

# Improved molecular diagnostics and characterization of

# Theileria parva isolates from cattle and buffalo

# in South Africa

By

Kgomotso Penelope Sibeko

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, South Africa, in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

### **Philosophiae Doctor**

December 2009

© University of Pretoria



I dedicate this thesis to the memory of my late grandmother,

#### Mrs Ethel Magaret Sibeko,

who has been a source of inspiration and a pillar of strength, without whose unlimited support I would not have realized this dream; I will be forever grateful for everything she has been to me.



### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work. It is submitted in fulfillment of the degree, **Philosophiae Doctor**, in the University of Pretoria, South Africa. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University, nor has it been prepared under the aegis or with the assistance of any other body or organization or person outside the University of Pretoria, South Africa, other than as indicated in the acknowledgements which follow.

Kgomotso P. Sibeko



### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to the following individuals, organizations and institutions:

The Lord, for the gift of life, wisdom, strength and success.

- Dr Nicola Collins [University of Pretoria (UP), Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases (DVTD)], my supervisor, for her mentorship, expert advice, support and superb supervision throughout my studies.
- Dr Marinda Oosthuizen (UP), my co-supervisor, for her expert advice and support during my bereavement.
- Dr Dirk Geysen (Institute of Tropical Medicine (ITM), Belgium), my co-supervisor, for the opportunity to receive training in his laboratory at the ITM and countinuous support throughout my studies.
- Dr Abdullah Latif (ARC-OVI), my co-supervisor, for his valuable input during the preparation of this thesis.
- Prof Koos Coetzer, the head of DVTD (UP), for providing funds for this work and an opportunity to undertake my studies in the DVTD.
- Dr Fred Potgieter [ARC-Ondersterpoort Veterinary Institute (ARC-OVI)], for his expert advice and valuable insight and input on the project.
- Prof Conrad Mathee (University of Stellenbosch), for his assistance with phylogenetic analyses.
- Dr Visva Pillay (Roche Diagnostics, South Africa), for providing training on the reverse line blot assay.
- Mrs Milana Troskie (DVTD-UP), Mr Jacob (Ko) De Witte (ITM) and Ms Lieve Vermeiren (ITM), for technical support.
- The BioPAD BRIC and the South Africa/Belgium Bilateral Agreement grants, for funding my tuition and research project.
- The ITM and the ARC-OVI, for making their facilities available for this project.



The Statistics Department (UP), for assistance with the real-time PCR data analysis.

- Tshepo Matjila, Raksha Bhoora and Darshana Morar, for their encouragement, support and great friendship.
- The staff and postgraduate students of the DVTD, for making my PhD journey pleasant through their support both academically and socially.
- Pastor Dr Paul Mbedzi, my mentor, for his encouragement and support, and teaching me that perseverance is the mother of success.
- Otsile Sibeko, my beloved son, for his love, understanding and patience throughout my study period.
- The late Mrs Ethel Sibeko and Mrs Mirriam Tilodi, my grandmothers, for being a pillar of strength and believing in me.
- My family, for their encouragement, immeasurable support and taking pride in my achievements.



DEDICATION	ii
DECLARATIO	DNiii
ACKNOWLEI	DGEMENTSiv
TABLE OF CO	ONTENTSvi
LIST OF FIGU	JRESxii
LIST OF TAB	LESxvi
THESIS SUM	MARYxvii
CHAPTER 1	General Introduction1
1.1	Background1
1.2	Thesis rationale2
1.3	Thesis objectives3
1.4	References4
CHAPTER 2	Literature Review5
2.1	Introduction6
2.2	The parasite: <i>Theileria parva</i> 6
2.2.1	The life cycle of <i>T. parva</i> 7
2.2.1.1	In the mammalian host7
2.2.1.2	In the vector tick
2.3	Disease syndromes caused by <i>T. parva</i> infections9
2.3.1	East Coast fever (ECF)
2.3.2	Corridor disease

4	
	UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
2,	UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA
lisease	(Zimbabwean theileriosis)

2.3.3	January disease (Zimbabwean theileriosis)
2.4	Epidemiology of theileriosis in southern Africa11
2.4.1	Introduction and eradication of cattle theileriosis, East Coast fever, in
	southern Africa
2.4.2	Emergence of other theilerial disease syndromes11
2.4.3	Transformation of buffalo-derived <i>T. parva</i> into cattle-derived <i>T. parva</i> 12
2.4.4	Carrier state of <i>T. parva</i> 12
2.5	Treatment and control of theileriosis13
2.5.1	Tick control
2.5.2	Chemotherapy14
2.5.3	Immunization15
2.6	Detection of <i>T. parva</i> infections16
2.6.1	Conventional methods
2.6.2	Serological methods
2.6.2.1	Indirect immunofluorescent antibody test17
2.6.2.2	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)
2.6.3	Molecular techniques
2.6.3.1	Conventional PCR assays
2.6.3.2	PCR-based hybridization assays18
2.6.3.3	PCR-based RFLP assays19
2.6.3.4	Real-time PCR assays
2.7	Characterization of <i>T. parva</i> stocks20
2.7.1	Monoclonal antibody screening assays21
2.7.2	Molecular characterization
2.8	Aim23
2.9	Thesis overview
2.10	References25



### CHAPTER 3 Development and evaluation of a real-time PCR test for detection of *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle 38

3.1	Abstract
3.2	Introduction
3.3	Materials and methods42
3.3.1	Sample collection
3.3.2	DNA extraction
3.3.3	Design of primers and hybridization probes43
3.3.4	Optimized real-time PCR conditions
3.3.5	Specificity of the real-time PCR assay47
3.3.6	Sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay
3.3.7	Comparison of the real-time PCR assay with other molecular tests
3.3.8	Proficiency testing
3.4	Results51
3.4.1	Specific detection of <i>T. parva</i> using the real-time PCR assay with hybridization probes
3.4.2	Analytical sensitivity
3.4.3	Comparison of molecular tests
3.4.4	Proficiency testing
3.5	Discussion
3.6	Summary63
3.7	References
CHAPTER 4	Four p67 alleles identified in South African <i>Theileria parva</i> field samples69
4.1	Abstract70
4.2	Introduction71
4.3	Materials and methods72

1	
<b>C</b>	
and the second second	
8 00	IINIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
	ONTFEROTIETT TAN TREFORTA
1	UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
	VUNIDECITUL VA DDETODIA
Constant of the second	TUNIBESTINI YA PRETORIA
aallaati	

4.7	References	
4.6	Conclusion	89
4.5	Discussion	85
4.4.3	Phylogenetic analysis	82
4.4.2	Sequence analysis	79
4.4.1	Amplicon analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis	78
4.4	Results	78
4.3.6	Phylogenetic analysis	77
4.3.5.2	Sequence alignment	76
4.3.5.1	Sequence editing	76
4.3.5	Sequence analysis	76
4.3.4	Cloning and sequencing of p67 amplicons	75
4.3.3	PCR amplification of the p67 gene from <i>T. parva</i>	73
4.3.2	DNA isolation	73
4.3.1	Sample concetion	

CHAPTER 5 Characterization of *Theileria parva* parasites occurring in buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) in South Africa: In search of cattle-type p104 alleles95

5.1	Abstract	.96		
5.2	Introduction94		Introduction	
5.3	Materials and methods	.98		
5.3.1	Sample collection	.98		
5.3.2	DNA isolation	.98		
5.3.3	Analysis of the p104 gene from <i>T. parva</i> samples using PCR-RFLP	.99		
5.3.4	PCR-RFLP profile analysis	101		
5.3.5	Cloning and sequencing of p104 PCR products	101		
5.3.6	Sequence analysis	101		
5.4	Results1	102		

	A	
	UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA VINIBESITHI VA PRETORIA	
5.4.1	p104 PCR-RFLP profile analysis	
5.4.2	p104 gene sequence analysis	107
5.5	Discussion	110
5.6	Summary	113
5.7	References	115
CHAPTER 6	Analysis of the gene encoding the <i>Theileria parva</i> polyr immunodominant molecule (PIM) reveals evidence of the pre- cattle-type alleles in South Africa	norphic sence of 119
6.1	Abstract	120
6.2	Introduction	120
6.3	Materials and methods	122
6.3.1	Sample collection	122
6.3.2	DNA isolation and selection of <i>T. parva</i> -positive samples	122
6.3.3	Amplification of the PIM gene from <i>T. parva</i> samples	124
6.3.4	Analysis of the PIM gene from T. parva samples using PCR-RFL	P124
6.3.5	Cloning and sequencing of PIM PCR products	124
6.3.6	Sequence analysis	125
6.4	Results	125
6.4.1	PIM PCR-RFLP profile analysis	
6.4.2	PIM gene sequence analysis	137
6.5	Discussion	145
6.6	Summary	149
6.7	References	150
CHAPTER 7	General Discussion and Conclusion	154
7.1	Improvement of molecular diagnosis of <i>T. parva</i> infections	155
7.2	Molecular characterization of South African T. parva parasite	es157



LIST OF PUB	LICATIONS
7.4	References163
7.3	Conclusion161
7.2.2	Extensive genetic diversity among South African T. parva parasites 159
7.2.1.2	Identification of cattle-type alleles from buffalo <i>T. parva</i> samples
7.2.1.1	Identification of cattle-type alleles from cattle <i>T. parva</i> samples157
	populations in South Africa157
7.2.1	Evidence of cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles in T. parva parasite



### **LIST OF FIGURES**



- Figure 3.4 Discrimination between *T. parva* (blue lines), and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) (green lines) using melting curve analysis. Amplicons were generated using the *T. parva*-specific forward primer and the *Theileria* genus-specific reverse primer, and detected with the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probe set. Amplification curves showing increase in fluorescence at (a) 640 and (b) 705 nm in *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA samples. (c): Melting curve analysis at 640 nm, showing the *T. parva*-specific melting peak at 63 ±0.62°C only for *T. parva* DNA.
- Figure 3.6 (a): Comparison of the sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay to that of other molecular assays in detecting *T. parva* from 309 field samples. One microlitre (~15 ng) of input DNA was used in the real-time PCR assay (LC), 2 μl (~30 ng) in the conventional PCR and probing test (PP), 2.5 μl (~37.5 ng) in the RLB, and 5 μl (~75 ng) in the *cox* III assay. (b): Improved ability of the real-time PCR assay to detect *T. parva* in 105 field samples when the input DNA was increased from 1 μl (~15 ng) to 2.5 μl (~ 37.5 ng)....58



Figure 4.3	Phylogenetic relationship of T. parva strains as revealed by p67 gene
	sequences. The phylogenetic tree was calculated by maximum parsimony
	analysis using TBR swapping in PAUP*4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003) and the
	tree where unique insertions are weighted 10:1 is shown. Nodal support was
	assessed with 1000 bootstrap replicates and indicated above for 1:1; 1:3;
	1:10 weighting of indels while values below represent bootstrap support for
	the nucleotide analyses only (see text for details)
Figure 5.1	p104 gene AluI RFLP profiles showing the T. parva Muguga RFLP profile
	and identical profiles obtained from cattle samples from a farm near
	Ladysmith
Figure 5.2	A simplified similarity dendrogram for p104 PCR-RFLP profiles cluster
	analysis based on the Dice Coefficient analysis produced using
	BioNumerics v5.1104
Figure 5.3	A detailed similarity dendrogram for p104 PCR-RFLP profiles cluster
C	analysis based on the Dice Coefficient analysis produced using
	BioNumerics v5.1 showing actual profiles used to create the dendrogram.
	Figure 5.3 continues on page 110
Figure 5.4	Phylogenetic relationship of <i>T. parva</i> strains as revealed by p104 amino
	acid sequence analysis. The phylogenetic tree was calculated by Bayesian
	analysis: the first 10.000 trees were discarded as burnin and the majority-
	rule consensus tree was generated
Figure 6.1	Representative PIM gene <i>Bcl</i> I PCR-RFLP profiles showing (a)
	heterogeneous profiles obtained from buffalo T. parva samples from KNP,
	(b), (c) and (d) homogeneous profiles obtained from buffalo samples from
	Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, Mabalingwe and Ithala, respectively127
Figure 6.2	PIM gene BclI PCR-RFLP profiles obtained from (a) cattle T. parva
	samples from Ladysmith and (b) clones produced from cattle sample,
	Lad 10
Figure 6 3	A simplified similarity dendrogram generated by RioNumerics v5.1 cluster
- 1941 0 010	analysis of PCR-RFLP profiles from cloned PIM amplicons using the Dice
	Coefficient analysis 129



- Figure 6.4 A detailed similarity dendrogram generated by BioNumerics v5.1 cluster analysis of PCR-RFLP profiles from cloned PIM amplicons using the Dice Coefficient analysis showing actual profiles used to produce the dendrogram. Figure 6.4 continues on pages 136 to 139......130



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Origin and number of samples used for the evaluation of the <i>T. parva</i> real- time PCR test
Table 3.2	Specificity of the <i>T. parva</i> real-time PCR test using the <i>T. parva</i> -specific forward primer, the <i>Theileria</i> genus-specific reverse primer and both probe sets
Table 4.1	Source of samples used for characterization of <i>T. parva</i> parasites and results obtained from PCR amplification of the p67 gene. Table 4.1 continues in page 79
Table 4.2	p67 reference sequences used for analysis of data obtained in this study77
Table 4.3	Number and type of p67 sequences obtained from 21 selected <i>T. parva</i> samples collected from both cattle and buffalo
Table 5.1	Geographic origin and source of blood samples (n=100) used for characterization of <i>T. parva</i> parasites100
Table 5.2	Comparison of p104 PCR-RFLP cluster analysis and phylogenetic analysis of p104 amino acid sequences from 19 selected <i>T. parva</i> samples
Table 6.1	Geographical origin and source of blood samples (n=109) used for characterization of <i>T. parva</i> parasites
Table 6.2	Number of different types of PIM sequences obtained from clones produced from 27 selected <i>T. parva</i> samples



### THESIS SUMMARY

The aim of this study was to improve the official diagnostic test package in South Africa for detection of Theileria parva infections in cattle and Cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer) and to investigate the presence of cattle-type T. parva parasites in buffalo and cattle in South Africa. To improve diagnosis of T. parva infections, a T. parva-specific real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay based on hybridization probe technology was developed. Oligonucleotide primers and hybridization probes used in the assay were designed based on the 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene. The primers amplify T. parva and Theileria sp. (buffalo) DNA but the hybridization probes specifically detect T. parva amplicons. Because of the high sequence similarity between the T. parva and Theileria sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA genes, amplification of Theileria sp. (buffalo) DNA could not be avoided; no other bovine blood pathogens tested were amplified by these primers. The real-time PCR assay demonstrated superior sensitivity compared to other molecular tests used in detection of T. parva infections, reliably detecting the parasite in carrier animals with a piroplasm parasitaemia as low as  $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$ % with minute template DNA input. The assay requires less time to perform with a low risk of contamination because of the closed-tube system that does not require handling of amplicons for post-PCR analysis.

The presence of cattle-type T. parva parasites in buffalo and cattle was investigated using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) profiles of PCR products and sequences of the parasite genes which code for the antigenic proteins p67, p104, and the polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM). Cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles were identified from three T. parva samples obtained from cattle from a farm near Ladysmith in the KwaZulu-Natal Province. These cattle-type alleles were identical to those previously identified from a cattle-derived T. parva stock, T. parva Muguga, a parasite stock that causes East Coast fever (ECF) in Kenya; however, ECF was not diagnosed in animals in this farm. Cattle-type alleles identical to those previously reported were not identified from T. parva buffalo samples, but variants of p67 allele 1 as well as p104 allele 1, both previously obtained from T. parva Muguga, were identified. It is not known if parasites that possess these variants can cause disease, and the risk of their adapting to cattle as in the case of ECF and January disease needs to be evaluated. Furthermore, these findings suggest that cattle-like alleles may not be exclusively associated with cattle-derived T. parva parasites. Most of the p67, p104 and PIM gene sequences obtained in this study were not identical to known sequences; furthermore, novel alleles were identified, demonstrating extensive genetic diversity in the



South African *T. parva* parasite population in buffalo. The significance of the parasites that possess 'novel' alleles in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa still needs to be determined. The identification of variants and novel alleles reveals that p67, p104 and PIM gene PCR-RFLP profiles are more complex than previously thought and the classification of buffalo- and cattle-derived *T. parva* parasites in South Africa based on p67, p104 and PIM gene profiles would not be possible. Identification of more reliable markers that can be directly associated with the theilerial disease syndromes remains a challenge.



# CHAPTER 1

### **General Introduction**

And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and <u>let them have dominion</u> over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth. **Gen 1:26** 





### 1.1 Background

Cattle theileriosis caused by *Theileria parva* infections is associated with high mortality, primarily in exotic and crossbred cattle, but also in indigenous calves and adult cattle in endemically unstable areas (Perry and Young, 1995). This results in major constraints on cattle production and the expansion of the dairy industry. In 1989 the cost of cattle theileriosis, East Coast fever (ECF), was estimated at \$186 million (Mukhebi *et al.*, 1992) in 11 affected countries in the African region. Following the introduction of ECF to South Africa in 1902, an estimated 5.5 million deaths of cattle were attributed to ECF. The control and the ultimate eradication of the disease cost the country R100 million (Anonymous, 1981).

*Theileria parva* infections in cattle manifest in three different disease syndromes, namely, ECF, Corridor disease and January disease. East Coast fever was introduced into southern Africa at the turn of the 19<sup>th</sup> century and was eventually eradicated after a costly campaign involving quarantine of infected farms and compensated slaughter of infected cattle herds (Anonymous, 1981). After the eradication of ECF, Corridor disease became the most important form of theileriosis in South Africa. Corridor disease is still a serious threat in areas where there are common grazing grounds between cattle and infected buffalo and where the tick vectors, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* and *R. zambeziensis* occur (Uilenberg, 1999). Since the South African cattle population is completely naïve to *T. parva*, it should be protected against exposure. Therefore, in South Africa today, cattle theileriosis is a controlled disease and authorities try to keep infected buffalo separated from livestock to prevent the spread of the disease.

*Theileria parva* has existed in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) for thousands of years (Uilenberg, 1981; Young, 1981) and the parasite still circulates in the buffalo population in South Africa. Consequently, in South Africa, infections by *T. parva* parasites do not only impact on the cattle industry, but also affect the game farming industry. Buffalo are natural reservoirs of the parasite, and when infected by *T. parva* their value significantly decreases to ten times less than their "clean" counterparts. The financial implication of this extends to the loss of revenue if the game properties lose their attraction for tourists or hunters due to the absence of buffalo.



Corridor disease is still endemic in buffalo populations in some parts of South Africa. As a result, buffalo are required to be tested at least five consecutive times before they can be relocated to a disease-free area, as a means of controlling of the spread of the parasite to Corridor disease-free areas.

### 1.2 Thesis rationale

Corridor disease is a controlled disease in South Africa. The Agricultural Research Council-Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute (ARC-OVI) is the only institution in the country with a mandate from the South African government to test for *T. parva* infections in cattle and buffalo. Previously, a package of tests including microscopic examination of blood smears, the indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) and a convetional PCR/probing test was used for this purpose. These tests, particularly the microscopic examination of blood smears and IFAT, lacked the desired sensitivity and specificity to detect *T. parva* infections which often occur in the presence of other *Theileria* species, as mixed infections. The application of the PCR/probing test improved the sensitivity and specificity that conventional diagnostic tests suffered over the years. However, because this assay is both time- and labour-intensive, it was no longer an ideal test for processing large numbers of samples resulting from the increasing demand for disease-free buffalo in South Africa. The ARC-OVI needed a more sensitive, more specific and less time-consuming diagnostic technique to detect *T. parva*-positive animals. Therefore, the use of real-time PCR technology to improve molecular diagnostics of *T. parva* infection was evaluated in this study.

*Theileria parva* is also the causative agent of ECF, a fatal disease of cattle which devastated the cattle population in South Africa in the early 1900s. Although ECF was eradicated in South Africa, there is a concern that it could recur. The principal vector for *T. parva*, the three-host ixodid tick *R. appendiculatus* is still widespread and the cattle population would be highly vulnerable should the parasite be introduced from an endemic area. Similarly if there was a recrudescence of the ECF-causing parasite from the existing population of parasites in buffalo, the cost of treatment and control would be enormous. It is not known whether the parasite that caused ECF was transmitted to buffalo during the ECF epidemic, or whether there exists in buffalo an ancestral subpopulation of *T. parva* parasites that could become adapted to cattle. Therefore, three *T. parva* genes coding for the antigenic proteins p67, p104 and polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM) were characterized in order to investigate the presence of cattle-type parasites in buffalo in South Africa.



### 1.3 Thesis objectives

In view of the above, the principal objectives of this study were:

- 1. To develop a real-time PCR assay for specific detection of *T. parva* infections in cattle and buffalo blood samples and:
  - To evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of the real-time assay in comparison with other molecular assays.
  - To assess the applicability and reproducibility of the real-time PCR assay for routine diagnostics.
- 2. To discriminate between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* isolates by fragment size analysis and sequence analysis of the variable region of the *T. parva* p67 gene.
- 3. To investigate the presence of cattle-type *T. parva* parasites by PCR-RFLP and sequence analysis of the variable region of the *T. parva* p104 and PIM genes.





### 1.4 References

- Anonymous, 1981. The eradication of East Coast fever in South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **52**, 71-73.
- Mukhebi, A. W., Perry, B. D., Kruska, R., 1992. Estimated economics of theileriosis control in Africa. *Preventative Veterinary Medicine*, **12**, 73-85.
- Perry, B. D. and Young, A. S., 1995. The past and future roles of epidemiology and economics in the control of tick-borne diseases of livestock in Africa: The case of theileriosis. *Preventative Veterinary Medicine*, 25, 107-20.
- Uilenberg, G., 1981. Theilerial species of domestic livestock. In: Advances in the control of theileriosis. A.D. Irvin, M.P. Cunningham and A.S. Young, (Eds.). The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, London, pp 4-32.
- Uilenberg, G., 1999. Immunization against diseases caused by *Theileria parva*: a review. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, **4**, A12-20.
- Young, A.S., 1981. The epidemiology of theileriosis in East Africa. In: Advances in the control of theileriosis. A.D. Irvin, M.P. Cunningham and A.S. Young, (Eds.). The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, London, pp 38-55.



## **CHAPTER 2**

### Literature Review

"The diversity of the phenomena of nature is so great, and the treasures hidden in the heavens so rich, precisely in order that the human mind shall never be lacking in fresh nourishment." Johannes Kepler



### 2.1 Introduction

The two most pathogenic and economically important *Theileria* species, *T. parva* and *T. annulata*, are responsible for nearly all the cases of bovine theileriosis in most parts of the world (Mukhebi *et al.*, 1992). *Theileria parva*, the causative agent of East Coast fever (ECF), Corridor disease and January disease, occurs in eastern, central and southern Africa, while *T. annulata*, which causes tropical theileriosis, occurs in large areas of southern Europe, northern Africa, Middle East and Central Asia. Under certain circumstances a group of relatively benign *Theileria* parasites, *T. velifera*, *T. orientalis/ T. buffeli* complex and *T. mutans*, mainly located in Africa, also cause disease and loss of production (Norval *et al.*, 1992). Other *Theileria* species such as *T. lestoquardi* and *T. taurotragi*, cause disease in sheep and eland, respectively and the latter can cause mild infections in cattle (Norval *et al.*, 1992). Thus, the genus constitutes an important class of tick-borne organisms causing disease in ruminants.

### 2.2 The parasite: Theileria parva

*Theileria parva* is an apicoplexan protozoan parasite known to cause East Coast fever, January disease and Corridor disease in cattle (Uilenberg *et al.*, 1982; Perry *et al.*, 1991). By far it is the most pathogenic and economically significant *Theileria* sp. in eastern, central and southern Africa (Norval *et al.*, 1992). In the field, transmission of *T. parva* occurs only through the medium of the tick vector. Its principal vector is the brown ear tick, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*, and other members of the genus *Rhipicephalus* and several *Hyalomma* spp. have been shown to be capable of transmitting *T. parva* in laboratory conditions (Neitz, 1957; Brocklesby, 1965; Lawrence *et al.*, 1983). The Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) is the natural reservoir host of *T. parva* and is thus thought to be a possible reservoir host (Stagg *et al.*, 1994).



#### 2.2.1 The life cycle of *T. parva*

*Theileria* parasites have complex life cycles that involve several morphologically distinct developmental stages in the tick and mammalian host cells (Figure 2.1). The transmission and survival of the parasite depend on the ability of various invasive stages, the sporozoite and merozoite in the mammalian host, the zygote and kinete in the tick vector, to recognize and invade specific host cells (Shaw, 2003).

#### 2.2.1.1 In the mammalian host

Transmission of *T. parva* is only achieved by infected nymphal or adult ticks. The infected nymph or adult transmits infection during feeding when the sporozoites in its salivary glands have matured (Stagg *et al.*, 1981). Sporozoites enter lymphocytes and differentiate into schizonts inducing a lymphoproliferative disorder (Stagg *et al.*, 1980). The sporozoite entry process is not orientation-specific as has been observed with other apicomplexan parasites (Shaw, 2003). In the lymphocytes, the schizonts later differentiate into merozoites that invade the erythrocytes. In *T. parva*, there is little or no multiplication in the erythocytes, multiplication occurs exclusively in lymphocytes. In contrast, multiple rounds of asexual division have been observed to occur in both the erythrocytes and lymphocytes from species like *T. annulata* (Norval *et al.*, 1992). Once in the erythrocytes, merozoites develop into piroplasms, the stage of the parasite infective to ticks.

#### 2.2.1.2 In the vector tick

Piroplasms in the erythrocytes of the mammalian host are ingested during tick feeding. The sexual stage of development of *T. parva* occurs in the gut of the tick (Melhorn and Schein, 1984). In the tick gut lumen gametogenesis and fertilization take place resulting in the production of a zygote. The zygote invades the gut cell and remains there throughout the tick moulting cycle and develops into a single motile kinete. Kinetes escape the gut cells and invade the salivary glands. The parasites remain in the salivary gland until transmitted to another mammalian host when the resulting post-moult nymph or adult feeds. Tick feeding initiates rapid sporozoite development and infective sporozoites are released during the later stages of feeding (Norval *et al.*, 1992).





**Figure 2.1** The life cycle of *Theileria parva* [from International Labotoratory for Research on Animal Diseases (ILRAD) 1983. Annual report 1982. Nairobi, ILRAD].



### 2.3 Disease syndromes caused by *T. parva* infections

East Coast fever, Corridor disease and January disease are disease syndromes caused by *T. parva* infections in cattle. These disease syndromes result from tick transmission of the parasite from infected cattle or buffalo to susceptible cattle. Cattle-to-cattle transmissions of *T. parva* result in ECF and January disease while buffalo-to-cattle transmissions of *T. parva* result in Corridor disease.

#### 2.3.1 East Coast fever (ECF)

East Coast fever is a fatal disease of cattle caused by the cattle-derived strains of *T. parva* (formerly known as *T. parva parva*). The parasite is transmitted through tick infection from infected cattle to susceptible cattle (cattle-to-cattle transmission). The disease is characterized by the proliferation of lymphoblasts infected with theilerial schizonts throughout the body, particularly in the lymph nodes, lymphoid aggregates, spleen, kidneys, liver and lungs (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994a). There is a marked variation in the susceptibility of cattle to infection; a proportion of animals may recover, however the recovery process might be prolonged. Recovered animals may remain emaciated and unproductive for months.

Epidemic ECF occurs when infection is introduced into a previously disease-free area infested with the tick vector, *R. appendiculatus*, with a fully susceptible cattle population. When the disease progresses without intervention by treatment, mortality may exceed 90%. East Coast fever is primarily controlled through treatment with acaricidal tick control, however treatment is expensive and the diagnosis must be made early for treatment to be effective (Potgieter *et al.*, 1988). The economical implications associated with the control measures required are enormous for both livestock owners and veterinary authorities (Dolan and Young, 1981). East Coast fever was eradicated in South Africa between 1946 and 1955 (Anonymous, 1981) and since then there have been no reports of ECF infections in cattle.

#### 2.3.2 Corridor disease

Corridor disease is an acute, usually fatal disease of cattle resembling ECF. The disease is caused by infection with buffalo-derived strains of *T. parva* (formerly known as *T. parva lawrencei*). The pathogenesis and pathology of Corridor disease are very similar to those of ECF, although Corridor disease is characterized by low schizont parasitosis and piroplasm



parasitaemia. Clinical features exhibited are also the same as ECF except that the course is usually shorter, death occurring only three to four days after the onset of first signs (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994b).

Transmission of the disease occurs in cattle sharing grazing grounds with infected buffalo in the presence of the tick vector, resulting in buffalo-to-cattle transmission. It has long been believed that the parasite cannot adapt to cattle and usually fails to complete its development as most cattle die before the parasite develops to the tick-infective stage, the piroplasm (Neitz, 1955; Neitz *et al.*, 1955). The disease was thus considered self-limiting. However, Potgieter *et al.* (1988) demonstrated that cattle infected by buffalo-derived *T. parva* can recover from the infection after treatment by chemotherapy and can become carriers of the parasite. When ticks were fed on the carrier animals and used to infect susceptible cattle, these animals died from classical Corridor disease suggesting that natural recovery might be possible and the fatal disease is possibly dose-dependent.

Buffalo-derived *T. parva* remains endemic in the buffalo populations in East and southern Africa. Corridor disease is still a serious threat in areas where there are common grazing grounds between cattle and infected buffalo and where the tick vectors, *R. appendiculatus*, *R. zambeziensis* and *R. duttoni* occur.

#### 2.3.3 January disease (Zimbabwean theileriosis)

After the eradication of ECF in Zimbabwe, another type of theileriosis known as January disease emerged. The name January disease is attributed to the strict seasonality of the disease occurrence which is between December and March, coinciding with the seasonal activity of adult *R. appendiculatus*, the natural tick vector of cattle-derived *T. parva* parasites (Matson, 1967). January disease is an acute, frequently fatal disease caused by the cattle-derived *T. parva* parasite formerly known as *T. parva bovis*.

January disease exhibits the same clinical features as ECF; the pathogenesis and pathology of the disease are also very similar to those of ECF (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994c). The disease occurs regularly in Zimbabwe, causing a significant number of deaths each year and necessitating the implementation of intensive dipping regulations to control its vector. There is no evidence that the infection occurs in South Africa where the clinical disease is currently not recognized (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994c).



### 2.4 Epidemiology of theileriosis in southern Africa

# 2.4.1 Introduction and eradication of cattle theileriosis, East Coast fever, in southern Africa

In southern Africa, ECF was first recognized south of the Zambezi River in 1901/02 in cattle (Lawrence, 1992; Norval *et al.*, 1992). It was named East Coast fever as its origin was traced back to the importation of cattle from the eastern African coast, following the devastation of the cattle population in southern Africa by the rinderpest pandemic less than a decade earlier and by the Anglo-Boer War. After a long struggle, with intensive dipping, quarantine and compensated slaughtering, ECF was finally eradicated between 1946 and 1955 in most southern African countries and Swaziland was the last country to eradicate ECF in 1960 (Anonymous, 1981). Since ECF was eradicated from South Africa, cattle-derived *T. parva* has not become re-established in cattle, possibly because strict regulations have prevented the establishment of conducive conditions. Between 1912 and 1922 ECF appeared in Malawi, Northern Province of Zambia and the Tete Province in Mozambique. In these countries the disease still persists to date and is a major constraint on the development of cattle production (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994a).

#### 2.4.2 Emergence of other theilerial disease syndromes

Although ECF was eradicated in southern Africa, its principal vector *R. appendiculatus* still occurs and *T. parva* remained endemic in South African buffalo (Dolan, 1999). Consequently, another form of theileriosis called Corridor disease emerged. It was first diagnosed in 1953 in a corridor of land between the then separate Hluhluwe and iMfolozi Game Reserves in South Africa, hence the name Corridor disease (Neitz *et al.*, 1955). It occurs throughout southern and eastern Africa, wherever there is contact between cattle and infected buffalo in the presence of the ticks, *R. appendiculatus, R. zambeziensis* or *R. duttoni* (Neitz *et al.*, 1955; Blouin and Stoltsz, 1989). Corridor disease has been generally regarded as self-limiting as cattle usually die in the acute stage before the parasite develops into the erythrocytic piroplasm stage which might be picked up by the tick (Norval *et al.*, 1992). Potgieter *et al.* (1988) demonstrated that cattle infected with *T. parva lawrencei* can recover after receiving low doses of sporozoites stabilate and become carriers of the parasite. The carrier state phenomenon has been accepted as valid among *T. parva* stocks derived from both buffalo and cattle (Young, 1981). Buffalo, the natural reservoirs of the parasite, pose a threat to cattle



grazing on the same areas infested by *R. appendiculatus* and related ticks, hence the persistence of Corridor disease. In South Africa, of diseases transmitted from buffalo to cattle, Corridor disease is currently the second most important after foot-and-mouth disease.

After the eradication of ECF in Zimbabwe, a virulent form of theileriosis was identified as January disease which occurs during the rainy season each year (Matson, 1967; Koch, 1990). The disease occurs mainly in the rainy season from December to March coinciding with the seasonal activity of adult *R. appendiculatus*. This form of theileriosis is also suspected to occur in the southern and central province of Zambia (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994c). No convincing evidence has been found on the occurrence of this disease in South Africa (De Vos, 1982; Thompson *et al.*, 2008).

#### 2.4.3 Transformation of buffalo-derived T. parva into cattle-derived T. parva

Barnett and Brocklesby (1966b) demonstrated in East Africa for the first time that after a number of tick transmissions, buffalo-derived *T. parva* [*T. parva lawrencei* (Kenya)] could "transform" into cattle-derived *T. parva*. However, in South Africa, attempts to demonstrate transformation of buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites to cattle-derived have proven futile (Neitz, 1957; De Vos, 1982; Potgieter *et al.*, 1988) although the same experiments were successful in East Africa (Barnett and Brocklesby, 1966b; Young and Purnell, 1973; Maritim *et al.*, 1992). It is uncertain whether true transformation was demonstrated in East Africa since there is a possibility that the researchers may have started with a mixed population of parasites and selected a subpopulation of those parasites by transmission through cattle (Collins *et al.*, 2002).

#### 2.4.4 Carrier state of T. parva

A carrier state of *T. parva* is defined as the persistence of a tick-transmissible infection over prolonged periods of time among host mammals including both cattle and buffalo which survive *T. parva* infection (Barnett and Brocklesby, 1966b; Young *et al.*, 1978, 1986). Although this phenomenon was originally an area of controversy in the biology of *T. parva* it has become accepted widely with stocks derived from both buffalo and cattle (Dolan, 1999). Immunization and treatment by chemotherapy have been shown to result in development of carrier state (Dolan *et al.*, 1984; Dolan, 1986; Maritim *et al.*, 1989; Bishop *et al.*, 1992). Carriers resulting from immunization with a cocktail may result in the establishment of new



strains. Carrier animals are important contributors to the infection within vector ticks. Since carrier cattle can infect ticks, a situation could eventually develop where the parasite becomes adapted to cattle as hosts, resulting in cattle-to-cattle transmission, as appears to have happened with East Coast fever and January disease (Potgieter *et al.*, 1988).

Until some two decades ago, tick experimental transmission of the parasite from an infected animal to susceptible animals was the definitive method for determining a carrier state. This method is too expensive and not viable for epidemiological studies (Dolan, 1986). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods have demonstrated reasonable sensitivity in detecting experimentally infected carrier animals (Bishop *et al.*, 1992) and cattle in the field (Watt *et al.*, 1998; Skilton *et al.*, 2002). However, the detection of carrier state remains a challenge because the piroplasm parasitaemia in these animals can be extremely low, especially in buffalo. Carrier animals may go unnoticed as they do not display clinical symptoms. This may result in outbreaks in tick-vector areas that were previously free from the parasite. Therefore, to detect carrier buffalo and cattle, a sensitive assay is required.

### 2.5 Treatment and control of theileriosis

After the eradication of ECF in South Africa, strict measures were implemented to prevent the spread of theileriosis and these included physical separation of infected buffalo from cattle, slaughter of infected cattle with compensation and pasture spelling. Other methods used for the control of theileriosis include tick control by acaricide application, immunization and chemotherapy. In South Africa, strict physical separation of buffalo and tick control by acaricide application are applied, while immunization and chemotherapy methods are prohibited because of the risk of development of carrier state.

#### 2.5.1 Tick control

Theileriosis has mainly been controlled by means of tick control. Tick control was first implemented in southern Africa and has been achieved through various combinations of pasture spelling, control of cattle movement and acaricide application (Dolan, 1999). Pasture spelling was abandoned as it was found impractical because of the lengthy periods this method involved (18 months). Cattle movement control and acaricide application were retained, and were made compulsory through veterinary legislation in the southern African countries to which *T. parva* had spread (Norval *et al.*, 1992). However, the rapidly rising costs



of acaricides and their application has led to the development of other control methods, including vaccines against ticks, slow-release acaricide devices, more efficient means of topical application of acaricides, manipulation of hybrid sterility between closely-related tick species and the use of pheromones to disrupt mating or to attract ticks and so improve efficiency of acaricide treatment. Other methods including biological tick control using predators and pathogens, tick-killing or -repelling plants, habitat modification and resistant hosts have been studied and, in some instances, tested but have not yet been implemented on a large scale (Norval *et al.*, 1992). Development of resistance from using acaricides for maintaining effective tick control remains a major concern (Dolan, 1999).

#### 2.5.2 Chemotherapy

Treatment was introduced as the secondary strategy in integrated tick and tick-borne disease control. Tetracylines were the first compounds to be used in the control of theileriosis (Neitz, 1953; Brocklesby and Bailey, 1962). Their effect was limited though as they have a suppressive effect only in the early stages of *Theileria* infection. Further research led to the discovery of the naphthoquinone compound, menoctone, which demonstrated theileriacidal activity but could not be further developed as it was too expensive to synthesize. Subsequently, more effective derivatives of this compound were developed: parvaquone, which was very effective and safe with a wide therapeutic index, as well as buparvaquone, which was eight times more effective than parvaquone against *T. parva* infection *in vivo* (Hudson *et al.*, 1985; McHardy *et al.*, 1985; Hudson *et al.*, 1986; Morgan and McHardy, 1986).

Another compound used in the treatment of theileriosis is halofuginone, a quinazolinone compound. This compound was developed as a hydrobromide salt for use as an anticoccidial agent. However, the compound is also active against both *T. parva* and *T. annulata* infections in cattle (Uilenberg *et al.*, 1980). In field conditions, halofuginone appears to be the most active compound against early stages of the disease as it is only active against the schizont stage (Njau *et al.*, 1985), unlike parvaquone and buparvaquone, which are active against the schizont and piroplasm stages.



Successful application of chemotherapy, however, requires early diagnosis so that treatment can be given at the early stages of clinical disease. None of the new therapeutic agents is effective when given once respiratory signs are present. When effectively applied, the treatment of a significant proportion of the cattle population results in the creation of a higher number of immune animals but also contributes to an increase in the number of carrier animals. Because these animals could be potentially infective to ticks (Potgieter *et al.*, 1985), in South Africa, the use of chemotherapy was banned to avoid the risk of increasing carrier state in cattle infected with buffalo-derived *T. parva*. The possibility of development of resistance though not proven remains a matter of concern considering that resistance has inevitably developed to almost every chemotherapeutic ever discovered (Schnitzer, 1963).

#### 2.5.3 Immunization

Immunization was first attempted in South Africa early in the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Cunningham, 1977; Lawrence et al., 1994a). The inoculation of cattle with blood, spleen and lymph node material from infected animals, were the first methods applied for immunization. Neither of these was a success and, as a result, immunization was abandoned in favour of other methods of control in South Africa. Regardless of the failure of the initial methods, these techniques demonstrated that immunity could be acquired and Neitz (1953, 1957) showed that extended administration of tetracycline during the incubation period of ECF resulted in cattle becoming effectively immunized without adverse effect. This became the basis of the infection and treatment method of immunization. This method involves the inoculation of a live, potentially lethal dose of the parasite and simultaneous treatment with a formulation of a long-acting oxytetracycline (Radley, 1981). A severe limitation of this method, though, is that a single vaccine strain does not protect against all the different strains of T. parva in the field, especially infections resulting from buffalo-derived T. parva. The use of cocktail stabilates like the Muguga cocktail has partially overcome this problem as the combination has shown to confer broad but not universal protection even in the field (Radley et al., 1975; Musisi, 1990). The use of live vaccines however, is also limited by the need for cold storage, the limited shelf-life of the vaccine, the possibility of causing morbidity and mortality in vaccinates, and the risk of attenuated organisms reverting to a pathogenic state (Jenkins, 2001).



To overcome the limitations outlined above, research focus has moved towards the development of subunit vaccines derived from native antigens of the parasite or as recombinant proteins from cloned DNA. In the past decade, there have been advancements in attempts to identify *Theileria* antigens from sporozoite, schizont and piroplasm stages that are suitable for testing vaccine components. Several monoclonal antibodies against *T. parva* and *T. annulata*, which neutralize sporozoite entry into host cells *in vitro*, have been generated. These antibodies detect surface proteins such as p67 and the polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM) of *T. parva* and SPAG-1 of *T. annulata* (Shapiro *et al.*, 1987; Williamson *et al.*, 1989; Hall *et al.*, 1992; Nene *et al.*, 1992). SPAG-1 and p67 have both been tested in vaccination trials and each induces some degree of protection against *T. annulata* and *T. parva* sporozoite challenge, respectively (Musoke *et al.*, 1992; Nene *et al.*, 1995; Nene *et al.*, 1998).

### 2.6 Detection of T. parva infections

In South Africa, only buffalo that have been certified disease-free can be translocated to disease-free areas. The South African veterinary authorities require buffalo to be tested for four controlled diseases that are transmissible to cattle, namely: foot-and-mouth disease, bovine tuberculosis, bovine brucellosis and Corridor disease. Because of the carrier state and mixed infections which are common in buffalo, sensitive and specific tests are required for detection of *T. parva* infections. Diagnostic tests currently used for detection of *T. parva* infectional, serological and molecular methods.

#### 2.6.1 Conventional methods

Conventional diagnosis of *Theileria* parasites has mainly been based on microscopic examination of blood and lymph node smears for the presence of the parasites which could be differentiated from other blood parasites by morphological and staining properties. The method is routinely used for the detection of *Babesia*, *Theileria* and *Anaplasma* species in acutely infected animals (Morzaria *et al.*, 1999). This method is the method of choice for early and rapid treatment of the disease. However, it has major limitations in that *T. parva* schizonts and piroplasms are difficult if not impossible to differentiate from those of other *Theileria* species which may occur simultaneously within the same blood sample (Norval *et al.*, 1992). One important epidemiological limitation is that microscopic examination is less



sensitive in detecting piroplasms in carrier animals. Following recovery from ECF, the parasitaemia in carriers is often below the threshold of detection by microscopy.

#### 2.6.2 Serological methods

There have been a variety of serological tests described for *T. parva* piroplasm antigens. These include capillary tube agglutination (CA) (Ross and Löhr, 1972), conglutination (Cawdery *et al.*, 1968), indirect immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) test (Burridge, 1971), indirect hemagglutination assay (IHA) (Duffs and Wagner, 1974) and the Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Katende *et al.*, 1998). In a comparative study between IFA, IHA, CA, complement fixation (CF), and immunodiffusion (ID), it was suggested that IFA and IHA were the best assays for field work and CF, IFA, IHA were most suitable for experimental work, with regard to their sensitivity (Duffs and Wagner, 1980). Although the sensitivity and specificity of the ELISA surpasses that of the IFA test (Katende *et al.*, 1998), the IFA test remains widely used in the diagnosis of *Theileria* parasites and has also been the main tool in many assessments of endemic stability of *T. parva* infections.

#### 2.6.2.1 Indirect immunofluorescent antibody test

Initially, the IFA test was developed to detect *T. parva* antibody using piroplasm antigens and later adapted for use with schizont antigens because of the longer duration of the serological response against the latter (Burridge, 1971; Burridge *et al.*, 1973). Although widely used, the IFA test has many disadvantages, which include cross-reactivity due to the use of crude antigens, difficulty in standardization, subjectivity in interpretation of the results, and the impracticability of processing large numbers of samples (Norval *et al.*, 1992). The detection of antibodies to *T. parva* is also unsuitable for determining carrier status since serology is unable to differentiate between previously cleared and current infections (Young *et al.*, 1986; Bishop *et al.*, 1992). Antibodies tend to disappear in long-term carriers, whereas *Theileria* piroplasms persist. Therefore, animals with a negative serological test can still infect ticks and thus IFA test results cannot be independently used to determine the *Theileria* infection status of carrier animals.

#### 2.6.2.2 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Two decades after the development of the IFA test another serological assay, the ELISA, was developed for detection of *T. parva* antibody using recombinant polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM) (Katende *et al.*, 1998). When compared to the IFA test, this


assay has a higher degree of sensitivity (>99%) and specificity (between 94% and 98%). Despite the superior performance of the ELISA over that of the IFA test, the ELISA has similar limitations to the IFA test: it does not detect the parasite itself, the animal may have already cleared the pathogen but remained sero-positive (Dolan, 1986; Bishop *et al.*, 1992).

### 2.6.3 Molecular techniques

Detection of *T. parva* infections in carrier animals has always been a challenge when using parasitological and serological methods. Until recently, experimental tick transmission of the parasite from infected animals to susceptible animals was the definitive method of determining a carrier state. However this approach is expensive and time consuming and can also be intermittent (Dolan, 1986). The advent of molecular diagnosis has led to the discovery of molecular techniques ranging from the classical single polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to more sophisticated techniques based on the use of DNA probes. Their use in diagnosis has improved the sensitivity and specificity that previous diagnostic tests lacked over the years.

### 2.6.3.1 Conventional PCR assays

The use of primers derived from a repetitive sequence (TpR locus) in a PCR successfully amplified *T. parva* DNA in experimentally infected animals but not in field cattle (Bishop *et al.*, 1992; Watt *et al.*, 1998). These findings demonstrated the importance of the DNA target region for the successful use of PCR-based methods. Because the TpR locus exhibits some degree of polymorphism among *T. parva* stocks, it was not suitable for amplification of DNA from all field isolates including *T. parva* Muguga, an ECF-causing *T. parva* stock (Bishop *et al.*, 1992, 1997). TpR primers also lack specificity as they amplify other closely related non-pathogenic *Theileria* parasites (Watt *et al.*, 1997).

### 2.6.3.2 PCR-based hybridization assays

To improve the sensitivity of PCR-based diagnostic techniques, PCR assays are usually coupled with hybridization methods. A PCR-probing assay based on the small subunit ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA) gene was developed for detection of different *Theileria* parasites (Allsopp *et al.*, 1993). The assay involves amplification of a portion of the variable region of the parasite's 18S rRNA gene followed by hybridization with radioactively labelled species-specific oligonucleotide probes. Ribosomal RNA genes are widely used in diagnosis, since amplification primers can be designed in conserved regions of the gene to amplify the gene from all related organisms, while the variable regions can be used to differentiate between



different species. This technique has been used for discrimination of *Theileria* spp., *Babesia* spp., *Anaplasma* spp. and *Ehrlichia* spp. (Allsopp *et al.*, 1993; Bishop *et al.*, 1995; Gubbels *et al.*, 1999; Georges *et al.*, 2001). The use of PCR-based hybridization assays does not only allow discrimination of closely related species but simultaneous detection of other piroplasmids and detection of previously unrecognized species (Gubbels *et al.*, 1999; Birkenheuer *et al.*, 2004; Nijhof *et al.*, 2005; Oosthuizen *et al.*, 2008, 2009). The *T. parva* 104 kDa rhoptry antigen (p104) gene has also been successfully exploited in the detection of *T. parva* infection particularly in carrier animals (Skilton *et al.*, 2002; Kaba *et al.*, 2005; Konnai *et al.*, 2006). However, a drawback with PCR-based hybridization assays is that they are very laborious and time-consuming because of the additional hybridization step required to confirm a positive result.

### 2.6.3.3 PCR-based RFLP assays

Recently, semi-nested PCR-RFLP assays based on the *T. parva* p104 and 18S rRNA genes have been used for detection of *T. parva* infections (Geysen, 2000; Bazarusanga *et al.*, 2007; DeDeken *et al.*, 2007). Since p104 is a single copy gene this makes the assay less sensitive and not ideal for detection of *T. parva* infections in carrier animals. Although the 18S rRNA-based assay is effective in detecting *T. parva* DNA, the additional restriction enzyme digestion, which often requires overnight incubation makes these assays time-consuming and laborious. Therefore these assays suffer the same limitations as the PCR-based hybridization assays.

### 2.6.3.4 Real-time PCR assays

Real-time PCR has greatly improved molecular detection and diagnosis of organisms belonging to the same genus (Nicolas *et al.*, 2002; Kares *et al.*, 2004). This technique allows not only the accurate detection and quantification of specific DNA in various biological samples but also allows differentiation of species or strains of several medically important pathogenic organisms by melting curve analysis of fluorescent hybridization probes (Nicolas *et al.*, 2002). The use of the LightCycler<sup>®</sup> (Roche Diagnostics) allows fast real-time monitoring of a PCR, where amplification and detection can be accomplished in one closed capillary tube, which minimizes contamination problems.



Although the real-time PCR technology has greatly improved molecular detection of organisms of veterinary, medical and economic importance (Nicolas *et al.*, 2002; Moonen *et al.*, 2003; Stone *et al.*, 2004; Kares *et al.*, 2004; Orrù *et al.*, 2004; Whiley *et al.*, 2004; Bischoff *et al.*, 2005; Kim *et al.*, 2005; Ramaswamy *et al.*, 2005), until this study, this technology has not been exploited in the detection of *T. parva* infections.

### 2.7 Characterization of T. parva stocks

Initially, the causative agents for ECF, Corridor disease and January disease were called T. parva, T. lawrencei and T. bovis, respectively. However, upon realization that T. bovis is morphologically and serologically indistinguishable from T. parva the causative agent of ECF (Lawrence et al., 1994b), a trinomial system was proposed to define the three types of disease, based on the names for the formerly separate species. The original buffalo parasite causing Corridor disease was designated as T. parva lawrencei and T. parva parasites responsible for classical ECF and January disease were called *T. parva parva* and *T. parva bovis*, respectively (Lawrence, 1979; Uilenberg, 1976). However, this system was later discarded as a result of lack of biological evidence for discrimination of the subspecies (Perry and Young, 1993). Theileria parva parasites that cause Corridor disease are now referred to as buffalo-derived parasites because the transmission of the parasite occurs from buffalo to cattle and T. parva parasites that cause ECF and January disease are referred to as cattle-derived parasites because transmission occurs from cattle to cattle. Serological and molecular evidence suggests that, while T. parva bovis and T. parva parasites are antigenically and genetically similar, there is a great deal of antigenic and genetic variation between T. parva lawrencei isolates (Irvin et al., 1983; Minami et al., 1983; Conrad et al., 1987; 1989; Collins and Allsopp, 1999).

Differentiation of the three *T. parva* types was traditionally based on the differences in the numbers of schizonts and piroplasms present in the infected animal and the epidemiology of the diseases they cause (Norval *et al.*, 1992). A range of assays have subsequently been developed for the differentiation of buffalo-derived and cattle-derived *T. parva* isolates.



#### 2.7.1 Monoclonal antibody screening assays

Immunofluorescence assays employing a panel of anti-schizont monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) and schizont-infected lymphoblastoid cell cultures have been used to define the Mab reactivity profile of schizonts from different *T. parva* isolates (Minami *et al.*, 1983; Irvin *et al.*, 1983; Conrad *et al.*, 1987; 1989). Variations in Mab profiles amongst *T. parva* isolates have been observed and are attributed to epitope variation in single antigens, although cross-reaction might appear with other antigens.

*In vitro* characterization of *T. parva* isolates is based mainly on the PIM which has been shown to be abundant and localized on the surface of the schizont stage (Shapiro *et al.*, 1987). The PIM molecule has been extensively characterized (Baylis *et al.*, 1993; Toye *et al.*, 1996; Geysen *et al.*, 2004) and is utilized in recombinant form for diagnosis (Katende *et al.*, 1998). Serological characterization is based on the use of a battery of Mabs raised against PIM that has revealed extensive diversity in buffalo-derived isolates and a more limited diversity in cattle-derived parasites (Irvin *et al.*, 1983; Minami *et al.*, 1983; Conrad *et al.*, 1987; 1989). This variation is presumed to be due to the variable central region of the molecule.

Several Mabs, which neutralize sporozoite entry into host cells *in vitro*, have been generated. These antibodies detected other parasite surface proteins including, p67, p104 and p150 (Shapiro *et al.*, 1987; Iams *et al.*, 1990; Nene *et al.*, 1992; Skilton *et al.*, 1998). These surface proteins are capable of inducing sporozoite-neutralizing antibodies and have also been exploited in discriminatory assays for *T. parva* isolates (Shapiro *et al.*, 1987; Iams *et al.*, 1990; Nene *et al.*, 1992; Skilton *et al.*, 1987; Iams *et al.*, 1990; Nene *et al.*, 1992; Skilton *et al.*, 1992; Skilton *et al.*, 1992; Skilton *et al.*, 1992; Skilton *et al.*, 1993). These surface proteins are usually encoded by single copy genes and contain a polymorphic central region of amino acid sequence flanked by a conserved amino and carboxyl terminus (Toye *et al.*, 1995; Skilton *et al.*, 1998). While PIM and p150 are expressed in both the sporozoite and the schizont stages of the parasite, p67 and p104 are only expressed in the sporozoite stage (Shapiro *et al.*, 1987; Katende *et al.*, 1998). Mabs raised against p150, an immunodominant antigen of *T. parva*, have shown crossreactivity with PIM as a result of sequence homology (Skilton *et al.*, 1998). Five variants of p150 were revealed in an analysis of different stocks of *T. parva* and the variation is attributed to the variable proline-rich central region of repeated amino acid motifs of the molecule (Skilton *et al.*, 1998).



#### 2.7.2 Molecular characterization

*Theileria parva* genes coding for antigenic proteins (PIM, p67, p104, p150) and ribosomal RNA gene [internal transcribed spacers (ITS), small subunit rRNA (SSUrRNA) and large subunit rRNA (LSUrRNA)] sequences have been analysed in search of discriminatory differences between *T. parva* isolates (Baylis *et al.*, 1993; Toye *et al.*, 1996; Nene *et al.*, 1996; Collins *et al.*, 1999; Geysen *et al.*, 2004).

Characterization of the p67 gene sequence has revealed the presence of a 130 bp deletion in the central region in cattle-derived *T. parva* isolates and this deletion is not present in buffaloderived parasites in East Africa (Nene *et al.*, 1996; Nene *et al.*, 1999). Consequently it has been assumed that all cattle-derived isolates have the deletion while buffalo-derived *T. parva* isolates lack the deletion. However, Collins (1997) found that several South African isolates from the Kruger National Park, one of which caused classical Corridor disease in cattle, also had the deletion in p67.

DNA markers, DNA probes and oligonucleotide primers for *T. parva* characterization have been developed and selectively used to genotype *T. parva* stocks from buffalo and cattle in several studies (Conrad *et al.*, 1987; 1989; Allsopp *et al.*, 1989; Bishop *et al.*, 1993; Oura *et al.*, 2003). Recently, restriction fragment length polymorphism profiles exploiting the variable region of the parasite antigen genes have been used to discriminate between buffalo-and cattle-derived *T. parva* isolates (Geysen *et al.*, 1999; Bishop *et al.*, 2001), including the PIM-based and p104-based semi-nested PCR-RFLP assays (Geysen, 2000). Polymorphic immunodominant molecule and p104 RFLP profiles from buffalo-derived *T. parva* stocks are more polymorphic than those from cattle-derived stocks (Geysen *et al.*, 1999). Profiles obtained from buffalo stocks are also heterogeneous whereas cattle-derived *T. parva* stocks are often homogeneous. This form of distinction has been established using *T. parva* stocks from East Africa (Geysen *et al.*, 1999; Bishop *et al.*, 2001).

Recently, micro- and mini-satellite markers have been developed for characterizing *T. parva* stocks and enable detection of higher levels of polymorphism than PCR-RFLP methods (Oura *et al.*, 2003; 2005). Micro- and mini-satellite markers are regarded as powerful tools for investigating population structure because they allow simple analysis of variation in the copy number of repeat motifs present within such loci, and are frequently located in non-coding regions, therefore believed to be representative of population history (Oura *et al.*, 2003;



Odongo *et al.*, 2006). However, the use of microsatellite markers is limited to parasite clones and cannot be directly used to characterize field samples, which usually contain complex mixtures of multiple *T. parva* strains.

## 2.8 Aim

Effective control of cattle theileriosis depends on the effective tools for detection of *T. parva*, particularly in carrier animals, and understanding the epidemiology of the parasite. Therefore, the aim of this study was to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the official diagnostic test package in South Africa by developing a real-time PCR test for detection of *T. parva* infections in buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle and to characterize South African *T. parva* isolates using PCR-RFLP profiles and sequences of the parasite genes coding for p67, p104, and PIM to identify cattle-type parasites.

### 2.9 Thesis overview

In view of the above aim, the following is the overview of this thesis:

*Chapter 3*: Seeking to improve the current diagnosis of *T. parva* infections in cattle and buffalo, this chapter describes the development and evaluation of a *'T. parva*-specific' real-time PCR assay. The specificity and the sensitivity of this assay is evaluated and compared to that of other molecular assays currently used in the detection of *T. parva*. The applicability and reproducibility of the real-time PCR assay for routine diagnostics is also assessed.

*Chapter 4*: This chapter focuses on the characterization of *T. parva* parasites that occur in cattle and buffalo in South Africa using analysis of the *T. parva* p67 gene. The application of PCR product profiles and sequences in the identification and differentiation of cattle- and buffalo-type p67 alleles is also reviewed.

*Chapter 5*: In this chapter, p104 PCR-RFLP profiles and inferred amino acid sequences obtained from cattle and buffalo *T. parva* samples are analysed in an attempt to identify cattle-type alleles. The findings from the analysis of the p104 gene are compared with those obtained in the previous chapter where the p67 gene was analyzed.



*Chapter 6*: Analysis of the p67 and p104 gene sequences in the previous two chapters revealed the presence of parasites possessing cattle-type alleles in cattle from a farm in Ladysmith. Furthermore, cattle-like alleles were identified from buffalo *T. parva* samples. This chapter discusses the characterization of the *T. parva* gene encoding the PIM, to confirm these findings. Data from analysis of all three genes is compared.

*Chapter 7*: The overall findings and conclusions on the thesis outcome are discussed in this chapter. The successes and challenges in the development of a specific, sensitive and rapid diagnostic test are reviewed. Recommendations to be considered for improving the assay are outlined. The identification of cattle-type alleles, in both cattle and buffalo samples and their implication on genetic diversity and in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa are discussed. Reflections on the concerns and challenges in the application of individual gene profiles in trying to distinguish between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites in South Africa are also included in this chapter.



## 2.10 References

- Allsopp, B.A., Carrington, M., Bishop, H., Sohal, S., Dolan, T and Iams, K., 1989. Improved characterisation of *Theileria parva* isolates using the polymerase chain reaction and oligonuceotide probes. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **35**, 137-48.
- Allsopp, B. A., Baylis, H. A., Allsopp, M. T. P. E., Cavalier-Smith, T., Bishop, R. P., Carrington, D. M., Sohanpal, B. and Spooner, P., 1993. Discrimination between six species of *Theileria* using oligonucleotide probes which detect small subunit ribosomal RNA sequences. *Parasitology*, **107**, 157-65.
- Anonymous, 1981. The eradication of East Coast fever in South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **52**, 71-73.
- Barnett, S.F. and Brocklesby, D.W., 1966a. The susceptibility of the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) to infection with *Theileria parva* (Theiler 1904). *British Veterinary Journal*, **122**, 379-86.
- Barnett, S.F and Brocklesby, D.W., 1966b. The passage of "*Theileria lawrencei* (Kenya)" through cattle. *British Veterinary Journal*, **122**, 396-409.
- Baylis, H.A., Allsop, B.A., Hall, R. and Carrington, M., 1993. Characterisation of a glutamine-rich and proline-rich protein (QP protein) from *Theileria parva*. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **61**, 171-78.
- Bazarusanga, T., Vercruysse, J., Marcotty, T. and Geysen, D., 2007. Epidemiological studies on *Theileriosis* and the dynamics of *Theileria parva* infections in Rwanda. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 143, 214-21.
- Birkenheuer, A.J., Neel, J., Ruslander, G., Levy, M.G. and Breitschwerdt, E.B., 2004. Detection and molecular characterisation of a novel large *Babesia* species in a dog. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **124**, 151-60.
- Bischoff, C., Lüthy, J., Altwegg M. and Baggi F., 2005. Rapid detection of diarrheagenic *E. coli* by real-time PCR. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **61**, 335-41.



- Bishop, R.P., Sohanpal, B.K., Kariuki, D.P., Young, A.S., Nene, V., Baylis, H., Allsopp,
  B.A., Spooner, P.R., Dolan, T.T. and Morzaria, S.P., 1992. Detection of a carrier state in *Theileria parva*-infected cattle by the polymerase chain reaction. *Parasitology*, 104, 19-31.
- Bishop, R., Sohanpal, B.K., Allsopp, B.A., Spooner, P.R., Dolan, T.T. and Morzaria, S.P., 1993. Detection of polymorphisms among *Theileria parva* stocks using repetitive, telomeric and ribosomal DNA probes and anti-schizont monoclonal antibodies. *Parasitology*, **107**, 19-31.
- Bishop, R., Allsopp, B., Spooner, P., Sohanpal, B., Morzaria, S. and Gobright, E., 1995. *Theileria*: Improved species discrimination using oligonucleotides derived from large subunit ribosomal RNA sequences. *Experimental Parasitology*, **80**, 107-15.
- Bishop, R., Musoke, A., Morzaria, S., Sohanpal, B. and Gobright, E., 1997. Concerted evolution at a multicopy locus in the protozoan parasite *Theileria parva*: Extreme divergence of potential protein-coding sequences. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 17, 1666-73.
- Bishop, R., Geysen, D., Spooner, P., Skilton, R., Nene, V., Dolan, T., Morzaria, S., 2001. Molecular and immunological characterization of *Theilaria parva* stocks which are components of 'Muguga cocktail' used for vaccination against East Coast fever in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **94**, 227-37.
- Blouin, E.F. and Stoltsz, W.H., 1989. Comparative infection rates of *Theileria parva lawrencei* in salivary glands of *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* and *R. zambeziensis*. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, 54, 211-13.
- Boulter, N.R., Brown, C.G.D. and Kirvar, E., 1998. Different vaccine strategies used to protect against *Theileria annulata*. *Annals of the New York Academy of Science*, **849**, 234-46.
- Brocklesby, D.W. and Bailey, K.P., 1962. Oxytetracycline hydrochloride in East Coast fever (*Theileria parva* infection). *British Veterinary Journal*, **118**, 81-85.
- Brocklesby, D.W., 1965. Evidence that *Rhipicephalus pulchellus* (Gerstäcker 1873) maybe a vector of some piroplasms. *Bulletin of Epizootic Diseases of Africa*, **13**, 37-44.



- Burridge, M.J., 1971. Application of the indirect fluorescent test in experimental East Coast fever (*Theileria parva* infection of cattle). *Research in Veterinary Science*, **12**, 338-41.
- Burridge, M.J., Kimber, C.D. and Young, A.S., 1973. Use of the Indirect Fluorescent Antibody technique in serologic studies of *Theileria lawrencei* infections in cattle. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, **34**, 897-900.
- Cawdery, M.J. H., Simmons, D.J.C., McAnulty, E.G. and Ross, H.M., 1968. *Theileria parva*: Possible serological test for East Coast fever. *Experimental Parasitology*, **23**, 234-37.
- Collins, N.E., 1997. The relationship between *Theileria parva parva* and *T. p. lawrencei* as shown by sporozoite antigen and ribosomal RNA gene sequences. Ph.D. thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Collins, N.E. and Allsopp, B.A., 1999. *Theileria parva* ribosomal RNA internal transcribed spacer sequences exhibit extensive polymorphism and mosaic evolution: Application to the characterisation of parasites from cattle and buffalo. *Parasitology*, **83**, 541-51.
- Collins, N.E., Allsopp, M.T.E.P. and Allsopp, B.A., 2002. Molecular diagnosis of theileriosis and heartwater in bovines in Africa. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **96**, 217-24.
- Conrad, P.A., Iams, K., Brown, W.C., Sohanpal, B. and Ole-moiyoi, O., 1987. DNA probes detect genomic diversity in *Theileria parva stocks*. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, 25, 213-26.
- Conrad, P.A., Ole-moiyoi, O., Baldwin, C.L., Dolan, T.T., O'Callaghan, C.J., Njamuggeh, R.E.G., Grootenhuis, J.G., Stagg, D.A., Leitch, B.L. and Young, A.S., 1989. Characterisation of buffalo-derived parasites with monoclonal antibodies and DNA probes. *Parasitology*, **98**, 179-88.
- Cunningham, M.P., 1977. Immunization of cattle against *Theileria parva*. In: *Theileriosis*. Henson J.B. and Campbell M. (Eds.). Report of workshop held in Nairobi, Kenya, 7-9 December 1976. IDRC, Ottowa, pp. 66-75.
- De Deken, R., Martin, V., Saido, A., Madder, M., Bradt, J. and Geysen, D., 2007. An outbreak of East Coast fever on the Comoros: A consequence of the import of immunised cattle from Tanzania? *Veterinary Parasitology*, 143, 245-53.



- De Vos, A.J., 1982. The identity of bovine *Theileria* spp. in South Africa. M. Med. Vet. (Parasitology) Dissertation, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Dolan, T.T., 1986. Chemotherapy of East Coast fever: The long term weight changes, carrier state and disease manifestations of parvaquone treated cattle. *Parasitology*, **94**, 413-23.
- Dolan, T.T., 1999, Dogmas and misunderstanding in East Coast fever. *Tropical Medincine* and International Health, **4**, A3-11.
- Dolan, T.T. and Young, A.S., 1981. An approach to the economic assessment of East Coast fever in Kenya. In: Advances in the control of theileriosis. A.D. Irvin, M.P. Cunningham and A.S. Young (Eds.), The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, London.
- Dolan, T.T., Young, A.S., Leitch, B.L. and Stagg, D.A., 1984. Chemotherapy of East Coast fever: Parvaquone treatment of clinical disease induced by isolates of *Theileria parva*. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **15**, 103-16.
- Duffs, W.P.H. and Wagner, G.G., 1974. Immunochemical studies on East Coast fever: III. Development of an indirect hemagglutination assay using *Theileria pava* piroplasms antigen. *Journal of Parasitology*, **60**, 860-65.
- Duffs, W.P.H. and Wagner, G.G., 1980. Comparison between certain serological tests for diagnosis of East Coast fever. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **6**, 313-24.
- Georges, K., Loria, G. R., Riili, S., Greco, A., Caracappa, S., Jongejan, F. and Sparagano, O., 2001. Detection of haemoparasites in cattle by reverse line blot hybridization with a note on the distribution of ticks in Sicily. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **99**, 273-86.
- Geysen, D., 2000. The application of molecular biology techniques to analyse diversity in *Theileria parva* populations in Zambia. Ph.D. Thesis. Brunel University, UK.
- Geysen, D., Bishop, R., Skilton, R., Dolan, T.T., Morzaria, S., 1999. Molecular epidemiology of *Theileria parva* in the field. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, 4, A21-27.



- Geysen, D., Bazarusanga, T., Brandt, J. and Dolan, T.T., 2004. An unusual mosaic structure of the PIM gene of *Theileria parva* and its relationship to allelic diversity. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **133**, 163-74.
- Gubbels, J.M., De Vos, A.P., Van der Weide, M., Viseras, J., Schouls, L.M., De Vries, E. and Jongejan, F., 1999. Simultaneous detection of bovine *Theileria* and *Babesia* species by reverse line blot hybridization. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **37**, 1782-89.
- Hall, R., Hund, P.P. and Currington, M., 1992. Mimicry of elastin repetitive motifs by *Theileria annulata* sporozoite surface antigen. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, 56, 105-12.
- Hudson, A.T., Randall, A.W., Fry, M., Ginger, C.D., Hill, B., Latter, V.S., McHardy, N. and Williams, R.B., 1985. Novel antimalarial hydroxynaphthoquinones with potent broad spectrum anti-protozoal activity. *Parasitology*, **90**, 45-55.
- Hudson, A.T., Pether, M.J., Randall, A.W., Fry, M., Latter, V.S. and McHardy, N., 1986. In vitro activity of 2-cycloalkyl-3-hydroxy-1, 4 naphthoquinones against *Theileria*, Eimeria and Plasmodium species. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 21, 271-75.
- Iams, K.P., Young, J.R., Nene, V., Desai, J., Webster, P., ole-MoiYoi, O. and Musoke, A.J., 1990. Characterization of a gene encoding a 104 kilodalton microneme-rhoptry protein of *Theileria parva*. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **39**, 47-60.
- Irvin, A.D., Dobbelaere, D.A.E., Mwamachi, D.M., Minami, T., Spooner, P.R. and Ocama, J.G.R., 1983. Immunization against East Coast fever: Correlation between monoclonal antibody profiles of *Theileria parva* stocks and cross immunity *in vivo*. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **35**, 341-46.
- Jenkins, M.C., 2001. Advances and prospects for subunit vaccines against protozoa of veterinary importance. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **101**, 291-310.
- Kaba, S.A., Schaap, D., Roode, E.C., Nene, V., Musoke, A.J., Vlak, J.M. and van Oers, M.M., 2005. Improved immunogenicity of novel baculovirus-derived *Theileria parva* p67 subunit antigens. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **121**, 53-64.



- Katende, J., Morzaria, S., Toye, P., Skilton, R., Nene, V., Nkonge, C and Musoke, A., 1998.
  An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of *Theileria parva* antibodies in cattle using a recombinant polymorphic immunodominant molecule. *Parasitology Research*, 84, 408-16.
- Kares, S., Lönnrot, M., Vuorinen, P., Oikarinen, S., Taurianen, S. and Hyöty, H., 2004. Realtime PCR for rapid diagnosis of entero- and rhinovirus infections using LightCycler. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, 29, 99-104.
- Kim, K., Seo, J., Wheeler, K., Park, C., Kim, D., Park, S., Kim, W., Chung, S-I. and Leighton T., 2005. Rapid genotypic detection of *Bacillus anthracis* and the *Bacillus cereus* group by multiplex real-time PCR melting curve analysis. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, **43**, 301-10.
- Koch, H.T., 1990. Aspects of the epidemiology of January disease. Ph.D Thesis, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Konnai, S., Imamura, S., Nakajima, C., Witola, W. H., Yamada, S., Simuunza, M., Nambota, A., Yasuda, J., Ohashi, K. and Onuma, M., 2006. Acquisition and transmission of *Theileria parva* by vector tick, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*. *Acta Tropica*, **99**, 34-41.
- Lawrence, J.A., 1979. The differential diagnosis of the bovine theilerias of southern Africa. *Journal of South African Veterinary Society*, **50**, 311-13.
- Lawrence, J.A., 1992. History of bovine theileriosis in southern Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **50**, 311-13.
- Lawrence, J.A., Norval, R.A.I. and Uilenberg, G., 1983. *Rhipicephalus zambeziensis* as a vector of bovine Theileriae. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, **15**, 39-42.
- Lawrence, J.A., De Vos, A.J. and Irvin, A.D., 1994a. East Coast fever. In: *Infectious Diseases of Livestock*, Volume 1. J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thompson and R.C. Tustin (Eds). Oxford University Press, London, pp. 309-25.
- Lawrence, J.A., De Vos, A.J. and Irvin, A.D., 1994b. Corridor disease. In: *Infectious Diseases of Livestock*, Volume 1. J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thompson and R.C. Tustin (Eds). Oxford University Press, London, pp. 326-28.



- Lawrence, J.A., De Vos, A.J. and Irvin, A.D., 1994c. Zimbabwe theileriosis. In: *Infectious Diseases of Livestock*, Volume 1. J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thompson and R.C. Tustin (Eds). Oxford University Press, pp. 329-30.
- Maritim, A.C., Young, A.S., Lesan, A.C., Ndungu, S.G., Mutugi, J.A. and Stagg, D.A., 1989. *Theileria* parasites isolated from carrier cattle after immunisation with *Theileria parva* by infection and treatment. *Parasitology*, **99**, 139-47.
- Maritim, A.C., Young, A.S., Lesan, A.C., Ndungu, S.G., Stagg, D.A. and Ngumi, P.N., 1992. Transformation of *Theileria parva* derived from African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) by tick passage in cattle and its use in infection and treatment immunization. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **43**, 1-14.
- Matson, B.A., 1967. Theileriosis in Rhodesia: 1. A study of diagnostic specimens of two seasons. *Journal of South African Veterinary Medical Association*, **38**, 93-101.
- Melhorn, H. and Schein, E., 1984. The piroplasms: Life cycle and sexual stages. Advances in *Parasitology*, **23**, 37-103.
- McHardy, N., Wekesa, L.S., Hudson, A.T. and Randall, A.W., 1985. Antitheilerial activity of BW720C (buparvaquone): A comparison with parvaquone. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **39**, 149-52.
- Minami, T., Spooner, P.R., Irvin, A.D., Ocama, J.G.R., Dobbalaere, D.A.E. and Fujinaga, T., 1983. Characterisation of stocks of *Theileria parva* by monoclonal antibody profiles. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **35**, 334-40.
- Moonen, P., Boonstra, J., Hakze-van der Honing, R., Boonstra-Leendertse, C., Jacobs, L. and Dekker, A., 2003. Validation of a LightCycler-based reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction for the detection of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **113**, 35-41.
- Morgan, D.W.T. and McHardy, N., 1986. The therapy and prophylaxis of theleriosis with a new naphtoquinone buparvaquone (BW720C). *Proceedings of 14th World Congress* on Disease of Cattle, Dublin, 2, 1271-76.
- Morzaria, S.P., Katende, J., Musoke, A., Nene, V., Skilton, R. and Bishop, R., 1999. Development of sero-diagnostic and molecular tools for the control of important tickborne pathogens of cattle in Africa. *Parassitologia*, **41**, 73-80.

Chapter 2



- Mukhebi, A. W., Perry, B. D. and Kruska, R., 1992. Estimated economics of theileriosis control in Africa. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **12**, 73-85.
- Musisi, F.L., 1990. Methods currently used for the control of East Coast fever: Their validity and proposals for future control strategies. *Parassitologia*, **30**, 73-86.
- Musoke, A., Morzaria, S., Nkonge, C., Jones, E. and Nene, V., 1992. A recombinant sporozoite surface antigen of *Theileria parva* induces protection in cattle. *Proceedings* of the National Science Academy USA, **89**, 514-18.
- Neitz, W.O., 1953. Aureomycin in Theileria parva infection. Nature, 171, 34-35.
- Neitz, W.O., 1955. Corridor disease: A fatal form of bovine theileriosis encountered in Zululand. *Bulletin of Epizootic Diseases of Africa*, **3**, 121-23.
- Neitz, W.O., 1957. Theilerioses, gonderioses and cytauxozoonoses: a review. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, **27**, 275-430.
- Neitz, W.O., Canham, A.S. and Kluge, E.B., 1955. Corridor disease: A fatal form of theileriosis encountered in Zululand. *Journal of the South African Veterinary and Medical Association*, 26, 79-87.
- Nene, V., Iams, K.P., Gobright, E and Musoke, A.J., 1992. Characterization of the gene encoding a candidate vaccine antigen of *Theileria parva* sporozoites. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, 51, 17-28.
- Nene, V., Inumaru, S., McKeever, D., Morzaria, S., Shaw, M. and Musoke, A., 1995. Characterization of an insect cell-derived *Theileria parva* sporozoite vaccine and immunogenicity in cattle. *Infection and Immunity*, **63**, 503-08.
- Nene, V., Musoke, A., Gobright, E. and Morzaria, S., 1996. Conservation of the sporozoite p67 vaccine antigen in cattle-derived *Theileria parva* stocks with different crossimmunity profiles. *Infection and Immunity*, 64, 2056-61.
- Nene, V., Gobright, E., Bishop, R., Morzaria, S. and Musoke, A., 1999. Linear peptide specificity of antibody responses to p67 and sequence diversity of sporozoite neutrilizing epitopes: Implications for a *Theileria parva* vaccine. *Infection and Immunity*, 67, 1261-66.



- Nicolas, L., Milon, G. and Prina, E., 2002. Rapid differentiation of Old World *Leishmania* species by LightCycler polymerase chain reaction and melting curve analysis. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **51**, 295-99.
- Nijhof, A.M., Pillay, V., Steyl, J., Prozesky, W.H., Stolts, W.H., Lawrence, J.A., Penzhorn, B.L. and Jongejan, F., 2005. Molecular characterization of *Theileria* species associated with mortality in four species of African antelopes. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 43, 5907-11.
- Njau, B.C., Mkonyi, P.M., Maleche, W.C.H., Kitaly, J.I. and Maiseli, N.C., 1985. Treatment of field cases of East Coast fever with halofuginone lactate. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, **17**, 193-200.
- Norval, R.A.I., Perry, B.D. and Young, A.S. (Eds.), 1992. *The Epidemiology of Theileriosis in Africa*. Academic Press, London.
- Odongo, D.O., Oura, C.A.L., Spooner, P.R., Kiara, H., Mburu, D., Hanotte, O.H. and Bishop, R.P., 2006. Linkage diequilibrium between allelesat highly polymorphic mini- and micro-satellite loci of *Theileria parva* isolated from cattle in three regions of Kenya. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **36**, 937-46.
- Orrù, G., Santis, P.D., Solinas, F., Savini, G., Piras, V. and Caporale, V., 2004. Differentiation of Italian field and South African vaccine strains of bluetongue virus serotype 2 using real-time PCR. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **122**, 37-43.
- Oosthuizen, M.C., Zweygarth, E., Troskie, M., Collins, N.E. and Penzhorn BL., 2008. Identification of a novel *Babesia* species from a sable antelope (*Hippotragus niger*, Harris, 1838). *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **46**, 2247-51.
- Oosthuizen, M.C., Allsopp, B.A., Troskie, M., Collins, N.E. and Penzhorn BL., 2009. Identification of novel *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in South African giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*, Linnaeus, 1758) and roan antelope (*Hippotragus equinus*, Desmarest 1804). Veterinary Parasitology, 163, 39-46.
- Oura, C.A.L., Odongo, D.O., Lubega, G.W., Spooner, P.R., Tait, A. and Bishop, R.P., 2003. A panel of microsetillite and minisatillite markers for the characterisation of field isolates of *Theileria parva*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **33**, 1041-53.



- Oura, C.A., Asiimwe, B.B., Weir, W., Lubega, G.W. and Tait, A., 2005. Population genetic analysis and sub-structuring of *Theileria parva* in Uganda. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **140**, 229–39.
- Perry, B. D., Kruska, R., Lessard, P., Norval, R.A.I. and Kundert, K., 1991. Estimating the distribution and abundance of *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* in Africa. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **11**, 261-68.
- Perry, B. D. and Young, A. S., 1993. The naming game: The changing fortunes of East Coast fever and *Theileria parva*. Veterinary Records, 133, 613-16.
- Potgieter, F.T., Roos, J.A. and De Vos, A.J., 1985. Implications of chemotherapy of *Theileria lawrencei* infections. (Corridor disease) in cattle (Abstract). *South African Journal of Science*, 81, 44-44.
- Potgieter, F.T., Stoltsz, W.H., Blouin, E.F. and Roos, J.A., 1988. Corridor Disease in South Africa: a review of the current status. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **59**, 155-60.
- Radley, D.E., Brown, C.G.D., Burridge, M.J., Cunningham, M.P., Kirimi, I.M., Purnell, R.E. and Young, A.S., 1975. East Coast fever 1. Chemoprophylaxtic immunization of cattle against *Theileria parva* (Muguga) and five theilerial strains. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 1, 35-41.
- Radley, D.E., 1981. Infection and treatment immunisation against theileriosis. In: Proceedings of the International Conference of ILRI on Advances in the control of Theileriosis, Nairobi, Kenya, 9-13 February, 1981. A.D. Irvin, M.P. Cunningham and A.S. Young (Eds.). Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, pp. 227-36.
- Ramaswamy, M., Smith, M., Geretti, A.M., 2005. Detection and typing of herpes simplex DNA in genital swabs by real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **126**, 203-06.
- Ross, J.P.J. and Löhr K.-F., 1972. A capillary-tube agglutination test for the detection and titration of *Theileria parva and Theileria mutans* antibodies in bovine serum. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **9**, 405-10.



- Schnitzer, R. J., 1963. Resistance in chemotherapy. In: *Experimental Chemotherapy*, Volume 1. RJ Schnitzer and F Hawking (Eds.), Academic Press, New York, pp. 81-128.
- Shapiro, S.Z., Fujisaki, K., Morzaria, S.P., Webster, P., Fujinaga, T., Spooner, P.R. and Irvin, A.D., 1987. A life cycle stage-specific antigen of *Theileria parva* recognized antimicroschizont monoclonal antibodies. *Parasitology*, 94, 29-37.
- Shaw, M. K., 2003. Cell invasion by Theileria sporozoites. Trends in Parasitology, 19, 2-6.
- Skilton, R.A., Bishop, R.P., Wells, C.W., Spooner, P.R., Gobright, E., Nkonge, C., Musoke, A.J., Macklin, M. and Iams, K.P. 1998. Cloning and characterization of a 150 kDa microsphere antigen of *Theileria parva* that is immunologically cross-reactive with the polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM). *Parasitology*, **117**, 321-30.
- Skilton, R.A., Bishop, R.P., Katende, J.M., Mwaura, S. and Morzaria, S.P., 2002. The persistence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle immunized using two stocks which differ in their ability to induce a carrier state: Analysis using a novel blood spot PCR assay. *Parasitology*, **124**, 265-76.
- Stagg, D.A., Chasey, D., Young, A.S., Morzaria, S.P. and Dolan, T.T., 1980. Synchronization of the division of *Theileria* macroschizonts and their mammalian host cells. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, 74, 263-65
- Stagg, D.A., Dolan, T.T., Leitch, B.L. and Young, A.S., 1981. The initial stages of infection of cattle cells with *Theileria parva* sporozoites *in vitro*. *Parasitology*, **83**, 191-97.
- Stagg, D.A., Bishop, R.P., Morzaria, S.P., Shaw, M.K., Wesonga, D., Orinda, G.O., Grootenhuis, J.G., Molyneux, D.H. and Young, A.S. 1994. Characterization of *Theileria parva* which infects waterbuck (*Kobus defassa*). *Parasitology*, **108**, 543-54.
- Stone, B., Burrows, J., Schepetiuk, S., Higgins, G., Hampson, A., Shaw, R., Kok, T.W., 2004. Rapid detection and simultaneous differentiation of influenza A viruses by real-time PCR. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **117**, 103-12.
- Thompson, B.E., Latif, A.A., Oosthuizen, M.C., Troskie, M. and Penzhorn, B.L., 2008. Occurrence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle on a farm in the Ladysmith district, Kwa-Zulu-Natal, South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 79, 31-35.



- Toye, P.G., Metzelaar, M.J., Wijngaard, P.J.L., Nene, V., Iams, K., Roose, J., Nyanjui, J.K., Gobright, E., Musoke, A.J. and Clevers, H.C. 1995. Characterization of the gene encoding the polymorphic immunodominant molecule, a neutralizing antigen of *Theileria parva. Journal of Immunology*, **155**, 1370-81.
- Toye, P.G., Nyanjui, J., Goddeeris, B. and Musoke, A.J. 1996. Identification of neutralization and diagnostic epitopes on PIM, the polymorphic immunodominant molecule of *Theileria parva. Infection and Immunity*, **64**, 1832-38.
- Uilenberg, G., 1976. Tick-borne livestock dieseases and their vectors: 2. Epizootiology of tick-borne diseases. *World Animal Review*, **17**, 8-15.
- Uilenberg, G., Jongejan, F., Perie, N.M. and Franssen, F.F.J., 1980. Chimiothérapie des theilérioses bovines par un anticoccidien, l'halofiginone [Chemotherapy of cattle theileriosis with halofuginone, an anticoccidian]. Note préliminaire. *Revue d'élevage et de médecine vétérinaire des pays tropicaux*, **33**, 33-43.
- Uilenberg, G, Perié, N.M., Lawrence, J.A., de Vos, A.J., Paling, R.W. and Spanjer, A.A.M., 1982. Causal agents of bovine theileriosis in southern Africa. *Tropical Animal Health* and Production, 14, 127-40.
- Watt, D., Sparagano, O.A.E., Brown, G.C.D. and Walker, A.R., 1997. Use of the polymerase chain reaction for identification and quantification of *Theileria parva* protozoa in *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* ticks. *Parasitology Research*, 83, 359-63.
- Watt, D., Kiara, H. and Sparagano, O.A.E., 1998. A PCR- based field evaluation of *Theileria* parva protozoa in cattle and ticks in Kenya. Annals of the New York Academy of Science, 849, 69-77.
- Whiley, D.M., Mackay, I.M., Syrmis, M.W., Witt, M.J., Sloots, T.P., 2004. Detection and differentiation of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2 by duplex LightCycler PCR that incorporates an internal control PCR reaction. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, **30**, 32-38.
- Williamson, S., Tait, A. and Brown, D., 1989. *Theileria annulata* sporozoite surface antigen expressed in *Escherichia coli* elicits neutralizing antibody. *Proceedings of the National Science Academy USA*, 86, 4639-43.



- Young, A.S. 1981. The epidemiology of theileriosis in East Africa. In: *Advances in the control of theileriosis*. A.D. Irvin, M.P. Cunningham and A.S. Young, (Eds.). The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, London.
- Young, A.S. and Purnell, R.E., 1973. Transmission of *Theileria lawrencei* (Serengeti) by the ixodid tick, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, **5**, 146-52.
- Young, A.S., Brown, C.D.G., Burridge, M.J., Grootenhuis, J.G., Kanhai, G.K., Purnell, R.E., and Stagg, D.A., 1978. The incidence of theilerial parasites in East African buffalo (Syncerus caffer). Zeitschrift für Tropenmedizin und parasitologie, 29, 281-88.
- Young, A.S., Leitch, B.L., Newson, R.L. and Cunningham, P.M., 1986. Maintenance of *Theileria parva parva* infections in an endemic area of Kenya. *Parasitology*, **93**, 9-16.



# **CHAPTER 3**

Development and evaluation of a real-time PCR test for detection of *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer) and cattle

Whatsoever thy hand findeth to do, do it with thy might; for there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in the grave, whither thou goest. **Ecclesiastes 9:10** 

"The journey of a thousand miles begins with one step." Lao-Tse





### 3.1 Abstract

Corridor disease, caused by the tick-borne protozoan parasite *Theileria parva*, is a controlled disease in South Africa. The Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) is the reservoir host and uninfected buffalo have become sought-after by the game industry in South Africa, particularly for introduction into Corridor disease-free areas. A real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test for detection of *T. parva* DNA in buffalo and cattle was developed to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the official diagnostic test package in South Africa. Oligonucleotide primers and hybridization probes were designed based on the 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene. Amplification of control DNA using *Theileria* genus-specific primers resulted in detection of *T. taurotragi* and *T. annulata*, in addition to *T. parva*. A *T. parva*-specific forward primer was designed which eliminated amplification of all other *Theileria* species, except for *Theileria* sp. (buffalo); however only the *T. parva* product was detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probe set. The real-time PCR assay requires less time to perform, is more sensitive than the other molecular assays previously used in *T. parva* diagnostics and can reliably detect the parasite in carrier animals with a piroplasm parasitaemia as low as  $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$ %.

### 3.2 Introduction

*Theileria parva* is a tick-borne protozoan parasite which occurs in eastern, central and southern Africa, and causes East Coast fever (ECF), Corridor disease and January disease (Uilenberg *et al.*, 1982; Perry *et al.*, 1991). The Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) is the natural reservoir of the parasite. Buffalo are also carriers of several other *Theileria* species which can infect cattle, including the relatively benign *T. mutans* and the apathogenic *T. velifera*. Under certain circumstances another group of relatively benign organisms, belonging to the *T. buffeli* / *T. orientalis* / *T. sergenti* complex can also cause disease in cattle and loss of production (Norval *et al.*, 1992). *Theileria buffeli* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) have been identified in some buffalo populations in South Africa (Stoltsz, 1996). Very little is known about *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) which was first recognised in an isolate from a buffalo in Kenya (Allsopp *et al.*, 1993). The eland (*Taurotragus oryx*) is the reservoir host of *T. taurotragi*, which can also infect cattle and has been implicated in bovine cerebral theileriosis in South Africa (De Vos *et al.*, 1981). *Theileria annulata*, which causes tropical theileriosis in cattle in other parts of the world, does not occur in South Africa.



*Theileria parva* infection of cattle is a controlled disease in South Africa. Transmission of the parasite to cattle by three species of ticks, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus, R. zambeziensis* and *R. duttoni*, causes a form of theileriosis known as Corridor disease (Neitz, 1955; Neitz, 1957; Blouin and Stoltsz, 1989). Corridor disease is an acute, usually fatal disease of cattle resembling ECF. The disease is characterized by the proliferation of lymphoblasts infected with theilerial schizonts throughout the body, particularly in the lymph nodes, lymphoid aggregates, spleen, kidneys, liver and lungs. Contrary to ECF, the course of the disease is usually shorter, death occurring only three to four days after the onset of first clinical signs (Lawrence *et al.*, 1994). Transmission of the disease occurs in cattle sharing grazing grounds with infected buffalo in the presence of the tick vector, resulting in buffalo to cattle transmission. The South African cattle population is completely naïve to *T. parva* infection and should be protected against exposure. Therefore, contact between infected buffalo in game reserves and cattle, is strictly controlled by the veterinary authorities (Stoltsz, 1989).

In recent years, there has been an increased risk of theileriosis in South Africa through the breeding and translocation of so-called "disease-free" buffalo, i.e. buffalo that test negative for foot-and-mouth disease (FMD), bovine brucellosis, bovine tuberculosis and Corridor disease. Buffalo must be tested for these diseases before they are allowed to be relocated throughout the country. In the case of Corridor disease, depending on the origin and history of buffalo, buffalo must undergo one to five tests, the indirect immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) test and PCR/probe assay each time, before they are allowed to be moved or certified disease free as determined by the Veterinary authorities. With the expansion of the game industry in South Africa in the 1990s, "disease-free" buffalo have become a sought-after commodity, particularly for introduction into Corridor disease-free areas. The movement of buffalo from the large, genetically diverse herds in the Kruger National Park is prohibited as FMD, bovine tuberculosis and Corridor disease are endemic there. The relocation of buffalo from the KwaZulu-Natal parks is also prohibited as Corridor disease is endemic there. The major source of animals free from these diseases has previously been a relatively small herd at the Addo Park in the eastern Cape (Stoltsz, 1989). However, there are not enough animals at Addo to meet the increased demand and the stock is limited in genetic diversity. This led to the establishment of buffalo breeding projects from infected parent stock, some of them in areas where the vector ticks for Corridor disease occur.



A further area of concern is the possibility of the creation of *T. parva* carrier cattle. If *T. parva* infected cattle are treated or recover spontaneously, they may become carriers of the parasite (Potgieter *et al.*, 1985; Dolan, 1986; Maritim *et al.*, 1989; Kariuki *et al.*, 1995; Marcotty *et al.*, 2002). Ticks can acquire infections from carrier cattle and a situation could eventually develop where the parasite becomes adapted to cattle as hosts, resulting in cattle to cattle transmission, as appears to have happened with East Coast fever and January disease (Potgieter *et al.*, 1988). Accurate diagnostic tests are therefore required in South Africa to identify infected buffalo and cattle and to assist the veterinary regulatory authorities to control the movement of buffalo.

Conventional diagnosis of T. parva is based on the microscopic demonstration of schizonts in lymphocytes, piroplasms in erythrocytes, clinical signs and pathology as well as detection of serum antibodies to schizont antigens, using the (IFA) test (Brocklesby and Barnett, 1966; Burridge et al., 1973; Burridge et al., 1974; Radley et al., 1974; Goddeeris et al., 1982). It is impossible to differentiate *T. parva* schizonts and piroplasms from most other *Theileria* spp. using light microscopy. Disadvantages of the IFA test include cross-reactivity between certain species, difficulty in standardization and subjectivity in interpretation of the results (Norval et al., 1992). In addition, antibodies may not be detected if the animal is not subject to a continuous tick challenge (Burridge and Kimber, 1972). Several molecular techniques for diagnosing Theileria infections have therefore been developed involving the use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and DNA probes (Bishop et al., 1992; Allsopp et al., 1993; Bishop et al., 1995; Gubbels et al., 1999; Collins et al., 2002; Ogden et al., 2003). These techniques have improved the sensitivity and specificity that previous diagnostic tests lacked. However, PCR and probing assays are relatively time-consuming and labour intensive, particularly when separate hybridization steps are required to confirm test outcomes. There is therefore a need for a rapid, more sensitive and specific diagnostic test to accurately detect T. parva infections in buffalo and cattle.

Recently, real-time PCR technology has greatly improved molecular detection of organisms of veterinary, medical and economic importance (Nicolas *et al.*, 2002; Moonen *et al.*, 2003; Stone *et al.*, 2004; Kares *et al.*, 2004; Orrù *et al.*, 2004; Whiley *et al.*, 2004; Bischoff *et al.*, 2005; Kim *et al.*, 2005; Ramaswamy *et al.*, 2005). This technique enables the accurate detection and quantification of specific DNA in various biological samples and also allows differentiation of species or strains of important pathogenic organisms. The use of the LightCycler<sup>®</sup> (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) allows fast real-time monitoring of a



PCR, where amplification and detection can be accomplished in a closed capillary tube, minimizing contamination problems. Therefore real-time PCR technology was chosen for the development of a rapid, sensitive and specific assay for detection of *T. parva*.

### 3.3 Materials and methods

### 3.3.1 Sample collection

Cattle and buffalo blood samples from different areas in South Africa were investigated. These included three known T. parva positive and 55 negative samples as well as 309 field samples of unknown status (Table 3.1). Gold standard positive samples included a naturally infected buffalo (KNP102 donated by South African National Parks) and two experimentally infected cattle, 9288 (splenectomized) and 9445 (intact). Both cattle (9288 and 9445) were infected with the Welgevonden T. parva isolate, which originated from two buffalo (welg23/04 and welg24/04) from the Welgevonden Private Game Reserve, located in the Limpopo Province of South Africa. The buffalo tested positive for T. parva using standard PCR (Allsopp et al., 1993) and reverse line blot (RLB) hybridization (Gubbels et al., 1999) tests. Bovine 9288 was infected with T. parva using R. appendiculatus adults of which the nymphal stage fed on buffalo welg23/04 and welg24/04 to pick-up the infection (xenodiagnosis). The animal reacted severely but recovered without treatment. Subsequently laboratory-reared R. appendiculatus nymphs were fed on it and the ensuing adult ticks were placed on Bovine 9445, which developed classical Corridor disease and died. Fifty-five fully susceptible cattle bred, reared and maintained under tick-free conditions for the purpose of live-blood vaccine production, at the Agricultural Research Council-Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute (ARC-OVI), South Africa, were used as gold standard negative samples.



Sample type	Origin of samples	Number of blood samples and animal of origin		
Gold standard positive	Kruger National Park (KNP	1 buffalo (KNP102)		
	Welgevonden Game Reserve	2 cattle [9288 (splenectomized),		
		9445 (intact)]*		
Gold standard negative	ARC-OVI	55 cattle		
Field	KNP	65 buffalo		
	Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Game Reserve	41 buffalo		
	Ladysmith farm	34 cattle		
	Mabalingwe Game Reserve	6 buffalo and 6 cattle		
	Marekele National Park	15 buffalo		
	Bloemfontein	1 bovine		
	Kaalplaas farm	34 cattle		
	ARC-OVI	107 buffalo and cattle		

Table 3.1	Origin and number of san	nles used for the evaluation	of the $T_{na}$	rva real-time PCR test
1 anic 3.1	Origin and number of san	ipies used for the evaluation	of the $I$ , $pa$	<i>i va</i> icai-unic i CK icsi

\*Experimentally infected cattle

### 3.3.2 DNA extraction

All DNA extractions were performed using the High Pure PCR template preparation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) from 200  $\mu$ l of EDTA blood samples. Extracted DNA was eluted in 100  $\mu$ l elution buffer and stored at 4°C until further analysis.

### 3.3.3 Design of primers and hybridization probes

Theileria genus-specific forward [5'-GGT AAT TCC AGC TCC AAT AG-3'] and reverse [5'-ACC AAC AAA ATA GAA CCA AAG TC-3'] primers were designed for amplification of a 230 bp fragment of the V4 variable region of the 18S rRNA gene from all Theileria species (Figure 3.1). In addition, a forward primer [5'-CTG CAT CGC TGT GTC CCT T-3'] for specific amplification of T. parva was designed. For the specific detection of T. parva amplicons, a pair of hybridization probes [T. parva anchor: 5'-GGG TCT CTG CAT GTG GCT TAT--FL; T. parva sensor: 5'-LCRed640-TCG GAC GGA GTT CGC T-PH] was designed complementary to a T. parva-specific region within the amplicon (Figure 3.1). For the detection of the presence of any Theileria species in a sample, a pair of hybridization probes was selected complementary to a region conserved between nine Theileria species for which 18S rRNA gene sequence data is known (T. annulata\_AY150056, T. annulata\_M64243, T. lestoquardi\_AF081135, T. parva\_L28999, T. parva\_L02366, T. parva\_AF013418, Theileria sp. (buffalo) (Allsopp et al., 1993), T. taurotragi\_L19082, T. buffeli\_AF236094, T. buffeli\_Warwick-Australia\_AB000272, T. sergenti\_AY661514, T. buffeli\_DQ104611, T. buffeli\_AF236097, T. buffeli\_Z15106, T. sergenti\_AB016074,



*T. sergenti*\_AF081137, *T. velifera*\_AF097993, *T. mutans*\_AF078815) [*Theileria* genus anchor: 5'-AGA AAA TTA GAG TGC TCA AAG CAG GCT TT--FL; *Theileria* genus sensor: 5'-LCRed705-GCC TTG AAT AGT TTA GCA TGG AAT—PH] (Figure 3.1). All primers and fluorescently-labelled hybridization probes were synthesized by TIB Molbiol (Berlin, Germany).

### 3.3.4 Optimized real-time PCR conditions

Amplification mixtures consisted of 4  $\mu$ l of 10x LightCycler-FastStart DNA Master<sup>Plus</sup> Hybridization Probes mix, yielding a final concentration of 2x in 20  $\mu$ l total volume (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), 0.5  $\mu$ M of each primer, 0.1  $\mu$ M of each hybridization probe, 1U uracil deoxy-glycosylase (UDG) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and 1 to 2.5  $\mu$ l (~15 ng to ~37.5 ng) of template DNA in a final volume of 20  $\mu$ l. Temperature cycling was performed in a LightCycler<sup>®</sup> v2 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The UDG was activated at 40°C for 10 min before the FastStart *Taq* DNA polymerase activation step of 10 min at 95°C. The amplification programme included 45 cycles of three steps each, comprising denaturing at 95°C for 10 sec, primer annealing at 58°C for 10 sec, and product extension at 72°C for 15 sec. Following amplification, a melting curve analysis was performed by heating the samples from 40°C to 95°C with a heating rate of 0.2°C/sec. Fluorescence values were measured at 640 and 705 nm.



	Any Theileria forward
<i>T. annulata_</i> cow_AY150056	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCC</mark> G <mark>GCT</mark>
T. annulata_M64243	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
T. lestoquardi_AF081135	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
<i>T. parva</i> _L28999	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
<i>T. parva</i> _L02366	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
<i>T. parva_</i> AF013418	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
Theileria sp. (buffalo)_Ard	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
T. taurotragi_L19082	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
T. buffeli_AF236094	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
T. buffeli_Warwick-Australia_AB0002/2	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
T. sergenti_Ikeda_Japan_AY661514	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
T. buffeli_DQ104611	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCT
T. buffeli_AF236097	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
T. buffeli_215106	
T. sergenti_AB016074	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCT
T. sergenti_AF081137	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCT
T. velifera_AF09/993	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCT
T. mutans_AF0/8815	GTATCAATTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGC <mark>GGTAATTCCAGCT</mark>
	***************************************
	Any Theileria forward
T. annulata cow AY150056	
T appulata M64243	
T lestoquardi AF081135	
T parva 1.28999	
T. parva L02366	
T. parva AF013418	
Theileria sp. (buffalo) Ard	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T taurotragi L19082	
T. buffeli AF236094	
T. buffeli Warwick-Australia AB000272	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. sergenti Ikeda Japan AY661514	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAATTTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. buffeli D0104611	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. buffeli AF236097	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. buffeli Z15106	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. sergenti AB016074	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. sergenti AF081137	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. velifera AF097993	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
T. mutans_AF078815	CCAATAGCGTATATTAAAATTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAATT
	******
	<i>T.parva</i> forward $\rightarrow$ <i>T.parva</i> anchor probe
I. annulata_COW_AY150056	
1. annulata_M64243	
1. lestoquardi_AFU81135	
1. parva_L28999	
I. parva_LU2366	
I. parva_AFUI3418	
Therra sp. (bullato)_Ard	
I. Laurotragi_L19082	
I. DUILELL_AFZ30094	
I. DUILELL_WARWICK-AUSTRALIA_ABUUU2/2	
I. SEIYEHLI_IKEUA_JAPAH_AIODIJI4	
1. <i>DULTEL1_DULV4611</i>	TOTGOTGOTATIAACI-IAACICIIGCTGAGTTATTTATTGTGGCTTA
I. DUILELL_AFZSOUS/ T. buffali 715106	TOTOCIONALITOAT-ILUTOTI-IUIGAGIIIGIIIIIGCGGCTTA
T. pargapti DD016074	TOTOGOTOCATITICAT-TICICIT-TOTGAGITIGTITITGCGGCUTA
I. Sergenti_ABU160/4	TOTOCIONALITOAT TICTOTI TOTOAGILIGIIIIIGCOGOCITA
I. SEIGENLI_ALVOIIS/	
I. VEILLETA_AFU9/993	
1. MULANS_AFV/0010	1010000A100000000000100000000A00001100000011A

**Figure 3.1** CLUSTAL X (1.81) multiple sequence alignment of the V4 variable region of published *Theileria* 18S rRNA gene sequences. Accession numbers for each sequence are provided. Amplification primers are highlighted in yellow. *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes are coloured in red, and hybridization probes designed to detect the presence of any *Theileria* species are in blue. Differences between the *T. parva* sequence and the four most closely related species (*T. annulata, T. lestoquardi, Theileria* sp. (buffalo), and *T. taurotragi*) are highlighted in cyan. This figure continues on page 49.



Τ.	<i>annulata_</i> cow_AY150056
Τ.	annulata_M64243
Τ.	<i>lestoquardi_</i> AF081135
Τ.	<i>parva</i> _L28999
Τ.	parva_L02366
Τ.	parva_AF013418
The	e <i>ileria</i> sp. (buffalo)_Ard
Τ.	<i>taurotragi_</i> L19082
Τ.	buffeli_AF236094
Τ.	buffeli_Warwick-Australia_AB0002
Τ.	<pre>sergenti_Ikeda_Japan_AY661514</pre>
Τ.	buffeli_DQ104611
Τ.	buffeli_AF236097
Τ.	<i>buffeli_</i> Z15106
Τ.	sergenti_AB016074
Τ.	sergenti_AF081137
Τ.	velifera_AF097993
Τ.	<i>mutans</i> _AF078815

	T.par	<i>va</i> ser	isor prob	е											
	TTTC	GGAC	GGAGTI	Т-	CTT	IGTC	TGAA	TGT	TTA	CTT	AG <mark>A</mark> (	GAA	λAΤ	AG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAC	GGAGTI	т-	CTT	IGTC	TGAA	TGT	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>A</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAC	GGAGTI	т-	CTT	IGTC	TGAA	TGT	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>A</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAC	GGAGTI	CG	CTT	IGTC	TGGA	TGT	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>А(</mark>	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAC	GGAGTI	CG	CTT	IGTC	TGGA	TGT	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>А(</mark>	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	RGAC	GGAGTI	CG	CTT	IGTC	TGGA	TGT	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>А(</mark>	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	AGAC(	GGAGTI	ТΑ	CTT	IGTC	TGGA	TGT	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAC	GG <mark></mark> TI	CG	CT	-GTC	TGGA	TGT	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGTT	rg <mark>at</mark> ti	ΤT	-TCT	TTTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
72	TTTC	GGTT	IGATTI	ΤT	-TCT	TTTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAT	IGATTI	ΤT	ATCA	ATTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAT	IGATTI	ΤT	-TCT	TTTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGTT	IGATTI	ΤT	-TCT	TTTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>A</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGTT	IGATTI	ΤT	-TCT	TTTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>A</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGTT	IGATTI	ΤT	-TCT	TTTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>A</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGTT	IGATTI	ΤT	-TCT	TTTC	CGGA	TGA	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA/	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TCTG	GGTT	CGCTTO	СТ		-TCC	CGGI	GTT	TTA	CTT	rg <mark>a</mark> (	GAA	AAT	TAG.	AG
	TTTC	GGAC	ICGCTI	GC	G	ГСТС	CGAA	TGT	TTA	CTT	ГG <mark>A</mark> (	GAA/	AAT	TAG.	AG
	* *	*				*	*		* * * *	* * *	***	***	***	* *	* *

#### Any Theileria anchor probe Any Theileria sensor probe

T. annulata\_cow\_AY150056 T. annulata\_M64243 T. lestoquardi\_AF081135 T. parva\_L28999 T. parva\_L02366 *T. parva*\_AF013418 Theileria sp. (buffalo)\_Ard T. taurotragi\_L19082 T. buffeli\_AF236094 T. sergenti\_Ikeda\_Japan\_AY661514 T. buffeli\_DQ104611 T. buffeli\_AF236097 *T. buffeli\_*Z15106 *T. sergenti\_*AB016074 T. sergenti\_AF081137 T. velifera\_AF097993 T. mutans\_AF078815

TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTCGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTCGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAGAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT T. buffeli\_Warwick-Australia\_AB000272 TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAGAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGCTTTTGCCTTGAATAGTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT TGCTCAAAGCAGGC<mark>CC</mark>TTGCCTTGAATACTTTAGCATGGAATAATAAAGT \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*

#### ← Anv Theileria reverse <mark>TCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark>T

Τ.	<i>annulata_</i> cow_AY150056	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	annulata_M64243	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
Τ.	<i>lestoquardi_</i> AF081135	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
Τ.	<i>parva</i> _L28999	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
Τ.	parva_L02366	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	parva_AF013418	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
The	<i>eileria</i> sp. (buffalo)_Ard	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
Τ.	taurotragi_L19082	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
Τ.	buffeli_AF236094	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	<pre>buffeli_Warwick-Australia_AB000272</pre>	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	<pre>sergenti_Ikeda_Japan_AY661514</pre>	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	buffeli_DQ104611	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
Τ.	buffeli_AF236097	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
Τ.	buffeli_Z15106	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	sergenti_AB016074	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	sergenti_AF081137	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	velifera_AF097993	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
T .	<i>mutans</i> _AF078815	AG <mark>GACTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGGT</mark> T
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

CTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGG1	T
CTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGG1	T
CTTTGGTTCTATTTTGTTGG1	T
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* *



### **3.3.5** Specificity of the real-time PCR assay

To determine the analytical specificity of the real-time PCR assay, 2.5  $\mu$ l (~37.5 ng) DNA of several different *Theileria* species, including *T. annulata, T. taurotragi, T. velifera, T. buffeli, T. mutans, Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. parva* was subjected to the assay. In addition, DNA from other blood parasites including *Babesia* spp., *Ehrlichia* spp., *Anaplasma* spp., *Trypanosoma* spp. and bacteria commonly found in cattle and buffalo were tested for this purpose (Table 3.2). DNA from the 55 gold standard negative cattle (Table 3.1) was also tested. Both sets of primers (the *T. parva*-specific forward primer together with the *Theileria* genus-specific reverse primer and the *Theileria* genus-specific forward primer together with the *Theileria* specific reverse primer) were used in separate amplification reactions and both probe sets were included in the reactions for detection of PCR products.



Table 3.2	Specificity of the T. parva real-time PCR test using the T. parva-specific forward primer,
	the Theileria genus-specific reverse primer and both probe sets

Sample	Results						
-	Am	plification	Melting peak (temperature)				
	T. parva	Theileria species	T. parva	Theileria species			
	640 nm	705 nm	640 nm	705 nm			
Theileria parva (KNP102)	+	+	+	+			
Theileria sp. (buffalo)	(+)	+	-	+ (63°C)			
Theileria buffeli + Theileria	-	-	-	-			
<i>mutans</i> (14044)							
Theileria mutans (14043)	-	-	-	-			
Theileria taurotragi + Theileria	+	+	+ (63°C)	+ (62°C)			
mutans + Theileria parva (14048)							
Theileria taurotragi + Theileria	+	+	+ (63°C)	+ (62°C)			
<i>mutans</i> + <i>Theileria parva</i> (14049)							
Theileria taurotragi + Theileria	-	-	-	-			
<i>mutans</i> (14045)							
Theileria taurotragi + Theileria	-	-	-	-			
<i>mutans</i> (14046)							
Theileria taurotragi + Theileria	-	-	-	-			
<i>mutans</i> (14047)							
Arcanobacterium pyogenes	-	-	-	-			
Bacteria 6964/1B (1)							
Bacillus lactosporus	-	-	-	-			
Bacteria 9879/2(2)							
Staphyloccocus aureus	-	-	-	-			
Bacteria 9351/1(3)							
Escherichia coli	-	-	-	-			
Bacteria 097(4)							
Salmonella typhimurium	-	-	-	-			
Bacteria1021/6(5)							
Enterococcus facium	-	-	-	-			
Bacteria 9351/3(6)							
Ehrlichia ruminantium (Ball3	-	-	-	-			
vaccine strain)							
Heartwater-5540 (16928)							
Ehrlichia ruminantium (Ball3	-	-	-	-			
vaccine strain)							
Heartwater-5244 (16929)							
Anaplasma centrale-8230 (16931)	-	-	-	-			
Babesia bigemina + A. centrale-	-	-	-	+ (48.8)*			
9456.1 (16932)							
Babesia bovis (16823)	-	-	-	-			
Babesia bigemina (16824)	-	-	-	+ (48.8)*			
Theileria equi-20 (16369)	-	-	-	-			
Babesia caballi- 502 (16368)	-	-	-	-			
Trypanosome-29b (16367)	-	-	-	-			
Trypanosome -27b (16366)	-	-	-	-			
Trypanosome -24b (16365)	-	-	-	-			
Trypanosome -8a (16363)	-	-	-	-			

\*Although no amplification was observed in these samples, a melting peak at 48.8°C was detected at 705 nm. This probably indicates that a non-specific PCR product was obtained from *Babesia bigemina* DNA, and the *Theileria* genus-specific hybridization probes were able to anneal to this product, yielding a melting peak at 48.8°C. The *T. parva*-specific probes did not hybridize to this non-specific product.



#### **3.3.6** Sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay

To determine the lower limit of detection of the real-time PCR assay for T. parva, blood from a naturally infected buffalo (KNP102) with a piroplasm parasitaemia of 0.009% was used. The parasitaemia was determined by examining approximately 34000 erythrocytes and counting the number of infected cells. The T. parva infection status of the buffalo was confirmed by performing a xenodiagnosis. Approximately 1200 R. appendiculatus nymphs were fed on buffalo KNP102 in ear-bags. After 5 days, approximately 450 ticks were collected; the engorged nymphs were washed, packed in containers and placed in an acaridarium to moult to the adult stage. The ensuing adult ticks (n=220) were used to infect Bovine 9446/6 with T. parva, which died from classical Corridor disease as confirmed by post-mortem examination, conventional PCR and RLB. A 10-fold dilution series of infected blood from buffalo KNP102 from 10<sup>0</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> was prepared in uninfected bovine blood. A blind experiment was performed: a set of thirty replicates of each dilution was made and the identity of the samples was concealed from the operator of the real-time PCR assay. DNA was extracted as previously described and eluted in 100 µl elution buffer. Two and a half microlitres of DNA were used in the amplification reaction with the T. parva-specific forward primer, Theileria genus-specific reverse primer and both probe sets. The estimated sensitivity and 95% confidence intervals for the true sensitivity for each group of dilutions prepared from KNP102 T. parva-infected blood were calculated using the standard error of the estimated sensitivity of each dilution group.

### 3.3.7 Comparison of the real-time PCR assay with other molecular tests

Three other molecular tests used for detection of *T. parva*, the conventional PCR/probe assay (PP) as described by Allsopp *et al.* (1993), the RLB (Gubbels *et al.*, 1999) and the *cox*III PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) assay, described below, were selected for comparison with the real-time PCR assay. DNA samples from three gold standard positive animals (two cattle and one buffalo), 55 gold standard negative cattle and 309 field samples including both cattle and buffalo (Table 3.1) were subjected to the three tests and the real-time PCR assay using the *T. parva*-specific primer set. The sensitivity of the three tests in detecting *T. parva* was compared.



CoxIII PCR-based RFLP assay: Primers were designed based on the T. parva cytochrome oxidase subunit III gene sequence (accession number: Z23263): Cox F [5'-CAA CAT TGT TAA AGC TAT CCA A-3'], Cox R [5'-ATG CGA AAC AGC GTA CAA TCA TA-3'] and Cox nR [5'-TTA TAG TAC AGG ATT AGA TAC CC-3']. A nested PCR was performed to amplify a Theileria genus-specific region in the coxIII gene using primer sets Cox F and Cox R for the primary PCR and Cox F and Cox nR for the secondary PCR. The amplification mixture consisted of 1 µl yellow sub (GENEO BioProductions, Hamburg, Germany), 12.5 µl PCR buffer [KTT buffer: 150mM KCl, 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6, 3% Triton X-100 and 3.3 mM MgCl2], 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.4 µM of each primer, 0.5 U Taq polymerase and 5 µl DNA (~75 ng) in a final volume of 25 µl. Half a microlitre of the primary PCR product from a 25 µl PCR mixture was used as a template for the secondary PCR. A Hot start PCR programme was followed with the temperature of the thermocycler (BIOMETRA) (Whatman Biometra, Göttingeng, Germany) increased and held at 84°C until all the samples were loaded into the machine. For the primary PCR, the amplification programme included an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 min followed by 35 cycles of three steps each, comprising denaturing at 94°C for 45 sec, primer annealing at 59°C for 45 sec, and product extension at 72°C for 1 min. The PCR conditions for the secondary PCR were the same as described above except for the annealing temperature and amplification cycles which were reduced to 56°C and 25, respectively. The amplicons were digested with MboI overnight and separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel before DNA detection by silver staining.

### 3.3.8 Proficiency testing

To determine the reproducibility of the real-time PCR assay, the test was performed in two different laboratories; at the Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases (DVTD), Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria and the Parasitology Division, ARC-OVI. A total of 115 blood samples (different from those used in the comparison of the real-time PCR assay with other molecular tests) including 20 cattle samples from known negative animals (vaccine animals), 41 buffalo samples from a *T. parva* endemic area, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Game Reserve (expected to be positive) and 54 samples of unknown *T. parva* infection status, including cattle and buffalo received by the ARC-OVI for routine diagnostics, were used. A blind sample set was prepared and the DNA was extracted using the MagNA Pure LC System (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The DNA samples were equally divided and subjected to the real-time PCR assay at the two laboratories. The data were analysed independently and forwarded to an independent analyst to determine the agreement of results.



### 3.4 Results

# **3.4.1** Specific detection of *T. parva* using the real-time PCR assay with hybridization probes

Initially, a primer set was designed for amplification of the V4 variable region of the 18S rRNA gene from all *Theileria* species. These *Theileria* genus-specific forward and reverse primers yielded a PCR product of approximately 230 bp from any *Theileria* species tested. The *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes were used for detection of *T. parva* amplicons generated using these primers. In *T. parva* positive samples, an increase in fluorescence was detected at 640 nm and melting curve analysis indicated that the *T. parva*-specific melting peak was at  $63 \pm 0.62^{\circ}$ C (Figure 3.2a). A smaller shoulder peak at  $51 \pm 0.55^{\circ}$ C was observed in all *T. parva* isolates. In addition to *T. parva* amplicons, the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes recognised *T. taurotragi* and *T. annulata* PCR products generated by the *Theileria*-genus specific primers. As has been demonstrated even with single base differences in heterozygotes (Bollhalder *et al.*, 1999), the nucleotide base differences in the amplicons of *T. taurotragi*, *T. annulata* and *T. parva* resulted in different melting peaks when used with the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes, allowing discrimination between the different amplicons, with the *T. annulata* Tm at 48  $\pm 0.09^{\circ}$ C and *T. taurotragi* at 45  $\pm 0.19^{\circ}$ C (Figure 3.2b).

For the detection of the presence of any *Theileria* species in a sample, the *Theileria* genus-specific probe set was used to detect amplicons generated using the *Theileria* genus-specific primers. An increase in fluorescence at 705 nm was detected when all control *Theileria* DNA samples were tested, but it should be noted that this result gives no indication of which *Theileria* species is present.

To increase the specificity and sensitivity of the test, a *T. parva*-specific forward primer was designed for specific amplification of *T. parva* from a mixed infection, since competition between different templates could result in preferential amplification of the template with the highest starting concentration (Contamin *et al.*, 1995). However, the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA sequence (accession number: DQ641260) is very similar to that of *T. parva* (accession number: L02366) and it was not possible to design an amplification primer that will not also amplify DNA from the former species. The *T. parva*-"specific" forward primer, used together with the *Theileria* genus-specific reverse primer, yielded a product of 167 bp



from both *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) control samples. However, when these primers were used to amplify the *Theileria* control DNA samples in the presence of the *T. parva* probe set, a *T. parva*-specific melting peak at 63  $\pm$ 0.62°C was only observed in the reaction containing *T. parva* positive control DNA (Figure 3.3). Except for *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) (see results below), no amplification or melting peaks at 640 nm were observed from any of the other *Theileria* species (Figure 3.3) or from any of the other blood parasites including *Babesia* spp., *Ehrlichia* spp., *Anaplasma* spp. and *Trypanosoma* spp. and bacterial DNA samples tested (Table 3.2). No increase in fluorescence in either the 640 nm or 705 nm channels was detected from any of the 55 negative bovine samples tested for *T. parva* (results not shown).







**Figure 3.2** Detection of *T. parva* positive control DNA using the real-time PCR assay with *Theileria* genus-specific forward and reverse primers together with *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes. (a): Melting curve analysis showing *T. parva*-specific melting peak at 63  $\pm$ 0.62°C and no fluorescence in the negative controls. (b): Discrimination between *T. parva*, *T. annulata* and *T. taurotragi* using melting curve analysis, with the melting peak for *T. parva* at 63  $\pm$ 0.62°C, for *T. annulata* at 48  $\pm$ 0.09°C and for *T. taurotragi* at 45  $\pm$ 0.19°C.




**Figure 3.3** Specific detection of *T. parva* DNA. Amplicons were generated with the *T. parva*-specific primer together with the *Theileria* genus-specific reverse primer and detected with the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probe set. The *T. parva*-specific melting peak at  $63 \pm 0.62^{\circ}$ C was only observed in the *T. parva* positive control DNA samples, with no indication of amplification from any of the other *Theileria* species tested.

*Theileria* sp. (buffalo) control samples showed a slight increase in fluorescence at 640 nm (Figure 3.4a). An amplicon was obtained from *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA as evidenced by an increase in fluorescence at 705 nm (Figure 3.4b), but no melting curve was produced in the 640 nm channel (Figure 3.4c). Therefore when both probe sets are included in a reaction with the *T. parva*-specific forward primer and the *Theileria* genus-specific reverse primer, an increase in fluorescence detected at 705 nm, but no melting curve at 640 nm indicates the presence of *Theileria* sp. (buffalo).





**Figure 3.4** Discrimination between *T. parva* (blue lines), and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) (green lines) using melting curve analysis. Amplicons were generated using the *T. parva*-specific forward primer and the *Theileria* genus-specific reverse primer, and detected with the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probe set. Amplification curves showing increase in fluorescence at (a) 640 and (b) 705 nm in *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA samples. (c): Melting curve analysis at 640 nm, showing the *T. parva*-specific melting peak at  $63 \pm 0.62^{\circ}$ C only for *T. parva* DNA.



#### 3.4.2 Analytical sensitivity

From the set of dilutions prepared from *T. parva* positive buffalo KNP102, *T. parva* DNA was detected in all 30 replicates of the undiluted (3870 parasites/reaction) and the  $10^{-1}$  dilution (387 parasites/reaction). As the dilutions increased, fewer of the 30 replicates tested positive until only one tested positive from each of the  $10^{-5}$  (0.0387 parasites/reaction) and  $10^{-6}$  (0.00387 parasites/reaction) dilutions (Figure 3.5).

At 3870 and 387 parasites/reaction (equivalent to  $8.79 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$ % parasitaemia respectively) the sensitivity of the test was 100%; this decreased to 96.7% at 38.7 parasites/reaction ( $8.79 \times 10^{-5}$ % parasitaemia) with a 95% confidence interval of 90.2%-100%. At 3.87 parasites/reaction ( $8.79 \times 10^{-6}$ % parasitaemia) the probability of a positive test result, given that the individual tested actually has the parasite, was 80% with a 95% confidence interval of 65.7%-94.3%. The sensitivity of the test decreased to 26.67% at 0.387 parasites/reaction ( $8.79 \times 10^{-7}$ % parasitaemia) with a 95% confidence interval of 10.8%-42.49% (Figure 3.5).



**Figure 3.5** The sensitivity and 95% confidence intervals for a 10-fold dilution series from  $10^{0}$  (3870 parasites/reaction) to  $10^{-6}$  (0.00387 parasites/reaction) prepared from blood from a naturally infected buffalo (KNP102) with a parasitaemia of 0.009%.



#### 3.4.3 Comparison of molecular tests

Three of the four molecular tests, namely the RLB, the real-time PCR and the *cox*III assays, detected T. parva DNA in all three gold standard positive samples, whereas the conventional PCR/probe assay detected T. parva DNA in only two of the three positive samples. Theileria parva was detected in 154 (49.8%) of the 309 field samples using the real-time PCR assay, 103 (33.3%) using the coxIII assay, and 73 (23.6%) and 67 (21%) using the conventional PCR/probe assay and the RLB respectively (Figure 3.6a). All four assays detected T. parva DNA in the same samples. It should be noted that for the purposes of this experiment 1.0 µl of input DNA was used in the real-time PCR assay. Although the sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay was already better than the other tests using 1.0 µl of input DNA, it could be further improved by increasing the volume of input DNA. When input DNA was increased to 2.5 µl in 105 of the field samples, T. parva could be detected in 50 (52.4%) of the tested samples in contrast to 33 (34.3%) when 1.0 µl of input DNA was used (Figure 3.6b). Increasing the input DNA for the other three assays may have improved their sensitivities, but already their input DNA was relatively high [coxIII assay (5 µl in a 25 µl reaction), conventional PCR/probe assay (2.5 µl in a 25 µl reaction), RLB (2.5 µl in a 25 µl reaction)] compared to that originally used for the real-time PCR assay (1.0 µl in a 20 µl reaction).





**Figure 3.6** (a): Comparison of the sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay to that of other molecular assays in detecting *T. parva* from 309 field samples. One microlitre (~15 ng) of input DNA was used in the real-time PCR assay (LC), 2  $\mu$ l (~30 ng) in the conventional PCR and probing test (PP), 2.5  $\mu$ l (~37.5 ng) in the RLB, and 5  $\mu$ l (~75 ng) in the *cox* III assay. (b): Improved ability of the real-time PCR assay to detect *T. parva* in 105 field samples when the input DNA was increased from 1  $\mu$ l (~15 ng) to 2.5  $\mu$ l (~ 37.5 ng)



#### **3.4.4** Proficiency testing

A set of blood samples, including known negative animals, buffalo samples from a *T. parva* endemic area which were expected to be positive and diagnostic samples of unknown *T. parva* infection status, were subject to the real-time PCR test by different operators at the DVTD and OVI laboratories. Except for one sample, the laboratories obtained identical results which were also confirmed by an independent analyst (Figure 3.7).



**Figure 3.7** Comparison of results obtained from the DVTD and OVI laboratories when the realtime PCR assay was used to detect *T. parva*.

## 3.5 Discussion

A diagnostic assay for *T. parva* must be highly specific and sensitive in the presence of mixed infections, as the distribution of this pathogenic species coincides in many areas in southern Africa with that of other *Theileria* species, e.g. *T. mutans, T. velifera, T. buffeli, Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. taurotragi* (Irvin, 1987; Norval *et al.*, 1992). While the two sets of primers designed for the real-time PCR assay successfully amplified *T. parva* DNA under the conditions optimized for this assay, the *T. parva*-specific probe set also detected *T. taurotragi* and *T. annulata* when the *Theileria* genus-specific primers were used. Fortunately, this did not influence the specificity of the assay because the different products were easily discriminated by melting curve analysis.



The sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay may be compromised when Theileria genusspecific primers are used in samples containing mixed infections. In instances where T. parva infection is low and other Theileria species are present at higher levels, preliminary results indicate that competition for primers may result in a misdiagnosis of the species that is underrepresented (data not shown). The specificity and sensitivity of the test were therefore improved by designing a T. parva-specific forward primer. However, since the Theileria sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene sequence is very similar to that of T. parva, it was impossible to design an amplification primer that will not also amplify Theileria sp. (buffalo) DNA. Therefore, competition for primers between the different target sequences will still occur in mixed infections of T. parva and Theileria sp. (buffalo). Although an amplicon was generated from Theileria sp. (buffalo) DNA, the test still remained specific for T. parva since only a T. parva-specific melting curve was generated. It is possible that the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) template-T. parva probe complex has a lower Tm than the starting temperature ( $40^{\circ}$ C) used in the melting curve analysis. If this is the case, all Theileria sp. (buffalo) template-T. parva probe helices would have separated into single strands before the melting curve analysis began and no melting peak would have been observed. It is interesting that melting peaks were observed for T. taurotragi and T. annulata when the Theileria-genus specific primers were used. This may be explained by the fact that these sequences differ from the T. parva sensor probe sequence at two positions while there are three nucleotide differences in *Theileria* sp. (buffalo). Therefore the *T. taurotragi* and *T. annulata* template-probe complexes would have a slightly higher Tm and would still have been double-stranded at the beginning of the melt, explaining why melting curves were observed for these species but not for *Theileria* sp. (buffalo).

In addition to the peak at  $63 \pm 0.62^{\circ}$ C specific for *T. parva*, a shoulder peak was observed at  $51 \pm 0.55^{\circ}$ C. Such peaks can be due to mismatched bases in the probe region, but this was not the case in this study, since cloning and sequencing results from several *T. parva* isolates revealed no sequence variations between the two copies of the 18S rRNA gene. In addition, the same peak was observed when plasmids containing cloned *T. parva* 18S rRNA genes were subjected to the real-time PCR assay (results not shown). Such peaks are thought to result from back-folding of the amplicon on itself downstream of the sensor probe (Simpson *et al.*, 2007). This back-folding of the amplicon competes with the FRET probes binding to the amplicon and thus creates a lowered melting peak.



The real-time PCR test is extremely sensitive and can detect *T. parva* with 100% certainty in carrier animals with a piroplasm parasitaemia as low as  $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$ %. However, in animals with lower parasitaemia, the test will be less reliable. It is not known whether a parasitaemia lower than  $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$ % occurs in buffalo. In endemic areas where buffalo are constantly exposed to the parasite, the parasite load is likely to be within the detection limit of the real-time PCR test. However, in buffalo reared under tick-free conditions, the parasitaemia in infected animals, although fluctuating, is likely to remain extremely low, as observed in the naturally infected buffalo KNP102, since they are not exposed to constant re-infection. The ability of the real-time PCR assay to detect *T. parva* in such animals needs to be assessed.

The sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay was improved by increasing the amount of input DNA from 1.0  $\mu$ l to 2.5  $\mu$ l (~15 ng to ~37.5 ng) which increased the number of positive field samples by approximately 20%. Increasing the total volume of the real-time PCR reaction could further increase the sensitivity of the test. This would allow an even larger volume of input DNA, thereby increasing the chance of including parasite rDNA in the reaction. However, this would result in a fivefold increase in the cost of the test. Alternatively, DNA could be extracted from a larger volume of blood and eluted in a smaller volume, effectively concentrating the parasite DNA. Again this might increase the chance of including parasite rDNA in the reaction.

Several molecular tools have been developed for detection and differentiation of *Theileria* species (Morzaria *et al.*, 1999). Most of these assays are based on conventional PCR and probing techniques (Bishop *et al.*, 1992, Allsopp *et al.*, 1993, Gubbels *et al.*, 1999) and are relatively sensitive. However, they are laborious and time consuming. Real-time PCR tests have been developed for *Theileria* and *Babesia* parasites including *Theileria sergenti*, *Theileria equi*, *Babesia bovis and Babesia bigemina*, (Jeong *et al.* 2003; Kim *et al.*, 2007, 2008). In most cases the sensitivity and specificity of real-time PCR tests not only compare well with those of conventional PCR-based methods, but significantly improve the sensitivity and specificity of the detection of these parasites, as in the case of the *T. parva* real-time test reported here. However, the *T. parva* real-time PCR test (based on hybridization probe chemistry) has an additional benefit over most real-time PCR tests developed for other *Theileria* and *Babesia* parasites (which are based on hydrolysis probe chemistry), as it is coupled with melting peak analysis, which confirms the identity of the amplified product.



Recently, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) technology has been applied to the detection of *Theileria* and *Babesia* parasites (Iseki *et al.*, 2007; Thekisoe *et al.*, 2007). This technology allows amplification of as little as 1fg DNA in sixty minutes and is very cost effective as it does not require specialized equipment for amplification or analysis of amplicons. However, specific detection of *T. parva* has not yet been achieved using this technology and differentiation between *T. annulata*, *T. mutans*, *T. taurotragi* and *T. parva* amplicons is not possible (Thekisoe *et al.*, 2007). In the case of mixed infections, a restriction enzyme analysis is required subsequent to amplification to allow differentiation of different parasite species, thus compromising the rapidity of the test (Iseki *et al.*, 2007). The *T. parva* real-time PCR test reported here is currently the most rapid and reliable test available for specific detection of *T. parva* in cattle and buffalo in South Africa. This test is also more sensitive than other molecular assays currently used in the diagnosis of *T. parva* with its increased sensitivity accounted for by the fact that real-time PCR technology allows the measurement of the total amplification product in a reaction, in contrast to an aliquot that is analysed when using conventional PCR assays.

In May 2006, the newly developed real-time PCR test was adopted as a diagnostic test by the ARC-OVI, the only institution in South Africa authorized to test for *T. parva* infections in buffalo as part of the Corridor disease control strategy. To date, the assay has been used to test approximately 7420 field samples and 4% of these tested positive for *T. parva*. In the field, low piroplasm parasitaemias are a problem and continue to pose a challenge when interpreting the results. Melting curves are not well defined in some cases, which may be the result of low piroplasm parasitaemias and /or mixed infections with *Theileria* sp. (buffalo). Hence, buffalo from breeding projects are required to undergo five consecutive negative tests before they can be released on registered and approved properties only.



# 3.6 Summary

In summary, the real-time PCR assay reported here is specific for *T. parva* and more sensitive and faster than other molecular assays previously used in *T. parva* diagnostics. The assay is highly reproducible and has been shown to be reliable in the detection of *T. parva* piroplasm levels as low as  $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$ %. However, sensitivity may still be a problem at infection levels lower than  $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$ %.



# 3.7 References

- Allsopp, B. A., Baylis, H. A., Allsopp, M. T. P. E., Cavalier-Smith, T., Bishop, R. P., Carrington, D. M., Sohanpal, B. and Spooner, P., 1993. Discrimination between six species of *Theileria* using oligonucleotide probes which detect small subunit ribosomal RNA sequences. *Parasitology*, **107**, 157-65.
- Bischoff, C., Lüthy, J., Altwegg M. and Baggi F., 2005. Rapid detection of diarrheagenic *E. coli* by real-time PCR. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **61**, 335-41.
- Bishop, R.P., Sohanpal, B.K., Kariuki, D.P., Young, A.S., Nene, V., Baylis, H., Allsopp,
  B.A., Spooner, P.R., Dolan, T.T. and Morzaria, S.P., 1992. Detection of a carrier state in *Theileria parva*-infected cattle by the polymerase chain reaction. *Parasitology*, 104, 19-31.
- Bishop, R., Allsopp, B., Spooner, P., Sohanpal, B., Morzaria, S. and Gobright, E., 1995. *Theileria*: Improved species discrimination using oligonucleotides derived from large subunit ribosomal RNA sequences. *Experimental Parasitology*, **80**, 107-15.
- Blouin, E.F. and Stoltsz, W.H., 1989. Comparative infection rates of *Theileria parva lawrencei* in salivary glands of *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* and *R. zambeziensis*. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, 54, 211-13.
- Bollhalder, M., Mura, C., Landt, O. and Maly, F.E., 1999. LightCycler PCR Assay for simultaneous detection of the H63D and S65C mutations in the HFE Hemochromatosis gene based on opposite melting temperature shifts. *Clinical Chemistry*, 45, 2275-78.
- Brocklesby, S.F. and Barnett, D.W., 1966. The passage of *Theileria lawrencei* (Kenya) through cattle. *British Veterinary Journal*, **122**, 396-409.
- Burridge, M.J. and Kimber, C.D., 1972. The indirect fluorescent antibody test for experimental East Coast fever (*Theileria parva* infection) in cattle. Evaluation of cell-culture schizont antigen. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **13**, 451-55.
- Burridge, M.J., Kimber, C.D. and Young, A.S., 1973. Use of the indirect flourescent antibody technique in serological studies of *Theileria lawrencei* infections in cattle. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, 34, 897-900.



- Burridge, M.J., Young, A.S., Stagg, D.A., Kanhai, G.K. and Kimber, C.D., 1974. *Theileria lawrencei* infection of cattle and African buffalo: Evaluation of a buffalo cell culture schizont antigen for the indirect fluorescent antibody test. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **17**, 285-89.
- Collins, N.E., Allsopp, M.T.E.P. and Allsopp, B.A., 2002. Molecular diagnosis of theileriosis and heartwater in bovines in Africa. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **96**, 217-24.
- Contamin, H., Fandeur, T., Bonnefoy, S., Skouri, F., Ntoumi, F. and Mercereau-Puijalon, O., 1995. PCR typing of field isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **33**, 944-51.
- De Vos, A.J., Bessenger, R. and Banting, L.F., 1981. *Theileria taurotragi*: A probable agent of bovine cerebral theileriosis. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, **48**, 177-78.
- Dolan, T.T., 1986. Chemotherapy of East Coast fever: The long term weight changes, carrier state and disease manifestations of parvaquone treated cattle. *Journal of Comparative Pathology*, **96**, 137-46.
- Goddeeris, B.M., Katende, J.M., Irvin, A.D. and Chumo, R.S.C., 1982. Indirect fluorescent antibody test for experimental and epizootiological studies on East Coast fever (*Theileria parva* infection in cattle): Evaluation of a cell culture schizont antigen fixed and stored in suspension. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **33**, 360-65.
- Gubbels, J.M., De Vos, A.P., Van der Weide, M., Viseras, J., Schouls, L.M., De Vries, E. and Jongejan, F., 1999. Simultaneous detection of bovine *Theileria* and *Babesia* species by reverse line blot hybridization. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, **37**, 1782-89.
- Irvin, A.D., 1987. Characterisation of species and strains of *Theileria*. Advances in *Parasitology*, **26**, 145-79.
- Iseki, H., Alhassan, A., Ohta, N., Thekisoe, O.M., Yokoyama, N., Inoue, N., Nambota, A., Yasuda, J. and Igarashi, I., 2007. Development of a multiplex loop-mediated isothermal amplification (mLAMP) method for the simultaneous detection of bovine *Babesia* parasites. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 3, 281-87.



- Jeong, W., Kweon, C.H., Kang, S.W. and Paik, S.G., 2003. Diagnosis and quantification of *Theileria sergent*i using TaqMan PCR. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **111**, 287-95.
- Kares, S., Lönnrot, M., Vuorinen, P., Oikarinen, S., Taurianen, S. and Hyöty, H., 2004. Realtime PCR for rapid diagnosis of entero- and rhinovirus infections using LightCycler. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 29, 99-104.
- Kariuki, T.M., Young, A.S., Morzaria, S.P., Lesan, A.C., Mining, S.K., Omwayo, P., Wafula, J.L. and Molyneux, D.H., 1995. *Theileria parva* carrier state in naturally infected and artificially immunised cattle. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 27, 15-25.
- Kim, C., Blanco, L. B. C., Alhassan, A., Iseki, H., Yokoyama, N., Xuan, X. and Igarashi, I., 2007. Diagnostic real-time PCR assay for the quantitative detection of *Theileria equi* from equine blood samples. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **151**, 158-63.
- Kim, C., Iseki, H., Herbas, M.S., Yokoyama, N., Suzuki, H., Xuan, X., Fujisaki, K. and Igarashi, I., 2008. Development of Taqman-based real-time PCR assays for diagnostic detection of *Babesia bovis* and *Babesia bigemina*. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **77**, 837-41.
- Kim, K., Seo, J., Wheeler, K., Park, C., Kim, D., Park, S., Kim, W., Chung, S-I. and Leighton T., 2005. Rapid genotypic detection of *Bacillus anthracis* and the *Bacillus cereus* group by multiplex real-time PCR melting curve analysis. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, **43**, 301-10.
- Lawrence, J.A., De Vos, A.J. and Irvin, A.D., 1994. Corridor disease. In: *Infectious Diseases of Livestock*, Volume 1. J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thompson and R.T. Tustin (Eds.). Oxford University Press, London, pp. 326-28.
- Maritim, A.C., Young, A.S., Lesan, A.C., Ndungu, S.G., Mutugi, J.A. and Stagg, D.A., 1989. *Theileria* parasites isolated from carrier cattle after immunisation with *Theileria parva* by infection and treatment. *Parasitology*, **99**, 139-47.
- Marcotty, T., Brandt, J., Billiwouw, M., Chaka, G., Losson, B. and Berkvens, D., 2002. Immunisation against *Theileria parva* in eastern Zambia: influence of maternal antibodies and demonstration of the carrier status. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **110**, 45-56.



- Moonen, P., Boonstra, J., Hakze-van der Honing, R., Boonstra-Leendertse, C., Jacobs, L. and Dekker, A., 2003. Validation of a LightCycler-based reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction for the detection of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **113**, 35-41.
- Morzaria, S.P., Katende, J., Musoke, A., Nene, V., Skilton, R. and Bishop, R., 1999. Development of sero-diagnostic and molecular tools for the control of important tickborne pathogens of cattle in Africa. *Parasitologia*, 41, 73-80.
- Neitz, W.O., 1955. Corridor disease: a fatal form of bovine theileriosis encountered in Zululand. *Bulletin of Epizootic Diseases of Africa*, **3**, 121-23.
- Neitz, W.O., 1957. Theileriosis, gonderiosis and cytauxzoonosis. A review. *Onderstpoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, **27**, 275-430.
- Nicolas, L., Milon, G. and Prina, E., 2002. Rapid differentiation of Old World *Leishmania* species by LightCycler polymerase chain reaction and melting curve analysis. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **51**, 295-99.
- Norval, R.A.I., Perry, B.D. and Young, A.S. (Eds.), 1992. *The Epidemiology of Theileriosis in Africa*. Academic Press, London.
- Ogden, N.H., Gwakisa, P., Swai, E., French, N.P., Fitzpatrick, J., Kambarage, D. and Bryant, M., 2003. Evaluation of PCR to detect *Theileria parva* in field-collected ticks and bovine samples in Tanzania. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **112**, 177-83.
- Orrù, G., Santis, P.D., Solinas, F., Savini, G., Piras, V. and Caporale, V., 2004. Differentiation of Italian field and South African vaccine strains of bluetongue virus serotype 2 using real-time PCR. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **122**, 37-43.
- Perry, B. D., Kruska, R., Lessard, P., Norval, R.A.I. and Kundert, K., 1991. Estimating the distribution and abundance of *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* in Africa. *Preventative Veterinary Medicine*, **11**, 261-68.
- Potgieter, F.T., Roos, J.A. and De Vos, A.J., 1985. Implications of chemotherapy of *Theileria lawrencei* infections (Corridor disease) in cattle (Abstract). *South African Journal of Science*, **81**, 44-44.



- Potgieter, F.T., Stoltsz, W.H., Blouin, E.F. and Roos, J.A., 1988. Corridor Disease in South Africa: a review of the current status. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **59**, 155-60.
- Radley, D.E., Brown, C.G.D., Burridge, M.J., Cunningham, M.P., Pierce, M.A. and Purnell, R.E., 1974. East Coast fever: quantitative studies of *Theileria parva* infections in cattle. *Experimental Parasitology*, **36**, 278–87.
- Ramaswamy, M., Smith, M. and Geretti, A.M., 2005. Detection and typing of herpes simplex DNA in genital swabs by real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **126**, 203-06.
- Simpson, P., Higgins, G., Qiao, M., Waddell, R. and Kok, T., 2007. Real-time PCRs for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* ß-tubilin and 18S rRNA genes in female genital specimens. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 56, 772-77.
- Stoltsz, W.H., 1989. Theileriosis in South Africa: a brief review. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*, Office International des Épizooties, **8**, 93-102.
- Stoltsz, W.H., 1996. Theileriosis in the African Buffalo. *Proceedings of a Symposium on the African Buffalo as a Game Ranch Animal*, South Africa: Onderstepoort.
- Stone, B., Burrows, J., Schepetiuk, S., Higgins, G., Hampson, A., Shaw, R. and Kok, T.W., 2004. Rapid detection and simultaneous differentiation of influenza A viruses by realtime PCR. *Journal of Virological Methods*, **117**, 103-12.
- Thekisoe, O.M.M., Omolo, J.D., Swai, E.S., Hayashida, K., Zhang, J., Sugimoto, C. and Inoue, N., 2007. Preliminary application and evaluation of loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) for detection of bovine theileriosis and trypanosomosis in Tanzania. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 74, 339-42.
- Uilenberg, G., Perié, N.M., Lawrence, J.A., de Vos, A.J., Paling, R.W. and Spanjer, A.A.M., 1982. Causal agents of bovine theileriosis in southern Africa. *Tropical Animal Health* and Production, 14, 127-40.
- Whiley, D.M., Mackay, I.M., Syrmis, M.W., Witt, M.J. and Sloots, T.P., 2004. Detection and differentiation of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2 by duplex LightCycler PCR that incorporates an internal control PCR reaction. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, **30**, 32-38.



# **CHAPTER 4**

# Four p67 alleles identified in South African *Theileria parva* field samples

Trust in the LORD with all thine heart; and lean not unto thine own understanding. In all thy ways acknowledge Him, and He shall direct thy paths. **Proverbs 3:5-6**.

"I'm a slow walker, but I never walk back." Abraham Lincoln



## 4.1 Abstract

Previous studies characterizing the Theileria parva p67 gene in East Africa revealed two genotypes. Cattle-derived isolates associated with East Coast fever (ECF) have a 129 bp deletion in the central region of the p67 gene (allele 1), compared to buffalo-derived isolates with no deletion (allele 2). In South Africa, Corridor disease outbreaks occur if there is contact between infected buffalo and susceptible cattle in the presence of vector ticks. Although ECF was introduced into South Africa in the early 20th century, it has been eradicated and it is thought that there has been no cattle-to-cattle transmission of T. parva since. The variable region of the p67 gene was amplified and the gene sequences analyzed to characterize South African *T. parva* parasites that occur in buffalo, in cattle from farms where Corridor disease outbreaks were diagnosed and in experimentally infected cattle. Four p67 genotypes were identified, including alleles 1 and 2 previously detected in East African cattle and buffalo, respectively, as well as two novel genotypes, one with a different 174 bp deletion (allele 3), the other with a similar sequence to allele 3 but with no deletion (allele 4). Sequence variants of allele 1 were obtained from field samples originating from both cattle and buffalo. Allele 1 was also obtained from a bovine that tested T. parva positive from a farm near Ladysmith in the KwaZulu-Natal Province. East Coast fever was not diagnosed on this farm, but the p67 sequence was identical to that of T. parva Muguga, an isolate that causes ECF in Kenya. Variants of allele 2 were obtained from all T. parva samples from both buffalo and cattle, except Lad 10 and Zam 5. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that alleles 3 and 4 are monophyletic and diverged early from the other genotypes. These novel genotypes were not identified from South African field samples collected from cattle; however allele 3, with a p67 sequence identical to those obtained in South African field samples from buffalo, was obtained from a Zambian field isolate of a naturally infected bovine diagnosed with ECF. The p67 genetic profiles appear to be more complex than previously thought and cannot be used to distinguish between cattle- and buffalo-derived T. parva isolates in South Africa. The significance of the different p67 alleles, particularly the novel variants, in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa still needs to be determined.



## 4.2 Introduction

Infections by *Theileria parva*, a tick-borne protozoan parasite, are responsible for classical East Coast fever (ECF), Corridor disease and January disease in cattle and normally inapparent infections in buffalo in eastern and southern Africa (Theiler, 1904; Neitz, 1955; Lawrence, 1992). The Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) is the natural reservoir host of *T. parva* and the parasite is transmitted by *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus, R. zambeziensis* and *R. duttoni* (Lawrence *et al.*, 1983; Uilenberg, 1999).

East Coast fever was introduced into southern Africa in the early 1900s through the importation of cattle from East Africa, and was finally eradicated between 1946 and 1955 (Anonymous, 1981). In 1953, another form of cattle theileriosis, Corridor disease, was diagnosed after infected buffalo came into contact with cattle in the corridor of land between the then separate Hluhluwe and iMfolozi game reserves in South Africa (Neitz, 1955). The clinical symptoms and pathology of the disease were distinct from ECF. Schizont and piroplasm parasitoses were very low and it was thought that the parasite could not be transmitted between cattle, as affected animals usually died before piroplasms appeared. Corridor disease was thus considered to be caused by a different parasite, which was named *Theileria lawrencei* (Neitz, 1955). After the eradication of ECF in Zimbabwe, another form of theileriosis, known as January disease, emerged in that country, and the causative agent was named *Theileria bovis* (Lawrence, 1979; Uilenberg *et al.*, 1982). Although the parasites causing ECF, Corridor Disease and January Disease were originally thought to be three different pathogenic species, they are now all considered to be *T. parva*, and *T. parva* isolates are now classified as cattle-derived or buffalo-derived (Perry and Young, 1993).

In southern Africa today, susceptible cattle sharing the same grazing as infected buffalo in the presence of vector ticks, can contract Corridor disease. The original buffalo-derived *T. parva* (the causative agent of Corridor disease) remains endemic in some parts of South Africa, hence the persistence of sporadic outbreaks of Corridor disease in South Africa. There is a concern that ECF could re-emerge in South Africa since cattle which recover from *T. parva* infections may become carriers of the parasite (Barnett and Brocklesby, 1966). A carrier state of ECF has also been shown to develop in cattle after immunization and treatment (Dolan *et al.*, 1984; Maritim *et al.*, 1989). Carrier state can develop in cattle that recover from Corridor disease following chemotherapy (Potgieter *et al.*, 1985) and if this can also happen following natural infection, the disease may not be self-limiting as previously thought. Ticks



can be infected by feeding on carrier cattle and a situation may eventually develop where the parasite becomes adapted to cattle, resulting in cattle-to-cattle transmission. The South African cattle population would be highly susceptible should the parasite be introduced from an endemic area as the principal vector, *R. appendiculatus*, is still widespread. It is not known whether the parasite that caused ECF was transmitted to buffalo during the ECF epidemic, or whether South African strains of *T. parva* could eventually become adapted to cattle and cause ECF. There is therefore a need to establish the current status of *T. parva* parasites that are circulating in South African buffalo and possibly in cattle which may have recovered from buffalo-derived Corridor disease outbreaks.

In the past decade, several genes have been investigated in search of discriminatory sequence differences between *T. parva* isolates. Among these is the sporozoite antigen gene, p67 (Iams *et al.*, 1990; Nene *et al.*, 1996). Characterization of the p67 gene sequence in East Africa has revealed the presence of a 129 bp deletion in the central region in cattle-derived *T. parva* isolates, while there is no deletion in buffalo-derived isolates (Nene *et al.*, 1992; 1996). Since the p67 sequences obtained from cattle-derived parasite stocks characterized in studies in East Africa were identical, it was assumed that the presence or the absence of the 129 bp deletion in the p67 gene could be used to differentiate between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* isolates (Nene *et al.*, 1996). In South Africa, however, Collins (1997) obtained both p67 alleles in South African buffalo from the Kruger National Park. Although the p67 allele with a deletion was obtained from this isolate it was not established whether this particular strain could cause ECF.

The aim of this study was to characterize *T. parva* parasites that occur in buffalo and cattle in South Africa using sequence analysis of the p67 gene in an attempt to establish whether classical ECF-like parasites are present in South Africa.

# 4.3 Materials and methods

#### 4.3.1 Sample collection

Cattle and buffalo blood samples were collected from different areas in South Africa. *Theileria parva* positive samples were selected using a *T. parva*-specific real-time PCR assay (Chapter 3; Sibeko *et al.*, 2008). A total of 66 South African *T. parva* positive samples were characterized, including 62 field samples from cattle and buffalo and four experimentally



infected cattle (Table 4.1). One sample from a bovine (Zam 5) from Zambia was also analyzed.

#### 4.3.2 DNA isolation

The High Pure PCR template preparation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) was used to extract DNA from 200  $\mu$ l of EDTA blood samples, according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was eluted in 100  $\mu$ l elution buffer, rather than the recommended 200  $\mu$ l, to increase the concentration of extracted DNA. The DNA was stored at 4°C until further analysis.

#### 4.3.3 PCR amplification of the p67 gene from *T. parva*

Primers 613 (p67 forward primer) and 792 (p67 reverse primer) (Nene *et al.*, 1996) were used to amplify the variable region of the p67 gene. Five microlitres of extracted DNA was used in a 25  $\mu$ l PCR reaction and the amplification conditions applied were as described by Nene *et al.* (1996). For samples with low parasitaemia, 0.5  $\mu$ l of the primary PCR product was used as a template for a secondary PCR using the same protocol but with the number of amplification cycles reduced from 40 to 25. The PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis.



Table 4.1	Source of samples used for characterization of T. parva parasites and results obtained
	from PCR amplification of the p67 gene. Table 4.1 continues in page 79.

Geographical origin	Province	Sample	Band size(s)	Reference
of parasites		designation	obtained	
			from PCR	
			amplification (Kb)	
Kruger National Park	Mpumalanga	KNP W8 <sup>#</sup>	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
(KNP) (n=20)		KNP V5	0.9, 1.1	
		KNP 102 <sup>#</sup>	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		KNP 9446* <sup>#</sup>	0.9, 1.1	Sibeko et al. (2008)
		KNP B2	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		KNP M12	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		KNP U3	0.9	
		KNP H12	1.1	
		KNP M2	0.8, 0.9, 1.0	
		KNP B1	1.0, 1.1	
		KNP B2	0.8, 1.1	
		KNP B15	0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		KNP C5	0.9, 1.1	
		KNP O10	0.9, 1.0	
		KNP O11	1.1	
		KNP O14	1.0	
		KNP O17	0.8, 0.9, 1.0	
		KNP AA4	0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		KNP A18	1.0	
		KNP A20	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
Ithala Game Reserve	KwaZulu-Natal	Itha 2	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
(n=9)		Itha 3 <sup>#</sup>	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		Itha 4	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		Itha 5	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		Itha 6	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		Itha 7	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		Itha 8 <sup>#</sup>	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		Itha 9	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
		Itha 10	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
Marakele National	Limpopo	Mar 1.1	1.1	
Park (n=11)		Mar 4	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 5	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 6	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 7	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 8	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 9	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 10	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 11	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar 1"	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		Mar $75^{*}$	1.1	
Welgevonden Game	Limpopo	Wel 23/04 <sup>#</sup>	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
Reserve (n=4)		Wel 24/04 <sup>#</sup>	0.8, 1.1	
		Wel 9288* <sup>#</sup>	1.1	Sibeko et al. (2008)
		Wel 9445* <sup>#</sup>	1.1	Sibeko et al. (2008)



Geographical origin of parasites	Province	Sample designation	Band size(s) obtained from PCR amplification (Kb)	Reference
Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park	KwaZulu-Natal	HIP 5 <sup>#</sup>	0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1	
(n=10)		HIP 19	0.9	
		HIP 22	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		HIP 32	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		HIP 34	0.9	
		HIP 36	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		HIP 39	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		HIP 40	0.9	
		HIP 41	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
		HIP 42	0.8, 0.9, 1.1	
Hluhluwe (n=1)	KwaZulu-Natal	Hlu 9433* <sup>#</sup>	1.1	Potgieter <i>et al.</i> (1988)
Ladysmith (n=4)	KwaZulu-Natal	Lad 10 <sup>#</sup>	0.9	
		Lad 17 <sup>#</sup>	1.1	
		Lad I238 <sup>#</sup>	1.1	Thompson <i>et al.</i> (2008)
		Lad M119 <sup>#</sup>	1.1	Thompson <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Bloemfontein (n=1)	Free-State	Bloe B#	1.1	
Mabalingwe Game	Limpopo	Mab A13	0.9, 1.1	
Reserve		Mab B21	0.9, 1.1	
(n=6)		Mab A22	0.9, 1.1	
		Mab BB37	0.9, 1.1	
		Mab BB38 <sup>#</sup>	0.9, 1.1	
		Mab BB43 <sup>#</sup>	1.0, 1.1	
Zambia (n=1)	East	Zam 5 <sup>#</sup>	0.8	Geysen (2000)

All the samples in bold were obtained from cattle.

\*Experimentally infected cattle.

<sup>#</sup> PCR products obtained from these isolates were selected for sequencing.

#### 4.3.4 Cloning and sequencing of p67 amplicons

Amplicons obtained from 21 selected samples (Table 4.1) were purified using the MinElute<sup>TM</sup> PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands). The p67 PCR products were cloned into the pGEM<sup>®</sup>-T Easy cloning vector (Promega, Madison, USA). Recombinant plasmid DNA was isolated using the High Pure Plasmid isolation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The presence of inserts was confirmed by colony PCR following the PCR protocol described by Nene *et al.* (1996). Three hundred to 450 ng of plasmid DNA was used in sequencing reactions prepared using the ABI Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed using a



SpectruMedix model SCE 2410 automated sequencer (SpectruMedix, State College, PA, USA) at INQABA Biotechnologies (South Africa).

#### 4.3.5 Sequence analysis

#### 4.3.5.1 Sequence editing

One hundred and forty sequences were obtained from clones produced from the 21 selected *T. parva* samples. Sequences were assembled and edited using GAP4 of the Staden package (version 1.6.0 for Windows) (Bonfield *et al.*, 1995; Staden, 1996; Staden *et al.*, 2000).

#### *4.3.5.2* Sequence alignment

The p67 sequences obtained in this study were aligned with other published p67 sequences (Table 4.2). Sequences were initially aligned with the multiple sequence alignment program, MAFFT version 6 (Katoh *et al.*, 2002) (<u>http://align.bmr.kyushu-u.ac.jp/mafft/software/</u>) and the final alignment was performed by eye using MacClade v4.0 (Maddison and Maddison, 1992). Alignment of nucleotide sequences was optimised using the amino acid reading frame. The lengths of the p67 sequence fragments varied between 751 bp and 967 bp. The first 167 bp and last 313 bp could be aligned without any difficulty. The middle region of the fragment was, however, highly divergent among strains and could not be reliably aligned across the entire data set. However, several strains shared similar insertions or deletions and these were coded as present or absent to represent a total of 13 unique synapomorphic characters. These characters can contribute phylogenetic signal, and therefore, to limit homoplasy, they were only scored if they comprised at least 9 base pairs in length (Matthee *et al.*, 2001; 2007). The nucleotides comprising these inserts were deleted from further analyses.



Geographical	Province	Isolate or sequence	Accession	References
origin of parasites		designation	number	N. 1. (10.10)
South Africa,	Mpumalanga	Schoonspruit		Neitz (1948),
Schoonspruit	(former Transvaal)			Collins (1997)
South Africa	Mnumalanga	KND 1 S		Collins (1007)
South Affica,	Mpumaianga	KNF 1_5 VND 1_1 1		Collins (1997)
Kruger Inational		KNP I_LI		Collins (1997)
Park		KNP I_L2		Collins (1997)
(KNP)		KNP I_M		Collins (1997)
		KNP 2	AF0/91//	Nene <i>et al.</i> (1999)
South Africa, Hluhluwe	KwaZulu-Natal	Hluhluwe3	AF079176	Nene et al. (1999)
Uganda	North-west	Uganda		Minami <i>et al.</i>
- Buildu		e guilda		(1983)
				Morzaria <i>et al</i>
				(1995)
				Collins (1997)
Kenya		Muguga	M67476	Nene et al. (1996)
	Kilifi District	Marikebuni		Irvin et al. (1983)
		7014	U40703	Nene et al. (1996)
		Waterbuck (Waterbuck		Stagg et al.
		experimentally infected		(1994).
		with <i>T. parva</i> derived from		Collins (1997)
		buffalo 7014 which		
		transmitted a subpopulation		
		of parasites causing ECF in		
		cattle )		
		7344 (Tissue culture-clone		Morzaria <i>et al</i>
		generated from stock		(1995)
		7014)		Collins (1007)
		/017.)		Commis (1997)

<b>Table 4.2</b> p67 reference sequences used for analysis of data obtained in this s
---

#### 4.3.6 Phylogenetic analysis

Duplicate sequences were excluded from phylogenetic analyses and a total of 53 p67 sequences from nine representative samples were used. Parsimony analyses were performed in PAUP v4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003) based on heuristic searches with 100 random additions of taxa and tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch swapping. As a large number of equally parsimonious trees were found during each search the maximum number of trees saved during each replicate was constrained to 500. Data were analyzed using the 480 homologous nucleotides only and also in a combined fashion by adding the 13 unique characters that originated from length differences among the fragments. Substitutions were unordered and the 13 unique length differences among strains were analyzed unweighted (the insertion or deletion of each unique stretch of DNA contributed the same weight as a single nucleotide change), and weighted (3 times and 10 times heavier than a single nucleotide



change). One thousand parsimony bootstrap replicates were performed to obtain confidence values for the nodes.

# 4.4 Results

#### 4.4.1 Amplicon analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis

Two p67 alleles have previously been reported in East Africa, the p67 gene with a 129 bp deletion (designated allele 1 in this study) and the p67 gene with no deletion (designated allele 2) (Nene *et al.*, 1996). In this study, up to four p67 PCR products of sizes ~ 0.8, 0.9, 1.0 and 1.1 kb, were obtained (Figure 4.1 and Table 4.1). It is more than likely that the multiple bands obtained in this study are authentic and representative of several different *T. parva* parasites present in a single sample, because p67 is a single copy gene.

A single amplicon was obtained from all *T. parva* positive samples obtained from cattle, from both experimentally infected and field samples, except for one, bovine KNP 9446, from which a double band was obtained (Table 4.1). The PCR product sizes obtained from KNP 9446 consisted of the 1.1 and 0.9 kb fragments. A 0.9 kb PCR product was obtained from Lad 10, a 0.8 kb PCR product from Zam 5 and 1.1 kb amplicons were obtained from all other cattle samples.

Single amplicons of band sizes 0.9, 1.0 or 1.1 kb, were obtained from 10 buffalo samples; otherwise, two to four amplicons were obtained from *T. parva* field samples originating from buffalo. The 0.8, 0.9, 1.0 and 1.1 kb bands were present in, respectively, 61%, 77%, 39% and 82% of the 57 buffalo samples analyzed.

Heterogeneous p67 PCR product profiles were obtained from *T. parva* samples from buffalo from the Kruger National Park and Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, while the p67 PCR products obtained from Ithala, Marakele and Mabalingwe samples appeared to be homogeneous within each game reserve. PCR products of the same sizes were obtained from *T. parva* samples from buffalo from Ithala (with 100% of samples producing all four PCR products), Marakele (with 81 % of samples producing the 0.8, 0.9 and 1.1 kb PCR products) and Mabalingwe (with 83% of samples producing the 0.9 and 1.1 kb PCR products).





**Figure 4.1** Amplicon profiles obtained from amplification of the central region of the p67 gene from buffalo-derived *T. parva* isolates collected from different geographical areas in South Africa. Lanes: M=1 kb plus DNA marker (Fermentas Life Sciences), 1= Wel 23/04, 2= Mab 43, 3=Mar 1, 4= Itha 3, 5= Itha 8, 6= HIP 5, 7 = KNP W8, 8= KNP 102, 9 = negative control. See Table 4.1 for geographical origin of isolates.

#### 4.4.2 Sequence analysis

The p67 sequences obtained in this study were aligned with the published sequences shown in Table 4.2. Four groups of p67 sequences were identified (Figure 4.2), including the previously identified alleles 1 and 2, as well as two novel alleles, one with a different 174 bp deletion (allele 3), the other with no deletion (allele 4). The deletion in allele 3 occurs ~ 20 bp upstream of the position where the deletion occurs in allele 1 (Figure 4.2).

Sequences characteristic of alleles 1 and 2 were obtained from the 0.9 and 1.1 kb amplicons, respectively, and allele 3 and 4 sequences were obtained from the 0.8 and 1.0 kb PCR products, respectively (Table 4.3). Although it was possible to sequence all bands from all samples, at least two p67 allele sequences were obtained from *T. parva* samples originating from buffalo (Table 4.3), except for Mar 75 which had one p67 allele. Sequences of all four alleles were present in two individual isolates, KNP W8 and KNP 102, and three in Itha 8 and



HIP 5. Allele 2 sequences were obtained from all T. parva samples originating from cattle except two, Lad 10 and Zam 5 (Table 4.3), which had alleles 1 and 3, respectively. In addition to allele 2 sequences, KNP 9446, a bovine experimentally infected with T. parva parasites from buffalo KNP102 (Chapter 3; Sibeko et al., 2008) also contained parasites with p67 allele 1 sequences. Allele 1 was also obtained from a naturally infected T. parva-carrier bovine, Lad 10, originating from a farm near Ladysmith, and the sequence was identical to that of T. parva Muguga. An allele 3 p67 sequence, similar to allele 3 sequences obtained from South African T. parva field samples originating from buffalo, was obtained from a Zambian isolate, Zam5, originating from a naturally infected bovine. Sequence variants of alleles 1 and 2, similar to p67 sequences from a sample obtained from a buffalo at KNP in 1994 reported by Collins (1997), namely KNP1\_M and KNP1\_L1, were also obtained. These p67 variants had sequences similar but not identical to previously reported alleles 1 and 2 (Nene et al., 1996). The novel sequences, alleles 3 and 4, obtained in this study were similar to KNP1\_S and KNP1\_L2 p67 sequences, respectively, reported by Collins (1997). Among allele 2 sequences, sequence variations within the 129 bp region specific to buffalo-derived parasites were observed (Figure 4.2).

Cloning and sequence analysis also revealed the presence of more than one p67 sequence variant from what appeared to be a single PCR product (or single band on an agarose gel). For example, two different sequence variants of allele 2 were obtained from DNA clones prepared from the amplicons obtained from experimentally infected bovine, Hlu 9433, and a naturally infected bovine, Lad M119 (results not shown).





**Figure 4.2** Alignment of the inferred amino acid acid sequences of a portion of the ~600 bp variable region of the p67 gene amplified from representative *T. parva* strains. The alignment was generated using the multiple sequence alignment program Mafft version 6 (Katoh *et al.*, 2002) (<u>http://align.bmr.kyushu-u.ac.jp/mafft/software/</u>).

Chapter 4



Table 4.3	Number and type of p67 sequences obtained from 21 selected T. parva samples collected
	from both cattle and buffalo

Sample name	Total number	Number of p67 sequences			
	of clones	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 3	Allele 4
	sequenced [140]	( <b>0.9 kb</b> )	(1.1 kb)	( <b>0.8 kb</b> )	(1.0 kb)
KNP W8	10	2	3	3	2
KNP 102	16	6	4	3	3
Itha 3 <sup>#</sup>	8	-	3	5	-
Itha 8 <sup>#</sup>	16	7	4	5	-
HIP 5 <sup>#</sup>	15	6	3	6	-
Mab BB38	6	3	3	-	-
Mab BB43	9	6	3	-	-
Wel 23/04 <sup>#</sup>	3	-	3	-	-
Wel 24/04	9	-	5	4	-
Mar 1 <sup>#</sup>	11	-	6	5	-
Mar 75	3	-	3	-	-
KNP 9446*	4	2	2	-	-
Zam 5	2	-	-	2	-
Wel 9288*	6	-	6	-	-
Wel 9445*	2	-	2	-	-
Hlu 9433*	3	-	3	-	-
Lad 17	5	-	5	-	-
Lad M119	4	-	4	-	-
Lad I438	4	-	4	-	-
Lad 10	1	1	-	-	-
Bloe B	3	-	3	-	-

All the samples in bold were obtained from cattle.

<sup>#</sup> Note that not all bands were sequenced from these samples.

\*Experimentally infected cattle.

#### 4.4.3 Phylogenetic analysis

Analyses of the 480 flanking nucleotides (60 parsimony informative characters) resulted in more than 500 equally parsimonious trees which were largely unresolved after bootstrap analyses (<50%). Although 13 nodes were supported by  $\geq$ 50% bootstrap support these were mostly restricted to the terminal associations among strains. Inclusion of the 13 unique insertions or deletions significantly increased the phylogenetic resolution and bootstrap support was obtained for an additional 15 nodes when the analyses included 73 parsimony informative characters (60 nucleotides and 13 insertions). The majority of the additional signal resolved the more basal associations in the topology (among alleles).



Two major clades, A and B, were identified from the most parsimonious tree (Figure 4.3). Alleles 3 and 4 clustered together in a single clade (A) suggesting that they diverged early and evolved separately from other p67 alleles. Clade B included known alleles 1 and 2 and their sequence variants. Each major clade consisted of subgroups that could also be divided based on the presence or absence of the deletion (Figure 4.3). Subgroup A2 consisted of novel p67 sequences with a deletion (allele 3) and A1 consisted of novel sequences with no deletion (allele 4). Similarly in clade B, subgroup B1 consisted of p67 sequences with a different deletion (allele 1) while B2 consisted of sequences without the deletion (allele 2). B2 formed a basal clade for B1 suggesting that allele 1 is derived from allele 2. The Muguga p67 sequence together with other cattle-derived isolates shared a common ancestor, clade C, with groups D1, D2 and E comprising p67 allele 1 variants. Cattle-derived sequences [Muguga, Schoonspruit, Marikebuni, T. parva (waterbuck-passaged) and Uganda (Table 4.2)] and sequence variants grouping with the KNP2 p67 sequence (Table 4.2) in subgroup B1 appeared to be monophyletic. p67 sequences from a Zambian isolate obtained from a naturally infected bovine diagnosed with ECF (Geysen, 2000) grouped with South African novel allele 3 sequences, in clade A subgroup A2.





**Figure 4.3** Phylogenetic relationship of *T. parva* strains as revealed by p67 gene sequences. The phylogenetic tree was calculated by maximum parsimony analysis using TBR swapping in PAUP\*4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003) and the tree where unique insertions are weighted 10:1 is shown. Nodal support was assessed with 1000 bootstrap replicates and indicated above for 1:1; 1:3; 1:10 weighting of indels while values below represent bootstrap support for the nucleotide analyses only (see text for details).



Chapter 4

## 4.5 Discussion

According to data obtained in East Africa, the cattle-derived T. parva isolates, Boleni, Muguga, Marikebuni, Mariakana and Uganda, have an identical p67 gene sequence which contains a 129 bp deletion (allele 1) and this deletion is not present in the p67 gene sequence from buffalo-derived isolates (allele 2) (Nene et al., 1996). Consequently, it has been speculated that all *T. parva* stocks which can be maintained by passage between cattle and the tick vector, have the same p67 gene sequence containing the 129 bp deletion (Nene et al., 1996). In this study both alleles were obtained from many of the T. parva field samples obtained from buffalo and cattle. In addition to alleles 1 and 2, two novel alleles (alleles 3 and 4) were also identified by PCR and sequence analysis. Although it is possible that PCR artifacts, such as overlap extension, could result in sequence variants, there was no evidence in the data obtained in this study to suggest that overlap extension may have occurred. A non-PCR based method such as Southern blot could have been used to confirm that the variants characterized by deletions were authentic; however, the parasite DNA in field samples is often too low to detect even by PCR. The sporozoite antigen gene, p67, codes for a stagespecific protein involved in the process of entry of the T. parva sporozoite into the host lymphocytes (Webster et al., 1985; Shaw, 2003). It is possible that the p67 allele type might be associated with the ability of the parasite to infect a specific host, which could explain the apparent selection of parasites with p67 allele 1 in cattle in East Africa.

Analysis of p67 PCR product profiles indicated that four p67 alleles are present in *T. parva* parasites in buffalo in South Africa. Alleles 1 and 2 occurred more frequently than alleles 3 and 4. Relatively uniform p67 profiles were obtained from *T. parva* samples from buffalo from Ithala, Marakele and Mabalingwe game reserves, suggesting that homogeneous populations of parasites could be circulating among buffalo on these properties. On the contrary, more heterogeneous p67 PCR product profiles were obtained from samples from Kruger National Park and Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park suggesting an extensive diversity of the parasite population occurring in larger populations of buffalo.

In this study, a 0.9 kb PCR product, representative of p67 allele 1, was found in 77% of the *T. parva* samples obtained from buffalo. All of the p67 allele 1 sequences from samples from buffalo were variants of the previously reported allele 1 from isolates obtained from cattle, with a number of amino acid substitutions which distinguished them from the known cattle-derived p67 allele 1. One such variant has previously been obtained from KNP2, a stock



originating from a naturally infected buffalo cow captured in the southern part of the Kruger National Park (Collins, 1997; Nene *et al.*, 1999). *Rhipicephalus zambeziensis* nymphs were fed on this buffalo, and adult ticks reared from these nymphs transmitted Corridor disease to an adult bovine cow, B9678-2. Schizont-infected lymphoblastoid cells were established *in vitro* from lymph node aspirates obtained from this animal (H. Stoltsz, pers. comm.). It is possible that this cell culture is representative of a sub-population of the *T. parva* parasites that were present in the original buffalo from which the *T. parva* KNP2 isolate was prepared. Selection of a subpopulation of parasites may have occurred in the *T. parva* KNP2 stock, either *in vivo* or in cell culture. However, evidence is required to establish if this *T. parva* stock can be maintained in cattle. In a separate tick transmission study, parasites with a similar variant of allele 1 and also allele 2 were transmitted from buffalo KNP 102, which had a multiple infection of *T. parva* parasites possessing all four p67 alleles, into bovine KNP 9446/6. However, this animal died from classical Corridor disease (Chapter 3; Sibeko *et al.*, 2008).

Only one p67 sequence obtained from a naturally infected bovine, Lad 10, from a farm near Ladysmith, KwaZulu-Natal, was identical to the typical cattle-derived p67 sequence, allele 1 (Nene *et al.*, 1999). It is not known if the parasites that infected bovine Lad 10 originated from buffalo or cattle; however, this animal did not exhibit any disease symptoms associated with *T. parva* infection, suggesting that it was a carrier.

Except for Lad 10, all p67 gene sequences obtained from samples originating from cattle from the Ladysmith farm were typical of buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites (allele 2). In fact, three of the Ladysmith samples (Lad 17, Lad I438 and Lad M119) had p67 sequences that were similar to sequences identified in *T. parva* field samples HIP 5, HIP 32 and HIP 39, obtained from buffalo from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, a game park in KwaZulu-Natal. While this suggests that the *T. parva* parasites present in cattle on this farm could have been derived from buffalo, it is not clear if these cattle had any contact with infected buffalo (Thompson *et al.*, 2008).

Together, these results indicate that the additional determinants which result in ECF, including the ability to produce microschizonts and high piroplasm parasitaemias, were probably not present in the parasites sampled in this study. It is not known what these determinants are or whether they occur in *T. parva* parasites in South Africa. However, it is apparent from these findings that *T. parva*-carrier cattle containing parasites possessing p67 allele 1 are present, at least on one farm, in South Africa. This finding is of concern to the



cattle industry in South Africa, since Potgieter *et al.* (1988) showed that buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites causing Corridor disease can be maintained by passage between cattle and the tick vector. The persistence of *T. parva*-carrier cattle in South Africa could eventually result in the selection of *T. parva* parasites adapted to cattle.

In addition to p67 alleles 1 and 2, two novel variants were obtained, allele 3, with a deletion and allele 4, with no deletion. Allele 3 was obtained from samples originating from both buffalo and cattle (Zam 5) whereas allele 4 was only obtained from *T. parva* field samples originating from naturally infected buffalo. Zam 5 is an isolate obtained from a naturally infected bovine diagnosed with ECF symptoms in the Southern Province of Zambia (Geysen, 2000). The p67 sequence obtained from this isolate was identical to the South African allele 3 sequences. This result suggests that *T. parva* parasites carrying the novel allele 3 can be transmitted to cattle and indicates that parasites that cause ECF do not exclusively contain p67 allele 1.

A majority of T. parva field samples from which novel p67 variants were obtained also contained T. parva parasites with p67 alleles 1 and 2. This was not surprising as it is expected that buffalo, as reservoir hosts, will harbour more T. parva strains than exist in cattle as a result of recombination occurring in the tick vector. The phylogenetic analysis presented here indicates that parasites containing p67 alleles 3 and 4 seem to have evolved separately from cattle- and buffalo-derived parasites carrying p67 alleles 1 and 2, which is surprising, given the extensive recombination known to occur between T. parva parasites in the tick vector (Nene et al., 1998). It is possible that these parasites were introduced during the ECF epidemic along with ECF-causing parasites from East Africa, but from the results obtained in this study, it is not possible to tell whether this is the case or whether these parasites have always existed in buffalo. In addition, there are no reports of T. parva parasites with novel p67 alleles (alleles 3 and 4) in other East African countries such as Tanzania or Kenya. However, it is very likely that these parasites also occur there given that there was historically great connectivity between buffalo populations in East and southern Africa (Van Hooft et al., 2000), and it has been shown in this study that these novel variants are also present in Zambia. In the same manner that ECF was introduced into South Africa, ECF was introduced to the Northern Province of Zambia by importation of cattle from Tanzania in 1922 (Nambota et al., 1995). The disease spread to Southern Province in the early 1970s. Interestingly, the novel variant was obtained in the Southern Province from an animal with ECF (Geysen, 2000). It is of interest that it was obtained from an area known to be frequented by buffalo. If novel p67



variants do exist in countries in East Africa, it will be interesting to establish whether parasites characterized by the novel p67 alleles are implicated in ECF cases there.

Sequence analysis revealed that there are more T. parva p67 alleles in South African buffalo than have previously been recognized. This confirms the extensive diversity in buffaloderived T. parva parasites that has previously been reported (Conrad et al., 1987; 1989; Morzaria et al., 1995; Nene et al., 1996; Collins and Allsopp, 1999). Not only were alleles 1 and 2 identified in this study, but also many variants of these sequences. Variants of p67 allele 1 were obtained from some T. parva field samples originating from buffalo; from phylogenetic analysis, these sequences group together with the Muguga p67 sequence (allele 1) in clade C. The phylogenetic analysis further suggests that allele 1 associated with ECF (clade D2) is closely related to variants of allele 1 in clades D1 and E from field samples originating from South African buffalo. As indicated earlier, parasites that occur in the South African buffalo population probably occur in the East African buffalo population as a result of historical buffalo migration; it will therefore be interesting to establish whether parasites in clades D1 and E are implicated in ECF cases in East Africa and if so, why this is not the case in South Africa. The phylogenetic analysis presented here suggests that the cattle-derived p67 T. parva alleles evolved from buffalo-derived p67 alleles, supporting the belief that T. parva is originally a buffalo parasite (Uilenberg, 1981; Young, 1981; Norval et al., 1992) and the hypothesis that selection of a subpopulation of T. parva parasites resulted in ECF (Young, 1981; Conrad et al., 1989).

In South Africa, cattle are kept separate from buffalo to prevent infection of susceptible cattle. Recently cattle have been observed grazing around the borders of game reserves even in Corridor disease endemic areas. This situation might result in transmission of the parasite from infected buffalo to susceptible cattle and could result in the circulation of the parasite in the cattle population. As a result, there would be a higher risk of genetic exchange (Nene *et al.*, 1998) that might eventually result in a parasite population that could cause ECF. It should be noted, however, that in South Africa, attempts to demonstrate transformation of buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites to the cattle-type have proven futile (Neitz, 1957; Potgieter *et al.*, 1988) although the same experiments in East Africa were successful (Barnett and Brocklesby, 1966; 1969; Young and Purnell, 1973; Maritim *et al.*, 1992). Nevertheless, should transformation or DNA recombination occur, resulting in emergence of a parasite population that can cause ECF, the cattle population in South Africa would be vulnerable. Therefore it is imperative that markers are identified which can be directly linked to the



disease syndrome of *T. parva* parasites in order to provide informative molecular epidemiological data which might help the South African veterinary authorities to make informed decisions in the control of theileriosis.

# 4.6 Conclusion

*Theileria parva* p67 gene profiles appear to be more complex than previously thought. It is apparent from the results obtained in this study that the typical buffalo- and cattle-derived p67 profile as established in East Africa cannot be used to distinguish between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites in South Africa and that parasites with p67 genes that have the 129 bp deletion (allele 1), as in cattle-derived isolates, cannot be associated with a specific disease syndrome. Therefore, it is still necessary to identify markers which could be directly associated with the different disease syndromes. The significance of the different p67 alleles, particularly the novel variants, in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa still needs to be determined.
Chapter 4



# 4.7 References

- Anonymous, 1981. The eradication of East Coast fever in South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **52**, 71-73.
- Barnett, S.F. and Brocklesby, D.W., 1966. The passage of "*Theileria lawrencei* (Kenya)" through cattle. *British Veterinary Journal*, **122**, 361-409.
- Barnett, S.F. and Brocklesby, D.W., 1969. Some piroplasms of wild animals. Diseases in freeliving wild animals. *Symposium of Zoological Society of London*, **24**, 147-65.
- Bonfield, J. K., Smith, K.F. and Staden, R. 1995. A new DNA sequence assembly program. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **23**, 4992-99.
- Collins, N.E., 1997. The relationship between *Theileria parva parva* and *T. parva lawrencei* as shown by sporozoite antigen and ribosomal RNA gene sequences. Ph.D. Thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa.
- Collins, N.E. and Allsopp, B.A., 1999. *Theileria parva* ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences exhibit extensive polymorphism and mosaic evolution: application to the characterization of parasites from cattle and buffalo. *Parasitology*, **118**, 541-51.
- Conrad, P.A., Stagg, D.A., Grootenhuis, J.G., Irvin, A.D., Newson, J., Njamunggeh, R.E.G., Rossiter, P.B. and Young, A.S., 1987. Isolation of *Theileria parva* parasites from African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and characterization with antischizont monoclonal antibodies. *Parasitology*, **94**, 413-23.
- Conrad, P.A., Ole-MoiYoi, O.K., Baldwin, C.L., Dolan, T.T., O'Callaghan, C.J., Njamunggeh, R.E.G., Grootenhuis, J.G., Stagg, D.A., Leitch, B.L. and Young, A.S., 1989. Characterization of buffalo-derived theilerial parasites with monoclonal antibodies and DNA probes. *Parasitology*, **98**, 179-88.
- Dolan, T.T., Young, A.S., Leitch, B.L. and Stagg, D.A., 1984. Chemotherapy of East Coast fever: parvaquone treatment of clinical disease induced by isolates of *Theileria parva*. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **15**, 103-16.
- Geysen, D., 2000. The application of Molecular Biology techniques to analyse diversity in *T. parva* populations in Zambia. Ph.D. Thesis, Brunel University, Uxbridge.



- Iams, K.P., Hall, R., Webster, P. and Musoke, A.J., 1990. Identification of λgt11 clones encoding the major antigenic determinants expressed by *Theileria parva* sporozoites. *Infection and Immunity*, 58, 1828-34.
- Irvin, A.D., Dobbelaere, D.A.E., Mwamachi, D.M., Minami, T., Spooner, P.R. and Ocama, J.G.R., 1983. Immunization against East Coast fever: correlation between monoclonal antibody profiles of *Theileria parva* stocks and cross immunity *in vivo*. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **35**, 341-46.
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. and Miyata, T., 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30, 3059-66.
- Lawrence, J.A., 1979. The differential diagnosis of the bovine theileriosis of southern Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **50**, 311-13.
- Lawrence, J.A., Norval, R.R. and Uilenberg, G., 1983. *Rhipicephalus zambeziensis* as a vector of bovine theileriae. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, **15**, 39-42.
- Lawrence, J.A., 1992. History of bovine theileriosis in southern Africa. In: *The Epidemiology* of *Theileriosis in Africa*, R.A.I. Norval, B.D. Perry and A.S. Young (Eds.), Academic Press, London, pp. 1-39.
- Maddison, W.P. and Maddison, D.R., 1992. MacClade: Analysis of Phylogeny and Character Evolution. Version 3. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Maritim, A.C., Young, A.S., Lesan, A.C., Ndungu, S.G., Mutugi, J.A. and Stagg, D.A., 1989. *Theileria* parasites isolated from carrier cattle after immunisation with *Theileria parva* by infection and treatment. *Parasitology*, **99**, 139-47.
- Maritim, A.C., Young, A.S., Lesan, A.C., Ndungu, S.G., Stagg, D.A. and Ngumi, P.N., 1992.
  Transformation of *Theileria parva* derived from African buffalo (*Syncerus* caffer) by tick passage in cattle and its use in infection and treatment immunization. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 43, 1-14.
- Matthee, C.A., Burzlaff, J.D., Taylor, J.F. and Davis, S.K., 2001. Mining the mammalian genome for artiodactylsystematics. *Systematic Biology*, **50**, 267-90.



- Matthee, C.A., Erick, G., Willows-Munro, S., Montgeard, C., Pardini, A.T. and Robinson, T.J., 2007. Indel evolution of mammalian introns and the utility of non-coding nuclear markers in eutherian phylogenetics. *Molecular Phylogenetic Evolution*, 42, 827-37.
- Minami, T., Spooner, P.R., Irvin, A.D., Ocama, J.G.R., Dobbalaere, D.A.E. and Fujinaga, T., 1983. Characterisation of stocks of *Theileria parva* by monoclonal antibody profiles. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **35**, 334-40.
- Morzaria, S.P., Dolan, T.T., Norval, R.A.I, Bishop, R.P. and Spooner, P.R., 1995. Generation and characterization of *Theileria parva* parasites. *Parasitology*, **111**, 39-49.
- Nambota, A, Samui, K., Sugimoto, C., Kakuta, T. and Onuma, M., 1995. Theileriosis in Zambia-Etiology, epidemiology and control measures. *Japan Journal of Veterinary Research*, **42**, 1-18.
- Neitz, W.O., 1948. Studies on East Coast fever. South African Journal of Science, 1, 133-35.
- Neitz, W.O., 1955. Corridor disease: a fatal form of bovine theileriosis encountered in Zululand. *Bulletin of Epizootic Diseases of Africa*, **3**, 121-23.
- Neitz, W.O., 1957. Theilerioses, gonderioses and cytauxozoonoses: a review. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, **27**, 275-430.
- Nene, V., Iams, E., Gobright, E. and Musoke, A., 1992. Characterization of a gene encoding a candidate vaccine antigen of *Theileria parva* sporozoites. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **51**, 17-28.
- Nene, V., Musoke, A., Gobright, E. and Morzaria, S., 1996. Conservation of the sporozoite p67 vaccine antigen in cattle-derived *Theileria parva* stocks with different crossimmunity profiles. *Infection and Immunity*, 64, 2056-61.
- Nene, V., Morzaria, S. and Bishop, R., 1998. Organisation and informational content of the *Theileria parva* genome. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, 73, 165-78.
- Nene, V., Gobright, E., Bishop, R., Morzaria, S. and Musoke, A., 1999. Linear peptide specificity of antibody responses to p67 and sequence diversity of sporozoite neutrilizing epitopes: implications for a *Theileria parva* vaccine. *Infection and Immunity*, 67, 1261-66.



- Norval, R.A.I., Perry, B.D. and Young, A.S. (Eds.), 1992. *The Epidemiology of Theileriosis in Africa*. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Perry, B. D. and Young, A. S., 1993. The naming game: the changing fortunes of East Coast fever and *Theileria parva*. Veterinary Records, 133, 613-16.
- Potgieter, F.T., Roos, J.A. and De Vos, A.J., 1985. Implications of chemotherapy of *Theileria lawrencei* infections (Corridor disease) in cattle. *South African Journal of Science*, 81, 44-44.
- Potgieter, F.T., Stoltsz, W.H., Blouin, E.F. and Roos, J.A., 1988. Corridor disease in South Africa: a review of the current status. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **59**, 155-60.
- Shaw, M. K., 2003. Cell invasion by Theileria sporozoites. Trends in Parasitology, 19, 2-6.
- Sibeko, K.P., Oosthuizen, M.C., Collins, N.E., Geysen, D., Rambritch, N.E., Latif, A.A., Groeneveld, H.T., Potgieter, F.T. and Coetzer, J.A.W., 2008. Development and evaluation of a real-time polymerase chain reaction test for the detection of *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 155, 37-48.
- Staden, R. 1996. The Staden Sequence Analysis Package. *Molecular Biotechnology*, **5**, 233-41.
- Staden, R., Beal, K.F. and Bonfield, J.K., 2000. The Staden package, 1998. Methods in Molecular Biology, 132, 115-30.
- Stagg, D.A., Bishop, R.P., Morzaria., S.P., Shaw, M.K., Wesonga, D., Orinda, G.O., Grootenhuis, J. G., Molyneux, D.H. and Young, A.S., 1994. Characterization of *Theileria parva* which infects waterbuck (*Kobus defassa*). *Parasitology*, **108**, 543-54.
- Swofford, D.L., 2003. Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods). Version4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Theiler, A., 1904. East Coast fever. Transvaal Agriculture Journal, 2, 421-38.



- Thompson, B.E., Latif, A.A., Oosthuizen, M.C., Troskie, M. and Penzhorn, B.L., 2008.
  Occurrence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle on a farm in the Ladysmith district, Kwa-Zulu-Natal, South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **79**, 31-35.
- Uilenberg, G., 1981. Theilerial species of domestic livestocks. In: Advances in the Control of Theileriosis. A.D. Irvin, M.P. Cunningham and A.S. Young (Eds.). Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, London, pp. 4-37.
- Uilenberg, G., Perrie, N.M., Lawrence, J.A., De Vos, A.J., Paling, R.W. and Spanjer, A.A.M., 1982. Causal agents of bovine theileriosis in southern Africa. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, 14, 127-40.
- Uilenberg, G., 1999. Immunization against diseases caused by *Theileria parva*: a review. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, **4**, A12-20.
- Van Hooft, W.F., Groen, A.F. and Prins, H.H.T., 2000. Microsatellite analysis of genetic diversity in African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) populations throughout Africa. *Molecular Ecology*, 9, 2017-25.
- Webster, P., Dobbelaere, D.A. and Fawcett, D.W., 1985. The entry of sporozoites of *Theileria* parva into bovine lymphocytes in vitro: immunoelectron microscopic observations. European Journal of Cell Biology, 36, 157-62.
- Young, A.S., 1981. The epidemiology of theileriosis in East Africa. In: Advances in the Control of Theileriosis. A.D. Irvin, M.P. Cunningham and A.S. Young (Eds.). Martinus Nijhoff Publishers. The Hague, London, pp.38-55.
- Young, A.S. and Purnell, R.E., 1973. Transmission of *Theileria lawrencei* (Serengeti) by the ixodid tick, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, 5, 146-52.



# **CHAPTER 5**

Characterization of *Theileria parva* parasites occurring in buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) in South Africa: In search of cattletype p104 alleles

For the LORD giveth wisdom: out of his mouth cometh knowledge and understanding. **Proverbs 2:6** 

"All truths are easy to understand once they are discovered; the point is to discover them." **Galileo Galilei** 



# 5.1 Abstract

A recent study on characterization of South African T. parva field samples, based on the p67 gene, revealed the presence of an allele associated with parasites responsible for East Coast fever (ECF) in East Africa. This finding has increased concerns about the possibility of the recurrence of ECF in South Africa, and necessitated further characterization of T. parva parasites occurring in South African buffalo in search of cattle-type alleles. PCR-RFLP and sequencing of the variable region of the p104 gene was performed on samples obtained from South African T. parva parasites originating from cattle on farms with suspected theileriosis and from buffalo. RFLP profile analysis using BioNumerics revealed two major cluster groups correlating broadly with geographic origin; group A consisted primarily of samples from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Game Park while group B consisted largely of samples from the Kruger National Park. Three subgroups were identified within each main group. In subgroup A2 within group A, PCR-RFLP profiles from cattle samples which came from a farm in Ladysmith grouped with T. parva Muguga, a cattle-derived stock responsible for ECF in East Africa. p104 nucleotide sequences and inferred amino acid sequences obtained from these samples were identical to that of Muguga in the region analyzed, confirming the cluster analysis results. While these results suggest the presence of a cattle-derived T. parva parasite, reports of cattle-to-cattle transmission could not be substantiated and ECF was not diagnosed on this farm. p104 sequences from other cattle samples grouped with samples obtained from buffalo suggesting that these parasite populations may have originated from buffalo. A p104 sequence similar to that of allele 1 (T. parva Muguga) was obtained from a buffalo sample from KNP. Although alleles 2 (from the cattle-derived T. parva Marikebuni) and 3 (from T. parva Boleni) grouped in sub-clade A2, none of the p104 sequences obtained in this study grouped closely with either of these alleles. Sequences obtained from three buffalo T. parva samples from KNP grouped closely with the p104 allele 4 sequence from the buffalo-derived isolate T. parva 7014. Since its eradication, ECF has not been reported in South Africa; the significance of the different p104 alleles in the epidemiology of cattle theileriosis in South Africa is not clear and therefore still needs to be established.





# 5.2 Introduction

Cattle theileriosis caused by *Theileria parva* is a disease of major economic importance in eastern, central and southern Africa (Young *et al.*, 1988). Infections of cattle by *T. parva* parasites result in three recognized disease syndromes, East Coast fever (ECF), January disease and Corridor disease. The natural tick vectors of *T. parva* in southern Africa include *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*, *R. zambeziensis* and *R. duttoni* (Lawrence *et al.*, 1983; Lessard *et al.*, 1990). *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* is widespread in South Africa, and, in the presence of *T. parva*-infected buffalo, the vector ticks can transmit the parasite to naïve cattle resulting in Corridor disease. Corridor disease remains an important form of theileriosis in South Africa where it is a controlled disease. No cases of ECF have been reported in South Africa since its eradication between 1946 and 1955 (Anonymous, 1981). It is not known whether ECF-causing *T. parva* parasites were transmitted to South African buffalo during the ECF epidemic or if there exists in buffalo an ancestral subpopulation of *T. parva* parasites that could become adapted to cattle.

In a recent study, size differentiation and sequence variation of the central region of the *T. parva* antigen gene, p67, were used to characterize South African *T. parva* field samples (Chapter 4; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010). A p67 allele (allele 1) identical to that of Muguga, a *T. parva* stock from Kenya which causes ECF, was obtained from cattle samples from Ladysmith. This finding is of concern to the cattle industry in South Africa, since Potgieter *et al.* (1988) showed that buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites causing Corridor disease can be maintained by passage between cattle and the tick vector. Presumably the persistence of *T. parva* carrier cattle in South Africa could eventually result in the selection of *T. parva* parasites adapted to cattle. Therefore there is continuous concern that ECF could re-emerge and a serious need to establish if there are cattle-type *T. parva* parasites in buffalo in South Africa.

A range of assays has been developed and used in several studies to differentiate between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* stocks (Minami *et al.*, 1983; Allsopp *et al.*, 1989; Conrad *et al.*, 1989; Bishop *et al.*, 1993; Collins and Allsopp, 1999). Size polymorphisms displayed by *T. parva* antigen genes, PIM, p104, p150 and p67, have been used to develop several molecular tools for characterization of *T. parva* stocks, exploiting the variable regions of these genes (Geysen *et al.*, 1999; Bishop *et al.*, 2001). PCR-RFLP analysis using these antigen genes demonstrated polymorphism in field stocks of *T. parva* in Kenya, although the majority of field stocks isolated from two regions of Zambia were relatively homogeneous (Geysen



*et al.*, 1999). Recently, mini- and micro-satellite markers have been developed for characterizing *T. parva* stocks which enable detection of higher levels of polymorphism than PCR-RFLP methods (Oura *et al.*, 2003; 2005). However, the use of microsatellite markers is limited to parasite clones and cannot be directly used to characterize field samples, which usually contain complex mixtures of multiple *T. parva* strains.

The gene coding for the p104 antigen was selected for PCR-RFLP analysis since there is limited polymorphism in this gene, especially amongst cattle-type alleles, thus allowing distinction of buffalo-type from cattle-type alleles (Geysen *et al.*, 1999). *Theileria parva* field samples obtained from buffalo often comprise multiple strains of *T. parva* parasites, which complicate characterization by PCR-RFLP. To overcome this challenge, p104 PCR products were cloned and sequenced.

# 5.3 Materials and methods

## 5.3.1 Sample collection

Blood samples were collected in EDTA vaccutainer tubes from buffalo from three game parks, and cattle from farms where cattle theileriosis was suspected, in South Africa. The *T. parva*-specific real-time PCR assay was used to screen for *T. parva*-positive samples using the reaction and cycling conditions described previously (Chapter 3; Sibeko *et al.*, 2008). A total of 100 *T. parva*-positive samples, including 91 buffalo and nine cattle samples (Table 5.1), were investigated. In addition to these, two DNA samples from cattle-derived *T. parva* stocks, Muguga (Brocklesby *et al.*, 1961) and Katete (Geysen, 2000), from Kenya and Zambia, respectively, were also analysed as reference samples.

## 5.3.2 DNA isolation

The High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) was used for extraction of DNA from 200  $\mu$ l of EDTA blood samples. DNA extractions were performed according to the method described by the kit manufacturers, except that DNA was eluted in 100  $\mu$ l elution buffer. DNA was stored at 4°C until further analysis.



## 5.3.3 Analysis of the p104 gene from *T. parva* samples using PCR-RFLP

The *Theileria* semi-nested p104 PCR-RFLP was performed as described by De Deken *et al.* (2007). Briefly, the variable region of the p104 gene was amplified using primers p104F2 (5'-CCA CCA TCT CCT AAA CCA CCG TT-3') and p104R (5'-TAA GAT GCC GAC TAT TAA TGA CAC CAC AA-3') for the primary PCR, and primers p104nF (5'-ACC ACC GTT TGA TCC ATC ATT CA-3') and p104R for the secondary PCR. Five microlitres of genomic DNA was used in a 25  $\mu$ l amplification reaction for the primary PCR, and 0.5  $\mu$ l of the primary PCR product was used as a template for the secondary PCR, using the reaction and cycling conditions previously described (De Deken *et al.*, 2007). Amplicons were digested overnight with the restriction enzyme *Alu*I and the digested products were separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel before DNA detection by SYBR<sup>®</sup> green (SIGMA-ALDRICH, USA) for RFLP analysis.



Chapter 5

### Table 5.1 Geographic origin and source of blood samples (n=100) used for characterization of *T. parva* parasites

Geographical location	Province	Sample Name*	Source of blood sample	Year of collection/ Reference
Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park (n=39)	KwaZulu-Natal	HIP 1, HIP 3, HIP 4, <b>HIP 5</b> , HIP 6, HIP 7, HIP 8, HIP 9, HIP 10, HIP 11, HIP 12, HIP 13, HIP 14, HIP 15, HIP 16, HIP 18, <b>HIP 19</b> , HIP 20, HIP 21, HIP 22, HIP 23, HIP 24, HIP 25, HIP 26, HIP 27, HIP 28, HIP 29, HIP 30, HIP 31, HIP 32, HIP 33, HIP 34, HIP 35, HIP 36, HIP 37, HIP 39, HIP 40, HIP 41, HIP 42	Buffalo	2004
Kruger National Park (n=46)	Mpumalanga	KNP 47, KNP 48, KNP 49, KNP 50, KNP 61, KNP 62, <b>KNP</b> 63, KNP 66, KNP 67, KNP 68, <b>KNP 102</b> , KNP AA5, <b>KNP</b> AB47, KNP AD3, KNP B2, <b>KNP B10</b> , KNP B22, KNP D11, KNP D24, KNP E7, KNP E18, KNP E20, KNP F9, KNP G2, KNP G11, KNP H8, KNP H15, KNP I23, <b>KNP L6</b> , KNP L27, KNP M2, KNP M12, KNP N1, KNP N8, KNP O1, KNP O11, <b>KNP P7</b> , KNP S17, KNP U3, KNP U20, KNP V5, <b>KNP W8</b> , KNP X4, <b>KNP Y4</b> , KNP Y19, KNP Z4	Buffalo	2003
Ladysmith (n=6)	KwaZulu-Natal	Lad 2, Lad 6, Lad 10, Lad 11, Lad 15, Lad 17	Bovines	2003
Mabalingwe Game Reserve (n=6)	Limpopo	Mab A13, Mab BB43, Mab B21, Mab BB37, Mab A22, Mab BB38	Buffalo	2004
Lydenburg (n=1)	Mpumalanga	Lyd N254	Bovine	2004
Bloemfontein (n=1)	Free-State	Bloe B	Bovine	2004
Schoonspruit (n=1)	Mpumalanga (former Transvaal)	Schoonspruit	Bovine	Neitz (1948), Collins (1997)

Samples shown in bold were used for sequencing



### 5.3.4 PCR-RFLP profile analysis

PCR-RFLP patterns were analysed using BioNumerics software, version 5.1 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Normalization of the RFLP profiles was done using the molecular weight marker 100 bp DNA Ladder (Fermentas Life Sciences, Germany), which was run in two lanes per gel of fifteen wells. DNA fragments of less than 100 bp were excluded from the analysis as their size could not be estimated accurately using the 100 bp DNA ladder; moreover, in some instances these fragment had run out of the gel. The software was used to calculate Dice coefficients of similarity, to cluster the RFLP profiles and to generate dendrograms by the unweighted-pair group method using average linkages (UPGMA). The most appropriate settings for optimization and tolerance, as determined by the software, were calculated. Samples with similar RFLP profiles obtained from different animals were defined as clusters.

### 5.3.5 Cloning and sequencing of p104 PCR products

PCR products from 19 *T. parva* samples, representative of each cluster group, were selected for cloning and sequencing (shown in bold in Table 5.1). PCR products were cloned into the pCR<sup>®</sup>2.1-TOPO<sup>®</sup> cloning vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). The presence of inserts in recombinants was confirmed by colony PCR following the secondary PCR protocol used above. At least four clones were sequenced from each sample; sequencing was performed by the Genetic Service Facility of the University of Antwerp in Belgium.

### 5.3.6 Sequence analysis

Sequences were assembled and edited using the GAP4 program of the Staden package (version 1.6.0 for Windows) (Bonfield *et al.*, 1995; Staden, 1996; Staden *et al.*, 2000). A multiple sequence alignment of p104 amino acid sequences was performed using MAFFT version 6 (Katoh *et al.*, 2002) (<u>http://align.bmr.kyushu-u.ac.jp/mafft/software</u>), and maximum parsimony and Bayesian analyses were used to produce phylogenetic trees. The maximum parsimony analysis was performed in PAUP\*4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003) with 1000 random addition sequence followed by bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping and branch support was assessed with 100 bootstrap replicates. The Bayesian analysis was performed using MrBayes v3.1.1 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). A Markov chain Monte Carlo run of five million generations consisting of four parallel MCMC chains was performed.



# 5.4 Results

### 5.4.1 p104 PCR-RFLP profile analysis

A p104 PCR product of ~800 bp in size was obtained from all samples analyzed in this study (results not shown). From visual analysis, cattle samples, Lad 02, Lad 06, Lad 10 (from Ladysmith) and *T. parva* Schoonspruit had an identical PCR-RFLP profile to that of *T. parva* Muguga, a stock from Kenya responsible for ECF (Figure 5.1).

The cluster analysis using BioNumerics revealed two major groups, A and B, and the clustering correlated broadly with geographic origin of the samples (Figures 5.2 and 5.3). Group A consisted mainly of samples obtained from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Game Park (39/49, 79.6%) and group B consisted largely of samples from Kruger National Park (KNP) (42/51, 82.4%), although KNP samples were also present in group A. Three subgroups were identified in each of the two major groups. Subgroup A1 consisted mainly of samples from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi while samples from Ladysmith and KNP appeared in subgroups A2 and A3. The majority of samples (42/50, 84%) in subgroups B1, B2 and B3 came from KNP. Samples from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi produced a relatively homogeneous fingerprint, with most (32/39, 82%) appearing in subgroup A1, while those from KNP buffalo samples were heterogeneous and appeared in all six fingerprint groups (Figures 5.2 and 5.3). The p104 RFLP profiles from four cattle samples, Lad 02, Lad 06, Lad 10 and T. parva Schoonspruit, with profiles similar to that of T. parva Muguga from visual inspection, grouped with T. parva Muguga on the dendrogram (Figures 5.2 and 5.3). These cattle samples clustered in group A amongst p104 profiles from buffalo samples from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi (Figures 5.2 and 5.3). Other cattle samples, Lad 11, Lad 15, Lad 17, Bloe B and Lyd N254 grouped with samples in group B which contained mainly buffalo samples from KNP. The T. parva Muguga-like cattle-type p104 fingerprints were not obtained from any of the buffalo samples analyzed in this study.





**Figure 5.1** p104 gene *Alu*I RFLP profiles showing the *T. parva* Muguga RFLP profile and identical profiles obtained from cattle samples from a farm near Ladysmith.





**Figure 5.2** A simplified similarity dendrogram for p104 PCR-RFLP profiles cluster analysis based on the Dice Coefficient analysis produced using BioNumerics v5.1.





**Figure 5.3** A detailed similarity dendrogram for p104 PCR-RFLP profiles cluster analysis based on the Dice Coefficient analysis produced using BioNumerics v5.1 showing actual profiles used to create the dendrogram. Figure 5.3 continues on page 110.



Chapter 5





## 5.4.2 p104 gene sequence analysis

To confirm results obtained by RFLP profile cluster analysis and to establish p104 alleles that occur in the different subgroups, PCR products from representatives of each cluster group were cloned and sequenced. Fifty-three good quality p104 sequences were obtained from clones produced from amplicons of the 19 selected *T. parva* samples. Except for two, the p104 amino acid sequences obtained from *T. parva* field samples analyzed in this study were not identical to the p104 sequences previously reported by Skilton *et al.* (2002) although they were very similar with sequence identities raging from 92-99% in the region analyzed. Skilton *et al.* (2002) identified four p104 alleles representing p104 amino acid sequences obtained from different *T. parva* stocks of cattle and buffalo in East Africa. According to Skilton *et al.* (2002) allele 1 (accession number: M2954) represents the *T. parva* Muguga p104 amino acid sequence (Iams *et al.*, 1990); allele 2 (accession number: AY034069) is found in the Marikebuni and Uganda *T. parva* stocks and alleles 3 (accession number: AY034070) and 4 (accession number: AY034071) represent the *T. parva* Boleni and 7014 p104 amino acid sequences, respectively. Muguga, Marikebuni, Uganda and Boleni are cattle-derived *T. parva* stocks whereas 7014 is a buffalo-derived *T. parva* stock.

Both maximum parsimony and Bayesian analyses produced similar groupings of p104 sequences; however, the maximum parsimony analysis did not resolve the differences within groups containing alleles 2 and 3. Therefore only the phylogenetic tree based on Bayesian analysis is presented (Figure 5.4). Of the 53 South African p104 sequences, one sequence from the buffalo T. parva sample MabA13 (clone 6) had a deletion of 17 amino acids in the region analysed. The p104 sequences obtained in this study could be grouped into two main clades, A and B, and each of the major clades could be divided into two sub-clades, A1 and A2, and B1 and B2 (Figure 5.4). Alleles 2 and 3 grouped in sub-clade A2 and alleles 1 and 4 in sub-clade B1. Two p104 amino acid sequences obtained from samples collected from cattle grouped closely to the T. parva Muguga p104 sequence and both had sequence identity of 100% (Lad10 and T. parva Schoonspruit) to that of the T. parva Muguga p104 amino acid sequence. In addition to these, a p104 sequence obtained from a buffalo T. parva sample, KNP B10\_3.0.2, had 97% sequence identity to that of T. parva Muguga and grouped closely to p104 sequences from Lad10, T. parva Schoonspruit and T. parva Muguga in sub-clade B1. Apart from T. parva Katete, no sequences grouped closely to allele 2; similarly no sequences grouped closely with allele 3. Sequence identities of 96-97% were obtained from sequences that grouped closely with p104 allele 4 (KNP102 8 3, KNPW8 8.0.2, KNPAB47 8.0.1).



The groups obtained from PCR-RFLP cluster analysis did not correlate with the clades identified by phylogenetic analysis of p104 amino acid sequences (Table 5.2). Samples with sub-clade B1 p104 sequences were found in almost all RFLP subgroups. Unfortunately, DNA from samples of reference sequences was not available for PCR-RFLP analysis and it was therefore not possible to determine where the p104 profiles from these samples would fit in the cluster analysis.





**Figure 5.4** Phylogenetic relationship of *T. parva* strains as revealed by p104 amino acid sequence analysis. The phylogenetic tree was calculated by Bayesian analysis; the first 10,000 trees were discarded as burnin and the majority-rule consensus tree was generated.



**Table 5.2**Comparison of p104 PCR-RFLP cluster analysis and phylogenetic analysis of p104<br/>amino acid sequences from 19 selected *T. parva* samples

Major PCR-RFLP	PCR-RFLP	Sample name	p104 phylogenetic analysis
cluster group	cluster subgroup	_	sub-clade
A		HIP 5	B2
	A1	HIP 19	A1, B2
		KNP 102	B1
		Mab BB37	A1
	A 2	Lad 10	B1
	A2	Schoonspruit	B1
	A3	KNP W8	A1, A2, B1, B2
B -	B1	Mab BB43	B1
		KNP AB47	A1, B1, B2
		Mab A13	A1
	B2	KNP P7	A2
		Mab BB38	B1
		KNP 63	A2, B1
	B3	Lad 15	B1
		Lad 17	A2
		Bloe B	B2
		KNP B10	A2, B1
		KNP L6	A2
		KNP Y4	A1, A2, B1, B2

Samples shown in bold were obtained from cattle

# 5.5 Discussion

In South Africa, ECF, caused by the blood parasite *T. parva* was eradicated in 1954 and there have been no reports of ECF since then (Anonymous, 1981). However, the tick vector *R. appendiculatus* and the parasite that causes Corridor disease still occur and therefore this disease is a controlled disease in South Africa. It is not clear if the *T. parva* parasites that cause ECF existed in buffalo before the outbreak of ECF or if these parasites were introduced with the livestock imported from East Africa. This study was performed to establish if cattle-type *T. parva* p104 alleles exist in buffalo in South Africa.

Cluster analysis of *T. parva* p104 RFLP profiles revealed clustering correlating broadly with geographic origin. Samples from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi produced an apparently homogeneous fingerprint, and appeared in the same subgroup (A1), while those from KNP buffalo samples were heterogeneous and appeared in all six subgroups. This finding could suggest that there is a less diverse population of *T. parva* parasites circulating in the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi game park as evidenced by the relatively homogeneous RFLP profiles, while the heterogeneous

Chapter 5



profiles obtained from *T. parva* samples from buffalo from KNP might be evident of a more diverse population.

The phylogenetic analysis of p104 amino acid sequences revealed two major clades, A and B, with sub-clades A1 and A2, and B1 and B2, respectively. p104 alleles 2 (*T. parva* Marikebuni) and 3 (*T. parva* Boleni) grouped in sub-clade A2. Similarly, alleles 1 (*T. parva* Muguga) and 4 (*T. parva* 7014) grouped in sub-clade B1. From this analysis, allele 1 and allele 4 seem to have evolved from a common ancestor. A separate speciation event appears to have given rise to the cattle-type p104 allele in the cattle-derived *T. parva* isolates Marikebuni and Katete. These results support data obtained from analysis of the p67 gene (Chapter 4; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010), and provide further evidence to suggest that *T. parva* was originally a buffalo parasite, and that strains that circulate in cattle are likely to originate from buffalo. Novel p104 sequences which were not similar to known p104 alleles were identified in sub-clades A1 and B2. It is not clear if sequences in these two sub-clades are variants of the known sequences; analysis of the complete p104 gene sequence from alleles 1, 2, 3 and 4 and 'new' alleles would be required for comparison studies to confirm this.

There was no significant correlation between data obtained from PCR-RFLP cluster analysis and the phylogeny obtained from sequence analysis. This is probably because field samples usually contain mixed infections. The PCR-RFLP cluster analysis therefore represents an overall p104 profile of all the *T. parva* strains in the sample, whereas each p104 sequence represents a single *T. parva* strain. Therefore, with mixed infections, several individual sequences can be obtained from clones from a single sample and these can be distributed in different clades. For example, p104 sequences obtained from clones prepared from KNPW8 (a buffalo sample) occurred in all different clades on the phylogenetic tree. Furthermore, in cases of mixed infections, PCR-RFLP cluster groups would be overwhelmed by profiles of dominant parasites, whereas profiles from less dominant parasites, which will probably not be apparent on the profile, might have grouped differently. The PCR-RFLP cluster analysis of profiles produced from clones would probably give a different picture and would be more likely to correlate better with the sequence data analysis.

Three cattle samples from a farm in Ladysmith and *T. parva* Schoonspruit had a cattle-type *T. parva* p104 RFLP profile identical to that of *T. parva* Muguga, and the p104 amino acid sequences obtained from Lad 10 and *T. parva* Schoonspruit were identical to the *T. parva* Muguga p104 sequence in the region analyzed. Since *T. parva* Schoonspruit was isolated



during the ECF outbreak (Neitz, 1948), these results provide evidence that the *T. parva* parasites present in South Africa during the ECF epidemic were similar to those that cause ECF in East Africa. Interestingly, in a separate study, analysis of the p67 gene from Lad 10 revealed the presence of a p67 allele identical to that of *T. parva* Muguga (Chapter 4; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010). Taken together, these findings suggest that there may have been selection of cattle-type *T. parva* parasites in cattle on this farm. However, classical ECF was not diagnosed in cattle on the Ladysmith farm and reports of cattle-to-cattle transmission could not be substantiated, although *T. parva* carrier cattle were identified (Thompson *et al.*, 2008). While the presence in South African cattle of a parasite apparently similar to *T. parva* Muguga is a concern, there is no evidence to suggest that genotypes at individual loci such as p104 and p67, can be linked to the pathogenicity of the isolate.

p104 PCR-RFLP fingerprints obtained from other cattle samples from the Ladysmith farm (Lad 11, Lad 15 and Lad 17), grouped with p104 profiles from buffalo samples. The p104 amino acid sequences obtained from Lad 15 and Lad 17 grouped in sub-clades B1 and B2, respectively. The PCR-RFLP analysis and the sequence analysis therefore suggest that *T. parva* parasites circulating in some of the cattle on the Ladysmith farm may have been transmitted from buffalo to cattle, but there was no evidence of contact between these cattle and buffalo (Thompson *et al.*, 2008).

p104 PCR-RFLP fingerprints obtained from other cattle samples (Bloe B, Lyd 254) also grouped with profiles from buffalo samples suggesting that these *T. parva* parasites may have been transmitted from buffalo to cattle. These results were supported by the sequence analysis; the p104 sequence obtained from Bloe B grouped in sub-clade B2 with other p104 sequences from *T. parva* parasites obtained from buffalo. In South Africa, contact between cattle and buffalo is strictly controlled. However, in some areas cattle are grazed in pastures adjacent to game parks where buffalo are kept. If cattle break into or buffalo break out of the park where the vector tick is present, naive cattle are likely to be infected by *T. parva* infected buffalo. This may have been the case with these animals.

None of the p104 sequences obtained from buffalo *T. parva* samples in this study were identical to any of the previously reported p104 sequences (Skilton *et al.*, 2002). The sequence identities of p104 sequences obtained from buffalo in this study, when compared with published sequences, ranged from 94 to 97%. This finding suggests that *T. parva* p104



alleles in South African buffalo are more diverse and that variations in the p104 gene may not be as limited as previously thought (Geysen *et al.*, 1999).

Sequences obtained from three buffalo *T. parva* samples from KNP grouped closely with the p104 allele 4 sequence. One of these sequences came from a *T. parva* sample obtained from buffalo KNP 102; this isolate has previously been used in a tick transmission experiment where the infected bovine eventually died from classical Corridor disease (Chapter 3; Sibeko *et al.*, 2008). Characterization of the p67 gene from this isolate showed the presence of sequences similar to both cattle- and buffalo-type p67 alleles, and, in addition to these, two novel p67 alleles (Chapter 4; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010). Although the KNP 102 *T. parva* isolate could cause Corridor disease, when a mix of parasites exists in an isolate it is impossible to determine which of these were responsible for inducing the disease.

Although alleles 2 and 3 grouped in sub-clade A2, none of the p104 sequences obtained in this study grouped closely with either of these alleles. Allele 2 was obtained from *T. parva* Marikebuni, which causes ECF in Kenya. Allele 3 was identified in *T. parva* Boleni, which was isolated in Zimbabwe and is a heterogeneous isolate that has both mild and pathogenic strains; the mild stock is used for vaccination against ECF (Irvin *et al.*, 1989). Previous studies have shown that *T. parva* Boleni is genetically completely different at the p104, p150, p32 and PIM loci from the classical *T. parva* genotypes found in cattle, suggesting that it is a buffalo-type parasite, isolated from cattle (Dirk Geysen, pers. comm.). Interestingly, a sequence similar to p104 allele 1 was obtained from a buffalo sample, KNP B10. Variants of p67 allele 1 were also identified from buffalo samples from KNP (Chapter 4; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010). These results could suggest that parasites with characteristics similar to cattle-derived *T. parva* populations circulating in buffalo in KNP. However, it is not clear if parasites that possess these alleles can cause disease and their significance in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa needs to be established.

# 5.6 Summary

In summary, we have demonstrated that p104 amino acid sequences very similar to those of *T. parva* Muguga were present in *T. parva* parasites on a farm in South Africa where cattle theileriosis was reported. These findings, corroborated by the results obtained when p67 was used to characterize *T. parva* parasites from this farm (Chapter 4; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010), remain a concern even though ECF has not been reported in South Africa since its eradication in



1954. While the cattle-type p104 alleles 2 (*T. parva* Marikebuni) and 3 (*T. parva* Boleni) grouped with p104 sequences from buffalo in South Africa, none of the South African p104 sequences obtained in this study grouped closely with either of these alleles. However, variants of p104 allele 1 (*T. parva* Muguga) were identified in buffalo. The significance of these parasites in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa will have to be determined and the risk of disease evaluated. However, from the data obtained in this study, sequence variation in the region of the p104 gene analysed cannot be used to differentiate reliably between the cattle-type and the buffalo-type alleles because of the high sequence similarity between the two. The challenge to identify more reliable and specific markers that can be directly associated with different disease syndromes caused by *T. parva* still remains. This is crucial for the design and implementation of preventative measures in South Africa to protect livestock against disease caused by *T. parva* infections.



# 5.7 References

- Allsopp, B.A., Carrington, M., Baylis, H., Sohal, S. and Dolan, T., 1989. Improved characterization of *Theileria parva* isolates using the polymerase chain reaction and oligonucleotide probes. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **35**, 137-48.
- Anonymous, 1981. The eradication of East Coast fever in South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **52**, 71-73.
- Bishop, R.P., Sohanpal, B.K., Allsopp, B.A., Spooner, P.R., Dolan, T.T., Morzaria, S.P., 1993. Detection of polymorphisms among *Theileria parva* stocks using repetitive, telomeric and ribosomal DNA probes and anti-schizont monoclonal antibodies. *Parasitology*, **107**, 19-31.
- Bishop, R., Geysen, D., Spooner, P., Skilton, R., Nene, V., Dolan, T. and Morzaria, S., 2001. Molecular and immunological characterization of *Theileria parva* stocks which are components of 'Muguga cocktail' used for vaccination against East Coast fever in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **94**, 227-37.
- Bonfield, J. K., Smith, K.F. and Staden, R., 1995. A new DNA sequence assembly program. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **23**, 4992-99.
- Brocklesby, D.W., Barnett, S.F. and Scott, G.R., 1961. Morbidity and mortality rates in East Coast fever (*Theilaria parva* infection) and their application to drug screening procedures. *British Veterinary Journa*, **117**, 529-31.
- Collins, N.E., 1997. The relationship between *Theileria parva parva* and *T. parva lawrencei* as shown by sporozoite antigen and ribosomal RNA gene sequences. Ph.D. Thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa.
- Collins, N.E. and Allsopp, B.A., 1999. *Theileria parva* ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences exhibit extensive polymorphism and mosaic evolution: application to the characterisation of parasites from cattle and buffalo. *Parasitology*, **83**, 541-51.
- Conrad, P.A., ole-MoiYoi, O.K., Baldwin, C.L., Dolan, T.T., O'Callaghan, C.J., Njammungeh, R.E.G., Grootenhuis, J.G., Stagg, D.A., Leitch, B.L. and Young, A.S., 1989. Characterization of buffalo-derived theilerial parasites with monoclonal antibodies and DNA probes. *Parasitology*, **98**, 179-88.



- De Deken, R., Martin, V., Saido, A., Madder, M., Bradt, J. and Geysen, D., 2007. An outbreak of East Coast Fever on the Comoros: A consequence of the import of immunised cattle from Tanzania? *Veterinary Parasitology*, 143, 245-53.
- Geysen, D., 2000 The application of Molecular Biology techniques to analyse diversity in *T. parva* populations in Zambia. Ph.D. Thesis, Brunel University, Uxbridge.
- Geysen, D., Bishop, R., Skilton, R., Dolan, T.T. and Morzaria, S., 1999. Molecular epidemiology of *Theileria parva* in the field. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, **4**, A21-27.
- Iams, K.P., Hall, R., Webster, P. and Musoke, A.J., 1990. Identification of λgt11 clones encoding the major antigenic determinants expressed by *Theileria parva* sporozoites. *Infection and Immunity*, **58**, 1828-34.
- Irvin, A.D, Morzaria, S.P., Munatswa, E.C. and Norval, R.A.I., 1989. Immunization of cattle with a *Theilaria parva bovis* stock from Zimbabwe protects against challenge with virulent *T. parva parva* and *T. parva lawrencei* stocks from Kenya. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **32**, 271-78.
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. and Miyata, T., 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30, 3059-66.
- Lawrence, J.A., Norval, R.R. and Uilenberg, G., 1983. *Rhipicephalus zambeziensis* as a vector of bovine theileriae. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, **15**, 39-42.
- Lessard, P., L'Eplattenier, R., Norval, R.A., Kundert, K., Dolan, T.T., Croze, H., Walker, J.B., Irvin, A.D. and Perry, B.D., 1990. Geographical information systems for studying the epidemiology of diseases caused by *Theilaria parva*. *Veterinary Records*, **126**, 255-62.
- Minami, T., Spooner, P.R., Irvin, A.D., Ocama, J.G.R., Dobbalaere, D.A.E. and Fujinaga, T., 1983. Characterisation of stocks of *Theileria parva* by monoclonal antibody profiles. *Research in Veterinary Science*, **35**, 334-40.

Neitz, W.O., 1948. Studies on East Coast fever. South African Journal of Science, 1, 133-35.



- Oura, C.A., Odongo, D.O., Lubega, G.W., Spooner, P.R., Tait, A. and Bishop, R.P., 2003. A panel of microsatellite and minisatellite markers for the characterisation of field isolates of *Theileria parva*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **33**, 1641–53.
- Oura, C.A.L., Asiimiwe, B.B., Weir, W., Lubega, G.W., Tait, A.S., 2005. Population genetic analysis and sub-structuring of *Theileria parva* in Uganda. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **140**, 229–39.
- Potgieter, F.T., Stoltsz, W.H., Blouin, E.F. and Roos, J.A., 1988. Corridor Disease in South Africa: a review of the current status. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **59**, 155-60.
- Ronquist, F. and Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, **19**, 1572-74.
- Sibeko, K.P., Oosthuizen, M.C., Collins, N.E., Geysen, D., Rambritch, N.E., Latif, A.A., Groeneveld, H.T., Potgieter, F.T. and Coetzer, J.A.W., 2008. Development and evaluation of a real-time polymerase chain reaction test for the detection of *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 155, 37-48.
- Sibeko, K.P., Geysen, D., Oosthuizen, M.C., Matthee, C.A., Troskie, M., Potgieter, F.T., Coetzer, J.A.W. and Collins, N.E., 2010. Four p67 alleles identified in South African *Theileria parva* field samples. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **167**, 244-254.
- Skilton, R.A., Bishop, R.P., Katende, J.M., Mwaura, S and, Morzaria, S.P., 2002. The persistence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle immunized using two stocks which differ in their ability to induce a carrier state: analysis using a novel blood spot PCR assay. *Parasitology*, **124**, 265-76.
- Staden, R. 1996. The Staden sequence analysis package. *Molecular Biotechnology*, 5, 233-41.
- Staden, R., Beal, K.F. and Bonfield, J.K., 2000. The Staden package, 1998. Methods in Molecular Biology, 132, 115-30.
- Swofford, D.L., 2003. Phylogenetic analysis using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods). Version4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.



- Thompson, B.E., Latif, A.A., Oosthuizen, M.C., Troskie, M. and Penzhorn, B.L., 2008. Occurrence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle on a farm in the Ladysmith district, Kwa-Zulu-Natal, South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 79, 31-35.
- Young, A.S., Groocock, C.M. and Kariuki, D.P., 1988. Integrated control of ticks and tickborne diseases of cattle in Africa. *Parasitology*, **96**, 403-32.



# **CHAPTER 6**

Analysis of the gene encoding the *Theileria parva* polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM) reveals evidence of the presence of cattle-type alleles in South Africa

"There's two possible outcomes: if the result confirms the hypothesis, then you've made a discovery. If the result is contrary to the hypothesis, then you've made a discovery." **Enrico Fermi** 



# 6.1 Abstract

Analysis of two T. parva genes coding for the antigenic proteins p67 and p104, revealed the presence of cattle-type alleles identical to those of T. parva Muguga (a stock that causes ECF in Kenya) from T. parva samples collected from three cattle from a farm in Ladysmith. In addition, variants of p67 allele 1 and p104 allele 1, characteristic of the cattle-type alleles, were identified from T. parva samples obtained from buffalo from four game parks in South Africa. Consequently, polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM) PCR-RFLP profiles and inferred amino acid sequences were analyzed to confirm the presence of cattle-type alleles in T. parva samples obtained from cattle and buffalo in South Africa. PIM PCR-RFLP profiles similar to that of the T. parva Muguga stock were obtained from three of the six cattle samples from the Ladysmith farm and the inferred amino acid sequences of the PIM gene from two of these samples (Lad 02 and Lad 10) were almost identical to the T. parva Muguga PIM sequence. This finding supports recent studies in which p67 and p104 alleles similar to those of the T. parva Muguga stock were identified from the same Ladysmith samples. None of the PIM gene sequences obtained from T. parva field samples characterized in this study were identical, providing further evidence that the PIM gene evolves at an extremely high rate. Cattle-type PIM alleles were not identified from buffalo T. parva samples. In addition to sequences similar to known PIM alleles, for the first time, 'mixed' alleles consisting of cattleand buffalo-type amino acid motifs were identified. The significance of T. parva parasites carrying 'mixed' PIM alleles will have to be established and their risk to cattle evaluated. With the extent of genetic diversity that has been demonstrated by the three characterization studies presented in this thesis, the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa needs to be further investigated.

# 6.2 Introduction

The tick transmitted apicoplexan protozoan parasite, *Theileria parva*, is by far the most pathogenic and economically significant *Theileria* sp. in eastern, central and southern Africa (Mukhebi *et al.*, 1992). Infections by *T. parva* are associated with high mortality, primarily in exotic and crossbred cattle, but also in indigenous calves and adult cattle in endemically stable areas (Perry and Young, 1995). This places major constraints on cattle production and the expansion of the dairy industry. The Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) is the natural reservoir host of the parasite.



Tick transmission of the parasite from infected buffalo to susceptible cattle results in a disease syndrome called Corridor disease, while *T. parva* parasites that can circulate in cattle cause two disease syndromes, East Coast fever (ECF) and January disease (Theiler, 1904; Neitz, 1955; Lawrence, 1992). To distinguish between the different types of *T. parva* parasites, parasites that circulate in cattle and cause ECF and January disease are referred to as cattle-derived *T. parva* and parasites that originate from buffalo and cause Corridor disease are known as buffalo-derived *T. parva* (Perry and Young, 1993). East Coast fever was introduced into South Africa at the end of the 19th century and eradicated in the early 1950s (Anonymous, 1981). Although ECF was eradicated in southern Africa, its principal vector, the three-host ixodid tick *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*, is still widespread. Corridor disease is a controlled disease in South Africa and sporadic outbreaks still occur.

Studies on two *T. parva* genes, p67 and p104, presented in the previous two chapters, revealed the presence of cattle-type alleles identical to those of *T. parva* Muguga (a stock that causes ECF in Kenya) from *T. parva* samples collected from three cattle from a farm in Ladysmith, South Africa (Chapter 4; Chapter 5; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010). In addition, variants of p67 allele 1 and p104 allele 1, characteristic of the cattle-type alleles, were identified from *T. parva* samples obtained from buffalo from four game parks in South Africa, namely, Kruger National Park, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, Ithala and Mabalingwe (Collins, 1997; Chapter 4; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010). Unless these alleles can be associated with parasites that can cause fatal disease, their importance remains unclear. Consequently, another *T. parva* gene encoding an antigenic protein, the polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM), was investigated.

The PIM gene has previously been successfully used to differentiate between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* stocks (Geysen *et al.*, 1999; Bishop *et al.*, 2001). Although PIM is expressed by both the sporozoite and the schizont stages of the parasite, it is expressed predominantly by the schizont stage and is thus believed to play a role as a target antigen in the induction of the cytotoxic T cell response (Shapiro *et al.*, 1987; Toye *et al.*, 1991; Katende *et al.*, 1998; Shaw, 2003; Graham *et al.*, 2007). It is also capable of inducing sporozoite-neutralizing antibodies and has been exploited in discriminatory assays for *T. parva* isolates because of its highly conserved termini flanking a variable region with a highly polymorphic central region (Toye *et al.*, 1995a; 1995b; Bishop *et al.*, 2001; Geysen *et al.*, 1999; 2004; De Deken *et al.*, 2007). The variations in the central region of the PIM gene produce the polymorphism that has been exploited for discrimination between *T. parva* stocks (Geysen *et al.*, 1999; Bishop *et al.*, 2001).



In this study, the PIM gene was characterized to confirm the presence of cattle-type alleles in *T. parva* samples obtained from cattle and buffalo in South Africa. The diversity of the parasite populations circulating in buffalo and cattle in South Africa was also demonstrated.

# 6.3 Materials and methods

## 6.3.1 Sample collection

Blood samples were collected from buffalo from different game parks, and from cattle from farms with suspected theileriosis. The samples were collected in EDTA vaccutainer tubes and stored at -20 °C, for long term storage, or 4 °C for short term storage, before extraction of DNA.

## 6.3.2 DNA isolation and selection of *T. parva*-positive samples

Total DNA was extracted from 200  $\mu$ l of EDTA blood using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), according to the method described by the manufacturer, except that extracted DNA was eluted in 100  $\mu$ l elution buffer. Extracted DNA was stored at 4°C until further analysis. The presence of *T. parva* DNA was determined using the real-time PCR assay as previously described (Chapter 3; Sibeko *et al.*, 2008). One hundred and nine *T. parva*-positive samples consisting of 101 field samples collected from buffalo from different game parks, and eight collected from cattle from farms with suspected theileriosis, were investigated (Table 6.1).



#### Table 6.1 Geographical origin and source of blood samples (n=109) used for characterization of *T. parva* parasites

Geographical location	Province	Sample Name*	Host of blood sample	Date of collection/ References
Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park (n=38)	KwaZulu-Natal	HIP 1, HIP 3, HIP 4, <b>HIP 5</b> , HIP 6, HIP 7, HIP 8, HIP 9, HIP 10, HIP 11, HIP 12, HIP 13, HIP 14, HIP 15, HIP 16, HIP 18, HIP 19, HIP 20, HIP 21, <b>HIP 22</b> , HIP 23, HIP 24, HIP 25, HIP 26, HIP 27, HIP 28, HIP 30, HIP 31, <b>HIP 32</b> , HIP 33, HIP 34, HIP 35, HIP 36, HIP 37, HIP 38, <b>HIP 39</b> , HIP 42, HIP 49	Buffalo	2004
Kruger National Park (KNP) (n=47)	Mpumalanga	<b>KNP 39, KNP 42, KNP 43</b> , KNP 47, KNP 48, KNP 49, KNP 50, KNP 61, KNP 62, KNP 63, KNP 66, KNP 67, KNP 68, <b>KNP 102,</b> KNP AA5, KNP AB47, KNP AC10, KNP AD3, KNP B10, KNP B22, KNP D11, KNP D24, KNP E7, KNP E18, KNP F9, KNP G2, KNP G11, KNP H8, KNP J5, KNP L6, KNP L27, KNP M2, KNP M12, KNP M2706, KNP N1, KNP N8, KNP O1, <b>KNP O11</b> , KNP S17, KNP U3, KNP U20, <b>KNP V5</b> , <b>KNP W8</b> , KNP X4, KNP Y4, KNP Y19, KNP Z4	Buffalo	2003
Ladysmith (n=6)	KwaZulu-Natal	Lad 2, Lad 06, Lad 10, Lad 17 Lad M119, Lad I438	Bovines	2003 Thompson <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Mabalingwe Game Reserve (n=6)	Limpopo	Mab A13, Mab A22, Mab B21, Mab BB37, Mab BB38, Mab BB43	Buffalo	2004
Ithala Game Reserve (n=10)	KwaZulu-Natal	Itha 1, Itha 2, Itha 3, Itha 4, Itha 5, Itha 6, Itha 7, Itha 8, Itha 9, Itha 10	Buffalo	2005/6
Schoonspruit (n=1)	Mpumalanga	Schoonspruit	Bovine	Neitz (1948)
Bloemfontein (n=1)	Free-State	Bloe B	Bovine	2004

\*Samples in bold were selected for cloning to produce RFLP profiles from individual clones and only 27 of the 35 were used for sequencing (see Table 6.2).



#### 6.3.3 Amplification of the PIM gene from *T. parva* samples

The variable region of the *T. parva* PIM gene was amplified from *T. parva* positive DNA samples using the semi-nested PCR described by De Deken *et al.* (2007). A nested PCR was performed using primers Pim1 [5' GTG AAT GTT GTG ATC TTA ATC C 3'] and PimR4 [5' CCC ACA ACC GTG GAA TGG CGT A 3'] for the primary PCR and primers PimFm [5' ATT CCA CTG GTT CTT CCG ATS TA 3', where S = C or G] and PimR4 for the secondary PCR. Briefly, 5 µl of total DNA was used in a 25 µl amplification reaction for the primary PCR and half a microlitre of the primary PCR product was used as a template for the secondary PCR, using the reaction and cycling conditions previously described (De Deken *et al.*, 2007).

### 6.3.4 Analysis of the PIM gene from *T. parva* samples using PCR-RFLP

Restriction fragment length polymorphism was performed as described by De Deken *et al.* (2007); briefly, PCR products were digested overnight with the restriction enzyme, *Bcl*I; the digested products were separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel before DNA detection by SYBR<sup>®</sup> green (SIGMA-ALDRICH, USA). RFLP patterns were analysed by visual inspection and by using BioNumerics version 5.1 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Normalisation of the RFLP profiles was done using the molecular weight marker 100 bp DNA Ladder (Fermentas Life Sciences, Germany), which was run in two lanes per gel. The software was used to calculate Dice coefficients of similarity, to cluster the RFLP profiles and to generate dendrograms by the unweighted-pair group method using average linkages (UPGMA). The most appropriate settings for optimization and tolerance, as determined by the software, were calculated. DNA fragments of less than 100 bp Were excluded from the analysis as these could not be accurately estimated using the 100 bp DNA ladder and in some instances had run out of the gel. Samples with similar RFLP profiles obtained from different animals were defined as clusters.

### 6.3.5 Cloning and sequencing of PIM PCR products

The PIM PCR products from 34 selected *T. parva* samples, including 27 buffalo and seven cattle samples (shown in bold in Table 6.1), were cloned into pCR<sup>®</sup>2.1-TOPO<sup>®</sup> cloning vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA); at least 24 clones were screened for each sample. The presence of inserts in the recombinants was confirmed by colony PCR following the secondary PCR



protocol used above. Amplicons produced from colony PCR were digested with *Bcl*I to produce RFLP profiles for individual clones. Only clones that produced amplicons which successfully digested with *Bcl*I were considered for further analysis. Consequently, clones from 20 *T. parva* samples from buffalo and seven from cattle were sequenced using the ABI Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA); 300 to 450 ng of plasmid DNA were used in the sequencing reactions. Sequencing was performed by INQABA Biotechnologies in South Africa, using a SpectruMedix model SCE 2410 automated sequencer (SpectruMedix, State College, PA).

#### 6.3.6 Sequence analysis

The PIM gene sequences were assembled and edited using the GAP4 program of the Staden package (version 1.6.0 for Windows) (Bonfield *et al.*, 1995; Staden, 1996; Staden *et al.*, 2000). Sequences were aligned with previously published *T. parva* PIM sequences [Muguga (accession number: L06323), Marikebuni (accession number: L41148) and 7104 (accession number: L41833)] using MacClade v4.0 (Maddison and Maddison, 1992); the alignment was adjusted manually because of the highly polymorphic structure of the PIM gene. It was impossible to perform phylogenetic analysis for the PIM sequences because of the polymorphic nature of this gene.

## 6.4 Results

### 6.4.1 PIM PCR-RFLP profile analysis

The PIM gene PCR products obtained from *T. parva* positive samples analyzed in this study ranged in size from 0.7 to 1.2 kb (results not shown). Since PIM is a single copy gene (Toye *et al.*, 1995b), multiple infections were indicated by multiple PCR products in cases where the amplicon sizes obtained from a single sample varied.

From visual inspection of the PIM PCR-RFLP profiles, profiles from all 47 samples from KNP were heterogeneous (Figure 6.1a). However, the profiles obtained from 23/38 (61%) of the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi samples from buffalo were relatively homogeneous (Figure 1b). Similarly 4/6 (67%) samples from Mabalingwe and all 10 from Ithala produced relatively homogeneous profiles (Figures 6.1c and 6.1d). Further analysis of this result by cluster analysis using BioNumerics was not possible as the PCR-RFLP profiles were too complex, as


a result of mixed infections. The profiles were characterized by multiple bands from multiple PCR products; the PCR products were present at different concentrations resulting in multiple bands of different intensities. It was difficult to distinguish between bands from incompletely digested amplicons and authentic bands. Therefore, 27 samples representative of *T. parva* samples from buffalo that produced homogeneous and heterogeneous profiles as well as seven cattle samples (shown in bold in Table 6.1) were selected for cloning in order to produce RFLP profiles from individual clones.

Mixed infections were evident from 31/34 (91.2%) samples, as more than one profile was obtained from different clones of each of these samples. Three samples from Ladysmith (Lad 02, Lad 06 and Lad 10) were exceptions, as all clones from these samples produced only one profile and this profile was identical to that of *T. parva* Muguga (a *T. parva* stock causing ECF in Kenya) and *T. parva* Schoonspruit (an isolate obtained from a bovine infected during the ECF epidemic in the former Transvaal, now Gauteng Province, in South Africa) (Neitz, 1948) (Figure 6.2). When RFLP profiles obtained from clones produced from samples which had homogeneous overall profiles were visually analysed, it was observed that there were dominant profiles that were responsible for the apparently homogenous overall profile between different samples. For example, among other profiles, three profiles were found to be dominant in clones produced from the 10 samples from Ithala; the three profiles were obtained in, respectively, 20/61 (33%), 14/61 (23%) and 11/61 (18%) clones produced from four different samples.





(c)

(**d**)









**Figure 6.2** PIM gene *Bcl*I PCR-RFLP profiles obtained from (a) cattle *T. parva* samples from Ladysmith and (b) clones produced from cattle sample, Lad 10.

Cluster analysis of PCR-RFLP profiles using BioNumerics identified five cluster groups, A, B, C, D and E, from 261 clones produced from both buffalo and cattle *T. parva* samples (Figures 6.3 and 6.4). Cluster A was the largest group with 105/261 (40%) clones followed by cluster D with 73/261 (28%), then C (42/261, 16%), B (26/261, 10%) and E (15/261, 6%). No correlation with geographic distribution could be established from the major cluster groups. PIM profiles from clones obtained from KNP, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, Mabalingwe and Ithala buffalo *T. parva* samples were distributed in all five cluster groups on the dendrogram. Profiles from clones produced from cattle samples Lad 02, Lad 06 and Lad 10 grouped with *T. parva* Muguga and *T. parva* Schoonspruit profiles in cluster A (Figures 6.3 and 6.4). Although most PIM profiles in cluster A were obtained from buffalo samples, 42/48 (88%) of the PIM profiles from clones produced from the other cattle *T. parva* samples from Ladysmith and Bloemfontein grouped closely with profiles obtained from buffalo samples from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi and Ithala in different subgroups within cluster A (Figures 6.3 and 6.4).



**Figure 6.3** A simplified similarity dendrogram generated by BioNumerics v5.1 cluster analysis of PCR-RFLP profiles from cloned PIM amplicons using the Dice Coefficient analysis.





**Figure 6.4** A detailed similarity dendrogram generated by BioNumerics v5.1 cluster analysis of PCR-RFLP profiles from cloned PIM amplicons using the Dice Coefficient analysis showing actual profiles used to produce the dendrogram. Figure 6.4 continues on pages 136 to 139.



















Specific 'signatures' composed of several small fragments (less than 150 bp) were produced in the PIM PCR-RFLP profiles obtained from some *T. parva* field samples from KNP and Hluhluwe-iMfolozi. Two specific 'signatures' were associated with some RFLP profiles from clones from KNP samples. One of these was defined by five small DNA fragments of sizes ~50, 60, 80, 100 and 120 bp, and the other defined by six fragments of sizes ~50, 60, 80, 100, 120 and 130 bp (Figure 6.5a); both 'signatures' co-occurred with other bands of larger sizes. The Hluhluwe-iMfolozi 'signature' was characterized by four fragments of approximately 50, 80, 100 and 120 bp in size (Figure 6.5b). The KNP 'signatures' were observed in 26/52 (50%) clones from three samples, while the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi 'signature' was observed in almost all the clones (31/36, 86%) from the two Hluhluwe-iMfolozi *T. parva* samples that were analyzed. The Hluhluwe-iMfolozi 'signature' was also apparent in profiles from field samples obtained from buffalo from Ithala (Figure 6.1d), and from three bovines from Ladysmith (Lad 17, Lad I438 and Lad M119) and a bovine from Bloemfontein (results not shown). It was also found in profiles obtained from clones of PIM amplicons from these samples (results not shown).





**Figure 6.5** Characteristic 'signatures', indicated by brackets ([), were identified in *T. parva* PIM RFLP profiles obtained from cloned PCR products from samples collected from buffalo from Kruger National Park and Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Game Park. (a): PIM RFLP profiles with specific band 'signatures' obtained from clones from sample KNP W8 from Kruger National Park, characterized by five (clones 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 11) and six (clones 13 and 14) small DNA fragments of sizes ranging from ~ 50 to 130 bp. (b): PIM RFLP profiles obtained from clones from sample HIP 5 from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi with the 'four band signature' consisting of ~ 50, 80, 100 and 120 bp DNA fragments.



#### 6.4.2 PIM gene sequence analysis

Clones from different cluster groups were selected for sequencing. A total of 97 PIM sequences were obtained from cloned amplicons produced from 27 selected *T. parva*-positive samples (Table 6.2). Analysis of the amino acid alignment of the PIM sequences revealed three groups of PIM sequences, cattle-type, buffalo-type and 'mixed'-type (Figure 6.6).

#### Cattle-type PIM sequences:

A tetrapeptide repeat, QPEP (position 428-447 shown in a solid-line block in Figure 6.6), in the variable region was previously identified by Toye *et al.* (1995b) as characteristic of cattlederived *T. parva* PIM sequences. The amino acid sequences between positions 29 and 259 and positions 493 and 497 were also identified as exclusive to cattle-type PIM alleles in this study. In this way, seven PIM sequences obtained from samples investigated in this study were identified as cattle-type *T. parva* PIM sequences (Table 6.2). Six of these were obtained from two *T. parva* cattle samples from a farm in Ladysmith (Lad 02 and Lad 10) and one from *T. parva* Schoonspruit. These sequences had 98% identity to the *T. parva* Muguga PIM sequence, with one to three amino acid differences; the major difference being a deletion of eight amino acids at position 420-427 in the South African sequences (Figure 6.6). Cattle-type PIM sequences were not identified from samples obtained from buffalo in this study.



Table 6.2	Number of different types of PIM sequences obtained from clones produced from 27	
	selected T. parva samples	

Origin of sample	Sample	Type and number of PIM sequence(s) obtained							
	designation	Cattle-type (7 sequences obtained from 3 samples)	<b>Buffalo-type</b> (53 sequences obtained from 20 samples)'	<b>'Mixed' type</b> (37 sequences obtained from 12 samples)					
Kruger National Park	KNP V5	-	1	-					
(n=4)	KNP W8	-	-	4					
	KNP 102	-	2	-					
	KNP O11	-	2	-					
Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park	HIP 5	_	3	-					
(n=4)	HIP 19	-	3	2					
	HIP 22	-	1	4					
	HIP 32	-	6	4					
Ithala Game Reserve	Itha 2	_	1	2					
(n=9)	Itha 3	-	5	-					
	Itha 4	-	6	1					
	Itha 5	-	3	-					
	Itha 6	_	1	6					
	Itha 7	_	2	-					
	Itha 8	_	2	-					
	Itha 9	-	4	-					
	Itha 10	-	2	-					
Mabalingwe Game Reserve	Mab A13	-	5	-					
(n=3)	Mab BB38	_	2	-					
	Mab BB43	-	-	1					
Schoonspruit (n=1)	Schoonspruit	1	-	-					
Ladysmith	Lad 2	2	-	-					
(n=5)	Lad 10	4	-	-					
	Lad 17	-	-	7					
	Lad M119	-	-	1					
	Lad I438	-	1	2					
Bloemfontein (n=1)	Bloe B	-	1	3					

All samples in bold were obtained from cattle



	10	20	30	40	50	60	70 80	D	90	100		
T - 1 10 11						<u>  </u>						
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7	DSTGSSDLTHVDTES	NDTSSSSETSQ							SGQQG-I			
Lad 10-4/6	DSTGSSDVTOVDTES	NDTSSSSETSO	KPOPDOP				-ODOPDOHOOPT(	DGDT	SGOOG-1	P-DTPOP		
Lad 10-4/2	DSTGSSDVTQVDTES	NDTSSSSETSQ	KPOPDOP				-ODOPDOHOOPT	DGDT	SGOOG-1	P-DTPOP		Cattle-type
Lad 02-3/9	DSTGSSDVTQVGTES	NDTSSSSETSQ	CKPQPDQP				-QDQPDQRQQPT(	QGDT	SGQQG-1	P- <b>D</b> TPQP		
Lad 10-4/8	DSTGSSDVTQVDTES	NDTSSSSETSQ	QCKPQPDQP				-QDQPDQHQQPT(	2GDT	S <mark>GQQG</mark> —I	P- <b>D</b> TPQP		
Schoonspruit	DSTGSSDVTQVDTES	NDTSSSSETSQ	QCKPQPDQP				-QDQPDQHQQPT(	2 <b>GD</b> T	SGQQG-1	P- <b>D</b> TPQP		
T. parva Muguga	DSTGSSDVTQVDTES	NDTSSSSETSQ	QCKPQPDQP				-QDQPDQHQQPT(	2GDT	SGQQG-1	P-DTPQP		
T. parva Marikebuni	DSTGSSDVTQVDTES	NDTSSSSETSQ						2GDT	SGQQG-9		4	
HTP 22 8-17	DSTGSSDVTQVDSES	ND SSSSSETSQ							SCOOC-1			
Bloe B 4.0.	DSTGSSDVTOADSES	NDSSSSSETSO	OCOPOPDOP				-ODOPDOHOOPT(	DGDT	SGOOG-1	P-DTPOP		
Itha 6_c16-6	DSTGSSDVTQVDSES	NDTSSSSETSQ	OPOPDOP				QDOPDOHOOPT	DGDT	SGQQG-1	P-HTPOP		'Mixed'-type
HIP 32_1-18	DSTGSSDVTQVDSES	NDTSSSSETSQ	QCQPQPDQP				-QDQPDQHQQPT(	QGDT	SGQQG-1	P-HTPQP		infined type
Lad M119_9	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDSSSSSETSQ	QPQPDQP				-QDQPDQHQQPT(	2GDT	S <mark>GQQG</mark> —I	P- <b>D</b> TPQP		
Lad I438_10-10	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDSSSSSETSQ	QPQP <b>D</b> QP				-QDQPDQHQQPT(	2GDT	SGQQG-1	P- <b>D</b> TPQP		
Lad 17_10	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDSSSSSETSQ	20QPQPDQP	'			-QDQPDQRQQPT(	2GDT	SGQQG-1	P-DTPQP		
HIP 22_8-13	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDSSSSSETSQ	DOPORDOP	ZDOOOR-WOO				DODEDO		PTDORT-	4	
KNP 011 4/1	DSTGSSDVTOVDTES	NDDSSSSETSO	PPDOP	VD000P-V00	2	PSODOPSGPDS	SODOPVDHOOPT(	DADSSG-0	0 <mark>G</mark> 00000	PLDOPT-		
HIP 32 1-8	DSTGSSDVTQVDSES	NDTSSSSETSQ	POOPPDOP	VDOOOP-VOO	2 )	PSODOPSGPDS	SODOPVDHOOPT	ADSSG-Q	0 <b>G</b> 00000	PLDOPT-		
Itha3_c13-17	DSTGSSDLTHVDTEY	NDDSSSSETSQ	PQQPPDQP	VDQQQP-VQQ		PSQDQPSGPDS	SQDQPVDHQQPT(	ADSSG-Q	QGQQQQI	PLDQPT-		
HIP 32_1-1	DSTGSSDVTHVDTEY	NDDSSSSETSQ	QPQQPP <b>D</b> QP	VDQQQP-VQQ	2	PSQDQPSGPDS	SODOPVDHOOPT(	ADSSG-Q	Q <mark>G</mark> QQQQI	PLDQPT-		
KNP 102_05 5	DSTGSSDLTHVDTEY	NDDSSSSETSQ	QPQQPP <b>D</b> QP	VDQQQP-VQQ	2	PSQDQPSGPDS	SODOPVDHOOPT(	QADSSG-Q	Q <mark>G</mark> QQQQQ	PLDQPT-		
Lad 1438_10-19	DSTGSSDLTHVDTEY	NDDSSSSETSQ	0PQQPP <b>D</b> QP	VDQQQP-VQQ	2	PSQDQPSGPDS	SODOPVDHOOPT(	2ADSSG-Q	Q <mark>G</mark> QQQQI	PLDQPT-		
Mab A13_1/2	DSTGSSDLTHVDTEY	NDDSSSSETSQ	)PQQPP <b>D</b> QP	VD000P-V00		PSODOPSGPDS	<b>DOBADOBAD</b>	DADSSG-Q		PLDQPT-		Buffalo-type
Ithas 4_C14-18	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDDSSSSETGQ	DACOCEDCE.	VDHQQP-VQD	DSSGQQGQQP	ETPSQDQPSGQGE	PVE-PADQQQPTQ	2GDSSG-Q	QGQQI	PPVQPV-		• 1
$101a \ 5_015 \ 14$ Ttha 9 cl9-5	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDDSSSSETCO		VDHOOP-VOD	DSSGOOGOOP	ETPSODOPSGOGE		CDSSG-0	0 <mark>6</mark> 001	PPVOPV-		
Itha 2 cl2-14	DSTGSSDLTHVDTEY	NDDSSSSETSQ	POOPPDOP	VDQQQP				202020 2	2022			
HIP 19_2/8	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDSSSSSETLQ	GOOPPVOP	VDQQQT								
Mab BB38_114	DSTGSSDVTQVDTES	NDNSTSSETSQ	PBAEB	VDQQQT								
Bloe B_5-2	DSTGSSDVTQADSES	NDSSSSSETSQ	2 <mark>G</mark> Q									
KNP 102_5 57	DSTGSSDVTQVDSES	NDTSSSSETSQ	PTQD-DQP	VDQQQPT		QDQPSGQGE	PVE-PVDQPQPE	2PPVQPVD	HQI	PPVQPV-		
KNP V5 16	DSTGSSDITHVDTEY	NDDSSSSETSO	DEUOBBDOB	VD000P		QDQF36Q6F	FVE-FVDQFQFE		nQi			
HIP 32 1-2	DSTGSSDVTQVDSES	NDTSSSSETSQ	)PT			ODOPSGOPI	PVE-PVDQPQPE	PPVOPVD	OPOPEO	PPVQPV-		
HIP 19_2/1	DSTGSSDVTQVDSES	NDTSSSSETSQ	PT			QDQPSGQGI	PVE-PVDQ					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7	110    IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ	120 	130    200	140	150 PTQGDTSG	160 	170 180	)    2TPDQSGQ 2TPDQSGQ	190  QPGPDAI QPGPDTI	200 .   PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ	]	
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2	110 	120    GPVEPVDQG GPVEPVDQG GPVEPVDQG	130    200 200	140	150		170 180 	) 2TPDQSGQ 2TPDQSGQ 2TPDQSGQ 2TPDQSGQ	190  QPGPDAI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI	200 .   PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ	]	
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9	110 IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ	120    GPVEPVDQG GPVEPVDQG GPVEPVDQG GPVEPVDQG	130 	140	150 PTQCDTSG PTQCDTSG PTQCDTSG PTQCDTSG PTQCDTSG	160 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	170 180 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE	) TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ	190 <u>PGPDA</u> <u>PGPDT</u> <u>PGPDT</u> <u>QPGPDT</u>	200 		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8	110    IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPFGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPFGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPFGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPFGPVQPDQTGQ	120 GPVEPVDQ GPVEPVDQ GPVEPVDQ GPVEPVDQ GPVEPVDQ GPVEPVDQ	130    200 200 200 200	140	150 PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG	160 2000 200 PV01 2000 200 PV01 2000 200 200 200 2000 200 200 200 2000 200 200 200 2000 200 200 200 200	170 180 20DGQDSQCTPE( 20DGQDSQCTPE( 20DGQDSQCTPE( 20DGQDSQCTPE( 20DGQDSQCTPE( 20DGQDSQCTPE( 20DGQDSQCTPE(	) TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ	190  QPGPDAI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit	110 <b>IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ</b> <b>IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ</b> <b>IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ</b> <b>IQEPFGPVQPDQTGQ</b> <b>IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ</b> <b>IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ</b> <b>IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ</b>	120 	130 200 200 200 200 200 200	140	150 PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG	160 22602P0D0PV01 22602P0D0PV01 22602P0D0PV01 22602P0D0PV01 22602P0D0PV01 22602P0D0PV01 22602P0D0PV01	170 184 20DG0DSQGTPE 20DG0DSQGTPE 20DG0DSQGTPE 20DG0DSQGTPE 20DG0DSQGTPE 20DG0DSQGTPE 20DG0DSQGTPE	D DTPDQSGQ DTPDQSGQ DTPDQSGQ DTPDQSGQ DTPDQSGQ DTPDQSGQ DTPDQSGQ	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga	110 IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPFGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ	120 	130 	140	150 PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG 	160 20G02P0D2PV01 20G02P0D2PV01 20G02P0D2PV01 20G02P0D2PV01 20G02P0D2PV01 20G02P0D2PV01 20G02P0D2PV01 20G02P0D2PV01	170 188 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	190  QPGPDAI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI QPGPDTI QPGPDAI QPGPDTI	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Murgaga T. parva Marikebuni	110 102ESGP02P02T62 102ESGP02F02 102ESGP02F02 102ESGP02F02 102ESGP02F02 102ESGP02F02 102ESGP02F02 102ESGP02 102	120 	130 	140	150 	160 20G00P0D0PV01 20G00P0D0PV01 20G00P0D0PV01 20G00P0D0PV01 20G00P0D0PV01 20G00P0D0PV01 20G00P0D0PV01 0P0D0PV01	170 180 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE 20DGQDSQGTPE	D TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ TPDQSGQ	190 OPGPDAI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDAI OPGPDTI	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HTP 22 8-17	110 IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q IQEPSGPVQPD076Q	120 	130 	140	150 	160 20CQOPODOPVOI 20CQOPODOPVOI 20CQOPODOPVOI 20CQOPODOPVOI 20CQOPODOPVOI 20CQOPODOPVOI 20CQOPODOPVOI 	170 180 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE 20DeQDSQCTPE	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga B. parva 4.0 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B 4.0.	110 IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18( 32DGQDSQGTPE; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQDSQGTP; 32DGQD; 32DGQ	2 2 TPDQSGQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQSQ 2 TPDQ 2 TPDQ 2 TPDQ 2 TPDQSQQ 2 TPDQSQQ 2 TPDQS	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPQQQ 		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/2 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6	110 IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18( 	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPYYQ 		Cattle-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170         18(           20DGDSQTPP         20DGDSQTPP           20DGDSQCTPP         20DGDSQCTPP           20PGDQP         20DQQSQCTPP           20PGDQP         20DQQSQCTPP           20PGDQP         20DQQSQCTPP           20PGDQP         20DQQSQCTP           20PGDQP         20QQQSQCTP	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	190 	200 PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPQQQ PDQPVQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQPQQ PDQ PD		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160 :: 2000/PDDPV01 2000/PDDPV01 2000/PDDPV01 2000/PDDPV01 2000/PDDPV01 2000/PDDPV01 2000/PDDPV01 	170         18:	27PDQSGQ 27PQ 27PQ 27PQ 27PQ 27PQ 27PQ 27PQ 27P	190 OPGPDAI OPGPDAI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPG- DOPTG- DOPTG- DOPTG- DOPTG-	200 PDQPYQ PDQPYQ PDQPYQ PDQPYQ PDQPYQ PDQPYQ PDQPYQ PDQPYQ 		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/2 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B.4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10	110 IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQ IQEPSGPVQPDQ IQEPSGPVQPDQ IQEPSGPVQPDQ IQEPSGPVQPDQ IQEPSGPVQP IQEP	120 	130 	140 	150 	160 :: 20000P0DeV01 20000P0DEV00 2000P0DEV00P0DEV00 20000P0DEV00P0DEV00 2000P0DEV00P0DEV00P0DEV00 2000P0DEV0DEV0DEV0DEV0DEV0DEV0DEV0DEV0DEV0DEV	170 18 	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	190 OPGPDAI OPGPDAI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDTI OPGPDAI OPGPDAI OPGPTG	200 PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ 		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Muguga T.	110 	120 	130 	140	150 	160	170         18(           2DGQDSQCTPE         2DGQDSQCTPE           2DGQDSQCTPE         2DQQDQCQCTPE           2PSGQPI	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVQ 		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170         18:           SDG0DSQCTPE         SDG0DSQCTPE           SDG0DSQCTPE         SDG0DQQC           SDSD0DQQCDQQC         SDG0DQQQC           SDSD0DQQQQQC         SDG0DQQQC           SDSD0QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQ	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVQQ PQQQQ PQQQQ PQQQQ PQQQQQ PQQQQQ PQQQQQ PQQQQQ PQQQQQ PQQQQQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B.4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1	110 IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTQ IQEPSGPVQPDQ IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPS	120 	130 	140      POPEPOPDOP POPEPOPOPDOP	150 	160	170 181 	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVQQ PDQPQQQQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-7/ Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Murjuga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22.8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad I438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18( 	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190 	200 pDQPVYQ pDQPVYQ pDQPVYQ pDQPVYQ pDQPVYQ pDQPVYQ pDQPVYQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2 cl2-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 	Image: Constraint of the second sec	190 	200		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Eloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 7_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05_5	110 102952702020760 10295270200760 10295270200760 102952702000 102952702000 102952702000 102952702000 10295270200 1029527000 1029527000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 10295270000 102952700000 10295270000000000000000000000000000000000	120 	130 	140 POPEPOPDQP POPEPOPOQP POPEPOPOQP PPOPPOP PPOPPOP PPOPPOP PPOPOPO	150 PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDTSG PTQGDQTSG PTQGDQTG PTQGDQTG PTQGDQTG PTQDDQTG PTQDDTSG PTQDDTSG PTQDDTSG	160	170 18: 	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190 	200		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/2 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 HIP 22_8-17 Eloe B.4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 11_10 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19	110 IQEPSGPVQPDQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPDTGQ IQEPSGPV	120 	130 	140    POPEPOPODO POPEPOPODO PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOP- PEPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOP	150 	160	170 181	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190 	200  PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PDQPVQ PQQQQ PQQQQQ PQQQQQQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13 1/2	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 20Dc0D5QCTPE; 20Dc0D5QCTPE	2 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	190 	200 PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVQQ PQQQQ -QQQQQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 HIP 22_8-17 Eloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 32_1-18 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05_5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Htha3_cl3-18	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190			Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/2 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga T. parva Auguga HIP 22.8-17 Bloe B.4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 17.0 HIP 32_1-18 Lad 119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 HIP 32_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Itha3_c13-14	110 IQEPSGPVQPQTGQ IQEPSGPVQPQTQQ IQEPSGPVQP IQEPSGPV IQEPSGPVQP IQEPSGPV	120 	130 	140    POPEPOPOP POPEPOPOP POPEPOPOP PEPOP- PEOPOP- POPOP- POPOP- POPOP- POPOPOPOPOPOP	150	160	170 181 	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190	200  PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVQQ PDQPQQQQQ  QQQQQQ QQQQQQQ QQQQQQQQQQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad H19_9 Lad H438_10-10 Lad 17.10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad H38_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_cl4-18 Itha 3_cl3-14 Itha 3_cl3-14	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	190	200  PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ PDQPVYQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2 cl2-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B.4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad I438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 001_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Itha3_cl3-14 Itha3_cl3-14 Itha3_cl9-5 Itha3_cl9-5 Itha3_cl9-5 Itha3_cl9-5 Itha3_cl9-5	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 	2 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	190			Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 00-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga Dieb B.4.0. Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B.4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 32_1-18 Lad 1438_10-10 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_12 Itha3_c13-14 Itha3_c13-14 HIP 3_2.2-5 Itha2_c12-14 HIP 19_2/8 Mab B38_114	110 1029526902050 10205526902050 10205526902050 102055290 102055290 1020550	120 	130 	140    POPEPOPDOP POPEPOPOPO PEPOP- POPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOP	150 	160 :: QCCQPPDDPV01 QCCQPPDDPV01 QCCQPPDDPV01 QCCQPPDDPV01 QCCQPPDDPV01 QCCQPPDDPV01 QCCQPPDDPV01 QCCQPPDDPV01 QCPVEPVDQPCG QPVEPVDQPCG QPVEPVDQPCG QPVEPVDQPCG QPVPDVDPTG QPV2PVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQPCG Q	170 18: 	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190			Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_cl4-18 Itha 3_cl3-14 Itha 2_cl2-5 Itha 2_cl2-14 HIP 19_2/8 Mab BB38_114 Bloe B 5-2	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 20DG0D5QCTPE; 20DG0D5QCTPE	2 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	190	200  PDQPVYQ  PDQPVYQ  PDQPVYQ  PDQPVYQ  PDQPVYQ  PDQPVYQ  PDQPVYQ		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva Muguga Lad I438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad I438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 101_4/1 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 101_4/1 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05_5 Lad I438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Itha3_c13-14 HIP 32_19-5 Itha3_c19-5 Itha3_c19-5 Itha3_c14 HIP 19_2/8 Mab B836_114 Bloe B_5-2 KNP 102_5_57	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	190			Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 00-4/2 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 HIP 32_1-18 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_c14-18 Itha3_c13-14 HIP 3_2.12-14 HIP 19_2/8 Mab BB38_114 Bloe B_5-2 KNP 102_5 57 Mab A13_4/2	110 10295269020760 10295269020760 10295269020760 10295269020760 10295269020760 10295269020760 10295269020760 102952690200760 102952690200760 102952690200760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 1029526902000760 102952690200000 102952690200000 102952690200000 1029526902000000 1029526902000000 10295269020000000 10295269020000000000000000000000000000000	120 	130 		150 	160 :: QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCCQPPDDPVD QCQPPDDPVD QPVEPVDQPCG QPVEPVDQPCG QPVEPVDQPCG QPVEPVDQPCG QPVEPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPQDQPQPQPDPDPEP( QPQQQQQPQDQPQDPDPDPEP( QPQDQPQDQPQDQDPDDPEP( QPQDQPQDQDQDQDDPDD QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDPVDQPCG QPVDQPQDQDQDQ QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPQDQDQDQ QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQ QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQPCG QPVDQPVDQ QPVDQPVDQ QPVDQPQDQDQ QPVDQPVDQ QPVDQPQDQ QPVDQPVDQ QPVDQ	170 18: 	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	190			Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad H13.9 Lad H13.10-10 Lad 17.10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_c14-18 Itha3_c13-14 HIP 3_2/8 Mab BE38_114 Bloe B_5-2 KNP 102_5 57 Mab A13_4/2 KNP 102_16_1	110 	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170 18: 	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	190	200		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 00-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 001_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_c14-18 Itha3_c13-14 Itha3_c13-14 Itha9_c19-5 Itha 2_c12-14 HIP 19_2/8 Mab BB38_114 Bloe B_5-2 KNP 102_5 57 Mab A13_4/2 KNP 102_5 16 HIP 32_1-2	110 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028526V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1028527V02P076Q 1027527 102757 1027577 1027577 1027577 1027	120 	130 	140 	150 	160	170         18:           SQDGQDSQCTPE;         SQDGQDSQCTPE;           SQDGQDSQCTP;         SQDGQDSQCTPE;           SQDGQDSQCTP;         SQDGQDSQCTP;           SQDGQDSQCTP;         SQDGQDSQCTP;           SQDGQDSQC;         SQDGQDSQCTP;           SQDGQDSQC;         SQDGQDSQC;           SQDGQD;         SQDGQDSQC;           SQD;         SQDQQQ;           SQD;         SQDQQQ;           SQD;         SQDQQQ;           SQD;         SQDQQQ;           SQD; <td< th=""><th>) </th><th>190</th><th>200</th><th></th><th>Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type</th></td<>	) 	190	200		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type

**Figure 6.6** Multiple sequence alignment of the inferred PIM amino acid sequences obtained from buffalo and cattle *T. parva* samples collected from different geographical areas in South Africa (Table 6.1). PIM sequences were aligned with previously published *T. parva* PIM sequences, Muguga (accession number: L06323), Marikebuni (accession number: L41148) and 7104 (accession number: L41833). The sequence alignment was constructed manually because of the extreme polymorphism in the central region of the PIM gene. Amino acid motifs characteristic of cattle-type PIM sequence are shown in solid-line blocks including the tetrapeptide repeat characteristic of the central variable region of cattle-derived PIM sequences at positions 428-447. All amino acid motifs characteristic of buffalo-type PIM sequence are shown in broken-line blocks. Figure 6.6 continues on pages 145 and 146.





	210	220	230	240	250	260	270	280	290	300	
Lad 10-11	QQP <b>V</b> QQPS <mark>G</mark> QQQQP	QPRPQPQPDQP	VDQQQEPPT	PEDQPSGPDS	PDQPDQHH	QPTPAAQ				<b>ר</b>	
Lad 02-7	QQPVQQPSGQQQQP	QP <b>R</b> PQPQP <b>D</b> QP	VDQQQEPPT	PEGQPSGPDS	PDQPDQHH	QPTPAAQ					
Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2		QP <b>R</b> PQPQP <b>D</b> QP QP <b>R</b> PQPQP <b>D</b> QP	VDQQQEPPT VDQQQEPPT	PEDQPSGPDS	PDQPDQHH	QPTPAAQ QPTPAAQ					Cattle tons
Lad 02-3/9	<u>QQ</u> P <b>V</b> QQPS <mark>G</mark> QQQQP	QP <b>R</b> PQPQP <b>D</b> QP	VDQQQEPPT	PEDQPPGPDS	PDQPDQHH	QPTPAAQ					Cattle-type
Lad 10-4/8 Schoonspruit	QQPVQQPSGQQQQP OOPVOOPSGOOOOP	OPRPOPOPDOP OPRPOPOPDOP	VDQQQEPPT VD000EPPT	PEDQPSGPDS PEDOPSGPDS	PDQPDQHH PDOPDOHH	OPTPAAQ OPTPAAO					
T. parva Muguga	QQP <b>V</b> QQPS <mark>G</mark> QQQQP	QP <b>R</b> PQPQP <b>D</b> QP	VDQQQEPPT	PEDQPSGPDS	PDQPDQHH	QPTPAAQ					
T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2 cl2-11	PVOE	PAKDDPTGOOO	0	POPEPEPEOT	PETPPOOK	OPTPDDHPSC	OO-PODEPVOOE	OGAODSPTPD			
HIP 22_8-17	PPVQPVDQQQPVQE	PAKDDPTGQQQ	Q	PEPEPEQT	PETPPQQE	QPTPDDHPS(	QQ-GQQPPV-QG	QGAQDSPTPD	DOPVDHOO		
Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6 cl6-6	PPIOPVDHOOPVOE PPVOPVDOOOTPKE	PAKDDPTGQQQ PAKHDPTGQQQ	QPQ	PEPEPEPEQT	PETPPQQE	OPTPDDHPS(	QQ-PQGEPV-QG	OGAODSPTPD			
HIP 32_1-18	PPVQPVDQQQTPKE	PAKDDPTGQQQ	QPQ	POPOPEPEOT	PETPPQQE	QPTPDGHPS0	QQ-PQDEPV-QE	QRAQDSPTPD	DOPVDOOD		'Mixed'-type
Lad M119_9 Lad T438 10-10	PPVQPVDQQQ	PSKDDPTCOOO	0P0	DEDEDEDEOT							
Lad 17_10	PPVQPVDQQQTPKE	PSKDDPTGQQQ	QPQ	PEPEPEPEQT	PETPPQQE	QPTPDDHPS(	QQ-PQDEPV-QG	QGAQDSPTPD	DOPVDOOQ		
HIP 22_8-13 T parwa 7014	PRIORVDOOOPVOR	PAKDDPTCOOO	0P0	DEDEDEDEOT			PV-QEP	GAQDSPTPDD			
KNP 011_4/1	PPVQPVDQQQTPKQ	PAKDDPTGQQQ	QPQPK	PEPEPEPEQT	PETPPQQE	QPTPDDQPVI	DOODPODEPVOOE	QGPQDSPTPD	DOPVDOOQ		
HIP 32_1-8	PPIQPVDQQQPVQE	PAKHDPTGQQQ	Q	POPOPEPEOT	PETPPQQE	OPTPDDHPS(	QQ-GQQPPA-QG	QGAQDSPTPD			
HIP 32_1-1	PPIQPVDQQQPVQE	PAKHDPTGQQQ	ι <u>α</u> ΙQ	POPOPEPEOT	PETPPQQE	QPTPDDHPS(	QQ-GQQPPV-QG	QGAQDSPTPD	DOPVDHOQ		
KNP 102_05 5	PPIOPVDOOOPVOE	PAKHDPTGQQQ	Q	POPOPEPEOT	PETPPQQE	OPTPDDHPS(	QQ-GQQPPV-QG	OCAODSPTPD	DOPVDHOQ		
Mab A13_1/2			. <u>v</u>			QF IF DDHF 30		QGAQD SF IFL			
Ithas 4_c14-18	PPVQPVDQQQTPQE	QTKDDPTGLQQ	QPEPPAA-Q	POPOPEPEOI	PETPAQQQ	IPTPDDHQS	QQ-PQDEPVQQE	QGAQDSPTPD	DOPVDOOD		Buffalo-type
Itha 9_c19-5	I PPVQPVDQQQTPQE	QTKDDPTGLQQ	QPEPPAA-Q	POPOPEPEOI	PETPAQQQ	IPTPDDHQS(	QQ-PQDEPV-QQ	QGAQDSPTPD			
Itha 2_cl2-14	IVQE	PAKDDPAGQQQ	QP	QPEPEPEQTP	ETPPQQKQ	P-TPDDHPS	QQ-PQDEPVQQE	QGAQDSPTPD	DOPVDOOQ		
Mab BB38_114	PKQ	PAKDDPTGQQQ PAKDDPTGQQQ	QPQP <b>K</b>	PEPEPEPEQT	PETPPQQEQ	QPTPDDQPVI	QQQPQDEPVQQE	QGPQDSPTPD QGPQDSPTPD	DQPVDQQQ		
Bloe B_5-2									!		
Mab A13_4/2							PV-QEP PV-QEP	VGQDSPTPDD	QPDQHQQPVQI QPDQHQQPVQI	DDASGK	
KNP V5_16							PV-QEP	VGQDSPTPDD	QPDQHQQPVQI	DASGK	
HIP 32_1-2 HIP 19_2/1							PV-QEP PV-QEP	VGQDSPTPDD	QPDQHQQPVQI QPDQHQQPVQI	DDASGK	
Lad 10-11	310 	320 	330	340	350	360 	370	380	390	400 	
Lad 02-7											
Lad 10-4/8 Lad 10-4/2											~ -
Lad 02-3/9											Cattle-type
T. parva Schoonspruit											
T. parva Muguga											
T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11					P	VQEPEPSEE	PQPQPQ	PEPEPEQPPV	EPVDQQQQP+		
HIP 22_8-17					P	VQEPVQQEE-	PQPEPQP	QPEPEPEQPQ			
Itha 6_cl6-6					P	PQQE	EPOPOOOPOPEP	QPEPEPQQPR	DOPVDQQQP		
HIP 32_1-18					P	VQEPVQQ	GQPEPQPQPEP				'Mixed'-type
Lad I438_10-10					P	VQE	PQPEP	QPEPEPEQPP	VQPVDQQQP		
Lad 17_10 HTP 22 8-13					P	VOEPEPSEE	PQPEP		VOPVDQQQP+-		
T. parva 7014					P	VHEPVQDQT	PQQ-PQPQP <b>E</b> PQ	PEPEPGQQPP	VQPVDQQQP		
KNP 011_4/1 HTP 32 1-8					P	VQEPVQQEQ-	PQPEPEPEP	OPEPEPEOPC	DOPVDHOOP-		
Itha3_c13-17						F	EPQPQQQPQPEP	QPEPEPQQPQ	DOPVDOOOP		
HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102 05 5					P	VQEPVQQEE	PQPEPQP	OPEPEPEQPC	DOPVDQQQP		
Lad 1438_10-19						F	EPQPQQQPQPEP	QPEPEPQQPQ	DOPVDOOOP		
Mab A13_1/2 Tthas 4 cl4-18					p	VOEPEPSEE	)	PEPEPEOPP	EPVDQQQQP		Dff-1 4
Itha 3_c13-14					P	VQEPVQQEQ-	PEPQPQPEP	QPQPEPEQPQ	DOBADOOOD		Burrato-type
Itha 9_c19-5					P	VQEPVRQEQ-	PQP	EPEPOPEOPO			
HIP 19_2/8					P	VQEPVQQEQ-	P <b>E</b> PQPQP <b>E</b> P	QPQP <b>E</b> P <b>EL</b> PQ	DOBADOOOD-+-		
Mab BB38_114					P	VQEPVQQEQ-	PQPEPEPEP	QPQPEPEQPQ			
KNP 102_5 57	QETPVQPVDQQQPT	QDDQPVDHQQ-			P	VOEPVOOEE-	POPEPOP	OPEPEPEOPC	DOPVDOOP+		
Mab A13_4/2	QETPVQPVDQQQPT		PSGQE	DOPTPDDQPQ	PEQTPEPP	VEPVDQKQ					
HIP 32_1-2	QETPVQPVDQQQPT QETPVQPVDQQQPT	2 <b>D</b> 0	PSGQE	DOPTPDDOPO	PEQTPEPP	VEPVDQKQ					
HIP 19_2/1	QETPVQPVDQQQPT	Q <mark>D</mark> Q	PS <mark>G</mark> QE	DQPTPDDQPQ	PEQTPEPP	VEPVDQKQ					



	410	420	430 440		450 460	470	480	490	500	
		· · ·   · · · ·   · ·				.	.       .	.	<u> </u> _	-
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7			POPEPOPEPOPEP	OPEPVQ	E		PPEQTPER	TPSEDDASGE		
Lad 10-4/6			POPEPOPEPOPEP	OPEPVOI	E		PPEQTPER	TSSKDDASGE	VPVK	
Lad 10-4/2			PQPEPQPEPQPEP	QPEPVQ	E		PPEQTPER	TPSKDDASGE	VPVK	
Lad 02-3/9			PQPEPQPEPQPEP	QPEPVQ	E		PPEQTPER	TPSKDDASGE	VPVK	Cattle-type
Lad 10-4/8			POPEPOPEPOPEP	QPEPVQ	E		PPEQTPER	TPSKDDASGE	VPVK	
T. parva Schoonspruit		POTOPOP	POPEPOPEPOPEP	OPEPVOI	E		PPEOTPEH	TPSKDDASGE	VPVK	
T. parva Marikebuni	OPEPOPEPOPEPOPEP	OPEPOPEPOPE	POPEPOPEPOPEP	OPEPVO	COTPETPAPOEOPOTPD	DUTPEORPDC	PVOE-PPEOTPER	TPSPDDLSC	PVK _	
Itha 2_cl2-11					VQDQPSGKETPQPTQGD	QPVQDPSGQE	QPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDPTGE	EPVK	1
HIP 22_8-17				1	VQDQPSGQETPQPIPDG	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b>	PEPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDLSGE	EPVK	
Bloe $B_4.0.$					VQDQPSGQETPQPIPED	OPVOEPTEOK OPVODRCOK	PEPEQTPER	TPSKGDTSGE	EPVQ	
HTP 32 1-18					TODOPSCOETPOPTOCO	OPVOEPTEOK	PEPEQIPER	TPSKDDLSGE		(Miyod) type
Lad M119 9					VODOPSGOETPOPIPED	OPVOEPPEOK	PEPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDPTGE	EPVK	wiixeu -type
Lad 1438_10-10					VQDQPSGQETPQPIPED	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b>	PEPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDPTGE	EPVK	
Lad 17_10					VQDQPSGQETPQPIPED	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b>	PEPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDPTGE	EPVK	
HIP 22_8-13					HE-PSGQETPQPIPDD	QPVREPTE-K	-EPEPEQKPDH	TPSEDDTSGE	EPVQ	4
KNP 011 4/1					SODOPSGOETPOPIPED	OPVOEPTEOK	PEPEOTPEH	APSKDDPTGE	EPVK	
HIP 32_1-8					QDQPSGQETPQPIPDG	<u><u>O</u>P<b>V</b>O</u> EPPEOK	PEPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDLSGE	<b>E</b> PVK	
Itha3_cl3-17				1	VQDQPSGKETPQPIPED	QQ <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PT <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b>	PEPEQTPER	SPSKDDLSGE	EPVK	
HIP 32_1-1					VQDQPSGQETPQPIPDG	QPVQEPPEQK	PEPEPEQTPKH	TPSKDDLSGE	EPVK	
KNP 102_05 5					VODOPSGOETPOPIPDG VODOPSCKETPOPIPDG	OVOEPPEOR	PEPEPEQTPER	SPSEDDLSGE	PVK	
Mab A13 1/2					VODOPSGKETPOPTOGD	OPVODPSGOE	OPEPEOTPEH	TPSKDDPTGE	EPVK	
Ithas 4_c14-18					VQDQPSGKETPQPTQGD	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>D</b> PSGQE	QPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDPTGE	EPVK	Buffelo type
Itha 3_cl3-14					TQDQPSGQETPQPTQGD	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>D</b> PSGQ <b>E</b>	QPEPEQTPEH	TP SKDDP TGE	EPVK	Dullalo-type
Itha 9_c19-5					SQDQPSGQETPQPIPED	QPAREPTEQK	PEPEQTPEH	TPSKDDPTGE	EPVK	
Itha 2_c12-14 HTP 19 2/8					VQDQPSGKETPQPTQGD TODOPSCOFTPOPTPED	OPVODPSGOE OPVOEPTEOK	QPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDPTGE	FPVK	
Mab BB38 114					SODOPSGOETPOPIPED	OPVOEPTEOK	PEPEOTPEH	APSKDDPTGE	EPVK	
Bloe B_5-2					VQDQPSGQETPQPIPED	<u><u><u></u></u> <u>O</u>PV<u>O</u>EPTEOR</u>	PEPEQTPEH	TPSKDDTSGE	EPVQ	
KNP 102_5 57					VQDQPSGQETPQPIPDG	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b>	PEPEPEQTPER	TPSKDDLSGE	EPVK	
Mab A13_4/2				-PVQEP	SQDQPSGQETPQPIPDG	QPVQEPPEQK	PEPEQTPEH	TPSKDDPSGE	EPVK	
HTD 32 1-2					SODOPSCOLTPOPIPDC	OPVOEPPEOR	PEPEQIPER	TPSEDDPICE		
HIP 19_2/1				-PVQEP	SQDQPSGQETPQPIPDG	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b>	PEPEQTPEH	TPSKDDPSGE	EPVK	
	510 52 .	0 530 	540 	· _						
Lad 10-11	510 52	0 530	540	K						
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6	510 52	0 530	540 	K						
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2	510 52 PSEGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSDGHMTGAAADGSGQ	0 530     PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK	540 	K K K	Cottle trme					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9	510 52 PSEGHMTGAADGSGQ PSEGHMTGAADGSGQ PSEGHMTGAADGSGQ PSDGHMTGAADGSGQ PSEGHMTGAADGSGQ	0 530     PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK	540   CKDGSKSGSGTPS CKDGSKSGSGTPG CKDGSKSGSGTPS CKDGSKSGSGTPS	K K K K	Cattle-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8	510 52  PSEGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSDGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSDGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSDGHMTGAAADGSGQ PSDGHMTGAAADGSGQ	0 530     PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSSK	540 GKDGSKSGSGTPS GKDGSKSGSGTPG GKDGSKSGSGTPG GKDGSKSGSGTPS GKDGSKSGSGTPS		Cattle-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muquqa	510 52 PSEGIMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAAADGSGQ	0 530    PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK	540 		Cattle-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530    PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK	540 		Cattle-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSDGIMTGAADGSGQ PSDGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530     PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDSSK PPDKKPGDDSK	540 		Cattle-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2.cl2-11 HIP 22.8-17	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSEGIMTGAADGSQ	0 530   S30 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSS PPDKKPDDSS PPDKKPDDSS	540 		Cattle-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ PSEGIMTGAADGSCQ	0 530 	540 GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSDSGTPS GKDCSKSDSGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS GKDCSKSCGTPS		Cattle-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Muguga T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32 1-18	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530 	540 GRD GSK SG GTP S GRD GSK SG GTP S		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSDGIMTGAADGSGQ PSDGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530  , 530 PPDKTDDDSK PPDKTDDDSK PPDKTDDDSK PPDKTDDDSK PPDKTDDDSK PPDKTDDDSK PPDKTPDDSK PPDKKPDDDSK PPDKKPDDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK	540 GRDGSKSGSGTP GRDGSKSGSGTP GRDGSKSGSGTP GRDGSKGSGTP GRDGSKGSGTP GRDGSKGSGTP GRDGSKGSGTP GRDGSKGSGTP GRDGSKGSGTP GRDGSKSGSGTP GRDGSKSGSGTP GRDGSKSGSGTP GRDGSKSGSGTP GRDGSKSGSGTP	. K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 Hitha 2_cl2-11 Hitha 2_cl6-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad M19_9 Lad M28_10-10	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK	540 GRD OS KSGSGTP S GRD OS KSGSGTP S GRD OS KSGSGTP G GRD OS KSGSGTP G GRD OS KSGSGTP S GRD OS KSGSGTP S	. K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-12	510 52 PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG PSEGINTGAADGSGG	0 530  , 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK	540 GRDOSKSGSTPS GRDOSKSGSTPS GRDOSKSGSTPG GRDOSKSGSTPG GRDOSKSGSTPG GRDOSKSGSTPS GRDOSKSGSTPS GRDOSKSGSTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS GRDOSKSDSGTPS	. K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2 cl2-11 Riboe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530  , 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK	540 GRD GSK SGS GTP S GRD GSK SGS GTP S GTP S GT	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2.c12-11 HIP 22.8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19.9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22.8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDDSSK	540 GKD GSK SGGTPS GKD GSK SGGTPS GKD GSK SGGTPG GKD GSK SGGTPG	. K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 Hip 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HiP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HiP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HiP 32_1-8	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK	540 GRNOSKSGSTPS GRNOSKSGSTPS GRNOSKSGSTPG GRNOSKSGSTPG GRNOSKSGSTPG GRNOSKSGSTPS GRNOSKSGSTPS GRNOSKSGSTPS GRNOSKSSSTPS GRNOSKSDSGTPS GRNOSKSDSGTPS GRNOSKSDSGTPS GRNOSKSDSGTPS GRNOSKSDSGTPS GRNOSKSDSGTPS GRNOSKSDSGTPS		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad H38_10-10 Lad 17.10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 UP 20_1_1	510 52 PSEGINTGAADGSGQ	0 530  , 530  PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKPDDSK	540 GRD GSK 65GTP 5 GRD GSK 65GTP 1 GRD GSK 65GTP 5 GRD GSK 65		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2 c.2-11 HIP 22.8-17 Bloe B.4.0. Itha 6 c.26-6 HIP 32.1-18 Lad M19.9 Lad 1438.10-10 Lad 17.10 HIP 22.8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011.4/1 HIP 32.1-8 Itha3_c.13-17 HIP 32.1-1 KNP 00.5 5	510 52 PSECIMITGAADGSCQ PSEC	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK	540 GRD GSK SGGTPS GRD GSK SGGTPS GRD GSK SGGTPS GRD GSK SGGTPS GRD GSK GGTPS GRD GSK GGTPS GRD GSK GGTPS GRD GSK GGTPS GRD GSK GGTPS GRD GSK SGGTPS GRD GSK SGGTPS GFD GSK		Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_02-11 HIP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_02-01 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 32_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_013-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDK P	540 GRD GSK 65GTP S GRD GSK 65GTP S	· KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 Hip 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M119_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSQ	0 530  , 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKPDDSSK PPDKF PDSKF PD	540 GRD os Kásós Grave GRD os Kásós Grave	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 Hirp 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HiP 32_1-18 Lad H38_10-10 Lad I438_10-10 Lad I438_10-10 Lad I438_10-10 Hirp 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 Hirp 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 Hirp 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad I438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_c14-18	510 52 PSEGINTGAADGSGQ	0 530  , 530  , 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDK PPDK PPDK P	540 GRD OS KSGSGTPS GRD OS KSGSGTPS G	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/2 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2.02-11 HIP 22.8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_016-6 HIP 32.1-18 Lad M19.9 Lad 1438.10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22.8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32.1-8 Itha3_013-17 HIP 32.1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Itha3_013-14 Itha3_013-14	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDSSK PPDKFPDDSSK PPDKFPDSSK PPDSSK PPDSSK PPDSSK PPDSSK PPDSSK PPDSSK PPDSSK	540 GRN OS KSGSTPS GRN OS KS	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 HiP 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05_5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_cl4-18 Itha 3_cl3-14 Itha 9_cl9-5 Itha 2_cl3-14	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSECIMTGAADGSGQ PSECIMTGAADGSGQ PSECIMTGAADGSGQ PSECIMTGAADGSGQ PSECIMTGAADGSGQ PSECIMTGAADGSGQ PSECIMTGAADGSGQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPD	540 GRN OS KSGSCTP S GRN OS KSD SGP S GRN OS KSD S GRN OS KSD S GRN OS KSD S GRN OS KSD S GRN S	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Maguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 Hip 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HiP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad M19_9 Lad M19_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad I438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_cl4-18 Itha 3_cl3-14 Itha 2_cl2-5 Itha 2_cl2-14 HIP 19_2/8	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSG PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTGAADGSGQ PSEGIMTG	0 530  , 530  PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDSSK	540 GRD os Kascs or Ps GRD os Kascs or Ps	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2 c.2-11 HIP 22.8-17 Bloe B.4.0. Itha 6 c.26-6 HIP 32.1-18 Lad M19.9 Lad 17.10 HIP 22.8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011.4/1 HIP 32.1-8 Itha3.c.13-17 HIP 32.1-1 KNP 102.05 5 Lad 1438.10-19 Mab A13.1/2 Itha3.c.13-14 Itha3.c.13-14 Itha3.c.13-14 HIP 32.5 Itha 4.2.4-18 Itha3.c.13-5 Itha 2.c.12-14 HIP 19.2/8 Mab B338_114	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSQ	0 530 PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKPD05K PPDKF P	540 GKD GSK SG GTP S GKD GSK	· K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.K.	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 02-3/9 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 Hir 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad M19_9 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-1 KNP 012_05 5 Lad 1438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_c14-18 Itha 3_c13-14 Itha 9_c19-5 Itha 2_c12-14 HIP 19_2/8 Mab BB38_114 Bloe B_5-2 VNP 012_6 5 7	510 52 PSEGIMTGAADGSQ PSECIMTGAADGSQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PPDKKPDDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDKKPDSSK PPDSK PPDKF PPDSKKPDSSK PPDSK PPDSK PPDSK PPDSK	540 GRN OSK SGSTPS GRN OSK S	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_c12-11 Hip 22_8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_c16-6 HIP 32_1-18 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 Lad 1438_10-10 HIP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-17 HIP 32_1-8 Itha3_c13-14 HIP 3_2/8 Mab BB38_114 Bloe B_5-2 KNP 102_5 57 Mab A13_4/2	510 52 PSEGINTGAADGSGQ	0 530 	540 GRN OS KSGS GTP 9 GRN OS K	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Maguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2_cl2-11 Hin 2_2.8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6_cl6-6 HiP 32_1-18 Lad H38_10-10 Lad I438_10-10 Lad I438_10-10 Lad I438_10-10 Lad I438_10-10 HiP 22_8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HiP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HiP 32_1-8 Itha3_cl3-17 HiP 32_1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad I438_10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Ithas 4_cl4-18 Itha 3_cl3-14 Itha 2_cl2-5 Itha 2_cl2-14 HiP 19_2/8 Mab BB38_114 Bloe B_5-2 KNP 102_5 57 Mab A13_4/2 KNP 102_5 57 Mab A13_4/2 KNP 102_16	510 52 PSEGMTGAADGSGG PSGMTGAADGSG PSGMTGAAD	0 530 PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKTDD05K PPDKKPD05K PPDKKPD	540 GRD os Káss Garps GRD os Káss Garps	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					
Lad 10-11 Lad 02-7 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/6 Lad 10-4/8 T. parva Schoonspruit T. parva Muguga T. parva Marikebuni Itha 2.cl2-11 HIP 22.8-17 Bloe B_4.0. Itha 6.cl6-6 HIP 32.1-18 Lad M19.9 Lad 1438.10-10 Lad 17_10 HIP 22.8-13 T. parva 7014 KNP 011_4/1 HIP 32.1-8 Itha3.cl3-17 HIP 32.1-1 KNP 102_05 5 Lad 1438.10-19 Mab A13_1/2 Itha3.cl3-14 Itha3.cl3-14 Itha3.cl3-14 Itha 9.cl2-14 HIP 19.2/8 Mab B38.114 Bloe B_5-2 KNP 102_5 57 Mab A13_4/2 KNP 05_16 HIP 32.1-2	510 52 PSEGMTGAADGSGQ	0 530 PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKTDDSK PPDKKPDDSK PDKKPDSK PPDKKPDSK PPDK PPDKF	540 GRN os Káss Gerre GRN os Káss Gerre	· K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	Cattle-type 'Mixed'-type Buffalo-type					



#### Buffalo-type PIM sequences:

Toye *et al.* (1995b) identified a 20-amino-acid insert (VDQQQPVQQPSQDQPSGPDS shown in broken-line block at position 36-68 in Figure 6.6) as characteristic to buffalo-type PIM amino acid sequences. In addition to this, two other buffalo-type amino acid motifs occurring at positions 352-393 and 447-473, were identified in this study (shown in broken-line blocks in Figure 6.6). However, some of the PIM sequences obtained from *T. parva* field samples collected from buffalo from KNP, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, Mabalingwe and Ithala game parks lacked the 352-393 amino acid motif; instead, these sequences contained unique inserts of variable sizes between position 292-360 (underlined in Figure 6.6). The 20-amino-acid insert identified by Toye *et al.* (1995b) from PIM sequences from buffalo-derived *T. parva* 7014 and Hluhluwe stocks PIM sequences, was missing from 9/53 (17%) of the buffalo-type PIM sequences obtained in this study. Furthermore, a different insert between positions 36 and 68 was identified from buffalo-type sequences obtained from Ithala buffalo samples.

Using these motifs, 54.6% (53/97) of the PIM sequences obtained in this study were identified as buffalo-type PIM sequences and were obtained from *T. parva* samples collected from both cattle and buffalo (Table 6.2). One sequence obtained from KNP V5 had a large insert in the central region of the gene which was very different in sequence from that of other PIM sequences (results not shown). Very short PIM sequences, missing most of the variable central region, were obtained from some clones of PIM amplicons obtained from samples originating from buffalo (results not shown). However, the sequences flanking the central region from these alleles were characteristic of buffalo-type PIM sequences as defined in this study.

#### 'Mixed'-type PIM sequences:

The use of the amino acid motifs identified in this study allowed identification of 37 'mixed' PIM sequences (Figure 6.6). It is possible that hybrid PCR products could arise during PCR amplification in samples containing mixed infections, as a result of template switching. These PCR artifacts are identifiable by sequence identities in hybrid sequences when compared with sequences of other amplicons produced in the same amplification reaction. To confirm whether the 'mixed' sequences obtained in this study were authentic, the PIM nucleic acid sequences in the more conserved regions, i.e. sequences flanking the central variable region, were compared to those of other sequences obtained from the same sample. None of the 'mixed' sequences were identical to any of the other PIM sequences obtained from the same



sample in these conserved regions, suggesting that these sequences were therefore genuine and could not have resulted from PCR artifacts.

Two subtypes of 'mixed' PIM sequences were identified, M-I (32/37) and M-II (5/37) (Figure 6.7). Subtype M-I consisted of sequences characteristic of cattle-type *T. parva* PIM sequences at the amino-terminus and buffalo-type sequences at the carboxy-terminus (Figure 6.7). Subtype M-II comprised sequences characteristic of buffalo-type *T. parva* PIM sequences at the amino-terminus and cattle-type sequences at the carboxy-terminus (Figure 6.7).

The 37 'mixed' PIM sequences were obtained from samples collected from both cattle and buffalo (Table 6.2). Of the 22 *T. parva* PIM sequences obtained from seven cattle samples in this study, 31.8% (7/22) were cattle-type, 59.1% (13/22) were 'mixed'-type, and only 9.1% (2/22) were buffalo-type (Table 2). In comparison, no cattle-type PIM sequences were identified from 20 buffalo samples, 32% (24/75) of the PIM sequences obtained from buffalo samples were mixed-type, and 68% (51/75) were buffalo-type.



	10	20 30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
				.						
Itha2_cl2-11	DSTGSSDVTQVDSESNDT	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		<u>2D</u> 9	QP <b>D</b> QHQQPTQG	TSGQQGPHT	PQPIQ <mark>E</mark> PSGE	PVQPDQ	
HIP22_8-9	DSTGSSDVTQADSESNDS	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	<b>D</b> QP		<b>ID</b> 9	QP <b>D</b> QHQQPTQ <mark>G</mark>	TSGQQGPHT	PQP <b>IQE</b> PSGE	P <b>V</b> QP <b>D</b> Q	
HIP19_2/4	DSTGSSDVAQADSESNDS	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	<b>D</b> QP		<b>ID</b> 9	QPDQHQQ	+			
BloeB_4.0.2	DSTGSSDVTQADSESNDS	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		DD	<u><u>O</u>PD<u>OHOOPTOG</u></u>	TSGQQGPDT	PQPIQEPSGE	PVQPDQ	
BloeB_12-2	DSTGSSDVTQADSESNDS	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		DD	<u><u>O</u>PD<u>OHOOPTOG</u></u>	TSGQQGPDT	PQPIQEPSGE	PVQPDQ	
HIP32_24-0-1	DSSGSSDVTQVDSESNDT	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		<u>p</u> p	<u><u>O</u>PD<u>O</u>H<u>O</u>OPT<u>O</u>G</u>	TSGQQGPHT	PQPIQEPSGE	<b>V</b> QP <b>D</b> Q	M-I
Itha2_cl2-24	DSTGSSDVTQVDSEPNDT	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		<u>p</u> p	<u><u>O</u>PD<u>O</u>H<u>O</u>OPT<u>O</u>G</u>	DTSGQQGPHA	PQPIQEPSGE	<b>V</b> QP <b>D</b> Q	
Itha6_c16-22	DSTGSSDVTQVDSESNDT	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		<u>p</u> p	2PDQHQQPTQG	TSGQQGPHT	POPIQEPSGE	PVQPDQ	
Lad MI19_9	DSTGSSDVTQADSESNDS	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		00	<u>DOHOOLLOG</u>	TSGQQGPDT.	POPIQEPSGE	VQPDQ	
Lad 1438_10-15	DSTGSSDVTQADSESNDS	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		00	<u>J</u> PDQHQQPTQG	TSGQQGPDT.	POPIQEPSGE	VQPDQ	
Lad 1/_10	DSTGSSDVTQADSESNDS	SSSSETSQQGQPQP	DQP		00	<u>J</u> P <b>D</b> Q <b>R</b> QQPTQG	TSGQQGPDT	POPIQEPSGE	VOPDR	
HIP 22_0-13	DSIGSSDVIQADSESNDS	SSSSEISQQGQFQR		DEODO				ODI DODICOL		
KNP WO_17	DSIGSSDLIQVDIESNDG	SSSSEISUUPP			COCDVE		Daagoogoooo	QF L <mark>D</mark> QF I GQF	DIOD	
KNP WO_0-2	DSIGSSDVIQVDIESNDI	SSSSE1SQQGRPQP		DUCADOS	COCDVE	PVDQQQQPVQE				М П
KNP WO_/	DSTGSSDLINVDIESNDI	SSSSEISQQGRPQP		IQADQS			096			IVI-II
Mab BB/3 1210	DSTGSSDVINVDIESNDI							PDOSCONPR-	VOR	
MaD 6645_1210	DSIGSSDVIQVDIESNDN	5155 <b>6</b> 150056	VERVD000			QPDQS	DISGQQGPII	PDQSGQRFF-		
	310	320 330	340	350	360	370	380	390	400	
	1	.+			+	<b></b>				
Itha2_cl2-11	PEQPPVEPVDQQQQPVQD	QPS <mark>GKE</mark> TPQPTQ <mark>GD</mark>	QPVQDPSGQEQ	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDPTGE	EBVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPGDDS	GKDGS	
HIP22_8-9	EPEQPPVQPVDQQQPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDPTGE	EFVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDGS	
HIP19_2/4	QPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPDG	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDLSGE	ELVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDGS	
BloeB_4.0.2	[EPEQPQDQPVDQQQPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QPVQEPTEQK	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKGDTSGE	EPVQPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDDS	
BloeB_12-2	EPEQPQDQPVDQQQPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QPVQEPTEQK	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDTSGE	EFVQPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDDS	
HIP32_24-0-1	EPEQPQDQPVDQQQPTQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QPVQEPTEQK	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDLSGE	EPVKPPEGLMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPGDDSE	GKDGS	M_I
Itha2_c12-24	PEQTPVEPVDQQQQPVQD	QPSGKETPQPTQGD	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>D</b> PSGQ <b>E</b> Q	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDPTGE	EPVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDGS	141-1
Itha6_cl6-22	EPEQPQDQPVDQQQPTQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QPVQEPTEQK	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDLSGE	ERVKPSEGLMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPGDDSE	GKDGS	
Lad M119_9	EPEQPPVQPVDQQQPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDPTGE	EF VKP SEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDGS	
Lad I438_10-15	EPDQPPVQPVDQQQPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDPTGE	EPVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDGS	
Lad 17_10	EPEQPPVQPVDQQQPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPED	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDPTGE	EEVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSI	GKDGS	
HIP 22_8-13	QTPEPPVEPVDQQQPVHE	-PSGQETPQPIPDD	QPVREPTE-K-E-	PEPEQKPDHTP	SKDDTSGE	EF VQP SEGHMT	GAAPDGSGQP	PEKKPGDDSE		
KNP W8_17	EPEQPQDQPVDQQQPVQD	QPSGQETPQPIPDD	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDASGE	VP VKP SEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSI	GKDGS	
KNP W8_8-2	DQPQDQPVDQQQPTQD	QPSGQETPQPIPDD	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDASGE	VP VKP SEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDGS	
KNP W8_7	DQPQDQPVDQQQPTQD	QPSGQETPQPIPDD	QPVQEPPEQKPE-	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDASGE	VPVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSE	GKDGS	M-II
KNP W8_1	<b>E</b> PDQPQDQPVDQQQPTQD	QPSGQETPQPIPDD	QP <b>V</b> Q <b>E</b> PP <b>E</b> Q <b>K</b> P <b>E</b> -	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDASGE	VPVKPSEGHMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDKKPDDDSI	GKDGS	
Mab BB43_1210	Į <u>O</u> PEOPPVOPVDOOOPVOD	<b>QPSGQETPQPIPED</b>	<u>QPAKDEPTGQQD</u>	PEPEQTPEHTP	SKDDASCE	VVKPSEGLMT	GAAADGSGQP	PDQPSDDDS	GKDGS	
	410									
	410									
Itha2 c12-11	KSDSGTPSKDKKDSK									
HIP22 8-9	KSDSGSPIKDKKDSK									
HIP19 2/4	KSDSGTPSKDKKHSK									
BloeB 4.0.2	KSGSGTPSKDKKDSK									
BloeB 12-2	KSGSGTPSKDKKDSK									
HIP32 24-0-1	KSDSGTPSKDKKHSK									
Itha2 c12-24	KSDSGTPIKDKKHYK	M-I								
Itha6_c16-22	KSDSGTPSKDKKDSK									
Lad M119_9	KSDSGSPIKDKKHSK									
Lad I438_10-15	KSDSGTPIKDKKDSK									
Lad 17_10	KSDSGSPIKDKKHSK									
HIP 22_8-13	KSDSGTPSKDKKHSK									
KNP W8_17	KSDSGSPIKDKKHSK									
KNP W8_8-2	KSDSGSPIKDKNIPN									
KNP W8_7	KSDSGSPIKDKKHSK	мп								
KNP W8_1	KSDSGSPIKDKKHSK	171-11								
Mab BB43_1210	KSDSGSPIKDRKHPK									

**Figure 6.7** Multiple sequence alignment of representative amino acid sequences of 'mixed'-type *T. parva* PIM sequences, showing subtypes M-I and M-II. Regions typical of buffalo-type and cattle-type PIM sequences are indicated in broken-line and solid-line blocks, respectively. This alignment excludes the region between positions 101 and 300, which has buffalo-type PIM sequences in all subtypes.



### 6.5 Discussion

Previous studies on characterization of South African *T. parva* field samples revealed the presence of cattle-type p67 and p104 alleles (Chapter 4; Chapter 5; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010), suggesting the presence of parasites with characteristics of cattle-derived *T. parva* in South Africa. Although ECF has not been reported in South Africa since its eradication in the early 1950s, these findings are a concern. In this chapter, a third gene was investigated to further characterize *T. parva* samples obtained from cattle and buffalo in South Africa to confirm results obtained by analysis of p67 and p104 genes.

Polymorphic immunodominant molecule PCR-RFLP profiles for the majority of *T. parva* field samples obtained from buffalo in this study were complex. Buffalo-derived *T. parva* isolates are expected to be heterogeneous and have previously been shown to display widely variable RFLP profiles because of multiplicity of infections in buffalo compared to single infections in cattle (Geysen, 2000; Geysen *et al.*, 2004). Five PIM RFLP cluster groups were identified from BioNumerics cluster analysis of RFLP profiles obtained from cloned PIM amplicons but these showed no correlation to geographic origin of the samples within each group, as was shown for p104.

Surprisingly, relatively homogeneous PIM profiles were observed from samples originating from buffalo from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, Mabalingwe and Ithala game parks. These findings could suggest that there is limited genetic diversity in *T. parva* parasites in these relatively small buffalo populations. However, RFLP profiles obtained from clones of PIM amplicons indicated that genetic diversity does exist in the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, Mabalingwe and Ithala *T. parva* populations, as profiles from clones produced from these samples clustered in different groups. Although multiple PIM profiles were identified in cloned PIM amplicons from samples with homogeneous profiles, some profiles occurred more frequently than others, explaining the apparently homogeneous profiles the presence of a common 'signature' contributed to the apparent homogeneity of the profiles.

The extensively characterized *T. parva* PIM (Baylis *et al.*, 1993; Toye *et al.*, 1996) is encoded by a single copy gene and its structure consists of a central variable region, flanked by conserved 5' and 3' termini (Toye *et al.*, 1995a; 1995b; Geysen *et al.*, 2004). Previous studies have identified two groups of PIM sequences, and a number of characteristics could be used



to distinguish PIM sequences from cattle-derived T. parva isolates from those from buffaloderived isolates (Toye et al., 1995b; Geysen et al., 2004). None of the PIM gene sequences obtained from T. parva field samples characterized in this study were identical, providing further evidence that the PIM gene evolves at an extremely high rate (Toye et al., 1995b). Extensive sequence heterogeneity among PIM sequences was demonstrated in the South African T. parva samples from buffalo examined in this study, confirming the extensive genetic diversity reported previously in T. parva parasites in buffalo (Chapter 4; Chapter 5; Collins and Allsopp, 1999; Sibeko et al., 2010). Furthermore, sequence variants of buffalotype PIM sequences were identified which have never been reported before. The extensive polymorphism found in the coding region of the PIM gene is associated with selective pressure from the protective immune response and may confer selective advantage to the parasite (Toye et al., 1995a). Geysen et al. (2004) reported a high Ns/S (non-synonymous / synonymous substitutions) ratio in the PIM sequence which is an indication of the influence of selective forces on the sequence and the evasion of the host immune responses. Mechanisms responsible for the extensive diversity of the PIM gene and which influence its mosaic structure are not known, although X-like recombination motifs responsible for initiation of gene conversion events have been identified in PIM sequences (Geysen et al., 2004) and it is thought that this mechanism is likely to be responsible for the mosaic nature of the PIM gene. Novel alleles have been reported to arise from re-shuffling of important epitopes as a result of gene conversion and reciprocal intergenic exchanges (Dormoy et al., 1997). The discriminative characteristics of the PIM gene render it a good candidate for exploitation in discriminatory assays used for T. parva isolates (Bishop et al., 2001; De Deken et al., 2007). Nevertheless, it is advisable that assays based on this gene should be continuously evaluated because of the rapid evolution of the PIM gene.

In this study, for the first time, 'mixed' PIM sequences with characteristics of both PIM sequence types were identified. The combinations of different "blocks" of sequence observed in the PIM gene are reminiscent of the structure that has previously been shown for the precursor to the major merozoite surface antigens (PMMSA) in *Plasmodium falciparum* (Peterson *et al.*, 1988). Recombination within the conserved blocks in the PMMSA is thought to result in reassembling of the variable blocks and accounts for much of the antigenic variation in this molecule. Studies of the population structure of *T. parva* parasites in Uganda showed that genetic exchange occurs frequently between isolates of *T. parva*, confirming the existence of a sexual cycle (Oura *et al.*, 2005). Evidence for recombination between *T. parva* parasites, in a form of mosaic segments, has previously been observed in the internal



transcribed spacer (ITS) region (Collins and Allsopp, 1999) and sexual recombination between different *T. parva* stocks has been demonstrated in the laboratory (Morzaria *et al.*, 1993; Bishop *et al.*, 2002). It seems likely that the 'mixed' PIM sequences have arisen through recombination between cattle-type and buffalo-type PIM sequences.

While the 'mixed' PIM sequences identified in this study may well represent recombination events, we do not know the full extent of the recombination in the rest of the genome in these parasites. Recombination between buffalo-type *T. parva* parasites and cattle-type parasites can only occur where there has been contact between buffalo and cattle, and it has been reported that it is unlikely for recombinant parasites to become established in the cattle population (Geysen, 2000; Geysen *et al.*, 2004). Nonetheless, 'mixed' PIM sequences were obtained from samples originating from both buffalo and cattle in this study, and the results indicate that 'mixed' PIM sequences are more prevalent in *T. parva* samples from cattle than in *T. parva* samples from buffalo. This could suggest that *T. parva* parasites with the 'mixed' PIM allele might be more likely to establish in cattle. In fact, very few buffalo-type PIM sequences were identified in cattle, suggesting that there may have been selection for both 'mixed'-type and cattle-type PIM sequences in cattle

Interestingly, no cattle-type PIM sequences were obtained from T. parva samples collected from buffalo. Buffalo are believed to carry a heterogeneous population of parasites and, as original hosts of the parasite, cattle-derived T. parva parasites are thought to have originated in buffalo, so we might have expected to see cattle-type PIM sequences in T. parva samples from buffalo. However, given the rapid nature of the evolution of the PIM gene, it is probable that cattle-type alleles are present at a low frequency in T. parva parasites in buffalo. It would therefore be necessary to clone the PIM genes from a larger number of buffalo samples in order to identify cattle-type PIM sequences. Findings obtained in a study on characterization of another T. parva antigenic gene, p67, revealed the presence of variants of the p67 allele similar to those of cattle-derived parasites in *T. parva* samples collected from buffalo in South Africa (Collins, 1997; Chapter 4; Sibeko et al., 2010), although no p67 sequence identical to the cattle-type allele was identified in buffalo samples. The PIM sequences obtained from samples with variants of cattle-type p67 were either buffalo-type (6/25, 24%) or 'mixed'-type (19/25, 76%) showing that other genes in parasites with variants of the cattle-type p67 allele are not necessarily cattle-type alleles. There is therefore a need to establish the significance of these alleles in the epidemiology of theileriosis and the risk they pose to the naïve cattle population in South Africa.



PCR-RFLP profiles similar to that of the *T. parva* Muguga stock were obtained from three of the six cattle samples from the Ladysmith farm and the inferred amino acid sequences of the PIM gene from two of these samples (Lad 02 and Lad 10) were almost identical to the *T. parva* Muguga PIM sequence. This finding supports recent studies in which p67 and p104 alleles similar to those of the *T. parva* Muguga stock were identified from the same Ladysmith samples (Chapter 4; Chapter 5; Sibeko *et al.*, 2010). While it is not known if the Muguga-like RFLP profiles or sequences can be associated with the pathogenicity of *T. parva* isolates, findings in these studies strengthen the evidence for the presence of a subpopulation of *T. parva* parasites similar to ECF-causing East African strains in South Africa, at least on one farm. Our results might provide evidence for the selection of a subpopulation of *T. parva* parasites through cattle-to-cattle transmission of *T. parva* on the Ladysmith farm (resulting in parasites with cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles). However, similarity between sequences is not necessarily an indicator of the association of the cattle-type PIM sequence with the disease syndrome, as ECF was not diagnosed on the Ladysmith farm.

Polymorphic immunodominant molecule PCR-RFLP profiles obtained from the remaining three samples from cattle from the Ladysmith farm occurred in three cluster groups, A, C and D. All three had the specific 'signature' which was observed from most of the *T. parva* samples collected from buffalo from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, suggesting that some of the *T. parva* parasites on this farm may have originated from the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi buffalo. Furthermore, buffalo-type p67, p104 and PIM sequences were obtained from these three Ladysmith samples, further supporting the possibility of buffalo-to-cattle transmission on this farm. Unfortunately it was not possible to confirm whether the cattle on this farm had contact with infected buffalo (Thompson *et al.*, 2008), but circumstantial evidence and our results indicate that this might have occurred.

The PIM profile obtained from a bovine sample from a farm in Bloemfontein had the 'signature' characteristic of most Hluhluwe-iMfolozi profiles also observed in some of the Ladysmith samples. The RFLP profiles from this sample occurred in cluster A where 28% and 18% of Ladysmith and Hluhluwe-iMfolozi profiles, respectively, also grouped. Moreover, buffalo-type and 'mixed'-type PIM sequences were obtained from the Bloemfontein sample. These results suggest that the *T. parva* parasite characterized from the Bloemfontein bovine sample was similar to *T. parva* parasites from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi, and in fact, the infected buffalo breeding herd on the Bloemfontein farm originated from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi. Therefore, it might be possible to use PIM RFLP profiles to track the



origin of *T. parva* infections, especially when the profiles have a specific signature that characterizes a particular parasite population. This case presents something of a puzzle: it is not known how the bovine on the Bloemfontein farm was infected as the tick vector, *R. appendiculatus*, has not been known to occur in the Bloemfontein area. It is possible that the vector tick was introduced onto the property along with the infected buffalo but did not become established, as intensive tick surveys failed to identify the presence of vector ticks (FT Potgieter, unpublished results).

### 6.6 Summary

In summary, the findings in this study confirm the presence of a cattle-type PIM allele in the same cattle T. parva samples from which p67 and p104 alleles similar to that of T. parva Muguga were obtained. Results presented here suggest that there may have been both cattleto-cattle and buffalo-to-cattle transmission of T. parva on the Ladysmith farm. No cattle-type PIM alleles were identified from samples obtained from buffalo in this study. However, if the cattle-type alleles are present at a low frequency in T. parva parasites in buffalo, it is possible that insufficient samples were examined in this study and a larger number of samples would have to be investigated before any conclusions could be made. The extensive genetic diversity of T. parva parasite populations in South Africa was demonstrated in the identification of novel and 'mixed' PIM sequences. The significance of T. parva parasites carrying 'mixed' PIM alleles will have to be established and their risk to cattle evaluated. With the extent of genetic diversity that has been demonstrated by the three characterization studies presented in this thesis, it is clear that the population genetic structure of T. parva parasites in South Africa needs to be studied in detail, possibly by using other molecular tools such as mini- and microsatellite markers (Oura et al., 2003; 2005), to fully establish the parasite populations circulating in the country, and their threat and significance in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa.



## 6.7 References

- Anonymous, 1981. The eradication of East Coast fever in South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **52**, 71-73.
- Baylis, H.A., Allsopp, B.A., Hall, R. and Carrington, M., 1993. Characterisation of a glutamine-rich and proline-rich protein (QP protein) from *Theileria parva*. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **61**, 171-78.
- Bishop, R.P., Geysen, D., Spooner, P., Skilton, R., Nene, V., Dolan, T. and Morzaria, S., 2001. Molecular and immunological characterization of *Theileria parva* stocks which are components of 'Muguga cocktail' used for vaccination against East Coast fever in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **94**, 227-37.
- Bishop, R., Geysen, D., Skilton, R., Odongo, D., Nene, V., Allsopp, B., Mbogo, S., Spooner,
  P. and Morzaria, S., 2002. Genomic polymorphism, sexual recombination and
  molecular epidemiology of *Theileria parva*. In: *Theileria*, Mckeever, D. and
  Dobbelaere, D. (Eds.). Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp. 23-40.
- Bonfield, J. K., Smith, K.F. and Staden, R., 1995. A new DNA sequence assembly program. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **23**, 4992-99.
- Collins, N.E., 1997. The relationship between *Theileria parva parva* and *T. parva lawrencei* as shown by sporozoite antigen and ribosomal RNA gene sequences. Ph.D. Thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa.
- Collins, N.E. and Allsopp, B.A., 1999. *Theileria parva* ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences exhibit extensive polymorphism and mosaic evolution: application to the characterisation of parasites from cattle and buffalo. *Parasitology*, **83**, 541-51.
- De Deken, R., Martin, V., Saido, A., Madder, M., Bradt, J. and Geysen, D., 2007. An outbreak of East Coast Fever on the Comoros: A consequence of the import of immunised cattle from Tanzania? *Veterinary Parasitology*, 143, 245-53.
- Dormoy, A., Reviron, D.V., Froelich, N., Weiller, P.J., Mercier, P.J. and Tongio, M.M., 1997. Birth of a new allele in a sibling: *cis* or *trans* gene conversion during meiosis? *Immunogenetics*, 46, 520-23.



- Geysen, D., 2000. The application of Molecular Biology techniques to analyse diversity in *Theileria parva* populations in Zambia. Ph.D. Thesis. Brunel University, UK.
- Geysen, D., Bishop, R., Skilton, R., Dolan, T.T. and Morzaria, S., 1999. Molecular epidemiology of *Theileria parva* in the field. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, **4**, A21-27.
- Geysen, D., Bazarusanga, T., Brandt, J. and Dolan, T.T., 2004. An unusual mosaic structure of the PIM gene of *Theileria parva* and its relationship to allelic diversity. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **133**, 163-74.
- Graham, S.P., Saya, R., Awino, E., Ngugi, D., Nyanjui, J.K., Hecker, R., Taracha, E.L.N. and Nene, V., 2007. Immunostimulatory CpG oligodeoxynucleotides enhance the induction of bovine CD4<sup>+</sup> cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses against the polymorphic immunodominant molecule of the protozoan parasite *Theileria parva*. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, **115**, 383-89.
- Katende, J., Morzaria, S., Toye, P., Skilton, R., Nene, V., Nkonge, C. and Musoke, A., 1998.
   An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of *Theileria parva* antibodies in cattle using a recombinant polymorphic immunodominant molecule. *Parasitology Research*, 84, 408-16.
- Lawrence, J.A., 1992. History of bovine theileriosis in southern Africa. In: *The Epidemiology* of *Theileriosis in Africa*, R.A.I. Norval, B.D. Perry and A.S. Young (Eds.), Academic Press, London, pp. 1-39.
- Maddison, W.P. and Maddison, D.R., 1992. MacClade: Analysis of phylogeny and character evolution. Version 3. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Morzaria, S.P., Young, J.R., Spooner, P.R., Dolan, T.T. and Bishop, R.P., 1993. Theileria parva: a restriction map and genetic recombination. In: Genome Analysis of Protozoan Parasites, Morzaria, S.P. (Ed.). ILRAD, Nairobi, pp. 67-73.
- Mukhebi, A.W., Perry, B.D. and Kruska, R., 1992. Estimated economics of theileriosis in Africa. *Preventative Veterinary Medicine*, **12**, 73-85.

Neitz, W.O., 1948. Studies on East Coast fever. South African Journal of Science, 1, 133-35.



- Neitz, W.O., 1955. Corridor disease: a fatal form of bovine theileriosis encountered in Zululand. *Bulletin of Epizootic Diseases of Africa*, **3**, 121-23.
- Oura, C.A., Odongo, D.O., Lubega, G.W., Spooner, P.R., Tait, A. and Bishop, R.P., 2003. A panel of microsatellite and minisatellite markers for the characterisation of field isolates of *Theileria parva*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **33**, 1641-53.
- Oura, C.A., Asiimwe, B.B., Weir, W., Lubega, G.W. and Tait, A., 2005. Population genetic analysis and sub-structuring of *Theileria parva* in Uganda. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **140**, 229–39.
- Perry, B. D. and Young, A. S., 1993. The naming game: the changing fortunes of East Coast fever and *Theileria parva*. *Veterinary Records*, **133**, 613-16.
- Perry, B. D. and Young, A. S., 1995. The past and future roles of epidemiology and economics in the control of tick-borne diseases of livestock in Africa: The case of theileriosis. *Preventative Veterinary Medicine*, 25, 107-20.
- Peterson, M.G., Coppel, R.L., Moloney, M.B. and Kemp, D.J. 1988. Third form of the precursor to the major merozoite surface antigens of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Molecular and Cell Biology*, 8, 2664-67.
- Shapiro, S.Z., Fujisaki, K. and Mozaria, S.P., 1987. A life cycle stage-specific antigen of *Theileria parva* recognised anti-microschizont monoclonal antibodies. *Parasitology*, 94, 29-37.
- Shaw, M.K., 2003. Cell invasion by Theileria sporozoites. Trends in Parasitology, 19, 2-6.
- Sibeko, K.P., Oosthuizen, M.C., Collins, N.E., Geysen, D., Rambritch, N.E., Latif, A.A., Groeneveld, H.T., Potgieter, F.T. and Coetzer, J.A.W., 2008. Development and evaluation of a real-time polymerase chain reaction test for the detection of *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 155, 37-48.
- Sibeko, K.P., Geysen, D., Oosthuizen, M.C., Matthee, C.A., Troskie, M., Potgieter, F.T., Coetzer, J.A.W. and Collins, N.E., 2010. Four p67 alleles identified in South African *Theileria parva* field samples. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **167**, 244-254.



- Staden, R. 1996. The Staden Sequence Analysis Package. *Molecular Biotechnology*, **5**, 233-41.
- Staden, R., Beal, K.F. and Bonfield, J.K., 2000. The Staden package, 1998. Methods in Molecular Biology, 132, 115-130.
- Theiler, A., 1904. East Coast fever. Transvaal Agriculture Journal, 2, 421-38.
- Thompson, B.E., Latif, A.A., Oosthuizen, M.C., Troskie, M. and Penzhorn, B.L., 2008.
  Occurrence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle on a farm in the Ladysmith district, Kwa-Zulu-Natal, South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 79, 31-35.
- Toye, P.G., Goodeeris, B.M., Iams, K., Musoke, A.J. and Morrison, W.I., 1991. Characterization of a polymorphic immunodominant molecule in sporozoites and schizonts of *Theileria parva*. *Parasite Immunology*, **13**, 49-62.
- Toye, P.G., Metzelaar, M.J., Wijngaard, P.J.L., Nene, V., Iams, K., Roose, J., Nyanjui, J.K., Gobright, E., Musoke, A.J. and Clevers, H.C., 1995a. Characterization of the gene encoding the polymorphic immunodominant molecule, a neutralizing antigen of *Theileria parva. Journal of Immunology*, **155**, 1370-81.
- Toye, P.G., Gobright, E., Nyanjui, J., Nene, V. and Bishop, R., 1995b. Structure and sequence variation of the genes encoding the polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM), an antigen of *Theileria parva* recognized by inhibitory monoclonal antibodies. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **73**, 165-77.
- Toye, P.G., Nyanjui, J., Goddeeris, B. and Musoke, A.J., 1996. Identification of neutralization and diagnostic epitopes on PIM, the polymorphic immunodominant molecule of *Theileria parva. Infection and Immunity*, **64**, 1832-38.



## CHAPTER 7

## General Discussion and Conclusion

For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts. Isaiah 55:9

"As long as you are going to be thinking anyway, think big." **Donald Trump** 

parva



## 7.1 Improvement of molecular diagnosis of *T*. infections

Real-time PCR technology has revolutionized molecular diagnostics allowing analysis of total PCR product accumulated in a reaction. This, combined with the use of minute amounts of input DNA, confers superior sensitivity when compared to conventional PCR techniques. In addition, real-time PCR ensures accurate detection of specific targeted nucleic acid sequences by allowing differentiation of PCR products of the same size without the need for post-amplification analysis, which usually risks contamination and is time consuming. These qualities of real-time PCR technology are essential for diagnosis of *T. parva* infections, especially when *T. parva* DNA has to be detected from carrier animals. Hence real-time PCR was exploited in this study to improve the molecular diagnosis of *T. parva* in South Africa. While other molecular tests evaluated in this study, namely RLB, PCR/probe and *cox*III PCR-RFLP, required 2.5 (~37.5 ng) to 5.0 (~75 ng)  $\mu$ l of input DNA, the real-time PCR assay showed superior sensitivity and could detect target DNA using only 1.0  $\mu$ l (~15 ng) of input DNA. Increasing the input DNA to 2.5  $\mu$ l improved the sensitivity by 20% making the real-time PCR assay the most sensitive molecular assay developed for *T. parva* DNA detection to date, detecting a piroplasm parasitaemia as low as 8.79x10<sup>-4</sup> % with 100% certainty.

Using melting curve analysis, real-time PCR technology can be used to differentiate between specific and non-specific PCR products, because of differences in the PCR products' melting temperature (T<sub>m</sub>). In this way, it is even possible to distinguish between amplicons with a single nucleotide difference. Achieving this form of discrimination is impossible with other molecular assays, especially when there is high sequence similarity between the target product and the non-specific PCR product and even more so when the non-specific PCR product is the same size as the target product. This was the challenge with the real-time PCR assay developed in this study, which amplified Theileria sp. (buffalo) DNA in addition to the targeted T. parva DNA. Amplification of Theileria sp. (buffalo) DNA could not be avoided as the 18S rRNA gene sequence of this species is very similar to that of T. parva. However, the assay successfully discriminated between the PCR products of the two Theileria species because they have different T<sub>m</sub>s at the region where the probe is designed. Only T. parva amplicons were detected by the *T. parva*-specific probe subsequent to melting curve analysis; the real-time PCR assay was therefore specific for detection of T. parva infections. To avoid the amplification of Theileria sp. (buffalo) DNA the use of a different target gene with significant differences between Theileria sp. (buffalo) and T. parva will have to be explored



as differences in the 18S rDNA variable region are not sufficient to improve the assay based on this gene.

Contamination remains a major problem in diagnostics; most molecular methods require post amplification handling of PCR products for confirmation of a positive result which increases the risk of contamination that can result in the reporting of false positive results. This is a serious challenge because it is almost impossible to differentiate between a true positive and a false positive result. In South Africa, one of the most important applications of tests for detection of *T. parva* infections is in the regulation of "disease-free" buffalo breeding projects, and false positive results can have an enormous financial implication. "Disease-free" buffalo have become a sought-after commodity, but, once reported positive for *T. parva* infection, these animals lose their value and the game farmer's investment in the animals is threatened. Thus, inaccurate results are to be avoided wherever possible. The contaminationcontrolled system offered by the real-time PCR assay greatly reduces incidents of contamination while allowing rapid processing of samples and increases the number of samples that can be analyzed in a day by three fold or more; these are much desired qualities of a diagnostic assay, especially when large numbers of samples are to be processed as is more often the case with the "disease-free" buffalo breeding projects in South Africa.

The reproducibility of the real-time PCR assay and the consistency in interpretation of test results by different personnel has resulted in the successful transfer of the technology to the ARC-OVI laboratory, a national laboratory with a mandate from the South African Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) to perform tests for *T. parva* infections in cattle and buffalo blood samples.





# 7.2 Molecular characterization of South African *T. parva* parasites

## 7.2.1 Evidence of cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles in *T. parva* parasite populations in South Africa

The cattle-derived *T. parva* stocks, Muguga, Marikebuni and Boleni, characterized by Nene *et al.* (1996), all had the same p67 allele with a 129 bp deletion, while there was no deletion in the central region of the gene in the buffalo-derived stock 7014. The PIM gene from these same stocks was relatively homogenous for the cattle-derived *T. parva* stocks and heterogeneous for buffalo-derived stocks (Toye *et al.*, 1995). p104 sequences were assigned as alleles 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the sequence obtained from *T. parva* Muguga, *T. parva* Marikebuni, *T. parva* Boleni and *T. parva* 7014, respectively (Skilton *et al.*, 2002). It is believed that *T. parva* parasites are originally buffalo parasites and that there is a heterogeneous population of parasites circulating among buffalo, only some of which can be transmitted within the cattle population (Toye *et al.*, 1995; Nene *et al.*, 1996). In an attempt to identify cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles in South African *T. parva* parasite populations, both samples from cattle and buffalo were investigated in this study.

#### 7.2.1.1 Identification of cattle-type alleles from cattle T. parva samples

In this study, cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles were identified from three *T. parva* samples obtained from cattle from a farm near Ladysmith in the KwaZulu-Natal Province. These cattle-type alleles were almost identical to those previously identified in a cattle-derived *T. parva* stock, *T. parva* Muguga, which causes ECF in Kenya, East Africa. It was an unexpected finding to identify Muguga-like cattle-type alleles from these animals, since ECF was not diagnosed in animals on this farm, and there have not been reports of ECF in South Africa since its eradication in the early 1950s. Between 2002 and 2004, there were seasonal outbreaks of what was initially suspected to be babesiosis on the Ladysmith farm, as the farm is located outside the designated *T. parva*-infected area (Thompson *et al.*, 2008). Thompson *et al.* (2008) reported the presence of *T. parva* infections on this farm and attributed the source of infection to possible contact with infected buffalo or *T. parva*-infected ticks, but such contact could not be confirmed. The epidemiology of the disease on this farm was complicated by the fact that during the 2003 and 2004 outbreaks, the entire herd was treated with tetracyclines, and regularly and intensively dipped against ticks. Apparently healthy,



*T. parva*-positive animals were identified both in the study by Thompson *et al.* (2008) and in the present study. It is a known fact that cattle that survive *T. parva* infections can become carriers of the parasite, and furthermore, carriers may arise from being immunised and after treatment with anti-theilerial drugs (Dolan, 1986; Irvin *et al.*, 1989; Bishop *et al.*, 1992). Our results might provide evidence for the selection of a subpoplution of *T. parva* parasites through cattle-to-cattle transmission of *T. parva* on the Ladysmith farm, resulting in parasites with cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles.

p67, p104 and PIM alleles obtained from *T. parva* samples from several other animals from this farm were either buffalo-type or 'novel' (or mixed-type in the case of PIM) suggesting that the parasite population circulating on this farm may have originated from buffalo. Although contact between these animals and *T. parva*-infected buffalo could not be established, findings in this study suggest that this event may have occurred. The Hluhluwe-iMfolozi 'signature' obtained in PIM PCR-RFLP profiles from cattle samples from the Ladysmith farm, similar to that obtained from buffalo samples from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi game park, provides support for the hypothesis that there may have been contact between cattle on this farm and *T. parva*-infected buffalo.

While our results suggest that there may have been transmission of *T. parva* from buffalo to cattle on the Ladysmith farm, possibly followed by cattle-to-cattle transmission, the data obtained in this study cannot be used to establish the route of infection, i.e. if the parasite was transmitted from buffalo-to-cattle, cattle-to-cattle or cattle-to-buffalo.

#### 7.2.1.2 Identification of cattle-type alleles from buffalo T. parva samples

No alleles identical to *T. parva* cattle-type alleles were obtained from buffalo samples analyzed in this study. It is possible that the pool of buffalo that were investigated was not large enough to allow detection of all possible *T. parva* alleles that occur in buffalo. Therefore, a larger pool of samples will have to be characterized in order to determine if there are cattle-type alleles in buffalo. Although cattle-type alleles identical to those previously reported (Toye *et al.*, 1995; Nene *et al.*, 1996; Skilton *et al.*, 2002) were not identified from buffalo samples that were characterized in this study, variants of p67 allele 1 as well as variants of p104 allele 1 (both cattle-type alleles associated with cattle-derived *T. parva* stocks), initially identified from the cattle-derived *T. parva* samples collected from buffalo. This finding suggests that parasites possessing cattle-type p67 and p104 alleles may not be



exclusively associated with cattle-derived *T. parva* parasites. While these results could suggest that there may have been transmission of cattle-derived *T. parva* parasites to buffalo, they could also be indicative of an ancestral buffalo-derived *T. parva* subpopulation with characteristics that might aid the parasite to establish in cattle. The importance of these parasites in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa needs to established. Furthermore, it will be important to determine whether parasites that possess these variants can cause disease in cattle; this could be achieved by means of tick transmission experiments.

It is still not clear if the parasite that caused ECF in South Africa in the early part of the  $20^{\text{th}}$  century was transmitted to buffalo during the ECF epidemic, or whether South African strains of *T. parva* could eventually become adapted to cattle and cause ECF. To date, there are no reports where the source of infection was determined in cases where both cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites occur, and more reliable markers to distinguish between cattle-derived and buffalo-derived parasites would be needed in order to perform such experiments. There are also no reports indicating whether cattle-derived parasites can be transmitted to buffalo. Such transmisson, if it did occur, could play an important role in the evolution of genetic polymorphism in *T. parva* parasites and in the selection for genetically variant *T. parva* parasites that are adapted to cattle could be transmitted to buffalo.

#### 7.2.2 Extensive genetic diversity among South African *T. parva* parasites

Most sequences obtained from the three antigen genes, p67, p104 and PIM, analysed in this study were not identical to the previously reported sequences (Toye *et al.*, 1995; Nene *et al.*, 1996; Skilton *et al.*, 2002), demonstrating extensive genetic diversity among South African *T. parva* parasite populations. Diversity can be either generated by random mutation of nucleotides during asexual reproduction and selection of changes that confer a biological advantage or sexual reproduction through intra- and inter-genetic recombination and the random assortment of alleles (Gubbels *et al.*, 2000). There is no evidence from this study to conclusively implicate any of these mechanisms in the diversity that was observed and the generation of novel alleles. Two different novel p67 alleles, 3 and 4, were identified in addition to the previously reported alleles (Nene *et al.*, 1996). Although the novel alleles were only identified from samples that were obtained from buffalo in South Africa, allele 3 was obtained from a Zambian field isolate (Zam 5) from a naturally infected bovine diagnosed with ECF (Geysen, 2000). This result suggests that cattle-derived *T. parva* parasites,



especially those that can cause ECF, do not exclusively contain p67 allele 1 as previously believed.

Sequence stability may differ in different domains in the genome, which implies that some genes may be reasonably conserved while others are more prone to variation (Bishop et al., 1997). While p67 and p104 gene sequences examined in this study were relatively conserved, and most variation appeared to be due to single nucleotide polymorphisms, the PIM gene was highly polymorphic as evident from the multiple deletions and insertions in the central variable region. Furthermore, in addition to the known PIM sequence types (Toye et al., 1995), 'mixed'-type PIM sequences, suggestive of recombination between cattle-type and buffalo-type PIM alleles, were identified. There was no evidence from data obtained in this study to suggest that mixed-type PIM sequences were due to PCR artifacts generated by in vitro cross-over events. In the tick vector, sexual reproduction between different strains of *T. parva* could result in recombinant progeny. The PIM gene is known to evolve very rapidly (Toye et al., 1995). Shared sequences between genes may arise as a result of common ancestry, convergent evolution, recombination or gene conversion (McDevitt, 1995; Klein and O'hUigin, 1995; Parham et al., 1995). According to Geysen et al. (2004), recombination and gene conversion may be involved in the generation of shared sequences in the PIM gene. The 'mixed' PIM sequences identified in this study appeared to be recombinants of cattle- and buffalo-type sequences. Whatever mechanism is involved, the alteration of amino acid sequences is perceived as an evolutionary advantage (Bishop et al., 1997); there is evidence that frequent genetic exchange has the potential to generate parasites that have high antigenic diversity (Morrison, 2007). Findings from this study have shown that the sequence heterogeneity within the T. parva antigen genes, p67, p104 and PIM, is greater than previously thought. It will be interesting to establish the significance of this genetic diversity in relation to the antigenic diversity of the parasite and the epidemiology of the disease.

While an integrated phylogenetic study of the sequence data obtained from all three genes might be used to further elucidate the evolution of *T. parva* strains in cattle and buffalo, the data from the present study was unfortunately not suitable for this purpose because of mixed infections with different *T. parva* strains in a single sample. More than one sequence was obtained for each of the three genes from most field samples examined in this study. It was therefore not possible to match sequences obtained from each of the three genes to a single parasite. Such a study could be done in future by making parasite clones from field isolates



and characterizing all three genes from individual clones to obtain data that could then be integrated and used to establish the evolution of different parasite strains.

## 7.3 Conclusion

Similar to other diagnostic tests, the real-time PCR assay developed in this study has challenges that still need to be addressed to improve on the performance of the assay. The sensitivity of the *T. parva*-specific real-time PCR assay is still compromised in cases of mixed infections with *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), especially when the ratio of *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) to *T. parva* is high. Increasing input DNA or extracting total DNA from a larger volume of infected blood may increase the probability of detecting the relevant parasite DNA template in the real-time PCR assay. The specificity of the real-time PCR assay could not be further improved using the 18S rRNA gene because of the high similarities between *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. parva* in this gene sequence. For a more specific test it is advisable that a different gene with enough variation at species level should be targeted. Until then, the real-time PCR test reported here remains the most sensitive and specific molecular test for detection of *T. parva* infection.

Findings from this study have shown that *T. parva* parasites with cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM alleles were present on a farm near Ladysmith in South Africa. However, ECF pathology was not diagnosed in these animals. The PIM PCR-RFLP profiles and amino acid sequence data provided evidence that the source of *T. parva* infections in other cattle on the Ladysmith farm was infected buffalo originating from Hluhluwe-iMfolozi. This supports the suspicion of the involvement of *T. parva*-infected buffalo in the events that resulted in an outbreak in the Ladysmith farm (Thompson *et al.*, 2008). No gene sequences identical to cattle-type p67, p104 and PIM were identified from *T. parva* samples obtained from buffalo; however, variants of cattle-type p67 and p104 alleles were identified.

Extensive genetic diversity amongst South African *T. parva* populations in buffalo was evident as shown by identification of novel p67 alleles and PIM 'mixed'-type alleles. The existence of these 'new' alleles will make it difficult to use these antigen genes to characterize South African *T. parva* isolates because it has not yet been established if these isolates are associated with cattle- or buffalo-derived parasites. Although p67, p104 and PIM gene profiles and sequences have been previously used successfully to characterize *T. parva* parasites in East Africa (Bishop *et al.*, 2001), identification of markers that are differentially


expressed between cattle- and buffalo-derived *T. parva* parasites will be required for successful characterization of *T. parva* parasites in South Africa. The presence in South African *T. parva* field samples of alleles associated with *T. parva* parasites responsible for classical ECF remains a concern and the significance of the different alleles, particularly the novel variants, in the epidemiology of theileriosis in South Africa should be established.



## 7.4 References

- Bishop, R.P., Sohanpal, B.K., Kariuki, D.P., Young, A.S., Nene, V., Baylis, H., Allsopp,
  B.A., Spooner, P.R., Dolan, T.T. and Morzaria, S.P., 1992. Detection of a carrier state in *Theileria parva*-infected cattle by the polymerase chain reaction. *Parasitology*, 104, 19-31.
- Bishop, R.P., Musoke, A., Morzaria, S., Sohanpal, B. and Gobright, E., 1997. Concerted Evolution at a Multicopy Locus in the Protozoan Parasite *Theileria parva*: Extreme Divergence of Potential Protein-Coding Sequences. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 17, 1666-73.
- Bishop, R.P., Geysen, D., Spooner, P., Skilton, R., Nene, V., Dolan, T. and Morzaria, S., 2001. Molecular and immunological characterization of *Theileria parva* stocks which are components of 'Muguga cocktail' used for vaccination against East Coast fever in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **94**, 227-37.
- Dolan, T.T., 1986. Chemotherapy of East Coast fever: The long term weight changes, carrier state and disease manifestations of parvaquone treated cattle. *Parasitology*, **94**, 413-23.
- Geysen, D., 2000. The application of Molecular Biology techniques to analyse diversity in *Theileria parva* populations in Zambia. Ph.D. Thesis. Brunel University, UK.
- Geysen, D., Bazarusanga, T., Brandt, J. and Dolan, T.T., 2004. An unusual mosaic structure of the PIM gene of *Theileria parva* and its relationship to allelic diversity. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **133**, 163-74.
- Gubbels, M.-J., Katzer, F., Hide, G., Jongejan, F. and Shiels, B.R., 2000. Generation of a mosaic pattern of diversity in the major merozoite-piroplasm surface antigen of *Theileria annulata. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **110**, 23-32.
- Irvin, A.D., Morzaria, S.P., Munatswa, F.C. and Norval, R.A., 1989. Immunization of cattle with a *Theileria parva bovis* stock from Zimbabwe projects against challenge with virulent *T. parva parva* and *T. parva lawrencei* stocks from Kenya. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **32**, 271-78.



- Klein, J. and O'hUigin, C., 1995. Class II B MHC motifs in an evolutionary perspective. *Immunology Review*, **143**, 89-11.
- McDevitt, H., 1995. Evolution of MHC class II allelic dirvesity. *Immunology Review*, **143**, 113-122.
- Morrison, W.I., 2007. The biological and practical significance of antigenic variability in protective T-cell responses against *Theileria parva*. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **148**, 21-30.
- Nene, V., Musoke, A., Gobright, E. and Morzaria, S., 1996. Conservation of the sporozoite p67 vaccine antigen in cattle-derived *Theileria parva* stocks with different crossimmunity profiles. *Infection and Immunity*, 64, 2056-61.
- Parham, P., Adams, E.J. and Arnett, K.L., 1995. The origins of HLA-A, B, C polymorphism. *Immunology Review*, **143**, 141-80.
- Skilton, R.A., Bishop, R.P., Katende, J.M., Mwaura, S. and Morzaria, S.P., 2002. The persistence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle immunized using two stocks which differ in their ability to induce a carrier state: analysis using a novel blood spot PCR assay. *Parasitology*, **124**, 265-76.
- Thompson, B.E., Latif, A.A., Oosthuizen, M.C., Troskie, M. and Penzhorn, B.L., 2008.
  Occurrence of *Theileria parva* infection in cattle on a farm in the Ladysmith district, Kwa-Zulu-Natal, South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, **79**, 31-35.
- Toye, P.G., Gobright, E., Nyanjui, J., Nene, V. and Bishop, R., 1995. Structure and sequence variation of the genes encoding the polymorphic immunodominant molecule (PIM), an antigen of *Theileria parva* recognized by inhibitory monoclonal antibodies. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **73**, 165-77.



- SIBEKO, K.P., OOSTHUIZEN, M.C., COLLINS, N.E., GEYSEN, D., RAMBRITCH, N.E., LATIF, A.A., GROENEVELD, H.T., POTGIETER, F.T. AND COETZER, J.A.W., 2008. Development and evaluation of a real-time polymerase chain reaction test for the detection of *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **155**, 37-48.
- SIBEKO, K.P., GEYSEN, D., OOSTHUIZEN, M.C., MATTHEE, C.A., TROSKIE, M., POTGIETER, F.T., COETZER, J.A.W. AND COLLINS, N.E., 2010. Four p67 alleles identified in South African *Theileria parva* field samples. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 167, 244-254.

For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the LORD. Isaiah 55:8

For I know the thoughts that I think toward you, saith the LORD, thoughts of peace, and not of evil, to give you an expected end. Jeremiah 29:11