

**Engineering of a chimeric SAT2 foot-and-mouth disease virus
for vaccine production**

by

Belinda Böhmer

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology

University of Pretoria

South Africa

JULY 2004

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere gratitude to the following persons and institutions:

Dr Trudi van Rensburg for invaluable guidance, continued support and the opportunity to investigate an exciting field of study;

Prof. Jacques Theron for advice and helpful input throughout this study;

Dr Wilna Vosloo and personnel at the Exotic Diseases Division;

Mr Jan Esterhuysen for helpful discussions;

Lloyd Ikaneng for 146S determination;

Pamela for being there;

Everyone dear to me who has supported me with their unfailing love;

University of Pretoria and National Research Foundation for financial support.

SUMMARY

Engineering of a chimeric SAT2 foot-and-mouth disease virus for vaccine production

by

Belinda Böhmer

Supervisor: Prof. J. Theron
Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology
University of Pretoria

Co-Supervisor: Dr H.G. van Rensburg
Division of Medical Biochemistry
University of Cape Town

for the degree M.Sc

Foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV), a member of the *Picornaviridae*, causes a highly contagious disease affecting cloven-hoofed animals. In 2000, a SAT2 type virus, SAU/6/00, was introduced into the Middle East, causing a severe outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) in Saudi Arabia. Although an inactivated vaccine containing the Saudi Arabian strain antigen is currently available, SAU/6/00 is not an ideal vaccine producing strain. This is due to a lack in consistent high antigen yield produced at a rate complying with good vaccine production practices. Towards the long-term goal of developing an alternative approach for producing the current inactivated SAT2/SAU/6/00 vaccine, the aim of this study was to engineer and characterize a chimeric FMDV.

To facilitate engineering of a chimeric SAT2 virus, the capsid (P1)-coding region of the SAU/6/00 strain was molecularly characterized. Comparison of the nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence to that of different SAT2 type viruses indicated a high level of intratypic variation. The greatest variation was observed in the 1D protein, which forms part of the external capsid and contributes to the antigenicity of the virus. Hypervariable regions were identified in the SAU/6/00 capsid-coding region and found to correspond to known antigenic

sites of FMD viruses. Using a previously constructed genome-length cDNA clone derived from the SAT2 vaccine strain ZIM/7/83, a chimeric construct was engineered by replacing the external capsid-coding region (1B-1D) of ZIM/7/83 with that of SAU/6/00 in the SAT2 genome-length cDNA clone. *In vitro*-synthesized RNA transcripts derived from the chimeric pSAU6/SAT2 clone were subsequently used to transfect baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells and resulted in the recovery of a viable chimeric SAT2 virus.

The recovered chimeric virus vSAU6/SAT2 and parental SAU/6/00 vaccine strain were compared in terms of their growth properties, temperature stability and antigenic profile of the viral particles. The plaque morphologies of the respective viruses were similar on BHK and IB-RS-2 cells, indicating that the phenotypic characteristics of the parental virus were maintained in the chimera. In addition, the chimera exhibited improved growth properties in BHK cells and produced higher titres than the parental SAU/6/00 virus. A rapid growth rate in tissue culture, as well as high antigen yields, are desirable for vaccine strains. Investigation of antigen stability at high temperatures indicated that the chimera is not distinctly more heat-stable than the parental virus. With regards to their antigenic profile, both the chimera and parental virus displayed a similar profile in virus neutralization tests (VNT), suggesting that the necessary antigenic properties of the parental virus are most probably present in the chimera. *In vivo* testing of the SAT2 chimera would be necessary to evaluate the usefulness of the chimera in commercial vaccine production.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
SUMMARY	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1: LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 RECENT OUTBREAKS OF FMD	2
1.3 CLASSIFICATION AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF FMDV	4
1.3.1 Classification	4
1.3.2 Physical properties	4
1.4 VIRAL RNA GENOME, CAPSID AND ANTIGENIC PROPERTIES	5
1.4.1 Structure of the RNA genome	5
1.4.2 Structure of the FMDV capsid	8
1.4.3 Antigenic properties	9
1.5 INFECTIOUS CYCLE OF FMDV	13
1.5.1 Cell recognition	13
1.5.1.1 <i>RGD-dependant mechanism of cell binding</i>	13
1.5.1.2 <i>RGD-independant mechanism of cell binding</i>	14
1.5.2 Protein synthesis and processing of the FMDV polyprotein	15
1.6 IMMUNE RESPONSE	16
1.7 CONTROL OF FMD	18
1.7.1 Conventional vaccines	18
1.7.1.1 <i>Production of FMDV in cell lines</i>	19
1.7.1.2 <i>Inactivation of FMDV</i>	19
1.7.1.3 <i>Purification and concentration of the FMDV antigen</i>	20

1.7.1.4 <i>Formulation of the antigen</i>	20
1.7.2 Emergency vaccines	21
1.8 ALTERNATIVES TO CONVENTIONAL FMD VACCINES	22
1.8.1 Protein and peptide vaccines	22
1.8.2 Genetically engineered attenuated strains	24
1.8.3 DNA vaccines	25
1.8.4 Vector-associated vaccines	26
1.9 VACCINATION IN SAUDI ARABIA: AIMS AND SCOPE OF THIS INVESTIGATION	27
CHAPTER 2: MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE CAPSID-CODING REGION FROM THE SAUDI ARABIAN SAT2 FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE VIRUS VACCINE STRAIN SAU/6/00	30
2.1 INTRODUCTION	30
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	33
2.2.1 Viral and bacterial strains	33
2.2.2 Oligonucleotides	33
2.2.3 RNA extraction	33
2.2.4 cDNA synthesis	33
2.2.5 PCR amplification and analysis	35
2.2.6 Cloning of the capsid-coding region into pGEM [®] -T Easy	35
2.2.7 Plasmid isolation	37
2.2.8 Nucleotide sequence determination and analysis	37
2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	38
2.3.1 Cloning of the P1 region from SAU/6/00 into pGEM [®] -T Easy	38
2.3.2 Molecular characterization of the P1 region from SAU/6/00	38
2.3.2.1 <i>Intratypic variation of the P1 region between types A, O and SAT2</i>	38
2.3.2.2 <i>Phylogenetic relationships</i>	41
2.3.2.3 <i>Comparison of the P1 regions between different SAT2 viruses</i>	47
2.4 CONCLUSIONS	52

CHAPTER 3: ENGINEERING OF A CHIMERIC SAT2 VIRUS CONTAINING THE EXTERNAL CAPSID-CODING REGION FROM SAU/6/00	54
3.1 INTRODUCTION	54
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	56
3.2.1 Bacterial strains and plasmids	56
3.2.2 PCR amplification of the external capsid-coding region of SAU/6/00	56
3.2.2.1 <i>Oligonucleotides</i>	56
3.2.2.2 <i>PCR amplification</i>	56
3.2.3 Restriction endonuclease digestions	57
3.2.4 Construction of the pSAU6/SAT2 chimeric clone	57
3.2.5 Characterization of recombinant plasmid DNA	58
3.2.6 <i>In vitro</i> RNA synthesis	60
3.2.6.1 <i>Preparation of template DNA</i>	60
3.2.6.2 <i>RNA transcription</i>	60
3.2.7 Transfection of BHK cells and virus recovery	61
3.2.8 Characterization of recovered chimeric viruses	62
3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	63
3.3.1 Construction of the chimeric cDNA clone pSAU6/SAT2	63
3.3.1.1 <i>PCR amplification of the external capsid-coding region from SAU/6/00</i>	63
3.3.1.2 <i>Cloning of the external capsid-coding region from SAU/6/00 into pSAT2</i>	63
3.3.2 Generation of a viable chimeric virus, vSAU6/SAT2	65
3.3.3 Confirmation of recovered vSAU6/SAT2	68
3.4 CONCLUSIONS	71
CHAPTER 4: COMPARISON OF THE SAT2 TYPE CHIMERA vSAU6/SAT2 WITH THE PARENTAL SAU/6/00 VACCINE STRAIN IN TERMS OF GROWTH PROPERTIES, TEMPERATURE STABILITY AND ANTIGENIC PROFILE	72
4.1 INTRODUCTION	72
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	74



4.2.1	Viruses	74
4.2.2	Viral amplification	74
4.2.3	Plaque assay	75
4.2.4	Single-step growth studies	75
4.2.5	Investigation of antigen stability	76
4.2.5.1	<i>Virus amplification</i>	76
4.2.5.2	<i>Heat treatment of viruses</i>	76
4.2.5.3	<i>Indirect sandwich ELISA</i>	76
4.2.5.4	<i>Plaque assays</i>	77
4.2.5.5	<i>146S quantification</i>	77
4.2.6	Antigenicity determination of the viruses using the virus neutralization test	77
4.2.6.1	<i>Titration of viruses on IB-RS-2 cells</i>	77
4.2.6.2	<i>Virus neutralization test</i>	78
4.3	RESULTS	78
4.3.1	Plaque morphology on different cell lines	78
4.3.2	Growth kinetics in BHK cells	81
4.3.3	Antigen stability	81
4.3.3.1	<i>Indirect sandwich ELISA</i>	81
4.3.3.2	<i>Plaque assays in BHK cells</i>	83
4.3.3.3	<i>Stability of 146S particles</i>	86
4.3.4	Antigenic profile	86
4.4	DISCUSSION	86
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUDING REMARKS		92
REFERENCES		94
CONGRESS CONTRIBUTIONS		112
APPENDIX		113

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1.1	Schematic diagram of the FMDV RNA genome. 6
Fig. 1.2	Schematic view of the structure of the FMDV external capsid proteins, the subunits and viral capsid. 10
Fig. 2.1	Variability in the yield of SAU/6/00 antigen for several vaccine production batches. 32
Fig. 2.2	Schematic representation of the capsid-coding region of SAU/6/00 cloned into pGEM [®] -T Easy and the sequencing strategy used to determine the nucleotide sequence. 36
Fig. 2.3	Agarose gel electrophoretic analysis of the L-P1-2A PCR product. 40
Fig. 2.4	Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequence of the Leader protease and capsid-coding region of SAU/6/00. 42
Fig. 2.5	Neighbour-joining tree depicting the relationships between nucleotide sequences of the P1 regions of six FMDV serotypes. 49
Fig. 2.6	Amino acid sequence alignment of P1 regions of four SAT2 FMD viruses, indicating the individual viral proteins and hypervariable regions. 50
Fig. 3.1	Schematic representation of the cloning strategy used for the construction of the pSAU6/SAT2 chimeric construct. 59
Fig. 3.2	Agarose gel electrophoretic analysis of the amplicons obtained by RT-PCR amplification of the external capsid-coding region of SAU/6/00. 64
Fig. 3.3	Schematic representation of restriction endonuclease recognition sites on the chimeric construct pSAU6/SAT2. 66
Fig. 3.4	Agarose gel electrophoretic analysis of plasmid DNA from ampicillin-resistant transformants following restriction endonuclease digestion. 66
Fig. 3.5	Agarose gel electrophoretic analysis of the amplification products obtained following PCR amplification of cDNA prepared from the chimeric vSAU6/SAT2 virus and the chimeric pSAU6/SAT2 plasmid construct. 69
Fig. 3.6	Nucleotide sequence alignment of the parental virus (SAU/6/00), recombinant construct (pSAU6/SAT2) and chimeric virus (vSAU6/SAT2). 70
Fig. 4.1	Plaque morphology of the vSAU6/SAT2, SAU/6/00 and vSAT2 viruses on BHK, IB-RS-2 and CHO cells. 80
Fig. 4.2	Single-step growth kinetics of vSAU6/SAT2, SAU/6/00 and vSAT2 viruses in BHK cells. 82

Fig. 4.3	Antibody reactivity with vSAU6/SAT2, SAU/6/00 and vSAT2 viruses detected with the indirect sandwich ELISA test following heat treatment at 42°C and 56°C.	84
Fig. 4.4	Virus titres in BHK monolayers following treatment at 42°C and 56°C.	87
Fig. 4.5	Antigenic profiles of SAU/6/00, vSAU6/SAT2 and vSAT2 viruses tested against bovine-raised SAT2 sera in the virus neutralization test.	88

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Secondary structure elements of FMDV involved in antigenic sites of types A, O and C	12
Table 2.1	Oligonucleotides used in this part of the study	34
Table 2.2	FMD viruses used in comparative studies of the P1-coding region	39
Table 2.3	Intratype amino acid and nucleotide sequence variation in the capsid protein (P1) and viral proteins (1A-1D) of serotype SAT2, type A and type O	46
Table 3.1	CPE observed during serial passage of the chimeric vSAU6/SAT2 virus and vSAT2 virus in BHK cells	67
Table 4.1	Viral titres determined for vSAU6/SAT2, SAU/6/00 and vSAT2 on BHK, IB-RS-2 and CHO cells	80

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

aa	amino acid
BEA	bromoethylamine hydrobromide
BEI	binary ethyleneimine
BHK	baby hamster kidney
BME	Eagle's basal medium
BTY	bovine thyroid cells
°C	degrees Celsius
<i>ca.</i>	approximately
cDNA	complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CHO	Chinese hamster ovary
CPE	cytopathic effect
<i>cre</i>	<i>cis</i> -acting replication element
CsCl	caesium chloride
DAPSA	DNA and Protein Sequence Analysis
D-MEM	Dulbecco's minimal essential medium
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxynucleoside-5'-triphosphate
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid
EIF	eukaryotic initiation factor
<i>e.g.</i>	for example
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay
EMCV	encephalomyocarditis virus
EtBr	ethidium bromide
FCS	fetal calf serum
Fig.	figure
FMDV	foot-and-mouth disease virus
g	gram
GuSCN	guanidinium thiocyanate
h	hour



IB-RS-2	Instituto Bioloica Rim Suino
ICAM	intercellular adhesion molecule
Ig	immunoglobulin
IPTG	isopropyl β -D-thiogalactosidase
IRES	internal ribosome entry site
kb	kilobase pair
KNP	Kruger National Park
LB medium	Luria-Bertani medium
M	molar
MBS	MES-buffered saline
MEGA	Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
MES	[<i>N</i> -morpholino]ethane-sulfonic acid
MHC	major histocompatibility complex
min	minute
ml	millilitre
mm	millimetre
mM	millimolar
MOI	multiplicity of infection
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
NEAA	non-essential amino acids
ng	nanogram
nt	nucleotide
OD	optical density
OIE	Office des Epizooties
ORF	open reading frame
PBS	phosphate-buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PD	protective dose
PEG	polyethylene glycol
PEO	polyethylene oxide
pfu	plaque forming units
PIADC	Plum Island Animal Disease Center
p.i.	post-infection
pmol	picomole

poly(C) tract	polycytidylate tract
PKs	pseudoknots
pSAT2	SAT2 genome-length cDNA clone
pSAU6/SAT2	recombinant construct (SAU/6/00 external capsid-coding region cloned into pSAT2)
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RNase	ribonuclease
rpm	revolutions per minute
RPMI	Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640 medium
RT-PCR	reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction
s	second
S	Svedberg unit
SAT	South African Territories
SAU/6/00	Saudi Arabian outbreak strain
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
SNT	serum neutralization antibody titre
TAE	Tris-acetate-EDTA
TBE	Tris-borate-EDTA
TCID	tissue culture infective dose
TE	Tris-EDTA
TPB	tryptose phosphate broth
Tris	Tris-hydroxymethyl-aminomethane
U	units
µg	microgram
µl	microlitre
µM	micromolar
UTR	untranslated region
VNT	virus neutralization test
VP_g	viral genome-linked protein
vSAT2	virus derived from the SAT2 genome-length cDNA clone
vSAU6/SAT2	chimeric virus derived from the pSAU6/SAT2 construct
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume
X-Gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β-D-galactopyranoside