this study we consider the phylogenetic position of *C. zuluense* using DNA-based techniques. Distance analysis using 18S and ITS regions revealed extensive sequence divergence relative to the type species of *Coniothyrium, C. palmarum* and species of *Paraconiothyrium. Coniothyrium* zuluense was shown to be an anamorph species of *Mycosphaerella*, a genus that includes a wide range of Eucalyptus leaf and stem pathogens. Within *Mycosphaerella* it clustered with taxa having pigmented, verruculose, aseptate conidia that proliferate percurrently and sympodially from pigmented conidiogenous cells arranged in conidiomata that vary from being pycnidial to acervular. The genus *Colletogloeopsis* is emended to include species with pycnidial conidiomata, and the new combination *Colletogloeopsis*

zuluense is proposed. This is also the first report of the pathogen from China where it is associated with stem cankers on *Eucalyptus urophylla*.

Published as: Cortinas MN, Burgess T, Dell B, Xu D, Crous PW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006). First record of *Colletogloeopsis zuluense* comb. nov., causing stem canker of *Eucalyptus* in China. *Mycological Research* **110,** 229–236.

INTRODUCTION

Coniothyrium Corda 1840 represents a large genus of asexual fungi that produce conidia in pycnidia. It is one of the oldest genera of coelomycetes and includes more than 800 species, with *C. palmarum* representing the type (Corda 1840). Sutton (1980) clarified the generic concepts for *Coniothyrium*, limiting it to species in which conidia arise from the percurrent proliferation of conidiogenous cells. Thus, *Coniothyrium* is characterized by having unilocular, immersed, ostiolate, thin-walled and dark brown pycnidia. Conidia are brown, ellipsoidal to cylindrical, formed on percurrently proliferating conidiogenous cells.

In the strict sense, *Coniothyrium* should represent anamorphs of *Leptosphaeria* that are morphologically and phylogenetically similar to *C. palmarum*, the type species of *Coniothyrium* (Crous 1998). *Coniothyrium zuluense* would thus be expected to represent a member of this group. In contrast, a recent study in which ITS sequence data were used to confirm a record of *C. zuluense* from Ethiopia, has suggested that this fungus is related to species of *Mycosphaerella* (Gezahgne *et al.*, 2005). This, together with the importance of the disease has led us to re-evaluate the taxonomic status of *C. zuluense*.

Coniothyrium zuluense causes a very serious stem canker disease on *Eucalyptus* in South Africa, from where it was originally described (Wingfield *et al.,* 1997; Van Zyl 1999). Since then, it has become one of the most serious pathogens of plantation grown *Eucalyptus* spp. in the world. In recent years, Coniothyrium stem canker has been recorded on *Eucalyptus* spp. in Thailand (Van Zyl 1999; Van Zyl *et al.,* 2002), Mexico (Roux *et al.,* 2002), Hawaii (Cortinas *et al.,* 2004) Vietnam (Old *et al.,* 2003), Ethiopia and Uganda (Gezahgne *et al.,* 2003), Argentina (Gezahgne *et al.,* 2004) and Uruguay, (M.J. Wingfield, unpubl.). It is thus intriguing that the fungus is not known from Australia, the area of origin of *Eucalyptus*. While *C. zuluense* might be present on *Eucalyptus* spp. where they are native, but sufficiently unimportant to be noted, it could also have originated on trees related to *Eucalyptus* elsewhere in the world. This would be similar to the case of the pathogens causing the important Cryphonectria canker of *Eucalyptus* (Burgess & Wingfield 2002; Wingfield 2003).

Coniothyrium species have very few useful morphological characteristics of taxonomic relevance. Recognition of species has been based on the morphology of the single-celled conidia including wall ornamentation, pigmentation and size (Taylor & Crous 2001). These characteristics have been shown to be insufficient to differentiate between species where various features overlap. This has been especially problematic in the case of *C. zuluense*, in which cultures are highly variable in texture, colour and growth and they also vary markedly in their pathogenicity to clones of *Eucalyptus* (Wingfield *et al.,* 1997; Van Zyl 1999). These apparent differences led Van Zyl (1999) to believe that *C. zuluense* might encompass more than one taxon. Thus, isolates from South Africa and Thailand were compared based on sequences of the ITS region, but these were found to represent a single phylogenetic species despite their extensive phenotypic variation (Van Zyl *et al.,* 1997).

During the course of surveys of *Eucalyptus* plantations in Africa, South and Central America, and South-East Asia, a large collection of *C. zuluense* cultures have become available to us. These also include a recent collection of isolates from lesions resembling those of Coniothyrium canker on the stems of *Eucalyptus urophylla* trees in China. The aim of this study was primarily to reconsider the taxonomic position of *C. zuluense* as a member of the genus *Coniothyrium,* based ona large global collection of isolates. A secondary objective was to identify the fungus suspected to represent *C. zuluense*, collected from lesions on *Eucalyptus* stems in China.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates and DNA extraction

Single conidial cultures were established from pycnidia of *Coniothyrium zuluense* collected from host material. The contents of single pycnidia were diluted in sterile distilled water and spread on the surface of 2 % malt extract agar (MEA) plates. After 24 h, germinating conidia were transferred to new MEA plates and these were incubated for 25 d at 25 ^{o}. All cultures used in this study are maintained in the culture collection of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (CMW),

University of Pretoria, South Africa, and a representative set has been deposited in the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, (Table 1).

After 25 d, mycelium was scrapped from the Petri dishes, freeze dried, frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to a fine powder. DNA was then extracted using a phenol-chlorophorm protocol for which details are described by Cortinas *et al.,* (2004).

PCR and sequencing

A list of isolates and DNA sequences considered in this study are presented in Table 1. Two regions of the ribosomal DNA operon were amplified by PCR for 27 isolates. The partial small nuclear ribosomal subunit (18S) was amplified with the primers NS3: 5' GCA AGT CTG GTG CCA GCA GCC and NS4: 5' CTT CCG TCA ATT CCT TTA AG (White *et al.,* 1990). Partial amplification of the internal transcribed spacer 1, the 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene and the complete internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS1, 5.8S, ITS 2) was achieved using the primers ITS1: 5' TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT GCG G and ITS4: 5' GCT GCG TTC TTC ATC GAT GC (White *et al.,* 1990). All the PCR reactions were performed in 25 µl total volume including 1µl of genomic DNA from 1/50 dilutions, 1 U Taq polymerase, 10 pmol of each primer, 0.8 mM of each dNTPs, 1 × Taq buffer and 2 mM $MgCl_2$. Cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 96 ° for 2min, followed by 10 cycles of 30 s at 95 °, 30 s at 54 °, 1 min at 72 ° and 25 cycles of 30 s at 95 °, 30 s at 56 °, 1min at 72 °, with 5 s extension after each cycle. A final elongation step was carried out for 7min at 72 °. PCR amplicons were visualized under UV light on a 1 % agarose gel and then purified by gel filtration through Sephadex G-50 (Sigma S5897) followed by vacuum drying.

Sequencing reactions were performed in 10 µl with 2 µl of purified PCR product, 10 pmol of the same primers used in the PCR, 2 µl 5 × dilution buffer and using the ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator v. 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA). PCR conditions: were: 25 cycles of 10 s at 96 °C; 4 s at 50 °C; 4 min at 60 °C. Sequencing products were purified by gel filtration through Sephadex G-50 (Sigma S5897) followed by vacuum drying and electrophoresis using an ABI Prism® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA).

Phylogenetic analyses

In addition to the sequence data derived in this study, sequences were extracted from GenBank (Table 1). Alignments were carried out using Clustal under MEGA 3 (Kumar, Tamura & Nei 2004). Where necessary, alignments were adjusted manually. All sequences generated in this study have been deposited in GenBank and the accession numbers are shown in Table 1 (marked with *).

Distance analyses were conducted using MEGA 3.0 (Kumar *et al.,* 2004). Pairwise distances were estimated using the Kimura with two parameters model (Kimura 1980). Neighbour-joining was used as grouping algorithm (Saitou & Nei 1987) to reconstruct the trees. Gaps generated in the alignment were treated as missing data. One thousand bootstrap replicates were done in each case to assess the statistical support of nodes in the phylogenetic trees (values indicated on the branches).

The most parsimonious (MP) trees were generated using PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). For parsimony analyses, heuristic searches were used with the steepest descent option and the TBR swapping algorithm. The characters were equally weighted and treated as unordered. Statistical support of the nodes in the trees was tested with 1000 bootstrap replicates. GenBank AY351901 and AY351899 sequences of *Ophiostama quercus*, (Ophiostomatales) were included as outgroups for 18S and ITS analyses respectively.

Morphology

Growth characteristics of the *Coniothyrium*-like isolates from *Eucalyptus* in China were observed after 25 d. Colours were described following the notations of Rayner

(1970). General morphological features were examined microscopically. Pycnidia-like masses from cultures were mounted on slides in 5 % lactic acid.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic analyses

SSU sequences

A total of 565 bp characters of the 18S ribosomal gene were compared amongst 43 taxa corresponding to *Mycospharellaceae*, *Leptosphaeriaceae* and *Ophiostoma quercus* used as outgroup. The reconstructed distance tree (Fig 1) showed that the type species of *Coniothyrium*, *C. palmarum*, grouped with members of *Leptosphaeria* (*Leotosphaeriaceae*, *Pleosporales*). Isolates of *C. zuluense* from South Africa and China grouped distant from *C. palmarum* with species of *Mycosphaerella*. Furthermore, isolates of *C. zuluense* clustered to a sub-clade of *Mycosphaerella* including the leaf pathogenic species of *Eucalyptus; M. molleriana, M. vespa, M. ambyphilla, Phaeophleospora eucalypti, M. nubilosa*, *M. cryptica and M. suttoniae.*

ITS sequences

After alignment of the ITS region, 535 characters were compared corresponding to 56 taxa. The range of taxa comprised *Mycosphaerellaceae* and *Leptophaeriaceae* and *O. quercus* included as outgroup. Additionally, the number of representatives of *C. zuluense* was increased. The reconstructed tree (Fig 2) showed *C. palmarum* grouping with other *Coniothyrium* species belonging in *Leptosphaeria*. The sub-grouping of *C. zuluense* in the ITS tree had high statistical support. The sequences of *C. zuluense* were located within a *Mycosphaerella* cluster including *M. molleriana, M. vespa, M. ambiphylla, P. eucalypti, M. cryptica, M. nubilosa* and *M. suttoniae.* The topology of the most parsimonious trees and consensus trees was equivalent to the topology obtained by distance-reconstructed trees (data not shown). The DNA sequences of newly acquired isolates from China clustered within the *C. zuluense* cluster.

Characteristics of cultures from China

Cultures of *Coniothyrium zuluense* from China have a variety of surface colony colours ranging from olive-grey, greenish glaucous to a greyish olive (Rayner 1970) with feathery margins. Cultures varied from greenish to brownish in reverse, to darkly so, with dark brown submerged mycelium. Some of the cultures developed white mycelial rings close to the margins. Aerial mycelium was moderate, and varied from white to pinkish in colour.

Morphology

The pathogen causing stem lesions on *Eucalyptus* was originally described as a new species of *Coniothyrium* based on its pigmented conidia that arose from percurrently proliferating conidiogenous cells that were formed in pycnidia. From the present as well as other phylogenetic studies (Crous *et al.,* 2004; Lennox *et al.,* 2004), it is clear that *C. zuluense* clusters with a complex of species that have fusoid to ellipsoidal pigmented conidia, that develop percurrently and (or) sympodially from pigmented conidiogenous cells, arranged in conidiomata that vary from being more pycnidioid to acervuloid. In previous studies, species of *Mycosphaerella* forming acervuli were placed in the anamorph genus *Colletogloeopsis* (Crous & Wingfield 1997), while those that were formed in pycnidia, have been placed in *Phaeophleospora* (Crous *et al.,* 2004).

In phylogenetic studies focusing on *Mycosphaerella* and its anamorphs (Crous *et al.,* 2000, 2001a, 2004; Crous; Kang & Braun 2001b), it became clear that many of the anamorph morphologies have evolved more than once in *Mycosphaerella*, and that anamorph morphology is phylogenetically less informative in *Mycosphaerella* than previously suspected (Crous 1998). From the present study it is clear that *Coniothyrium zuluense* is not congeneric with the *Leptosphaeriaceae*, and thus needs to be accommodated in an anamorph genus of *Mycosphaerella*. Previous *Coniothyrium*-like anamorphs of *Mycosphaerella* have been accommodated in *Phaeophleospora* (Crous *et al.,* 2004). However, the type species of *Phaeophleospora, P. eugeniae*, has scolecosporous, multiseptate conidia, and clusters distant from the *C. zuluense* subcluster (P. W. Crous, unpubl.). In contrast, *C. zuluense* always clusters in the same clade as *Colletogloeopsis*

nubilosum and *C. molleriana*, which are morphologically similar to *Coniothyrium zuluense* except that they tend to form acervuloid conidiomata and not pycnidia. Within *Mycosphaerella*, conidiomatal structure has been observed to vary, and to be less important in generic circumscription (Crous *et al.,* 2001a, b). For this reason, we have chosen to emend the generic circumscription of *Colletogloeopsis* to accommodate species with pycnidia. This is consistent with the observation that the transition between pycnidia and acervuli is rather subtle, and has been seen to frequently develop in the same species, depending on the age of the material (Verkley *et al.,* 2004b). Furthermore, *Colletogloeopsis nubilosum*, which forms acervuli on host tissues, has also been observed to form pycnidia in agar when sporulating in culture (crous unpubl. data). For these reasons we do not introduce a new genus for *Coniothyrium zuluense*, but rather emend the description of *Colletogloeopsis* to accommodate this fungus.

TAXONOMY

Colletogloeopsis Crous & M.J. Wingf., *Can. J. Bot.* **75**: 668 (1997).

Mycelium internal and external, consisting of pale brown, septate, branched hyphae, smooth to finely verruculose. *Conidiomata* acervuloid to pycnidioid, immersed to erumpent, dark brown to black. *Conidiogenous cells* arising from the upper cells of a stroma, or superficial hyphae (when cultivated), doliiform to subcylindrical, or somewhat irregular, subhyaline to pigmented, smooth to verruculose, proliferating sympodially and percurrently. *Conidia* single, aseptate, rarely 1-septate, pigmented, smooth to verruculose, fusoid to subcylindrical to ellipsoidal, straight to slightly curved, apex obtuse, base truncate to subtruncate, frequently with a marginal frill.

Teleomorph: *Mycosphaerella*.

Type species: *C. nubilosum* Crous & M.J. Wingf. 1997.

Colletogloeopsis zuluense (M.J. Wingf., Crous & T.A. Cout.) M.N. Cortinas, M.J. Wingf. & Crous, **comb. nov.**

Basinonym.: *Coniothyrium zuluense* M.J. Wingf., Crous & T.A. Cout., *Mycopathologia* **136**: 142 (1997).

DISCUSSION

By utilising a large number of isolates of the fungal stem pathogen that has been known as *Coniothyrium zuluense,* we have been able to confirm preliminary findings that this fungus is an anamorph of *Mycosphaerella.* This result has emerged not only from a global collection of isolates of the fungus, but also using analysis of both the 18S and ITS regions of the ribosomal DNA operon. Although the fungus is known only in its anamorph state, if its sexual state were to be found, this would clearly be a species of *Mycosphaerella.*

The genus *Coniothyrium* is typified by *Coniothyrium palmarum* that is a member of *Leptosphaeria* (*Leptosphaeriaceae*, *Pleosporales*). Corlett (1991) reported several *Coniothyrium* species as possible anamorphs of *Mycosphaerella*. However, this possibility was not further explored due to the established link between *Coniothyrium* and *Leptosphaeria* (Crous 1998). Nevertheless, Milgate *et al.,* (2001) reported the link between *Mycosphaerella vespa* and an anamorph, which they identified as *Coniothyrium ovatum*. Clearly, several links between probable *Coniothyrium*-like anamorphs and species of *Mycosphaerella* are known from the literature. The recent circumscription of *Coniothyrium* (Lennox *et al.,* 2004; Verkley *et al.,* 2004a) makes this genus unavailable for *Coniothyrium*-like anamorphs residing in *Mycosphaerella*. In the past this situation has been resolved by describing these anamorphs in *Phaeophleospora* (Crous *et al.,* 2004). This situation is no longer tenable, however, as the type species of *Phaeophleospora*, *P. eugeniae*, clusters well apart from the *Coniothyrium*-like anamorphs, which reside in a clade with species of *Colletogloeopsis*. By emending the generic circumscription of the latter genus, we have provided a suitable home for the *Coniothyrium*-like anamorphs of *Mycosphaerella.*

Coniothyrium zuluense constitutes a demonstrated link between *Coniothyrium*-like anamorphs and *Mycosphaerella.* This fact raises the possibility that other *Coniothyrium* species on *Eucalyptus*, such as *C. eucalypticola* Sutton and *C. kallangurense* Sutton & Alcorn are also anamorphs of *Mycosphaerella.* Cultures

of these fungi are currently not available and their transfer to *Colletogloepsis* must await further study.

In addition to re-considering the generic placement of *Coniothyrium zuluense*, this study has provided the first firm evidence that the fungus has entered areas of *Eucalyptus* propagation in China. Plantation forestry in China is rapidly expanding, and now exceeds more than 1.3 million hectares, mostly *Eucalyptus urophylla*, *E. grandis* and their hybrids (Minsheng 2003). Areas such as Guandong Province where *Colletogloeopsis zuluense* was discovered have a hot humid climate that is ideally suited to infections by the fungus. Although the disease has not reached serious levels in China, the occurrence of *C. zuluense* in that country deserves serious consideration.

Records of the stem canker disease caused by *C. zuluense* have rapidly increased in number since its first discovery in South Africa in 1988. The origin of this pathogen remains unknown. After its first discovery, Wingfield *et al.,* (1997) speculated that it might have originated on native *Myrtaceae*. This was primarily based on the fact that the fungus was not known to occur in any other country of the world. *C. zuluense* is now known from many countries where eucalypts are being cultivated (Van Zyl 1999; Roux *et al.,* 2002; Van Zyl *et al.,* 2002; Gezaghne *et al.,* 2003; Old *et al.,* 2003; Cortinas *et al.,* 2004). Thus, *C. zuluense* in China could have originated in any one of these countries, or alternatively it could be native on *Eucalyptus* in the centre of origin of these trees, but not yet discovered there.The significant damage that *C. zuluense* causes to *Eucalyptus* propagation justifies further studies on its biology and population genetics. Such studies would give rise to management options for the canker disease and enhance understanding of its origin, which would also contribute to efforts to breed and select resistant trees.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the FABI administrative and culture collection support staff as well as colleagues Irene Barnes and Gavin Hunter of FABI and Ewald Groenevald for their assistance and valuable comments on an early version of the manuscript. We also acknowledge the National Research Foundation, members of the Tree Protection Co-operative Program (TPCP) and the THRIP initiative of the Department of Trade

and Industry, South Africa for financial support. We thank the Chinese Academy of Forestry and the Australian Research Council for providing financial assistance for the collection of isolates in China.

REFERENCES

Burgess T, Wingfield MJ (2004). Impact of fungi in natural forest ecosystems: a focus on *Eucalyptus*. In: Sivasithamparam K, Dixon KW, Barrett RL (eds), *Microorganisms in Plant Conservation and Biodiversity*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 285–306.

Corda AKJ (1840). *Icones fungorum hucusque cognitorum* **4**, 38. Prague, reprinted by J Cramer, Weinheim, NY, 1963.

Corlett M (1991). An annotated list of the published names in *Mycosphaerella* and *Sphaerella. Mycologia Memoirs* **18**, 1– 328.

Cortinas MN, Koch N, Thane J, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004). First record of the *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen, *Coniothyrium zuluense* from Hawaii. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **33**, 309–312.

Crous PW (1998). *Mycosphaerella* spp. and their anamorphs associated with leaf spot diseases of Eucalyptus. *Mycologia Memoirs* **21**, 1–170.

Crous PW, Aptroot A, Kang J-C, Braun U, Wingfield MJ (2000). The genus *Mycosphaerella* and its anamorphs. *Studies in Mycology* **45**, 107–121.

Crous PW, Groenwald JZ, Mansilla JP, Hunter GC, Wingfield, MJ (2004). Phylogenetic reassessment of *Mycospaerella* spp and their anamorphs occurring on *Eucalyptus. Studies in Mycology* **50**, 195–214.

Crous PW, Hong L, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2001a). ITS sDNA phylogeny of selected *Mycosphaerella* species and their anamorphs occurring on *Myrtaceae. Mycological Research* **105,** 425–431.

Crous PW, Kang J-C, Braun U (2001b). A phylogenetic redefinition of anamorph genera in *Mycosphaerella* based on ITS rDNA sequence and morphology. *Mycologia* **93**, 1081–1101.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ (1997). *Colletogloeopsis*, a new coelomycete genus to accommodate anamorphs of two species of *Mycosphaerella* on *Eucalyptus. Canadian Journal of Botany* **75**, 667–674.

Gezahgne A, Cortinas MN, Wingfield MJ, Roux J (2005). Characterisation of the *Coniothyrium* stem canker pathogen on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in Ethiopia. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **34**, 1–6.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Wingfield MJ (2003). Diseases of exotic plantation

Eucalyptus and *Pinus* species in Ethiopia. *South African Journal of Science* **99**, 29–33.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Thu PQ, Wingfield MJ (2004). *Coniothyrium* stem canker of *Eucalyptus* new to Argentina and Vietnam. *South African Journal of Science* **99,** 587–588.

Kimura M, 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **16**, 111–120.

Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M (2004). MEGA3: Integrated software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and sequence alignment. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **5**,150–163.

Lennox CL, Serdani M, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2004). *Prosopidicola mexicana* gen et spnov causing a new pod disease of *Prosopis* species. *Studies in Mycology* **50**, 187–194.

Milgate AW, Yuan ZQ, Vaillancourt RE, Mohammed C (2001). *Mycosphaerella* species occurring on *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Eucalyptus nitens* plantations of Tasmania Australia. *Forest Path*ology **31**, 53–63.

Minsheng Y (2003). Present situation and prospects for eucalypt plantations in China. In: *Eucalypts in Asia. Proceedings of an International Conference held in Zhanjiang Guangdong People's Republic of China 7–11 April 2003* (J W Turnbull ed): 9–15 ACIAR Proceedings No 111 Canberra.

Old KM, Wingfield MJ, Yuan ZQ (2003). *A Manual of Diseases of Eucalypts in South-East Asia*: 50–51 Center for International Forestry Research Bogor Indonesia.

Rayner RW, 1970. *A mycological colour chart.* Commonwealth Mycological Institute Kew.

Roux J, Wingfield MJ, Cibrián D (2002). First report of *Coniothyrium* canker in Mexico. *Plant Pathology* **51**, 382.

Saitou N, Nei M (1987). The neighbour-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **4,** 406–425.

Sutton BC (1980). *The Coelomycetes Fungi imperfecti with pycnidia acervuli and stromata*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute Kew.

Swart HJ (1986). Australian leaf-inhabiting fungi XXI *Coniothyrium* on *Eucalyptus. Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **86**, 494–496.

Swofford DL (2002). PAUPa: Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony (and other methods) Version 4 Sinauer Associates Sunderland Massachusetts USA.

Taylor JE, Crous PW (2001). Morphological variation and cultural characteristics of *Coniothyrium leucospermi* associated with leaf spots of Proteaceae. *Mycoscience* **42**, 265– 271.

Van Zyl LM (1999). *Factors associated with Coniothyrium Canker of Eucalyptus in South Africa.* PhD Thesis University of the Orange Free State Bloemfontein, South Africa.

Van Zyl LM, Couthinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Pongpanich K, Wingfield BD (2002). Morphological and molecular relatedness of geographically diverse isolates of *Coniothyrium zuluense* from South Africa and Thailand. *Mycological Research* **106**, 51–59.

Van Zyl LM, Wingfield MJ, Couthinho TA (1997). Diversity among isolates of *Coniothyrim zuluense* a newly recorded *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen in South Africa. In: *Proceedings of the IUFRO Conference on Silviculture and Improvement of Eucalyptus Salvador* 24– 29 August 1997 Brazil **3**, 135–141 EMBRAPA Colombo.

Verkley GJM, Da Silva M, Wicklow DT, Crous PW (2004a). *Paraconiothyrium* a new genera to accomodate the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans* anamorphs of *Parapaheosphaeria* and four new species. *Studies in Mycology* **50,** 323–335.

Verkley GJM, Starink-Willemse M, Van Iperen A, Abeln ECA (2004b). Phylogenetic analyses of *Septoria* species based on the ITS and LSU-D2 regions of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Mycologia* **96**, 558–571.

White TJ, Bruns T, Taylor J (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: *PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications* (A M Innis D H Gelfand J J Sninsky & T J White eds):pp. 315–322 Academic Press San Diego.

Wingfield MJ (2003). Increasing threat of diseases to exotic plantation forests in the southern hemisphere: Lessons from *Cryphonectria* canker. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **32,** 133–139.

Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Couthinho TA (1997). A serious new canker disease of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa caused by a new species of *Coniothyrium. Mycopathologia* **136,** 139–145.

Table 1 Fungal isolates and DNA sequences used for SSU and ITS analyses.

Culture numbers	Name	Origin	18S GenBank Acc. number	ITS GenBank Acc. number
Strain AA6	*Alternaria alternata*	Canada	U05194	
CPC 4572	*Alternaria malorum*	USA	AY251131	
CPC 4303	*Cercospora oryzae*		AY251103	
CPC 3955	*Cercospora zebrina*	Canada	AY251104	
CPC 3687	*Cladosporium staurophorum*	Colombia	AY251121	
ATCC 200938	*Cladosporium staurophorum*			AF393723
CBS 67268	*Coniothyrium cereale*			AJ293812
CBS 85971	*Paraconiothyrium minitans*			AJ293810
CMW 5283, CBS 75873	*Coniothyrium palmarum*	Israel	DQ240002[a]	DQ240000[a]
CBS 21868	*Paraconiothyrium sporulosum*			AJ293814
CMW 15833 (CRY 1662)	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	Mexico		AF385610, DQ239988[a]
CMW 15834 (CRY 1664	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	Mexico	DQ240022[a]	AF385611, DQ239987[a]
CMW 4507	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	Thailand	DQ240024[a]	
CMW 5236	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	Thailand		AF376829, DQ239989[a]
CMW 5235	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	Thailand		AF376828, DQ239990[a]
CMW 7449	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa	DQ240021[a]	DQ239976[a]
CMW 7479	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa	DQ240020[a]	DQ239982[a]
CMW 7468	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa		DQ239983[a]
CMW 7442	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa		AF376819, DQ239978[a]
CMW 7452	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa		DQ239977[a]
CMW 7488	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa		DQ239975[a]
CMW 7489	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa		AF276820, DQ239980[a]
CMW 7426	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa		DQ239979[a]
CMW7459	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa		AF376816, DQ239981[a]
CMW 13328	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa	DQ240018[a]	DQ239974[a]
CMW 13324	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	South Africa	DQ240019[a]	AY738214
CMW 6857	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	Vietnam	DQ240023[a]	DQ239986[a]
CMW 6860	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	Vietnam		DQ239985[a]
CMW 15957	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	China	DQ240017[a]	DQ239962[a]
CMW 15968	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	China		DQ239965[a]
CMW 15961	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	China		DQ239961[a]
CMW 15966	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	China		DQ239963[a]
CMW 15078	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	China	DQ240016[a]	DQ239966[a]

CMW 15958	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	China		DQ239964[a]
CMW 15087	*Coniothyrium zuluense*	China		DQ239967[a]
CBS 17193	*Discosphaerina fagi*	UK	AY016342	
CPC 1535	*Dissoconium dekkeri*	Netherlands	AY251101	
CBS 64286	*Leptosphaeria bellynckii*			AF439458
ATCC 42652	*Leptosphaeria bicolor*		U04202	
CBS 24464	*Leptosphaeria congesta*			AF439460
CBS 59186	*Leptosphaeria typharum*			AF439465
CMW 13704, CBS 110499	*Mycosphaerella ambiphylla*	Australia	DQ240005[a]	AY725530, DQ239970[a]
CMW 11255,	*Mycosphaerella colombiensis*	Colombia	DQ240011[a]	AF309612, DQ239993[a]
CMW 3279, CPC 936	*Mycosphaerella cryptica*	Australia	DQ240003[a]	AF309623, DQ239971[a]
CPC 355	*Mycosphaerella cryptica*	Chile		AF309622
CMW 3042, CPC 801	*Mycosphaerella crystallina*	South Africa	DQ240009[a]	AF309611, DQ239997[a]
CMW 5165, CPC 850	*Mycosphaerella ellipsoidea*		DQ240014[a]	DQ239994[a]
CMW 4942, CPC 760	*Mycosphaerella heimii*	Madagascar		AF309606, DQ239992[a]
CMW 5223, CPC 1362	*Mycosphaerella irregulariramosa*	South Africa	DQ240012[a]	AF309608, DQ239991[a]
CBS 65285	*Mycosphaerella latebrosa*	Netherlands	AY251114	
CMW 5150, CPC 935	*Mycosphaerella marksii*	Australia	DQ240008[a]	AF309588, DQ239998[a]
CMW 4940, CPC 1214	*Mycosphaerella molleriana*	Portugal	DQ240004[a]	AF309619, DQ239969[a]
CPC 4661	*Mycosphaerella nubilosa*	Spain	AY251120	AY725570
CMW 6210	*Mycosphaerella nubilosa*	Australia	DQ240006[a]	AF449095, DQ239999[a]
CMW13333, CBS 113265	*Mycosphaerella punctiformis*	Netherlands	AY490775, DQ240010[a]	AY490763, DQ239996[a]
CPC 3837	*Mycosphaerella sp.*	Venezuela	AY251116	
CMW 5348, CPC 1346	*Mycosphaerella suttoniae*	Indonesia	DQ240007[a]	AF309621, DQ239972[a]
CMW11558, Strain A-1-7	*Mycosphaerella vespa*	Australia		DQ239968[a]
Strain Brun/ 1/ 5	*Mycosphaerella vespa*	Australia	AY110906	AY045497
Strain B/ 3/ 2/ 1	*Mycosphaerella vespa*	Australia		AY045500
CMW 5164, CPC 1232	*Mycosphaerella lateralis*	Zambia		AF309624
CMW5565	*Ophiostoma quercus*	Ecuador	AY351901	AY351899
CBS 102207	*Paraphaeosphaeria pilleata*	USA	AF250821	
CPC 3688	*Passalora fulva*	Netherlands	AY251109	AY251069
CPC 5121	*Phaeoramularia hachijoense*	USA	AY251100	
CMW 11687	*Phaeophleospora eucalypti*	New Zeland	DQ240015[a]	DQ230001[a]
CPC1454	*Phaeophleospora eugeniae*		AF309613	
CPC 4195	*Ramularia sp.*		AY251112	
CPC 658	*Septoria tritici*	South Africa	AY251117	

CPC 1488	*Trimmatostroma macowanii*	South Africa	AY260096

[a] GenBank entries generated in this study CPC= Culture collection of Pedro Crous, housed at CBS (Culture collection of Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures) CMW= Culture collection at FABI.



Fig 1 Small subunit 18S rRNA gene phylogram using Kimura with the two parameters nucleotide substitution model and neighbour-joining Bootstrap support values from 1000 replicates are shown at nodes. Only values of 60 % or higher are included and *Ophisotoma quercus* is used as outgroup. RSA=South Africa; VIE=Vietnam; CHI=China; THA=Thailand; MEX=Mexico.



Fig 2 Phylogram obtained from ITS sequencing data gene using the Kimura with two parameters nucleotide substitution model and neighbour-joining Bootstrap support values from 1000 replicates are shown at nodes. Only values of 65 % or higher are included and *Ophisotoma quercus* is used as outgroup. RSA=South Africa; VIE=Vietnam; CHI=China; THA=Thailand; MEX=Mexico.

Chapter 3

Multi-gene gene phylogenies and phenotypic characters distinguish two species within the *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis* complex associated with *Eucalyptus* stem cankers



Chapter 3

Multi-gene gene phylogenies and phenotypic characters distinguish two species within the *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis* complex associated with *Eucalyptus* stem cankers

ABSTRACT

Colletogloeopsis zuluensis, previously known as *Coniothyrium zuluense* causes a serious stem canker disease on *Eucalyptus* spp grown as non-natives in many tropical and sub-tropical countries. This stem canker disease was first reported from South Africa and it has subsequently been found on various species and hybrids of *Eucalyptus* in other African countries as well as in countries of South America and South-East Asia. In previous studies, phylogenetic analyses based on DNA sequence data of the ITS region suggested that all material of *C. zuluensis* was monophyletic. However, the occurrence of the fungus in a greater number of countries, and analyses of DNA sequences with additional isolates has challenged the notion that a single species is involved with Coniothyrium canker. The aim of this study was to consider the phylogenetic relationships amongst *C. zuluensis* isolates from all available locations and to support these analyses with phenotypic and morphological comparisons. Individual and combined phylogenies were constructed using DNA sequences from the ITS region, exons 3 through 6 of the β-tubulin gene, the intron of the translation elongation factor 1-α gene, and a partial sequence of the mitochondrial ATPase 6 gene. Both phylogenetic data and morphological characteristics showed clearly that isolates of *C. zuluensis* represent at least two taxa. One of these is *C. zuluensis* as it was originally described from South Africa, and we provide an epitype for it. The second species occurs in Argentina and Uruguay, and is newly described as *C. gauchensis.* Both fungi are serious pathogens resulting in identical symptoms. Recognising them as different species has important quarantine consequences.

Published as: Cortinas MN, Crous PW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006). Multilocus gene phylogenies and phenotypic characters distinguish two species within the *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis* complex associated with *Eucalyptus* stem cankers. *Studies in Mycology* **55**, 135–148.

INTRODUCTION

Colletogloeopsis zuluensis (MJ Wingf., Crous & TA Cout.) MN Cortinas, MJ Wingf & Crous (Cortinas *et al.,* 2006) causes a serious stem canker disease on *Eucalyptus* species.The disease was first reported in 1987 in South Africa, and the pathogen was described as a species of *Coniothyrium*, namely *C. zuluense* MJ Wingf., Crous & TA Cout, (Wingfield *et al.,* 1997). The disease spread very rapidly through the country, initially occurring only on a single *Eucalyptus grandis* clone, but ultimately occurring in all parts of South Africa with a sub-tropical climate, and on a wide variety of *Eucalyptus* species and hybrids. Substantial research has thus been undertaken to better understand the disease and to develop disease-resistant planting stock through breeding and selection programmes (Van Zyl *et al.,* 1997, 2002a).

Symptoms of Colletogloepsis canker are very obvious, at least at the onset of disease. Initial infections include small, circular necrotic lesions on the green stem tissue in the upper parts of trees. These lesions expand, becoming elliptical, and the dead bark covering them typically cracks, giving a “cat-eye” appearance (Fig 1). Lesions coalesce to form large cankers that girdle the stems, giving rise to the production of epicormic shoots and ultimately trees with malformed or dead tops. Infections occur annually on the new green tissue and they penetrate the cambium to form black kino-filled pockets. Thus kino pockets with irregular borders of infected tissue can be seen within the infected wood of trees coincident with the annual rings (Fig 1). Small black pycnidia can be seen on the surface of dead bark tissue (Fig 1), from where black conidial tendrils exude under moist conditions. Conidia are small, aseptate and dematiaceous, appearing black in colour when seen in mass on the host or agar media.

Subsequent to the discovery of Coniothyrium canker in South Africa, the disease has been found in many other countries. Its first discovery outside South Africa was in Thailand where it is associated with typical symptoms on *E. camaldulensis* (Van Zyl *et al.,* 2002b). More recently, the disease has been found in other countries in Africa (Gezahgne *et al.,* 2003, 2005), South and Central America (Roux *et al.,* 2002; Gezahgne *et al.,* 2004), as well as South-East Asia (Old *et al.,* 2003; Cortinas *et al.,* 2004, 2006) (Fig 2). Interestingly, the disease remains

unknown in the areas of origin of *Eucalyptus*, although it might occur there at very low and undetectable levels (Wingfield 2003; Slippers *et al.,* 2005).

The first taxonomic treatment of *C. zuluensis* was based on morphological characteristics of the pathogen. The presence of pycnidia and pigmented aseptate, ellipsoidal conidia arising from percurrently proliferating conidiogenous cells were consistent with species placed in *Coniothyrium* Corda. DNA sequence comparisions have, however, made it possible to recognise that the fungus has a clear phylogenetic position in *Mycosphaerella* Johanson (Gezahgne *et al.,* 2005). It is moreover not related to species of *Coniothyrium s. str.,* which are anamorphs of *Leptosphaeria* spp. This realisation has led to the transfer of *Coniothyrium zuluense* to *Colletogloeopsis* Crous & MJ Wingf. (Cortinas *et al.,* 2006) *Colletogloeopsis* is a well-recognised *Mycosphaerella* anamorph and its circumscription was amended to include species with pycnidioid conidiomata. Within *Mycosphaerella, C. zuluensis* clusters with a group of well-known leaf and stem pathogens of *Eucalyptus* including *M. ambiphylla* A Maxwell*, M. cryptica* (Cooke) Hansf, *M. molleriana* (Thüm) Lindau*, M.. nubilosa* (Cooke) Hansf*, M. vespa* Carnegie & Keane*, M. suttonii* Crous & MJ Wingf., and *Phaeophleospora eucalypti* (Cooke & Massee) Crous, FA Ferreira & B Sutton (Cortinas *et al.,* 2006).

Different isolates of *C. zuluensis* have been found to be highly variable in morphology (Fig 3) and pathogenicity to different *Eucalyptus* clones (Van Zyl 1997; Wingfield *et al* 1997; Van Zyl 2002a). Nonetheless, previous phylogenetic analyses based on the nuclear ribosomal small subunit (18S) and internal transcribed spacer regions and the ribosomal 58 gene (ITS1, 58S, ITS2) had shown that *C. zuluensis* was monophyletic (Van Zyl 2002b; Gezahgne *et al.,* 2005). As additional surveys of *Eucalyptus* plantations are undertaken, an understanding of the geographical range of *C. zuluensis* continues to expand. Additional isolates from new regions have thus become available for DNA sequence comparisons and these have provided the opportunity to re-consider the taxonomic status of *C. zuluensis*, and the variation observed in its morphology and pathogenicity.

The aim of this study was to consider whether the previously recognised *C. zuluensis* can be retained when applying multigene analyses using a large collection of isolates not previously available. To accomplish this objective, individual and

combined phylogenetic analyses using the ITS region, β-tubulin gene (BT2), the elongation factor 1α (EF1α) gene, and the mitochondrial ATPase 6 (ATP6) gene, were carried out. Morphological and other phenotypic characters were also considered.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates

A collection of 45 isolates was chosen to reflect the geographical distribution of *C. zuluensis* In addition, several species of *Mycosphaerella* known to be closely related to *C. zuluensis* were also included (Table 1). All these isolates were obtained from the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), Pretoria, South Africa. Single-conidial cultures were established from mature pycnidia isolated from lesions taken from the stems of *Eucalyptus* trees in South Africa and Uruguay. The contents of single pycnidia were diluted in sterile distilled water, and spread on the surface of Petri dishes containing MEA (20 g/L Biolab malt extract, 15 g/L Biolab agar). After 24–36 h, germinating conidia were transferred to fresh MEA plates and incubated for 30 d at 25 ^{o}C. Reference strains are preserved in CMW, and have been deposited at the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, The Netherlands (Table 1). Nomenclature, descriptions and illustrations were deposited in MycoBank.

DNA extraction and amplification

To extract DNA, mycelium was scraped from the surface of cultures grown in Petri dishes, freeze dried, frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to a fine powder. The protocol followed by Cortinas *et al.,* (2004) was simplified as follows: DBE extraction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA pH 8, 05 % SDS) was added directly to the ground mycelium and incubated for 2 h at 80 ^{o}C (or until pigments changed colour from green to red). In the extraction-DNA enrichment procedure, one volume of phenol was used first and one volume of a 1:1 phenol-chloroform solution thereafter.

Four gene regions were amplified for all isolates included in this study (Fig 4). The ITS region of the ribosomal DNA was targeted using the primers ITS1: 5' TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT GCG G and ITS4: 5' GCT GCG TTC TTC ATC GAT GC (White *et al.,* 1990). Exons 3 to 6 and the respective introns (BT2) of the β-tubulin gene region were amplified using the primers BT2A: 5' GGT AAC CAA ATC GGT GCT GCT TTC and BT2B: 5' AAC CTC AGT GTA GTG ACC CTT GGC (Glass & Donaldson 1995). The intron sequence of the EF1-α gene was amplified using the primers EF1-728F: 5' CAT CGA GAA GTT CGA GAA GG and EF1-986R: 5' TAC TTG AAG GAA CCC TTA CC (Carbone & Kohn 1999) and intron 2 and exon 3 of the ATP6 gene was amplified using the set of primers 5'ATT AAT TSW CCW TTA GAW CAA TT and 5'TAA TTC TAN WGC ATC TTT AAT RTA developed by Kretzer & Bruns (1999).

PCR reactions were prepared in a total volume of 25 µL including 1.5 µL of genomic 1/10 dilution DNA, 1 U of *Taq* polymerase, 10 × *Taq* buffer, 10 pmol of each primer, 0.8 mM of each dNTPs, and 2.0 mM $MgCl_2$ (ITS) or 4.0 mM $MgCl_2$ (BT2, EF1-α, ATP6). PCR amplicons were visualised under UV light on 1 % or 2 % agarose gels. Different cycling conditions were used for the various gene regions. For the ITS region, 96 °C, 3 min initial denaturation and cycles of 95 °C, 30 s, 54 °C, 30 s, 72 °C, 1 min were repeated 10 times followed by 25 cycles of 95 °C, 30 s, 56 °C, 30 s, 72 °C, 1 min with 5 s extension after two cycles. A final elongation step of 7 min at 72 °C was also included. The same cycling conditions were used for ATP6 region changing the annealing temperature to 50 °C. For β-tubulin, 96 °C, 3 min initial denaturation and cycles of 95 °C, 30 s, 57 °C, 45 s, 72 °C, 45 s were repeated 40 times. For EF1- α, 96 °C, 3 min and cycles of 95 °C, 30 s, 54 °C, 45 s, 72 °C, 45 s were repeated 40 times with 5 s extension after two cycles. A final elongation step of 7 min at 72 °C was included.

PCR amplification products were purified using Sephadex G-50 columns (Sigma- Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) or treated with a mix of Exonuclease III and Shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Exo-Sap); 0.7 U of each enzyme per PCR reaction were incubated at 37 °C for 15 min followed by 80 °C for 15 min before sequencing. Sequencing reactions were prepared in 10 µL with 2 µL of purified PCR product, 10 pmol of the same primers used for the first PCR amplifications, 2 µL 5× dilution

buffer and ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator mix, v. 3.1 (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, California). Sequencing PCR cycles consisted of 25 repetitions at 96 ^{o}C, 10 s; 50 ^{o}C, 4 s; 60 ^{o}C, 4 min. Sequencing reactions were cleaned using Sephadex G-50 or precipitated using EDTA, Sodium Acetate and Ethanol according to the protocol supplied by Applied Biosystems (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, California).

Phylogenetic analyses

Alignments of sequence data were made using Clustal W under MEGA 3.0 (Kumar *et al.,* 2004) and manually adjusted. All sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1). Alignments were deposited in TreeBASE.

Maximum parsimony and distance analyses were conducted considering the individual and combined partitions. Most parsimonious (MP) trees were generated using PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). For parsimony analyses, heuristic searches were used with the steepest descent option and the TBR swapping algorithm. The characters were equally weighted and treated as unordered. Statistical support of the nodes in the trees was tested with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Distance analyses were conducted using MEGA 3.0 (Kumar *et al.,* 2004). Pairwise distances were estimated using the Kimura with two parameters model (Kimura 1980). A gamma distribution γ= 0.5 was used to take into account the differences in mutation rate among sites, due to the mix of coding and non-coding sequences present in the analysed fragments. The individual gene reconstructions were performed with Minimum Evolution (Rzhetsky & Nei 1993). Gaps generated in the alignment were treated as missing data. One thousand bootstrap replicates were made to assess the statistical support of the nodes in the phylogenetic trees. Trees were rooted to midpoint.

Partitions were considered together using Bayesian analyses (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). It has recently been shown that the Bayesian method is more sensitive to under-specification than over-specification of the evolutionary model (Huelsenbeck & Rannala 2004) when calculating the posterior probabilities. Consequently, a time-reversible complex model with gamma-distributed rate variation (GTR + I + G) was selected to combine the data sets. This model of DNA

substitution allows the consideration of different rates of substitutions among sites, different nucleotide frequencies, and differences in the rate of substitutions among nucleotides. Therefore, four sets of analyses were run in MrBayes 3.1.1 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) calculating marginal posterior probabilities using the selected time reversal GTR + I + G model of nucleotide substitution (Tavaré 1986; Yang 1993, 1994) and default values for the prior settings. Four Monte Carlo Markov chains were run for 3 million generations. Trees and parameters were recorded every 100 generations. Likelihood stability was reached at 30 000 generations. This number of generations was then established as the ‘‘burn-in’’ period (represented by 3001 trees). A half compatible consensus tree was recovered from the remaining sampled trees. The Bayesian procedure was repeated four times. The posterior probabilities are indicated close to the respective nodes on the tree and the sequences of *Mycosphaerella colombiensis* Crous & MJ Wingf. and *M. suttonii* were used as outgroups.

Temperature sensitivity studies

Plugs (3 mm diam) of colonised agar were cut from actively growing cultures and placed at the centres of Petri dishes containing MEA. Isolates tested for growth characteristics at different temperatures included those from South Africa (CMW 7442, CMW 7449, CMW 7479, CMW 7488), and others from Uruguay (CMW 7269, CMW 7274, CMW 7279, CMW 7300). Three plates were prepared for each isolate and these were incubated at temperatures between 5 °C and 35 °C at 5 ° intervals, for 6 wk. A second set of isolates from Ethiopia (CMW 8282, CMW 8292) and from China (CMW 15966, CMW 15971) were tested in a similar manner but for an incubation period of 8 wk. Growth was recorded weekly by measuring average colony diameter.

Morphology

Descriptions are based on sporulation *in vivo*. Wherever possible, 30 measurements (× 1000 magnification) were made of structures mounted in lactic acid, the 95% deviation determined, and the extremes of spore measurements given in

parentheses. Colony colours (surface and reverse) were assessed after 25 d on MEA at 25 °C in the dark, using the colour charts of Rayner (1970).

RESULTS

PCR and sequence analyses

Sequenced amplicons obtained from *C. zuluensis* isolates for the four different gene regions were aligned to study fixed polymorphisms. Alignments of 469 bp (ITS), 308 bp (BT2), 254 bp (EF1-α) and 656 bp (ATP6) were generated. The intron between the exons 3 and 4 of the β–tubulin gene was missing in all isolates studied. Visual analyses of the characters defined two groups among the isolates based on the fixed, shared polymophisms. The first group included isolates from South Africa, China, Thailand, Vietnam and Malawi and a second group comprised isolates from Uruguay, Argentina, Hawaii, Uganda and Ethiopia. Positions in base pairs of the different fixed characters in the alignments for the various isolates are shown in Table 2. Five fixed characters were found at the ITS region, eleven were found in the BT2 dataset, eight were found at the EF1-α intron where a 20-base-pair indel was also found (Fig 5). One fixed position was found in the ATP6 region.

Phylogenetic analyses

Individual phylograms were obtained for each gene region and parsimony data produced very similar topologies to those of the distance trees. Therefore, only distance trees are presented (Fig 6). In all cases the Bootstrap cut-off of 70 % was established.

Analyses of sequence data for the ITS region resolved two coherent clusters for the *Colletogloeopsis* isolates considered. These groups represented isolates from South Africa, Malawi, Mexico, Thailand, Vietnam and China (Group1) and those from Uruguay, Argentina, Hawaii, Ethiopia and Uganda (Group 2). The separation of these two groups had 98 % bootstrap support in the ITS tree. In the BT2 and EF-1α trees, these two groups had 99 % and 100 % support, respectively. For the ATP6 tree, three groups could be distinguished although only one of these had strong

support (100 %). The group having reasonable support included isolates from Vietnam, Mexico, Malawi, China and South Africa. Internal sub-clusters could be distinguished within the Group 1 and Group 2 clusters in the ITS, BT2 and EF1-α trees. These sub-clusters had greater than 70 % bootstrap support only in the BT2 tree. The assortment of isolates within the sub-clusters was different in different trees.

The level of polymorphism observed in the datasets was different for each individual analysed region. The β -tubulin data set presented the highest level of variation followed by the EF1-α and ATP6 data sets, respectively. A close inspection of the ATP6 data matrix showed few polymorphisms explaining the poor resolution obtained in the tree.

After the individual analyses, combined parsimony and Bayesian analysis were carried out (Fig 7). The reconstructed trees included the collection of *Colletogloeopsis* isolates together with *Mycosphaerella* spp. A posterior probability of 1 and a 100 % bootstrap value separated the *Colletogloeopsis* isolates from the rest of *Mycosphaerella* spp. The parsimony and Bayesian half-compatible trees showed two major groups representing isolates from South Africa, Malawi, Mexico, Thailand, Vietnam and China (Group1) and those from Uruguay, Argentina, Hawaii, Ethiopia and Uganda (Group 2) supported by posterior probabilities of 1 and 0.95 and 98 % and 100 % bootstrap values, respectively. A rich internal topology was found within these two groups. Numerous sub-clusters were supported with high probabilities and bootstrap values. A number of these subclusters included more than one isolate from the same locality. Nevertheless, location was not sufficient to explain how the sub-clusters were formed.

Temperature sensitivity studies

Average colony diameter for the isolates from South Africa and from Uruguay was different at some of the tested temperatures after 6 wk (Fig 8). No measurable growth was found at 5 ^{o}C, optimal growth occurred between 20 and 25 ^{o}C, and the diameters of colonies decreased when they were incubated at temperatures of 30 ^{o}C and above. Differences between isolates from the two regions were seen at 10 ^{o}C where the Uruguayan isolates grew more rapidly than isolates from South Africa.

Between 20 °C and 25 °C both groups of isolates achieved their maximum diameter. Nevertheless, these maximum diameters were smaller for the Uruguayan isolates. The most obvious difference between South African and Uruguayan isolates was observed at 35 °C. At this temperature, the Uruguayan isolates hardly displayed growth whereas South African isolates reached between 10 and 20 mm diam.

The results obtained in a second experiment including isolates from China and Ethiopia, were very similar to those comparing isolates from South Africa and Uruguay. After 8 wk, the differences in growth of the isolates from both origins were obvious at 35 °C (Fig 8). This is consistent with the fact that isolates from China are phylogenetically related to those from South Africa and those from Ethiopia are related to those from Uruguay.

Morphology

Isolates of *Colletogloeposis* included in this study were morphologically variable in culture. Colony characteristics overlapped for isolates from South Africa and Uruguay, but it was possible to recognise some characteristics apparently exclusive to the Uruguayan isolates. Likewise, distinctly different conidial and conidiogenous cell characteristics were found when isolates from Uruguay were compared with those of *C. zuluensis* from South Africa (Fig 9). The range of conidial lengths overlapped almost entirely between *C. zuluensis* [conidia (4–)4.5–5(–6) × 2–2.5(–3.5) µm] and the isolates from Uruguay [conidia (4–)5–6(–7.5) × (2–)2.5(–3) µm]. The Uruguayan conidia, however, had a larger maximum length, reaching 7.5 µm (6 µm for *C. zuluensis*). Conidia of *C. zuluensis* were slightly wider (3.5 µm) as opposed to those from Uruguay, which were an average of 3 µm. Another distinctive characteristic of the fungus from Uruguay is that it has sympodial polyphialidic conidiogenous cells, which is different to *C. zuluensis*, which has percurrently proliferating monophialidic conidiogenous cells.

Taxonomy

Phylogenetic analyses in this study supported two distinct groups of isolates, encompassed within the fungus currently treated as *C. zuluensis*. One of these groups of isolates is from South Africa, Malawi, Thailand, Vietnam, China and

Mexico. The other group includes isolates from Uruguay, Argentina, Hawaii-U.S.A., Ethiopia and Uganda. These fungi can also be separated by characteristics of growth in culture, morphology and growth at different temperatures. Clearly, the South African fungus must retain the name *C. zuluensis*. At the time of describing this fungus, no ex-type cultures were deposited. We have thus provided a suite of isolates for which DNA sequence data are available, and that are tied to herbarium specimens to serve as epitypes. The fungus occurring in Uruguay and other countries represents a distinct taxon that is described below.

Colletogloeopsisgauchensis MN. Cortinas, Crous & MJ. Wingf., **sp. nov.** MycoBank MB500854. Figs 9–10.

Etymology: Named after the gauchos people of South America that live in the same area where this species is distributed and where it was first collected. In the same genus, *C. zuluensis* is named after the KwaZulu-Natal Province and the “Zulu” people of South Africa.

Latin – *Colletogloeopsidi zuluensi* similis, sed conidiis angustioribus, (4-)5- 6(-7.5) x (2-)2.5(-3) µm et phialidibus nonnumquam sympodialiter proliferentibus distincta.

Lesions caulicolous, subcircular to irregular, dark brown, 2–10 mm diam, with a raised, red-brown border. *Conidiomata* pycnidial to somewhat acervular, subepidermal, single, rarely aggregated, occurring in necrotic tissue, globose to slightly depressed, becoming erumpent, up to 120 µm diam, exuding conidia in a long cirrus; conidiomatal walls composed of 2–3 layers of medium brown *textura angularis*; opening by a central ostiole or irregular rupture; ostiolar region lined with thick-walled, brown, smooth, septate hyphae that are sometimes branched below, 3–4 µm wide, with obtuse ends that flare apart (upper 1–6 cells). *Conidiophores* subcylindrical, subhyaline to medium brown, smooth to finely verruculose, 0–3-septate, unbranched or branched below, 10–20 × 3–6 µm. *Conidiogenous cells* subhyaline to medium brown, dolilform to subcylindrical, smooth to finely verruculose, mono- to polyphialidic, proliferating percurrently,

with several percurrent proliferations near the apex. *Conidia* medium brown, thick-walled, finely verruculose, broadly ellipsoidal, apex obtuse to subobtuse, base subtruncate to bluntly rounded, (4–)5–6(–7.5) × (2–)2.5(–3) µm; base frequently with a minute marginal frill.

Specimens examined: **Uruguay**, El Tarugo, bark of 1-yr-old *E. grandis* tree, Feb. 2005, M.J. Wingfield, CBS H-19724 **holotype**, cultures ex-holotype CMW 17331–17332; La Herradura, CBS H-19722, cultures CBS 119467–119466 = CMW 17542–17543; ibid., CBS H-19723, cultures CBS 119465 = CMW 17545, CMW 17544; La Juanita, CBS H-19725, cultures CBS 119468 = CMW 17558, CMW 17559; ibid., CBS H-19726, cultures = CMW 17560–17561.

Cultural characteristics: Colony characteristics on MEA at 25°C are variable. Colony colours were similar to those of *C. zuluensis* (Van Zyl *et al.,* 1997, 2002). Surface colours range from greyish yellow-green, dull green, isabelline, greenish olivaceous to grey-olivaceous; colonies in reverse range from dark grey, dark olive-grey to dark green (Rayner 1970); margins are smooth, regular or irregular. Some cultures develop a characteristic white outer zone of aerial mycelium (Fig. 3). Paler colonies develop smoother surfaces with white aerial mycelium; some strains produce a diffuse yellow pigment in MEA.

Notes: *Colletogloeopsis gauchensis* [conidia (4–)5–6(–7.5) × (2–)2.5(–3) µm] can readily be distinguished from *C. zuluensis* [conidia (4–)4.5–5(–6) × 2–2.5(–3.5) µm] by its slightly longer conidia, and the presence of sympodial polyphialidic conidiogenous cells (Figs 9–10). Furthermore, it grows readily at 10 °C, with hardly any to no growth at 35 °C. In contrast, *C. zuluensis* grows more slowly at 10 °C, and faster at 35 °C than *C. gauchensis*, and strains of *C. gauchensis* do not form conidiomata in culture.

Colletogloeopsis zuluensis (MJ. Wingf., Crous & TA. Cout.) MN. Cortinas, MJ. Wingf. & Crous, Mycol. Res. 110: 235. 2006. Figs 9-10 [as *zuluense*].
Basionym: *Coniothyrium zuluense* MJ. Wingf., Crous & TA. Cout., *Mycopathologia* 136, 142. 1997.

Specimens examined: South Africa, KwaZulu-Natal, Kwambonambi, Teza nursery, bark of 1-yr-old *E. grandis* tree, Jan. 1996, M.J. Wingfield, IMI 370886 **holotype**; KwaZulu-Natal, Kwambonambi, *E. grandis*, Feb. 2005, M.J. Wingfield, CBS H-19721 **epitype here designated**, culture ex-epitype CMW 17321–17322; CBS H-19717, culture CBS 119427 = CMW 17531, CMW 17530; CBS H-19720, culture CBS 119471 = CMW 17528, CMW 17529; CBS H-19719, culture CBS 119470 = CMW 17320, CMW 17319; CBS H-19718, culture CBS 119469 = CMW 17526, CMW 17527.

DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic analyses for a large number of *C. zuluensis* isolates from different parts of the world and based on multiple gene regions have shown clearly that this material represents at least two discrete taxa. These species are described based on material from South Africa and Uruguay, but both taxa include collections from many different countries. Thus *C. zuluensis* is now known from South Africa, Malawi, Thailand, Vietnam, China and Mexico. Likewise, *C. gauchensis* described in this study occurs not only in Uruguay but also in Argentina, Hawaii-U.S.A., Ethiopia and Uganda. The two fungi thus represent distinct phylogenetic species but they can clearly be distinguished from each other based on morphological characteristics and growth characteristics in culture.

Twenty-six fixed nucleotide positions allowed us to separate the collection of *C. zuluensis s. lat.* isolates used in this study into two distinctive groups. One of these fixed polymorphisms found in the EF1-α intron can easily be used to discriminate between *C. zuluensis* and *C. gauchensis*. This 20 bp fragment between positions 153 to 172 in *C. zuluensis* is absent in *C. gauchensis.* The p-distance among the *Colletogloeopsis* isolates considered in this study displayed a range of 0 to 1 % divergence in ITS sequences, 0–8 % for BT2 sequences, 0–24 % for EF1-α sequences and 0–4 % for ATP6 data-matrices respectively. These ranges showed that there

was sufficient variation within *Colletogloeopsis* to suspect that more than one taxon was represented in the collection of isolates. The distances are also consistent with values used in previous studies (Couch & Kohn 2002; Barnes *et al.,* 2005) to separate taxa.

Very few morphological differences were found between isolates of *C. zuluensis* from South Africa and isolates of *C. gauchensis* from Uruguay. These differences include the fact that Uruguayan isolates have polyphialidic, sympodially and percurrently proliferating conidiogenous cells as opposed to the monophialidic, percurrently proliferating conidiogenous cells in *C. zuluensis*. The conidia of *C. gauchensis* are also consistently longer than those of *C. zuluensis* (Figs 9-10). Furthermore, *C. gauchensis* is adapted to cooler climates than *C. zuluensis.* On the contrary, isolates of *C. zuluensis* grow well at 35 ^{o}C, whereas those of *C. gauchensis* barely grow at this temperature.

Results of this study provide added support for the view that *C. zuluensis* and *C. gauchensis* are anamorphs *of Mycosphaerella*. They have an allopatric distribution and are considered sibling species only in terms of the fact that they are ecologically and morphologically very similar. The extent to which cryptic and sibling species occur in taxonomic groups varies depending on the group of fungi studied. However, the discovery of cryptic species such as *C. gauchensis* in this study is becoming a commonplace when DNA studies are implemented (see Crous *et al.,* 2006). Results of such studies reveal that these species reflect collections of morphologically similar taxa that can only be discriminated based on minute morphological details or characteristics in pure culture. A further example of such a species complex in *Mycosphaerella* concerns "*Coniothyrium*" *ovatum* H.J. Swart (Crous *et al.,* 2004a, b, 2006).

Intraspecific variation detected amongst isolates of *C. zuluensis* and to a lesser extent *C. gauchensis* showed internal structure in the individual and combined trees. Such intraspecific structure was only well-supported in the BT2, ATP6 and combined trees. Based solely upon the phylogenetic species concept, it would be possible to recognise additional species especially in this complex. For the present, however, we choose to not provide additional names before robust population biology studies are available.

Coniothyrium canker is one of the most important diseases of *Eucalyptus* worldwide (Old *et al.,* 2003). In South Africa, it appeared relatively suddenly in a very limited location and spread rapidly, resulting in very substantial losses to the local forestry industry. The disease has also caused substantial damage to plantations in other countries such as Argentina and Uruguay. It is thus intriguing that there are two distinct fungi associated with indistinguishable symptoms. The origin of the fungus is unknown and it is not known to occur in the native range of *Eucalyptus.* The evidence from this study shows that the two fungi are closely related and have differently adapted based on some ecological factor. Like most *Mycosphaerella* spp. they are highly host-specific to certain species of *Eucalyptus*, grow poorly in culture, and thus it seems reasonable to expect that their origin would be on *Eucalyptus* or a host closely related to it. A similar situation has emerged for species of *Chrysoporthe* Gryzenh. & MJ. Wing. (Gryzenhout *et al.,* 2004). that are well-known pathogens of *Eucalyptus* but that appear to have originated on a wide variety of woody plants in the order *Myrtales* (Wingfield 2003; Gryzenhout *et al.,* 2004; Seixas *et al.,* 2004).

Recognition of two species within a collection of isolates that have previously been recognised as belonging to the single taxon has important consequences for disease control and quarantine. In the past, it has been suggested that the fungus originated in South Africa, and that it was restricted to that country (Wingfield *et al.,* 1997). Thus, the appearance of the disease in other countries has often been linked to the movement of plant material and particularly seed to other countries. Although it has not been shown experimentally that *C. zuluensis* is moved on seed, this appears to be a likely mode of global distribution. There is a large international trade in *Eucalyptus* seed, which is variably controlled and monitored. Both *C. zuluensis* and *C. gauchensis* have now wide geographic distributions and this implies that they have been spread from one or a number of sources. Every effort should now be made to restrict them from further movement to new countries and areas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the FABI administrative and culture collection support staff as well as our colleagues Irene Barnes, Wolfgang Maier and Gavin Hunter for their assistance and helpful comments. We also acknowledge the National Research Foundation, members of the Tree Protection Co-operative Program (TPCP) and the THRIP initiative of the Department of Trade and Industry, South Africa for financial support.

REFERENCES

Barnes I, Crous PW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004). Multigene phylogenies reveal that red band needle blight of *Pinus* is caused by two distinct species of *Dothistroma, D. septosporum* and *D. pini*. *Studies in Mycology* **50**, 551–565.

Carbone I, Kohn LM (1999). A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* **91**, 553–556.

Cortinas MN, Burgess T, Dell B, Xu D, Crous PW, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006). First record of *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis* comb. nov., causing stem canker of *Eucalyptus* in China. *Mycological Research* **110,** 229–236.

Cortinas MN, Koch N, Thain J, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004). First record of the *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen, *Coniothyrium zuluense* from Hawaii. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **33**, 309–312.

Couch BC, Kohn LM (2002). A multilocus gene genealogy concordant with host preferences indicates segregation of a new species, *Magnaporthe oryzae*, from *M. grisea*. *Mycologia* **94**, 683–693.

Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Mansilla JP, Hunter GC, Wingfield MJ (2004a). Phylogenetic reassessment of *Mycosphaerella* species and their anamorphs occurring on *Eucalyptus.* *Studies in Mycology* **50**, 195–214.

Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Pongpanich K, Himaman W, Arzanlou M, Wingfield MJ (2004b). Cryptic speciation and host specificity among *Mycosphaerella* species occurring on Australian *Acacia* species grown as exotics in the tropics. *Studies in Mycology* **50**, 457–469.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Mansilla JP, Alfenas AC, Groenewald JZ (2006). Phylogenetic reassessment of *Mycosphaerella* species and their anamorphs occurring on *Eucalyptus.* II. *Studies in Mycology* **55**, 99–131.

Gezahgne A, Cortinas MN, Wingfield MJ, Roux J (2005). Characterisation of the *Coniothyrium* stem canker pathogen on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in Ethiopia. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **34**, 1–6.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Thu PQ, Wingfield MJ (2004). Coniothyrium stem canker of *Eucalyptus*, new to Argentina and Vietnam. *South African Journal of Science* **99**, 587–588.

Gezahgne A, Roux J, Wingfield MJ (2003). Diseases of exotic plantation *Eucalyptus* and *Pinus* species in Ethiopia. *South African Journal of Science* **99**, 29–33.

Glass NL, Donaldson GC (1995). Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **61**, 1323–1330.

Gryzenhout M, Myburg H, Merwe NA Van der, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2004). *Chrysoporthe*, a new genus to accommodate *Cryphonectria cubensis*. *Studies in Mycology* **50**, 119–142.

Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001). MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Bioinformatics* **17**, 754–755.

Huelsenbeck JP, Rannala B (2004). Frequentist properties of Bayesian posterior probabilities of phylogenetic trees under simple and complex substitution models. *Systematic Biology* **53**, 904–913.

Kimura M (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **16**, 111–120.

Kretzer A, Bruns TD (1999). Use of ATP6 in fungal phylogenetics: An example from *Boletales*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **13**, 483–492.

Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M (2004). MEGA3: Integrated software for molecular evolutionary genetics analysis and sequence alignment. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **5**, 150–163.

Old KM, Wingfield MJ, Yuan ZQ (2003). *A manual of diseases of eucalypts in South-East Asia*. Center for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia.

Rayner, R. W. (1970) *A mycological colour chart*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew.

Rzhetsky A, Nei M (1993). Theoretical foundation of the minimum-evolution method of phylogenetic inference. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **10**,1073-1095.

Roux J, Wingfield MJ, Cibrián D (2002). First report of Coniothyrium canker in Mexico. *Plant Pathology* **51**, 382.

Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003). MrBayes 3.0: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* **19**, 1572–1574.

Seixas CDS, Barreto RW, Alfenas AC, Ferreira FA (2004). *Cryphonectria cubensis* on an indigenous host in Brazil: a possible origin for eucalyptus canker disease? *Mycologist* **18**, 18–24.

Slippers B, Stenlid J, Wingfield MJ (2005). Emerging pathogens: fungal host jumps following anthropogenic evolution. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **20**, 420–421.

Swofford DL (2002). *PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony (*and other methods).* Version 4. Sinauer Associates. Sunderland, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

Tavaré S (1986). Some probabilistic and statistical problems on the analysis of DNA sequences. *Lectures on Mathematics in the Life Sciences* **17**, 57–86.

Van Zyl LM, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ (2002a). Morphological, cultural and pathogenic characteristics of *Coniothyrium zuluense* isolates from different plantation regions in South Africa. *Mycopathologia* **155**, 149–153.

Van Zyl LM, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Pongpanich K, Wingfield BD (2002b). Morphological and molecular relatedness of geographically diverse isolates of *Coniothyrium zuluense* from South Africa and Thailand*. Mycological Research* **106**, 51–59.

Van Zyl LM, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA (1997). Diversity among isolates of *Coniothyrium zuluense,* a newly recorded *Eucalyptus* stem canker pathogen in South Africa. In: *Proceedings of the IUFRO Conference on Silviculture and Improvement of Eucalyptus* (EMBRAPA/CNPF). Salvador, 24–29 August 1997, Brazil. Anais, Colombo. **3**, 135–141.

White TJ, Bruns T, Taylor J (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylognetics. In: *A Guide to Molecular Methods and Applications* (Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ,White JW, eds). Academic Press, New York, pp. 315–322.

Wingfield MJ (2003). Increasing threat of diseases to exotic plantation forests in the southern hemisphere Lessons from Cryphonectria canker. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **32**, 133–139.

Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Coutinho TA (1997). A serious new canker disease of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa caused by a new species of *Coniothyrium. Mycopathologia* **136**, 139–145.

Yang Z (1993). Maximum likelihood estimation of phylogeny from DNA sequences when substitution rates differ over sites. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **10**, 1396–1401.

Yang Z (1994). Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: Approximate methods. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **39**, 306–314

Table 1 Isolates of *Colletogloeopsis* and related species used in the phylogenetic studies.

Species	Strain numbers		Country	Host	Date	GenBank no.			
						ITS	BT2	EF1-α	ATP6
Colletogloeopsis gauchensis	CMW7302		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2001	DQ240186	DQ240075	DQ240128	DQ240025
	CMW7274;	CBS117830	Uruguay	*E.grandis*	2001	DQ240187	DQ240076	DQ240129	DQ240026
	CMW7294;	CBS117832	Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2001	DQ240188	DQ240077	DQ240130	DQ240027
	CMW7300;	CBS117831	Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2001	DQ240189	DQ240078	DQ240131	DQ240028
	CMW7270		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2001	-	-	-	DQ240068
	CMW17328		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240190	DQ240079	DQ240132	DQ240029
	CMW17330		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240191	DQ240080	DQ240133	DQ240030
	CMW17323		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240215	DQ240122	-	DQ240069
	CMW17324		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240216	DQ240123	-	DQ240070
	CMW17326		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240217	DQ240124	-	DQ240071
	CMW17332;		Uruguay	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240218	DQ240125	-	DQ240072
	CMW10895;	CBS117260	Hawaii-US	*E. grandis*	2002	DQ240192	DQ240081	DQ240134	DQ240031
	CMW10893;	CBS117834	Hawaii-US	*E. grandis*	2002	DQ240193	DQ240082	DQ240135	DQ240032
	CMW10894		Hawaii-US	*E. grandis*	2002	DQ240194	DQ240083	DQ240136	DQ240033
	CMW7331;	CBS117256	Argentina	*E. grandis*	2001	DQ240195	DQ240084	DQ240137	DQ240034
	CMW7342		Argentina	*E. grandis*	2001	DQ240196	DQ240085	DQ240138	DQ240035
	CMW7378		Argentina	*E. grandis*	2001	DQ240197	DQ240086	DQ240139	DQ240036
	CMW14336;	CBS117257	Argentina	*E. grandis*	2003	DQ240198	DQ240087	DQ240140	DQ240037
	CMW7137		Uganda	*E. grandis*	2001	DQ240199	DQ240088	DQ240141	DQ240038
	CMW15835;	CBS117261	Uganda	*E. grandis*	1999	DQ240200	DQ240089	DQ240142	DQ240039
	CMW8991;	CBS117833	Ethiopia	*E. camaldulensis*	2001	DQ240201	DQ240090	DQ240143	DQ240040
	CMW8978		Ethiopia	*E. camaldulensis*	2001	DQ240202	DQ240091	DQ240144	DQ240041
	CMW19356		Ethiopia	*E. camaldulensis*	2000	-	-	DQ240181	-
Colletogloeopsis zuluensis	CMW1772		South Africa	*E. grandis*	1989	DQ240203	DQ240092	DQ240145	DQ240042
	CMW7426		South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239979	-	DQ240182	-
	CMW7459		South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239981	-	DQ240183	-
	CMW7488;	CBS117829	South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239975	-	DQ240184	-
	CMW7489		South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239980	-	DQ240185	-

	CMW17314		South Africa	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240204	DQ240093	DQ240146	DQ240043
	CMW17316		South Africa	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240205	DQ240094	DQ240147	DQ240044
	CMW17320		South Africa	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240206	DQ240095	DQ240148	DQ240045
	CMW17321		South Africa	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240207	DQ240096	DQ240149	DQ240046
	CMW13328;	CBS113399	South Africa	*E. grandis*	-	DQ239974	-	DQ240172	-
	CMW13324;	CBS111125	South Africa	*E. grandis*	-	AY738214	-	DQ240173	-
	CMW17318		South Africa	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240213	DQ240126	DQ240174	DQ240073
	CMW17322		South Africa	*E. grandis*	2005	DQ240214	DQ240127	DQ240175	DQ240074
	CMW7449;	CBS117262	South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239976	DQ240102	DQ240155	DQ240052
	CMW7452		South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239977	DQ240103	DQ240156	DQ240053
	CMW7442		South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239978	DQ240104	DQ240157	DQ240054
	CMW7468		South Africa	*E. grandis*	1997	DQ239983	DQ240105	DQ240158	DQ240055
	CMW15971		China	*E. urophylla*	2004	DQ240208	DQ240097	DQ240150	DQ240047
	CMW15080		China	*E. urophylla*	2004	DQ240209	DQ240098	DQ240151	DQ240048
	CMW15964		China	*E. urophylla*	2004	DQ240210	DQ240099	DQ240152	DQ240049
	CMW17425		Malawi	*E. grandis*	2004	DQ240211	DQ240100	DQ240153	DQ240050
	CMW17438		Malawi	*E. grandis*	2004	DQ240212	DQ240101	DQ240154	DQ240051
	CMW17356		Malawi	*E. grandis*	2004	DQ240219	-	-	-
	CMW6859		Vietnam	*E. urophylla*	2000	-	DQ240106	DQ240159	DQ240056
	CMW6860		Vietnam	*E. urophylla*	2000	DQ239985	DQ240107	DQ240160	DQ240057
	CMW6857;	CBS118125	Vietnam	*E. urophylla*	2000	DQ239986	-	DQ240171	-
	CMW15834;	CBS117835	Mexico	*E. grandis*	2000	DQ239987	DQ240108	DQ240161	DQ240058
	CMW15833;	CBS118149	Mexico	*E. grandis*	2000	DQ239988	DQ240109	DQ240162	DQ240059
	CMW5235;	CBS117263	Thailand	*E. camaldulensis*	1997	DQ239990	DQ240110	DQ240163	DQ240060
	CMW5236		Thailand	*E. camaldulensis*	1997	DQ239989	DQ240111	DQ240164	DQ240061
Mycosphaerella ambiphylla	CMW13704;	CBS110499	Australia	*Eucalyptus*	-	DQ239970	DQ240116	DQ240169	DQ240066
Mycosphaerella colombiensis	CMW4944;	CPC1106	Colombia	*Eucalyptus* sp.	-	DQ239993	DQ240112	DQ240165	DQ240062
Mycosphaerella molleriana	CMW4940;	CPC1214	Portugal	*Eucalyptus*	-	DQ239969	DQ240115	DQ240168	DQ240065
Mycosphaerella nubilosa	CMW6210;	CBS114706	Australia	*Eucalyptus*	-	DQ239999	DQ240113	DQ240166	DQ240063
Mycosphaerella suttonii	CMW5348,	CPC1346	Indonesia	*Eucalyptus*	-	DQ239972	DQ240117	DQ240170	DQ240067
M.ycosphaerella vespa	CMW11588		Australia	*Eucalyptus*	-	DQ239968	DQ240114	DQ240167	DQ240064

CMW= Culture collection of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa.
CBS= Culture collection of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Uppsalalaan, Utrecht, The Netherlands. CPC= Culture collection of Pedro Crous housed at CBS.

Table 2 Summary of the shared fixed positions found in the DNA regions of ITS, BT2, EF1-α and ATP6 among *Colletogloeopsis* isolates associated with *Eucalyptus* stem cankers. The total number of fixed shared positions between the two groups is given in the last column.

Locus	Locations according to the alignments* and the nucleotide fixed state found in group 1[a] and group 2[b]											No of fixed positions[c]
ITS	89* T[a]/C[b]	107 T/C	116 T or C/del	396 C/T	436 C/T	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
BT2	8 T/C	28 A/G	29 G/A	35 G/A	38 G/A	41 T/G	46 T or G/A	50 A/G	174 T/C	261 G/C	300 T/C	11
EF1- α	114 C/T	122 del/ C	137 C/A	143 C/T	153 to172 in /del[c]	175 G/A	183 C/T	195 G/A	196 A/G	-	-	9
ATP6	644 A/G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1

[*] Location of the fixed shared polymorphisms. The number in this cell and in all the other cells represent the location of fixed shared polymorphisms. They are defined in base pairs counting from the beginning of the alignment.

[a] The first letter before the slash bar represents the state character shared by isolates of the group 1, *C. zuluensis.*

[b] Character state shared by isolates of the group 2, *C gauchensis.*

[c] The grey box in the EF1-α line indicates the position of the 20 bp in/del that could be used for diagnostic purposes.

Fig 1 External symptoms of the stem canker disease on *E. grandis* in Uruguay caused by *C. gauchensis*. A, B. Mature clones showing the typical lesions on the surface of the trunk. C. Distinctive black circular lesions on green twigs. D. Stem with typical cracked lesions. E. Stem showing internal symptoms below the bark lesions. F. Kino-pockets of infected tissue within the wood. G. Pycnidia on cracked lesions.

Fig 2 Geographic range of the collection of isolates used in this study. The map includes isolates from South Africa, Malawi, Vietnam, Thailand and China, indicated with white dots (Group 1) and isolates from Uruguay, Argentina, Hawaii-U.S.A., Ethiopia and Uganda, indicated with black dots (Group 2).



Fig 3 Characteristics of isolates of Group 1 (*C. zuluensis*), and isolates of Group 2 (*C. gauchensis*). Columns A–C show three different colony morphologies belonging to the Group 2 isolates: CMW 7272, CMW 7269, CMW 7293. Columns D–F show three different colony morphologies that belong to the Group 1 isolates: CMW 7488, CMW 5236, CMW 7479.



Fig 4 Schematic structural organization of the genomic regions used in this study. ITS regions and intron sequences are represented in solid black. Letters “I” indicate introns and letters “E” indicate exons. Sizes of the individual and combined partition alignments are given in brackets. Note that intron between E3 and E4 in the BT2 region is not present.

Isolate					
M. molleriana	C-AGCAGC-A	TCTTCGCA--	--------GA	ATCGCAATTA	CTACTAGCGG
M. ambiphylla	C-AGCAGC-A	TCTTCGCA--	--------GA	ATCGCAATTA	CTACTAGCGG
SOUTH AFRICA 1	ATACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	ACATCGCCAG	GCAGCATCCG	CTATTATTGA
SOUTH AFRICA 2	ATACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	ACATCGCCAG	GCAGCATCCG	CTATTATTGA
THAILAND 1	ATACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	ACATCGCCAG	GCAGCATCCG	CTATTATTGA
MEXICO 1	ATACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	ACATCGCCAG	GCAGCATCCG	CTATTATTGA
MEXICO 2	ATACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	ACATCGCCAG	GCAGCATCCG	CTATTATTGA
THAILAND 2	CTACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	ACACCGCCAG	GCAGCATCCG	CCATCCTTGA
VIETNAM 1	CTACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	ACACCGCCAG	GCAGCATCCG	CCATCCTTGA
VIETNAM 2	CTACCACCGT	TCGCTGCAAT	A-ACCGCCAG	GCAG-ATCCG	CCATCCTTGA
ARGENTINA 1	CTACCACC--	----------	--------AG	ACAGCATCTG	CCATCATTGA
URUGUAY 1	CTACCACC--	----------	--------AG	ACAGCATCTG	CCATCATTGA
HAWAII 1	CTACCACC--	----------	--------AG	ACAGCATCTG	CCATCATTGA
ETHIOPIA 1	CTACCACC--	----------	--------AG	ACAGCATCTG	CCATCATTGA
URUGUAY 2	CTACCACC--	----------	--------AG	ACAGCATCTG	CCATCATTGA
UGANDA 1	CTACCACC--	----------	--------AG	ACAGCATCTG	CCATCATTGA

Fig 5

Partial alignment of isolates showing the characteristic 20 bp elongation factor 1-α in/del. The presence of the in/del identifies the Group 1 isolates (light grey) from *Group 2* (dark grey) isolates. All isolates in Table 1 can be assigned correctly into Groups 1 or 2 according to the presence/absence of this fragment.

UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA



Fig 6 Phylograms generated using Minimum Evolution and K2P with gamma distribution, γ= 1. A. ITS. B. β-tubulin. C. EF1-α. D. ATP6. Values on branches are bootstrap support (1000 replicas).



Fig 7 Bayesian Bayesian combined tree using a GTR+G+I model of substitutions. Posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. Parsimony bootstrap values are shown in brackets.



Fig 8 Results of culture growth studies at different temperatures. A. Isolates from South Africa and Uruguay were tested for a period of 6 weeks and those from China and Ethiopia for a period of 8 weeks. Each point on the graph represents the average of 6 measurements taken at each temperature.



Fig 9 Colletogloeopsis spp. sporulating on *E. grandis* stems. A–D. *Colletogloeopsis gauchensis* (holotype). A–B. Pycnidia with black cirri. C. Conidiogenous cells. D. Conidia. E–G. *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis* (epitype). E. Pycnidia. F. Conidiogenous cells. G. Conidia. Scale bars = 2.5 µm.



Fig 10 *Colletogloeopsis* spp. sporulating on *E. grandis* stems. Conidiogenous cells and conidia of *Colletogloeopsis gauchensis* (holotype) (top). Conidiogenous cells and conidia of *Colletogloeopsis zuluensis* (epitype) (bottom). Scale bar = 10 µm.