

# Bioactivity of extracts and components of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia*

By: Annelie Rabie

# Bioactivity of extracts and components of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia*

By: Annelie Rabie

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree

*Philosophiae Doctor: Pharmacology*

Department of Pharmacology

In the Faculty of Health Sciences

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

South Africa

Promoter: Dr N Lall<sup>1</sup>

Co-promoters: Prof JJM Meyer<sup>1</sup>, Prof JR Snyman<sup>2</sup>

(<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacology)

July 2005

*“It can seem a formidable task, faced with a litre of fermentation broth - a dark, viscous sludge - knowing that in there is one group of molecules that has to be separated from all the rest. Those molecules possibly represent only about 0.0001%, or 1 ppm of the total biomass and are dispersed throughout the organism, possibly intimately bound up with other molecules. Like the proverbial needle in a haystack, you have to remove lot of hay to be left with just the needle, without knowing what the needle looks like or where in the haystack it is.”*

*Richard J.P. Cannell*

## SUMMARY

Combretaceae contain several species with bioactive properties - especially the genera *Combretum* and *Terminalia*. *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* and *Quisqualis littorea* belong to this family and have not previously been thoroughly investigated for their bioactivity. Leaf and fruit extracts of *P. myrtifolia* and leaf extracts of *Q. littorea* were separated by three different thin layer chromatography eluent systems. For all leaf and fruit material, the largest amount of acetone soluble material was extracted with extractants of intermediate polarity. Antibacterial activity of 30 extracts was investigated using a microplate serial dilution method and bioautography. The four most important nosocomial pathogens that are used worldwide namely two Gram-positive: *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis*, and two Gram-negative bacteria: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli* were used as test organisms. Areas of growth inhibition were best defined after an eluent system that separates compounds of intermediate polarity had been used. The Gram-positive bacteria were most sensitive to some extracts of *P. myrtifolia* leaves. Fruit extracts exhibited minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) values as low as 0.04 mg/ml, less than that of the allopathic antibiotics, ampicillin and chloramphenicol. *Q. littorea* leaf extracts had an average MIC value of 0.32 mg/ml for Gram-negative bacteria. The average antibacterial activity expressed as total activity for each bacterium was higher in the leaves than in the fruit of *P. myrtifolia*. After considering the amount of antibacterial compounds extracted, toxicity of extractants to test organisms and miscibility of several extractants, acetone was eventually chosen as the best extractant for future extractions.

Results obtained in this investigation showed clearly that *P. myrtifolia* leaves, fruit, and *Q. littorea* leaves contain several antibacterial compounds.

Five different extracts of *P. myrtifolia* leaves were tested for growth inhibitory effects on different

human cell lines (MCF-12, MCF-7, H157, WHCO<sub>3</sub>, HeLa). The non-cancerous MCF-12A cell line's growth was not inhibited extensively, and the cancer cell lines - MCF-7, H157, WHCO<sub>3</sub> and HeLa, differed in their sensitivity to the plant extracts. This indicated that the plant extracts' effects were selective and not due to general toxicity. The effect of some extracts on certain cell lines, especially WHCO<sub>3</sub>, was growth inhibitory but not lethal. This is the desired effect – to inhibit growth of cancer cells, but not to be toxic to cells in general. The presence of tannin in extracts either promoted or inhibited growth inhibition of different cell lines.

The same extractants that were used for cytotoxic tests were investigated for their antioxidant activity. All extracts gave positive scavenging capacity with the 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl assay. The cold water, methanol and hot water extracts had vitamin C equivalents of 0.34, 0.20 and 0.147 mg/g respectively, all more than that of black tea.

The solvent-solvent separation of *P. myrtifolia* leaves was started with acetone as an initial extractant. Separation was undertaken with immiscible solvents of different polarities. All fractions had antibacterial activity against the Gram-positive bacteria. The chloroform fraction was antibacterial to all bacteria tested, and had the largest amount of antibacterial compounds.

Pure compounds were isolated from the chloroform fraction by column chromatography. One pure compound's structure was elucidated as a pentacyclic triterpenoid, taraxerol (C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O).

Taraxerol had MIC values of 0.04, 0.016, 0.63 and 0.31 mg/ml for the bacteria *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* respectively. It significantly inhibited growth of the human lung cancer cell line H157 and did not display free radical scavenger activity.

This is the first report of the antibacterial activity of several extracts from *P. myrtifolia* and *Q. littorea*, growth inhibition effects of several *P. myrtifolia* leaf extracts on the human cell lines

MCF-12, MCF-7, H157 and WHCO<sub>3</sub>, the isolation of taraxerol from *P. myrtifolia* leaves, taraxerol's antibacterial activity for above-mentioned test organisms, and growth inhibition effects on human cancer cell lines MCF-7, H157, WHCO<sub>3</sub> and HeLa.

## OPSOMMING

Combretaceae word gekenmerk deur die teenwoordigheid van baie spesies met bioaktiewe eienskappe, veral van die genusse *Combretum* en *Terminalia*. *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* en *Quisqualis littorea*, wat tot hierdie familie hoort, is nog nie voorheen deeglik vir bioaktiwiteit ondersoek nie. Blaar- en vrugestralte van *P. myrtifolia*, en blaarestralte van *Q. littorea* is met drie verskillende elueermiddels en dunlaagchromatografie (DLC) ontwikkel. Van al die blaar- en vrugmateriaal is die grootste hoeveelheid asetoon-oplosbare materiaal deur ekstraheermiddels met intermediêre polariteit geëkstraheer. Antibakteriële aktiwiteit van 30 ekstralte was ondersoek met serie verdunnings in multi-putjie plate en bioautografie. Die vier belangrikste nosokomiale patogene wat wêreldwyd gebruik word, naamlik twee Gram-positiewe: *Staphylococcus aureus* en *Enterococcus faecalis*, en twee Gram-negatiewe bakterië: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* en *Escherichia coli* was as toetsorganismes gebruik. Areas van groei-inhibisie was die duidelikste ná ontwikkeling met 'n elueermiddel van intermediêre polariteit. Die Gram-positiewe bakterië was die sensitiefste vir sommige ekstralte van *P. myrtifolia* blare en vrugte met sommige minimum inhibitoriese konsentrasie (MIK) waardes so laag as 0.04 mg/ml - minder as die van die allopatiese antibiotika, ampicillien en chlooramfenikol. *Q. littorea* blaarestralte het 'n gemiddelde MIK waarde van 0.32 mg/ml vir Gram-negatiewe bakterië gehad. Die gemiddelde aktiwiteit voorgestel as totale aktiwiteit, was vir elke bakterium hoër in die blare as in die vrugte van *P. myrtifolia*. Nadat hoeveelheid antibakteriële verbindings geëkstraheer, toksisiteit vir toetsorganismes en mengbaarheid van verskeie ekstralte in ag geneem is, is daar eventueel besluit dat asetoon die beste ekstraheermiddel vir toekomstige ekstraksies is.

Resultate uit hierdie ondersoek verkry, toon duidelik dat *P. myrtifolia* blare, vrugte en *Q. littorea* blare verskeie antibakteriële verbindings bevat.

Vyf verskillende *P. myrtifolia* blaar ekstrakte is vir groei-inhiberende effekte teen menslike sellyne (MCF-12, MCF-7, H157, WHCO<sub>3</sub> en HeLa) getoets. Die nie-kankeragtige MCF-12A sellyn se groei is nie ekstensief geïnhibeer nie, en die kanker sellyne - MCF-7, H157, WHCO<sub>3</sub> en HeLa, het verskil in hul sensitiviteit vir verskillende plant ekstrakte. Dit toon dat die plantekstrakte se effek selektief was en nie as gevolg van algemene toksisiteit nie. Die effek van sommige ekstrakte op spesifieke sellyne, veral WHCO<sub>3</sub>, was groei inhiberend maar nie dodelik (toksies) nie. Hierdie is die gewenste effek – dat kankerselle se groei geïnhibeer sal word, maar nie sterf as gevolg van toksisiteit in die algemeen nie. Die teenwoordigheid van tanniene in ekstrakte het die sellyne se groei minder of soms meer geïnhibeer.

Dieselfde ekstrakte as wat vir sitotoksiese toetse gebruik was, is ondersoek vir hul antioksidant aktiwiteit. Alle ekstrakte het 'n positiewe suiwerings aktiwiteit met 1,2-difeniel-2-pikriëlhidrasiel getoon. Die koue water, metanol and warm waterekstrakte het vitamien C ekwivalente van of 0.34, 0.20 en 0.147 mg/g respektiewelik gehad, almal meer as dié van swart tee.

Die groepskeiding van *P. myrtifolia* blare is met asetoon as inisiële ekstraheermiddel begin. Skeiding is bewerkstellig met onmengbare vloeistowwe van verskillende polariteite. Alle fraksies was aktief teen Gram-positiewe bakterië. Die chloroformfraksie was antibakteriëel vir al die bakterië, en het die meeste antibakteriële verbindings gehad.

Suiwer verbindings is deur kolomkromatografie uit die chloroformfraksie geïsoleer. Een suiwer verbinding se struktuur is geïdentifiseer as 'n pentasikliese triterpenoïed, taraxerol (C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O).

Taraxerol het MIK waardes van 0.04, 0.016, 0.63 en 0.31 mg/ml vir die bakterië *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *P. aeruginosa* en *E. coli* respektiewelik gehad. Dit het die groei van die menslike longkanker sellyn H157, betekenisvol geïnhibeer en nie antioksidant aktiwiteit getoon nie.



Hierdie is die eerste verslag van antibakteriële aktiwiteit van verskeie ekstrakte van *P. myrtifolia* en *Q. littorea*, inhibisie van groei van die menslike sellyne MCF-12, MCF-7, H157 en WHCO<sub>3</sub> deur verskeie *P. myrtifolia* blaarekstrakte, die isolasie van taraxerol uit *P. myrtifolia* blare, taraxerol se antibakteriële aktiwiteit vir bogenoemde toetsorganismes, antioksidant aktiwiteit en groei-inhibeerende effekte op die bogenoemde menslike kanker sellyne.

## Acknowledgements

My gratitude to Almighty God, who has given me the grace to finish. I was several times reminded (by the way He created plants) of the wonders of creation.

I hereby express my profound appreciation to the following people:

Dr. N. Lall, Prof. J.J.M. Meyer, Prof. J.R. Snyman, Prof. J.N. Eloff and Prof. C. Medlen for their valuable advice and encouragement.

Prof. Z. Apostoloides and Rene du Toit for valuable advice during the antioxidant experiments.

Dr. M-L. Löttering and Catherina Gouws for valuable advice with the anticancer experiments.

Mrs. René Swart and all the postgraduate students in the Department of Botany.

The library and IT staff for assistance with literature and computers.

The National Research Foundation (NRF) for funding.

The Department Medical Microbiology for providing the mother cultures of the bacteria.

The Lowveld National Botanical Institute for permission to collect and use the plant material for research.

Eric Palmer, Department of Chemistry at the University of Pretoria for technical help with the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance apparatus.

Dr. Hugh Glen for permission to collect and use the plant material for research from the *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* tree he planted on his sidewalk in Centurion.

To the Department of Botany and Pharmacology and their staff.

My mother who gave me encouragement in many ways.

My cats for all their playfulness.

# Bioactivity of extracts and components of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia*

## Table of contents

	Page number:
Summary	i
Opsomming	iv
Acknowledgements	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xv
List of Tables	xxvi
List of Abbreviations	xxix

## Chapter 1: Introduction, hypotheses, aims and objectives

1.1 Introduction	
1.1.1 Serious underestimation of plant-derived medicines	1
1.1.2 Tendency towards unrefined or "natural", assuming non-toxic	1
1.1.3 Ethno-medicinal uses represent leads for discovery of modern drugs	2
1.1.4 Expansion of human civilizations pose a threat to plant biomes	3
1.1.5 Plants' extraordinary ability to synthesize secondary metabolites	4
1.1.6 Bioactive properties derived from plants	4
1.1.7 Compounds from plants that regulate or participate in disease resistance	5
1.1.8 Antibacterial resistance – research to find alternative or natural antibiotics remains a matter of urgency	8
1.1.8.1 Useful antimicrobial phytochemicals	9
1.1.9 Plant compounds role in the treatment of cancers	11
1.1.9.1 Incidence of cancer	13
1.1.9.2 Combretastatin	13
1.1.10 Antioxidative properties of plants	15
1.1.11 Phytochemistry in the Combretaceae plant family	17
1.1.12 Distribution of <i>Pteleopsis myrtifolia</i>	17

1.1.13 Distribution of <i>Quisqualis littorea</i>	19
1.1.14 Bioactivities of <i>Pteleopsis species</i>	20
1.1.15 Bioactivities of <i>Quisqualis species</i>	22
1.2 Hypothesis, aim and objectives of this study	23
1.2.1 Hypothesis	23
1.2.2 The aim of this study	23
1.2.3 The objectives of this study	23
1.3 Schematic representation of research's methodology	24
1.4 Envisaged contributions of this study	25
1.5 Statistical considerations	25
1.6 Literature references	26

## Chapter 2: Extraction of plant material

Abstract	40
2.1 Introduction	41
2.1.1 Selection of appropriate extraction solvents	41
2.2 Material and Methods	44
2.2.1 Plant material	44
2.2.2 Extracts	45
2.2.3 Thin layer chromatography (TLC)	46
2.3 Results and Discussion	46
2.3.1 Extraction of plant material	46
2.3.2 Chemical composition of extracts	50
2.4 Conclusions	53
2.5 Literature references	54

## Chapter 3: Antibacterial activity of different extracts of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves and fruit and *Quisqualis littorea* leaves.

Abstract	58
3.1 Introduction	59
3.1.1 Antibiotic resistance	59
3.1.2 Plant secondary compounds are frequently associated with plant taxons	60
3.1.3 Metabolites isolated in Combretaceae	61

3.1.4 Bioactive properties of Pteleopsis	61
3.1.5 Bioactive properties of Quisqualis	61
3.2 Material and Methods	62
3.2.1 Plant material	62
3.2.2 Extracts	62
3.2.3 Thin layer chromatography (TLC)	62
3.2.4 Determining antibacterial activity	62
3.2.4.1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)	62
3.2.4.2 Total activity	63
3.2.4.3 Bioautography	64
3.2.5 Stability of extracts over time	65
3.2.6 Test for toxicity of tetrahydrofuran	65
3.3 Results and Discussion	65
3.3.1 Antibacterial activity	65
3.3.1.1 Minimum inhibitory concentration	65
3.3.1.2 Total (antibacterial) activity	67
3.3.1.3 Bioautography	77
3.3.2 Stability of extracts over time	84
3.3.3 A test for toxicity of tetrahydrofuran	85
3.4 Conclusions	85
3.5 Literature references	86

## Chapter 4: Solvent-solvent separation

Abstract	88
4.1 Introduction	88
4.1.1 Initial extractant for solvent-solvent separation	88
4.1.2 Solvent selection to find potentially active phytoconstituents	89
4.1.3 Fractionation	90
4.2 Material and Methods	91
4.2.1 Plant material	91
4.2.2 Solvent-solvent separation	91
4.2.2.1 Schematic diagram of method of solvent-solvent separation procedure	93
4.2.3 Thin layer chromatography (TLC)	94
4.2.4 Determining antibacterial activity	94

4.2.4.1	Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)	94
4.2.4.2	Bioautography	94
4.3	Results and Discussion	94
4.3.1	Fractions of group separation	94
4.3.2	Thin layer chromatography (TLC)	95
4.3.3	Antibacterial activity	95
4.3.3.1	Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and total activity	95
4.3.3.2	Bioautography	97
4.4	Conclusions	102
4.5	Literature References	103

## Chapter 5: Cytotoxic activity of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts

	<u>Abstract</u>	104
	5.1 Introduction	105
	5.1.1 Secondary metabolites from plants in the treatment of cancers	105
	5.1.2 Incidence of cancer	106
	5.1.3 Underlying cause in many types of cancer	108
	5.1.4 Cytotoxic, antitumour, and antineoplastic activity	109
	5.2 Materials and Methods	109
	<u>5.2.1 Plant material</u>	109
	5.2.2 Human cell lines	109
	5.2.2.1 Non-cancerous human cell line (limited number of cell divisions)	109
	5.2.2.1.1 ATCC CRL- 10782 MCF-12A (mammary gland, human)	109
	5.2.2.2 Cancerous human cell lines (potential unlimited number of cell divisions)	110
	5.2.2.2.1 ATCC HBT- 22 MCF- 7 (human breast carcinoma cell line)	110
	5.2.2.2.2 ATCC CRL- 5802 NCI-H157 (human squamous lung carcinoma)	110
	5.2.2.2.3 WHCO <sub>3</sub> (human oesophageal cancer cell line)	111
	5.2.2.2.4 ATCC CCL-2 HeLa (human epitheloid carcinoma of cervix)	111
	5.2.3 Plant extracts	112
	5.2.4 Statistical considerations	112
	5.2.5 Growing cell lines at required density in multiwell plates	113
	5.2.6 Calculation of GI <sub>50</sub> and LC values	116
	5.3 Results and discussion	117
	5.3.1 Non-cancerous human cell line MCF-12A	118

5.3.2 Cancerous human cell line MCF-7	121
5.3.3 Cancerous human cell line H157	124
5.3.4 Cancerous human cell line WCHO <sub>3</sub>	126
5.3.5 Cancerous human cell line HeLa	128
5.4 Conclusions	131
5.5 Literature references	133

## Chapter 6: Antioxidant activity of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts

Abstract	136
6.1 Introduction	136
6.1.1 Antioxidants protect against oxidative damage	136
6.2 Material and methods	139
6.2.1 Plant material	139
6.2.2 Preparation of plant extracts	139
6.2.3 DPPH antioxidant assay	140
6.2.4 Dot-blot DPPH staining procedure	140
6.2.5 Spectrophotometric assays	140
6.2.6 Spectrophotometer readings	141
6.2.7 Calculation as a percentage	141
6.3 Results and discussion	142
6.3.1 DPPH antioxidant assay	142
6.3.2 Dot-blot DPPH staining procedure	142
6.3.3 Spectrophotometer readings	143
6.4 Conclusions	147
6.5 Literature references	148

## Chapter 7: Column chromatography and isolation of pure compounds from *Pteleopsis myrtifolia*

<u>Abstract</u>	150
7.1 Introduction	150
7.1.1 Plant compounds as antimicrobial sources	152

7.2 Materials and Methods	152
7.2.1 Plant material	152
7.2.2 Column chromatography	152
7.3 Results and Discussion	154
7.3.1 First crude column	154
7.3.2 Second crude column	157
7.3.3 Pure and recombined fractions	157
7.3.4 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	165
7.3.5 Mass spectrometry	174
7.4 Conclusions	177
7.5 Literature References	177

## **Chapter 8: Antibacterial, antioxidant and cytotoxic activity of taraxerol, a pentacyclic triterpenoid, isolated from *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves**

Abstract	180
8.1 Introduction	181
8.1.1 Triterpenoids	181
8.1.2 Specific functions of terpenoids	183
8.2.3 Importance of knowledge about terpenoids	183
8.1.4 Natural terpenoids to the benefit of human health	184
8.1.4.1 Antimicrobial activity	185
8.1.4.2 Cancer therapies	185
8.2 Materials and Methods	187
8.2.1 Plant material	187
8.2.2 The isolation of taraxerol	187
8.2.3 Antibacterial activity of taraxerol	188
8.2.3.1 Minimum inhibitory concentration	188
8.2.3.2 Bioautography	188
8.2.4 Investigation of activity of taraxerol against human cell lines	188
8.2.4.1 Human cell line cultures	188
8.2.5 Antioxidant activity	189
8.2.5.1 The dot-blot DPPH staining procedure	189
8.3 Results and Discussion	190



8.3.1 Antibacterial activity of taraxerol	190
8.3.1.1 Minimum inhibitory concentration	190
8.3.1.2 Bioautography	190
8.3.2 Cancer cell growth inhibition by taraxerol	191
8.3.3 Antioxidant activity of taraxerol	193
8.3.3.1 Dot-blot DPPH staining procedure	193
8.4 Summary and comparison of taraxerol's activity	194
8.5 Conclusions	196
8.6 Literature references	197

## **Chapter 9 General discussion, conclusions and recommendations**

9.1 Less known genera of the Combretaceae	199
9.2 Antibacterial activity of extracts from <i>P. myrtifolia</i> and <i>Q. littorea</i>	199
9.3 Growth inhibition effect of <i>P. myrtifolia</i> leaf extracts on human cell lines	200
9.4 Antioxidant activity of <i>P. myrtifolia</i> leaf extracts	201
9.5 Solvent-solvent separation to find best fraction for purification	201
9.6 Pure compounds isolated from <i>P. myrtifolia</i> leaves	201
9.7 Bioactivity of taraxerol	202
9.8 Recommendations for future	203
9.9 Literature references	204

## List of Figures

Chapter 1	Page number
Figure 1.1 Structure of the combretastatin A-4 disodium phosphate analogue.	15
Figure 1.2. Distribution map of <i>Pteleopsis myrtifolia</i> (Precis data from SANBI, 2005).	18
Figure 1.3 <i>Pteleopsis myrtifolia</i> tree (left), flowers (top right) and fruit (bottom right) (Van Wyk <i>et al.</i> , 2000).	19
Figure 1.4 <i>Quisqualis indica</i> blossoms (left) and fruit (right) (A Short Story About <i>Quisqualis</i> Fruit, 2003).	20
 Chapter 2	
Figure 2.1 Milligram plant material extracted (■) (per gram dry mass) as well as mg acetone soluble plant material extracted (■) for <i>Pteleopsis myrtifolia</i> leaves (top), <i>Pteleopsis myrtifolia</i> fruit (middle) and <i>Quisqualis littorea</i> leaves (bottom) and different extractants. Hex = <i>n</i> -hexane, P-e = <i>di</i> -isopropyl ether, E-e = <i>di</i> -ethyl ether, Mdc = methylene dichloride, Thf = tetrahydrofuran, E-a = ethyl acetate, Ace = acetone, Eth = ethanol, Met = methanol, H <sub>2</sub> O = water and H <sub>2</sub> -A = (1: 1) (water : acetone)).	48
Figure 2.2 Chromatograms of <i>Pteleopsis myrtifolia</i> leaves (top) and fruit (middle) and <i>Quisqualis littorea</i> leaves (bottom), showing separation of compounds with the three eluent systems ((a) - BEA, (b) - CEF and (c) - EMW), for the different extractants. For each solvent system, the left chromatogram was sprayed with vanillin and right one with anisaldehyde. (1) = <i>n</i> -hexane, (2) = <i>di</i> -isopropyl ether, (3) = <i>di</i> -ethyl ether, (4) = methylene dichloride, (5) = tetrahydrofuran, (6) = ethyl acetate, (7) = acetone, (8) = ethanol, (9) = methanol, (10) = water and (11) = (water : acetone) (1 : 1).	50
 Chapter 3	
Figure 3.1 96-Multiwell plates (MWP-96) showing MICs of <i>Pteleopsis myrtifolia</i> fruit for <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (left), <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (second from left),	66

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (second from right), *Escherichia coli* (right), after 40ul of 0.2 mg/ml p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet solution were added to each well. Lanes from left to right were (1) = *n*-hexane, (2) = di-isopropyl ether, (3) = di-ethyl ether, (4) = methylene dichloride, (5) = tetrahydrofuran, (6) = ethyl acetate, (7) = acetone, (8) = ethanol, (9) = methanol. All solutions tested were 10 mg/ml, the first row effectively 2.5 mg/ml.

- Figure 3.2 Multiwell plate showing minimum inhibitory concentrations of the antibiotic Gentamycin (G), which served as a positive control, (every even column), and a plant extract (every uneven column). The bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* (S), *Enterococcus faecalis* (N), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (P) and *Escherichia coli* (E) were used. The amount of colour formation (red formazan) is an indication of MIC, 2 hours after 40ul of 0.2 mg/ml p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet solution was added to each well. All solutions tested were 10 mg/ml, the first row effectively 2.5 mg/ml. 66
- Figure 3.3 Total activity for *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves (left), fruit (middle) and *Quisqualis littorea* leaves (right) for the bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* (S aur), *Enterococcus faecalis* (E fae), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (P aer) and *Escherichia coli* (E col) in ml/g, with extractants *n*-hexane (Hex), di-isopropyl ether (P-e), di-ethyl ether (E-e), methylene dichloride (Mdc), tetrahydrofuran (Thf), ethyl acetate (E-a), acetone (Ace), ethanol (Eth), methanol (Met) and water (H<sub>2</sub>O) or water: acetone (H<sub>2</sub>-A). 73
- Figure 3.4 Average of total activity for each bacterium type and type of plant material ((a) left), and average total activity for Gram-positive (Gram+), Gram-negative (Gram-) and all bacteria (All b) for each plant material type as well as for all plant material (All pm) ((b) right). S = *Staphylococcus aureus*, N = *Enterococcus faecalis*, P = *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, E = *Escherichia coli*, Pl = *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves, Pf = *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* fruit, Ql = *Quisqualis littorea* leaves. 74
- Figure 3.5 Graph of total activity for extractants in selectivity groups for each plant material type. (Pm = *Pteleopsis myrtifolia*, Ql = *Quisqualis littorea*). 76

- Figure 3.6 Bioautograms of PI (*Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves), Pf (*Pteleopsis myrtifolia* fruit) and Ql (*Quisqualis littoria* leaves) developed with the BEA eluent system, sprayed with bacteria (columns) and 2.0 mg/ml p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet solution. For each bioautogram, lanes from left to right are: Hex = *n*-hexane, P-e = *di*-isopropyl ether, E-e = *di*-ethyl ether, Mdc = methylene dichloride, Thf = tetrahydrofuran, E-a = ethyl acetate, Ace = acetone, Met = methanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water or H<sub>2</sub>-A = water: acetone. (BEA = benzene: ethanol: ammonia (36:5.4:4)). 78
- Figure 3.7 Bioautograms of PI (*Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves), Pf (*Pteleopsis myrtifolia* fruit) and Ql (*Quisqualis littorea* leaves) developed with the CEF eluent system, sprayed with bacteria (columns) and 2.0 mg/ml p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet solution. For each bioautogram, lanes from left to right are: Hex = *n*-hexane, P-e = *di*-isopropyl ether, E-e = *di*-ethyl ether, Mdc = methylene dichloride, Thf = tetrahydrofuran, E-a = ethyl acetate, Ace = acetone, Met = methanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water or H<sub>2</sub>-A = water: acetone. (CEF = chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1)). 79
- Figure 3.8 Bioautograms of PI (*Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves), Pf (*Pteleopsis myrtifolia* fruit) and Ql (*Quisqualis littoria* leaves) developed with the EMW eluent system, sprayed with bacteria (columns) and 2.0 mg/ml p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet solution. For each bioautogram, lanes from left to right are: Hex = *n*-hexane, P-e = *di*-isopropyl ether, E-e = *di*-ethyl ether, Mdc = methylene dichloride, Thf = tetrahydrofuran, E-a = ethyl acetate, Ace = acetone, Met = methanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water or H<sub>2</sub>-A = water: acetone. (EMW = ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4)). 80
- Figure 3.9 Visual presentation of R<sub>f</sub> values of the methylene dichloride, acetone and ethyl acetate extracts on bioautograms developed with the CEF eluent system. PI = *Pteleopsis* leaves, Pf = *Pteleopsis* fruit, Ql = *Quisqualis* leaves, S = *Staphylococcus aureus* (◐), N = *Enterococcus faecalis* (◑), P = *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (◒), E = *Escherichia coli* (◓), Mdc = methylene dichloride, Ace = acetone, E-a = ethyl acetate, CEF = chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1). 82

- Figure 3.10 Visual presentation of R<sub>f</sub> values of the *d*-isopropyl ether, acetone and methanol extracts on bioautograms developed with the EMW eluent system. PI = *Pteleopsis* leaves, Pf = *Pteleopsis* fruit, QI = *Quisqualis* leaves, S = *Staphylococcus aureus* (☉), N = *Enterococcus faecalis* (☉), P = *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (☉), E = *Escherichia coli* (☉), P-e = *d*-isopropyl ether, Ace = acetone, Met = methanol, EMW = ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4). 83
- Figure 3.11 Total activity of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves against *Staphylococcus aureus* (Staph), *Enterococcus faecalis* (Enter), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Pseudo) and *Escherichia coli* (E coli) and the extractants tetrahydrofuran (Thf), ethyl acetate (E-a), acetone (Ace), ethanol (Eth), and methanol (Met) at 0 and 8 months. 84
- Chapter 4
- Figure 4.1 Solvents grouped according to selectivity in a selectivity triangle (Snyder and Kirkland, 1979) 90
- Figure 4.2 Thin layer chromatograms of solvent-solvent fractions from an acetone leaf extract of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia*, developed by the BEA solvent system (top), CEF solvent system (middle) and EMW solvent system (bottom) sprayed with vanillin (left) and anisaldehyde (right). For each thin layer chromatogram, the lanes from left to right were: But-a (B<sub>a</sub>), But-b (B<sub>b</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O-a (h<sub>a</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O-h (h<sub>b</sub>), Hex-a (H<sub>a</sub>), Hex-b (H<sub>b</sub>), CCl<sub>4</sub>-a (C<sub>a</sub>), CCl<sub>4</sub>-c (C<sub>c</sub>), WM-a (W<sub>a</sub>), WM-wm (W<sub>w</sub>), Chl-a (C<sub>a</sub>), Chl-c (C<sub>c</sub>), pell (p). But = butanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water, Hex = *n*-hexane, CCl<sub>4</sub> = carbon tetrachloride, WM = 35% water in methanol, Chl = chloroform, pell = pellicle. Each fraction was redissolved in acetone (indicated by the suffix -a), as well as in the fraction itself (indicated by the first letter the fraction consists of (e.g. Chl-c indicates chloroform redissolved in chloroform). BEA = benzene: ethanol: ammonia (36:5.4:4), CEF = chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1), EMW = ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4) . 95
- Figure 4.3 Bioautographs of fractions from *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves and the bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* (S) (left) and *Enterococcus faecalis* (N) 98

(right). In each set, the top chromatogram was developed with the CEF eluent system and the bottom one by an EMW eluent system, and the one to the left, without bacteria. Fractions applied from lanes left to right were: Hex = *n*-hexane, CCl<sub>4</sub> = carbon tetrachloride, But = *n*-butanol, Chl = chloroform, WM = 35 % water in methanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water, Pell = pellicle or foamy layer between phases of polar fractions. CEF = chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1), EMW = ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4).

- Figure 4.4 Bioautographs of fractions from *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves and the bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (P) (left) and *Escherichia coli* (E) (right). In each set, the top chromatogram was developed with the CEF eluent system and the bottom one by an EMW eluent system, and the one to the left, without bacteria. Fractions applied from lanes left to right were: Hex = *n*-hexane, CCl<sub>4</sub> = carbon tetrachloride, But = *n*-butanol, Chl = chloroform, WM = 35 % water in methanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water, Pell = pellicle or foamy layer between phases of polar fractions. CEF = chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1), EMW = ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4). 99
- Figure 4.5 Bioautograms of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf fractions developed with the CEF eluent system and sprayed with bacteria, top left: *Staphylococcus aureus* (S), top right: *Enterococcus faecalis* (N), bottom left: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (P) and bottom right: *Escherichia coli* (E). For each thin layer chromatogram, the lanes from left to right were: But-a (B<sub>a</sub>), But-b (B<sub>b</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O-a (h<sub>a</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O-h (h<sub>b</sub>), Hex-a (H<sub>a</sub>), Hex-b (H<sub>b</sub>), CCl<sub>4</sub>-a (c<sub>a</sub>), CCl<sub>4</sub>-c (c<sub>c</sub>), WM-a (W<sub>a</sub>), WM-wm (W<sub>w</sub>), Chl-a (C<sub>a</sub>), Chl-c (C<sub>c</sub>), pell (p). But = butanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water, Hex = *n*-hexane, CCl<sub>4</sub> = carbon tetrachloride, WM = 35% water in methanol, Chl = chloroform, pell = pellicle. Each fraction was redissolved in acetone (indicated by the suffix -a), as well as in the fraction solvent itself (indicated by the first letter the fraction consists of (e.g. Chl-c indicate chloroform redissolved in chloroform). (CEF = chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1)). 100

- Figure 4.6 Bioautograms of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf fractions developed with the 101

EMW eluent system and sprayed with bacteria, top left: *Staphylococcus aureus* (S), top right: *Enterococcus faecalis* (N), bottom left: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (P) and bottom right: *Escherichia coli* (E). For each thin layer chromatogram, the lanes from left to right were: But-a (B<sub>a</sub>), But-b (B<sub>b</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O-a (h<sub>a</sub>), H<sub>2</sub>O-h (h<sub>b</sub>), Hex-a (H<sub>a</sub>), Hex-b (H<sub>b</sub>), CCl<sub>4</sub>-a (c<sub>a</sub>), CCl<sub>4</sub>-c (c<sub>c</sub>), WM-a (W<sub>a</sub>), WM-wm (W<sub>w</sub>), Chl-a (C<sub>a</sub>), Chl-c (C<sub>c</sub>), pell (p). But = butanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water, Hex = *n*-hexane, CCl<sub>4</sub> = carbon tetrachloride, WM = 35% water in methanol, Chl = chloroform, pell = pellicle. Each fraction was redissolved in acetone (indicated by the suffix –a), as well as in the fraction itself (indicated by the first letter the fraction consists of (e.g. Chl-c indicate chloroform redissolved in chloroform). (EMW = ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4)).

## Chapter 5

- Figure 5.1 Scan of a 96-multiwell plate with the human cell lines MCF-7 (top half) and MCF-12A (bottom half) at 10 (left) and 100(right) µg/ml of each of the plant extracts (rows marked: cold water (W), hot water (HW), methanol (M) and ethanol (E)). • Outside wells contained only Triton X-100. 115
- Figure 5.2 96-MWP with the MCF-7 human cancer cell line and different plant extracts (KW = cold water, WW = warm water, M = methanol, E = ethanol) (columns) at concentrations 0, (0 = control = K), 20, 40, 60 and 80 µg/ml. The right column is the MCF-12A cell line at 100 µg/ml. 116
- Figure 5.3 Scan of a MWP in which the inhibitory effects of the plant extracts hot water (HW) and methanol (M) against human cancer cell lines, (top half) H157 and (bottom half) WHCO<sub>3</sub>, could be seen (indicated by arrows). 118
- Figure 5.4 Effect of different *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts on the growth of the non-cancerous human cell line MCF-12A (breast), for the extracts (a) cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively), and (b) hot water and methanol extracts – with and without tannins. Extracts for (c) 50% growth inhibition values (GI<sub>50</sub>) and (d) lethal concentration values (LC) were: cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without 120

tannins (H-t and M-t respectively).

- Figure 5.5** Effect of different *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts on the growth of the non-cancerous human cell line MCF-7 (breast), for the extracts (a) cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively), and (b) hot water and methanol extracts – with and without tannins. Extracts for (c) 50% growth inhibition values (GI<sub>50</sub>) and (d) lethal concentration values (LC) were: cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively). 123
- Figure 5.6** Effect of different *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts on the growth of the human cancer cell line H157 (lung), for the extracts (a) cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively), and (b) hot water and methanol extracts – with and without tannins. Extracts for (c) 50% growth inhibition values (GI<sub>50</sub>) and (d) lethal concentration values (LC) were: cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively). 125
- Figure 5.7** Effect of different *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts on the growth of the human cancer cell line WHCO<sub>3</sub> (oesophagus), for the extracts (a) cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively), and (b) hot water and methanol extracts – with and without tannins. Extracts for (c) 50% growth inhibition values (GI<sub>50</sub>) and (d) lethal concentration values (LC) were: cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively). 127
- Figure 5.8** Effect of different *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts on growth of the human cancer cell line HeLa (cervix), for the extracts (a) cold and hot water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively), and (b) hot water and methanol extracts – with and without tannins. Extracts for (c) 50% growth inhibition values (GI<sub>50</sub>) and (d) lethal concentration values (LC) were: cold and hot



water, methanol, ethanol, chloroform and hot water and methanol without tannins (H-t and M-t respectively).

## Chapter 6

- Figure 6.1** Chromatograms of thin layer chromatography plates with fractions from liquid-liquid separation (Hex = *n*-hexane, CCl<sub>4</sub> = carbon tetrachloride, But = *n*-butanol, Chl = chloroform, WM = 35 % water in methanol, H<sub>2</sub>O = water) developed with the CEF (left) and EMW (right) eluent systems and sprayed with a 0.4 mM DPPH solution in methanol. (CEF = chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1), EMW = ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4), DPPH = 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl). 142
- Figure 6.2** Scan of a dot-blot test of a thin layer chromatography (TLC) plate sprayed (right) with a 0.4 mM solution of 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl in methanol after extracts A, C, E, H, K, and M was applied (A = acetone extract, C = chloroform extract, E = ethanol extract, H = hot water extract, K = cold water extract and M = methanol extract). The dot blots were 20 µg (bottom row), 10 µg (middle row) and 5 µg (top row). The top four spots were dots applied in their original extractant as a 20 µg quantity. Left TLC plate not sprayed yet. 143
- Figure 6.3** Part of a 96-Multiwell plate, showing the gradual colour change with the vitamin C at different concentrations, one hour after addition of 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH). 143
- Figure 6.4** Part of a 96-Multiwell plate, showing the colour reaction after addition of 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) to the initial concentrations of cold water and ethanol extracts of *P. myrtifolia* leaves. 144
- Figure 6.5** Part of a 96-Multiwell plate, showing the colour formation after 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) was added to the chloroform extract of *P. myrtifolia* leaves. 144
- Figure 6.6** Graphs of (a) vitamin C and (b) black tea plotted against absorbance as 145

measured spectrophotometrically by the colour of added 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), which gives an indication of free radical scavenger or antioxidant activity.

- Figure 6.7 Graphs of different *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts (c) ethanol (d) chloroform (e) methanol (f) cold water and (g) hot water plotted against absorbance as measured spectrophotometrically by the colour of added 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), which gives an indication of free radical scavenger or antioxidant activity. 146
- Figure 6.8 Graph of black tea and *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf extracts (hot water, cold water and methanol) plotted against absorbance as measured spectrophotometrically by the colour formation of added 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), indicating free radical scavenger or antioxidant activity. 147
- Chapter 7
- Figure 7.1 Photo of a silica gel column while eluting the chloroform fraction of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves with a mixture of hexane and chloroform (4:6). 155
- Figure 7.2 Thin layer chromatogram of very tenth fraction of column, sprayed with 0.34% vanillin in 3.5% sulphuric acid in methanol. 156
- Figure 7.3 Thin layer chromatogram of the 13 combined fractions of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves, sprayed with 0.34% vanillin in 3.5% sulphuric acid in methanol. 156
- Figure 7.4 Thin layer chromatogram of fractions of second crude column (Sephadex), sprayed with 0.34% vanillin in 3.5% sulphuric acid in methanol. 157
- Figure 7.5 Thin layer chromatograms where single bands of washed fractions (indicated by arrows) of compound 1 (ar1) (left) and compound 2 (ar2) were first seen. 158

Figure 7.6	Chromatogram of bota ar1, developed from column fractions that were washed: ↓15C, ↓16C, ↓16H, ↓17C and ↓17H (the lower half of fraction 15, 16 and 17's chloroform washes and the lower half of fraction 16 and 17's hexane washes. (R <sub>f</sub> value = 0.6 in 70% chloroform and 30% hexane)	158
Figure 7.7	A schematic illustration of the columns, fractions and recombined columns from which the 'pure compounds' ar1 – ar17 were isolated. Green increases with polarity.	159
Figure 7.8	The proton spectra from NMR analysis of the first (bota ar1) pure compound.	167
Figure 7.9	The proton spectra from NMR analysis of the second (bota ar2) pure compound.	168
Figure 7.10	The proton spectra from NMR analysis of the twelfth (bota ar12) pure compound.	169
Figure 7.11	The <sup>13</sup> C NMR graph of the pure compound bota ar1.	170
Figure 7.12	The two-dimensional heteronuclear multiple quantum correlation (HMQC) NMR graph of bota ar1.	171
Figure 7.13	The two-dimensional correlated spectroscopy (COSY) NMR spectrum of bota ar1.	172
Figure 7.14	The mass spectrum of bota ar 1.	175
Figure 7.15	A two-dimensional representation of taraxerol. (A retro-Diels-Alder decomposition would be expected to operate with the collapse of ring D).	176
<b>Chapter 8</b>		
Figure 8.1	Multivalent regulation systems of steroid biosynthesis of the mevalonic acid pathway (MAD) metabolism in vertebrates (Harrewijn <i>et al.</i> , (2001). (CoA = coenzyme A, HMG = β-hydroxy- β-methylglutaryl, PP = pyrophosphatase ).	182

- Figure 8.2 Scan of a 96-multiwell plate with the human cell lines MCF-7 (top left), MCF-12A (top right), H157 (bottom left) and WHCO<sub>3</sub> (bottom right). Each cell line's reaction to taraxerol was tested at control (K), 10 and 100 µg/ml values in triplicate against taraxerol. 189
- Figure 8.3 Bioautograms showing the effect of taraxerol on *Staphylococcus aureus* (S), *Enterococcus faecalis* (N), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (P) and *Escherichia coli* (E), after spraying with an aqueous solution of 2.0 mg/ml p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet solution, and (extreme right) a thin layer chromatogram (which contained taraxerol – position of arrow) developed in same eluent (chloroform : *n*-hexane; 7:3) and sprayed with vanillin. 191
- Figure 8.4 Effect of taraxerol, isolated from *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves, on different human cell lines MCF-12A (non-cancerous breast), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), H157 (cancerous lung), WHCO<sub>3</sub> (cancerous oesophagus) and HeLa (cancerous cervix) at 10 µg/ml (left) and 100 µg/ml (right). 192
- Figure 8.5 Scan of multiwell plates where taraxerol was tested at different concentrations (20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml) against the H157 (lung) cancer cell line. 192
- Figure 8.6 Graph of the effect of different concentrations of taraxerol (20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml), isolated from *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaves, on the human cancer cell line H157 (lung). 193
- Figure 8.7 Scan of a dot-blot test of a thin layer chromatography plate sprayed with a 0.4 mM solution of 1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl in methanol after extracts A, C, E, H, K, M and 1 were applied (A = acetone extract, C = chloroform extract, E = ethanol extract, H = hot water extract, K = cold water extract, M = methanol extract and 1 = taraxerol). The dot blots applied were 20 µg (bottom row), 10 µg (middle row) and 5 µg (top row). 194

## List of Tables

Chapter 1		page number
Table 1.1	Plants with medicinal uses indicating biological activity, drug name, type of extract or plant part, plant species and reference.	5
Table 1.2	Major resistance mechanisms of pathogens to antimicrobial agents (Jacoby and Archer, 1991).	9
Table 1.3	Major classes of antimicrobial compounds from plants (Cowan <i>et al.</i> , 1999).	10
Table 1.4	Antitumour evaluation of combretastatins in the NCI <i>in vitro</i> panel of 60 human tumour cell lines.	14
 Chapter 2		
Table 2.1	Type of phytochemicals extracted by different solvents (Houghton and Raman, 1998).	42
Table 2.2	Specific compound-groups usually extracted by specific extractants (Cowan, 1999).	43
Table 2.3	Examples of colours of phytoconstituents detected under 254 and 365 nm UV light.	44
Table 2.4	Milligram of plant material extracted per gram dry mass (using different extractants); listed from left to right as mg and percentage acetone soluble material extracted for <i>P. myrtifolia</i> leaves, <i>Q. littorea</i> leaves and <i>P. myrtifolia</i> fruit.	47
 Chapter 3		
Table 3.1	Milligram plant extracted per gram dry plant material, milligram acetone soluble plant extract per extractant, MIC values and total activity for the different bacterial strains and plant materials.	68
Table 3.2	Average MIC and total activity values obtained for each bacterium and	70

extracts of each plant type.

**Table 3.3** MIC and total activity values obtained for extracts of *P. myrtifolia* and *Q. littorea* (Combretaceae). 71

**Table 3.4** The extractants listed within their selectivity groups of Snyder and Kirkland (1979), solvent strength, average amounts of plant material extracted per gram dry weight (third column) and acetone soluble (fourth column). 76

#### Chapter 4

**Table 4.1** Minimum inhibitory concentrations and total activity of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* leaf fractions from liquid-liquid separation for the bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* (S), *Enterococcus faecalis* (N), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (P) and *Escherichia coli* (E). 95

#### Chapter 5

**Table 5.1** Significant differences between concentrations within one human cell line and between different leaf extracts of *Pteleopsis myrtifolia* at 100 µg/ml. 129

**Table 5.2.** Log values of (50% growth inhibition (GI<sub>50</sub>) and lethal concentration (LC values) the hot water and methanol extracts with and without tannins and the human cancer cell lines MCF7, H157, WHCO<sub>3</sub> and HeLa, as well as the plant derived drugs paclitaxel (taxol) and vincristine sulphate and different human cell lines investigated by the National Cancer Institute\*. 130

#### Chapter 6

**Table 6.1** EC<sub>50</sub> values for the different *P. myrtifolia* leaf extracts and black tea (Vitamin C's EC<sub>50</sub> = 0.22 mg/ml). 147

#### Chapter 7

**Table 7.1** Table of possible pure compounds, listed from left to right: the NMR tube number, the eluent ratio the chromatogram was developed with, milligram of material and composition (fraction numbers). The deuterated solvent for all compounds was chloroform. 160

<b>Table 7.2</b>	Table of possible pure compounds, listed from left to right: : the NMR tube number and the eluent ratio the chromatogram was developed with (bottom label of each row's first column), milligram of material and composition (fraction numbers). The deuterated solvent for all compounds was chloroform.	161
<b>Table 7.3</b>	<sup>13</sup> C NMR values of the pure isolated compound isolated, bota ar1 (1) from <i>P. myrtifolia</i> leaves, taraxerol (2), taraxerone (3), myricadiol (4), and epitaraxerol (5). Values for (2) – (5) are from Sakurai <i>et al.</i> (1987).	173
<b>Chapter 8</b>		
<b>Table 8.1</b>	Effect of volatile terpenoids on bacteria (Harrewijn <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	185
<b>Table 8.2</b>	Terpenoids' modes of action against tumour cells AG = angiogenesis; cytos. = cytoskeleton; CA = carcinogenes; HMGR = HMG-CoA reductase; diff. = (re)differentiation; ? = unknown (Harrewijn <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	186
<b>Table 8.3</b>	Minimum inhibitory concentration values of taraxerol against the bacteria <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and <i>Escherichia coli</i> .	190
<b>Table 8.4</b>	Minimum inhibitory concentration values from pure compounds isolated from members of Combretaceae.	196

## List of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
ATCC	American Tissue Culture Collection
BEA	benzene: ethanol: ammonia (36:5.4:4)
<sup>13</sup> C-NMR	carbon-nuclear magnetic resonance
CEF	chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid (5:4:1)
COSY	correlated spectroscopy
DMBA	7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
DPPH	1,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (ATTC 27853)
EMW	ethyl acetate: methanol: water (40:5:4.4)
GI <sub>50</sub>	growth inhibition by 50%
<sup>1</sup> H	proton
HMQC	heteronuclear multiple quantum correlation
<sup>1</sup> H-NMR	proton-nuclear magnetic resonance
INT	p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet
LC	lethal concentration
MIC	minimum inhibitory concentration
MS	mass spectroscopy
MWP	multiwell plate
MWP-96	96-multiwell plate
<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (ATTC 29212)
NCCLS	National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance (spectroscopy)



<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (ATTC 25922)
rpm	revolutions per minute
<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (ATTC 29213)
TLC	thin layer chromatography
TMS	tetramethylsilane
UV	ultra violet