## Community Art Training Centre ALEXANDRA

By G. Haas

Mentor: Professor 'Ora Joubert

Submitted in fulfilment of part of the requirements of the degree of Magister in Architecture (Professional) in the Faculty of Engineering, the Built Environment and Information Technology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, November 2005.

It seems that Architecture has a more profound and crucial influence on people's daily lives than many other professions. In measuring its importance, one need only imagine the negative consequences of a badly designed building, space or any other architectural component in order to understand the significance of good design on people. Architecture deals with aspects of human life, and in order to be effective in fulfilling its purpose, it is duty bound to understand the psychology which drives human behaviour.

The thoughts behind the concept of the project, which will be described further on, try to combine thinkers' thoughts from different professions on which some Architectural concepts rely.

In the proposed project, I will attempt to integrate the "Hierarchy of Needs" as described by Maslow, and to be assisted by the philosophy of the "Everyday Life" as described by Lefebvre.

In his famous "Hierarchy of Needs" pyramid, Abraham Harold Maslow, an American psychologist, tried to grade human needs into five levels of importance. The basic are physiological needs, then safety and security needs, to be followed by love and belonging needs, after which are found esteem needs and finally, the highest needs are for self-actualisation.<sup>1</sup>

(1) Maslow, A. 1968. Toward a Physcology of Being.

According to Maslow, the higher one climbs in the pyramid, the better off one is. Without fulfilling the basic need, one can't proceed further, to other needs.

In light of this theory, I tried to examine the necessity of different functions and aspects in the project - as will be described further on.

In the last few decades, Architects such as Deborah Berke, Steven Harris and others tried to apply Henri Lefebvres' philosophy of the "Everyday Life" into the Architectural realm. Lefevbre, a French Philosopher, attempted during half a century (1920-1970) to define his ideas of everyday life and the nature of space, mainly in urban environments.

"What is the goal? It is the transformation of life in its smallest, most everyday detail". (2)

Lefebvre was very active and influential in the French urbanism in the 60's and the 70's, when he called for more centrality in the city, street life, residential participation and opportunities for spontaneity

In order to meet the community's everyday needs, the Architect's goal is to be a professional interpreter of people's needs into form and

(2) Henri Lefebvre - Critique of the everyday life, 1947

space. He does this first, by identifying and analysing the real needs second, by interpreting them into Architectural language and third, by implementing them.

The township of Alexandra can be seen to be progressing along Maslow's hierarchy. The physiological needs are cared for in the vast effort of establishing a new infrastructure. The highest needs of self-actualisation are, at that stage, not relevant for the majority of the community.

The Art Centre then, would try to provide functions, which cater to the three - main needs according to Maslow's pyramid.

Transparent spaces encourage trust and a sense of security. Along with a gradual system of spaces - public-semi public-private, which defines the hierarchy among the functions, the need for safety and security, is fulfilled.

As a gathering place for groups and varied activities, the need for love and belonging can be reached. Self-esteem needs can be fulfilled by the creation of art, the selling and presenting of one's own products and receiving appreciation for one's work.

In terms of the "Everyday Life" philosophy, the Art Centre tries to create different types of closed

and open spaces for its functions. It takes into consideration daily activities happening within the township, and creates similar but varied spaces as a platform for additional activities.

A few principles of "Everyday Life" philosophy were at the base of the design.

First, strengthening existing pedestrian movement instead of creating a new system. Second, catering to and improving domestic art activities instead of inventing new ones. Third, connecting and widening surrounding community spaces into the centre instead of creating unique and isolated spaces. Forth, using local materials instead of imported ones.

To sum up, in this unique context of community, the ability to let people feel comfortable with the Architectural outcome, relies on the consideration of their daily known patterns of behaviour. The building and the space should not be the goal but the tool to carry out people's activities, whether in private or in public.

Through the design discourse, I will try to investigate the roll of the Community Centres - assisted by the Israeli nation wide concept - within a daily local fabric of the township.

Precedent Study	3
Alexandra Network of Community Centres	3
Background Operational Concept Community Centres - Alexandra Community Centres - South Africa Lateral Conclusions	3 3 4 6 8
An Israeli Model for Integrated Community Centres	9
Background Operational Concept Basic Assumptions Conceptual and Physical Programme Three Common Types of Centres Physical Planning Main Characteristics of Israeli/South African Community Centres	9 10 10 11 12 15 16
Concept	21
Context Study	25
Alexandra History and Planning Alexandra Renewal Project (ARP)	25 25
Site Location and Characteristics	29
Regional Analysis Housing Movement System East Bank Community Centre The Site	30 31 34 35 36 39
Jukskei River	43
Scrap Metal and Street Art	45

Security Concept	47
Tuck Shops	48
Problem Statement	51
Introduction	51
Township Problems	51
General Objectives	52
General Assumptions	52
Main Design Points	52
Administrative Concept	54
Design Development	57
Functional Characteristics	57
Conceptual Diagrams	60
Development of the plan	66
Accomodation	76
Allocation of Functions	77
Climate Concept	79
Design Influences	81
Technical Documentation	83
Technical Report	85
Working Drawings	91
Financial Strategy	102
List of Sources	104

## List of Figures

Fig 1	Computer laboratory - Alexandra	Fig 31	Alexandra's layout - map
Fig 2	Community centers - map	Fig 32	Topographical map
Fig 3	Youth library - Alexan Resource Centre (ARC)	Fig 33	East Bank's development map
Fig 4	Main square - ARC	Fig 34	East Bank's development map (detailed)
Fig 5	Amphitheatre - ARC	Fig 35	Site documentation
Fig 6	Main entrance - ARC	Fig 36	Regional air photo - Alexandra
Fig 7	ARC layout sketch	Fig 37	Functional regional map
Fig 8	MPCC offices	Fig 38	Site location
Fig 9	Nelson Mandela Museum - sketch	Fig 39	The green strip
Fig 10	Main hall - Center for the Elderly	Fig 40	Jukskei River corridor
Fig 11	Guga S'thebe Art, culture and heritage Village	Fig 41	Housing around the site
Fig 12	Early childhood Centre	Fig 42	Old brick house
Fig 13	Unobuntu Multi Purpose Centre	Fig 43	Local Shack
Fig 14	Bopitikelo molatedi centre	Fig 44	East Bank house
Fig 15	Art center - Israel	Fig 45	Regional site model - "Natural Entrance"
Fig 16	CYSC Zafed - Israel	Fig 46	Regional site model - "Urban Entrance"
Fig 17	Art Workshop - Israel	Fig 47	Regional site model - the bridge
Fig 18	Children library - Israel	Fig 48	Regional site model - Community Hall
Fig 19	Sport hall - Israel	Fig 49	East Bank Community Centre - map
Fig 20	Kindergarten - Israel	Fig 50	East Bank clinic
Fig 21	CYSC scheme - Israel	Fig 51	East Bank swimming pool
Fig 22	CYSC network - Israel	Fig 52	Community Hall graph
Fig 23	CYSC Urban Company - Israel	Fig 53	Swimming pool graph
Fig 24	CYSC Shefar'am - Israel	Fig 54	Community hall
Fig 25	CYSC Physical scheme - Israel	Fig 55	The site
Fig 26	CYSC Pisgate Ze'ev - Israel	Fig 56	The green strip
Fig 27	Sewing wokshop	Fig 57	Viewpoint to Alexandra
Fig 28	Alexandra during the struggle days	Fig 58	Pedestrian Bridge
Fig 29	Central Gauteng Province - map	Fig 59	Pedestrian Bridge - graph
Fig 30	Johannesburg area - map	Fig 60	Site section (E-W) - sketch

Fig 61	Site section (N-S)- sketch	Fig 95 General conceptual sketch
Fig 62	Local games	Fig 96 Capturing the movement path - sketch
Fig 63	Internal street	Fig 97 Main blocks - sketch
Fig 64	Marabaraba	Fig 98 Final concept model
Fig 65	Saturday prayers	Fig 99 Site model 3
Fig 66	Taxi rank	Fig 100 Main square and veranda- sketch
Fig 67	Flood line	Fig 101 Exhibition hall and ramp - model
Fig 68	Gabions work	Fig 102 Exhibition hall entrance - model
Fig 69	The Jukskei River today	Fig 103 Entrance - sketch
Fig 70	Infrastructure works at site - sketch	Fig 104 Articulation point - sketch
Fig 71	Coal usage- sketch	Fig 105 Articulation point - model
Fig 72	Local ablution unit - sketch	Fig 106 "Natural Entrance" - sketch
Fig 73	Pedestrian Bridge - sketch	Fig 107 Connection to the bridge - sketch
Fig 74	Scrap metal courtyard	Fig 108 Multi Purpose Hall and courtyard - model
Fig 75	"Street garage"	Fig 109 Viewing deck to the hall
Fig 76	Scrap metal sculpture	Fig 110 Environmental Centre - sketch
Fig 77	Locksmith workshop	Fig 111 Connection to the river - sketch
Fig 78	Local Craftsman	Fig 112 Ground floor surface
Fig 79	Pedestrian community	Fig 113 First floor surface
Fig 80	Street graffiti	Fig 114 Internal spaces - sketch
Fig 81	Tuck-shop characteristics	Fig 115 Public/private spaces
Fig 82	Conceptual sketch - site	Fig 11 Sunbeam protection - sketch
Fig 83	Corridors sketch - site	Fig 117 Spaces according to climate - sketch
Fig 84	Spaces around the site - sketch	Fig 118 Ventilation scheme - sketch
Fig 85	View points around the site - sketch	Fig 119 Ventilation corridors
Fig 86	Focal points at the site - sketch	Fig 120 Shaded areas - sketch
Fig 87	Climate sketch	Fig 121 Charles Corea - plan
Fig 88	Aerial model	Fig 122 Charles Corea - section
Fig 89	"Natural Entrance" - sketch	Fig 123 East Cost Architects - project
Fig 90	"Urban Entrance" - sketch	Fig 124 Nina Maritz - project
Fig 91	Gathering area linked to Community Hall - sketch	Fig 125 Allocation of solar systems
Fig 92	Connection to vacant triangle - sketch	Fig 126 Solar systems
Fig 93	Site model 1	Fig 127 Allocation of water tanks
Fig 94	Site model 2	