

---

# **Molecular detection and characterization of tick- borne pathogens of dogs**

---

By

Paul Tshepo Matjila

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy in the  
Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria

June 2008

---

## Acknowledgements

---

My sincerest gratitude goes to Prof Banie Penzhorn, for taking me under his wing, from day one, helping, mentoring, guiding and nurturing my development in the art of science.

My supervisor, Prof Banie Penzhorn and co-supervisors, Profs Frans Jongejan and Andrew Leisewitz, for their hands-on approach, scientific inputs and building of this thesis to completion.

My HOD, Prof Koos Coetzer, for his unwavering support, patience and valuable advice throughout the years.

My colleagues and friends in the department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases for their immeasurable contributions: Raksha Bhoora, for her friendship, assistance and advice in the laboratory; Ard Nijhof, for his friendship, making my stay in the Netherlands as pleasantly possible and for all the scientific inputs; Prof “Oom” Horak, Marinda Oosthuizen, Darshana Morar, Kgomotso Sibeko, Milana Troskie, Nicola Collins and AnnaMarie Bosman for their expert advice during research difficulties. I thank Prof Peter Thompson for the statistical analysis and Rhulani Nkuna for running the *Trypanosoma* PCR/RFLP.

Special thanks to all the clinicians, Dr M Böhm, Dr E Scheepers and Dr A Goddard, who generously made their clinical reports available for our use. Thanks to Sr Riani de Kock

for uploading the clinical reports. The staff at the SPCAs, OVAH and private clinics, who helped with the collection of blood samples.

My beautiful soul mate and partner Mmabatho Moeketsi, for your love, encouragement, patience, and being my pillar of strength.

My sisters and brothers: ausi Poppy, June, Thabo and Beni, for their unfaltering friendship and support.

My mother, for your sacrifices, love and pride in knowing that education is the key.

Dedicated to the memory of my grandmother, Morongwa Ellen Mdluli.

This work was financially supported by the Utrecht/Delta scholarship, Thuthuka NRF fund and the institutional collaboration agreement (95401) between the Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium, and the Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, University of Pretoria.



---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Thesis summary</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Chapter 1: General Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. Background</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2. Tick-borne pathogens</b>	<b>1</b>
1.2.1. Zoonotic tick-borne infections	2
1.2.2. Co-infection	4
1.2.3. <i>Babesia</i> vectors	5
<b>1.3. Canine babesiosis</b>	<b>7</b>
1.3.1. Canine babesiosis in South Africa	10
1.3.2. Pathogenesis of babesiosis and malaria	11
1.3.3. Sequestration	12
<b>1.4. Ehrlichiosis and Anaplasmosis</b>	<b>13</b>
1.4.1. Pathogenesis of Ehrlichiosis and Anaplasmosis	15
<b>1.5. Hepatozoonosis</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>1.6. Theileriosis</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>1.7. Molecular detection and analysis</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>1.8. Objectives of the study</b>	<b>20</b>



<b>1.9. Overview of the thesis</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1.10. References</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Chapter 2: Confirmation of occurrence of <i>Babesia vogeli</i> in domestic dogs in South Africa</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>2.1. Abstract</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>2.2. Introduction</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>2.3. Materials and Methods</b>	<b>42</b>
2.3.1 Collection of samples	42
2.3.2. DNA extraction	42
2.3.3. PCR	43
2.3.4. Reverse line blot hybridisation	44
2.3.5. Sequence analysis	45
<b>2.4. Results</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>2.5. Discussion</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>2.6. Conclusion</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>2.7. Tables</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>2.8. References</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Chapter 3: Molecular detection of tick-borne protozoal and ehrlichial infections in domestic dogs in South Africa</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>3.1. Abstract</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>3.2. Introduction</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>3.3. Materials and Methods</b>	<b>57</b>
3.3.1. Collection of samples	57



3.3.2. DNA extraction	58
3.3.3. PCR	59
3.3.4. Reverse line blot hybridisation	60
<b>3.4. Results</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>3.5. Discussion</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>3.6. Conclusion</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>3.7. Figures and Tables</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>3.8. References</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>Chapter 4: Preliminary evaluation of the <i>BrEMA1</i> gene as a tool for correlating <i>Babesia rossi</i> genotypes and clinical manifestation of canine babesiosis</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>4.1. Abstract</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>4.2. Introduction</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.3. Materials and Methods</b>	<b>79</b>
4.3.1. Sample origin and grouping	79
4.3.2. DNA extraction and PCR	80
4.3.3. Sequencing, phylogenetic and statistical analysis	82
4.3.4. Nucleotide sequence accession numbers	82
<b>4.4. Results</b>	<b>83</b>
4.4.1. Genetic analysis of <i>B. rossi</i> isolates	83
4.4.1.1. Diversity of <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes	83
4.4.1.2. Phylogenetic relationship of <i>BrEMA1</i> sequences	84
4.4.1.3. Occurrence of <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes among all samples	84



4.4.2. Occurrence of <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes among OVAH samples	85
4.4.2.1. Analysis of <i>BrEMA1</i> genotype and clinical parameters	85
4.4.2.2. Occurrence of <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes in complicated cases	85
4.4.2.3. Occurrence of <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes in SOC cases	86
4.4.2.4. Occurrence of <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes in fatal cases	86
4.4.2.5. Correlation between <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes and clinical signs	86
<b>4.5. Discussion</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>4.6. Conclusion</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>4.7. Figures and Tables</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>4.8. References</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Chapter 5: Autochthonous canine babesiosis in the Netherlands</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>5.1. Abstract</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>5.2. Introduction</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>5.3. Materials and Methods</b>	<b>105</b>
5.3.1. Collection of samples	105
5.3.2. DNA extraction	106
5.3.3. PCR	106
5.3.4. Reverse line blot hybridisation	107
<b>5.4. Results</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>5.5. Discussion</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>5.6. Conclusion</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>5.7. Figures and Tables</b>	<b>114</b>



<b>5.8. References</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Chapter 6: Detection of <i>Theileria</i> sp. infections in dogs in South Africa</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>6.1. Abstract</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>6.2. Introduction</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>6.3. Materials and Methods</b>	<b>127</b>
6.3.1. Collection of samples	127
6.3.2. DNA extraction	127
6.3.3. PCR	127
6.3.4. Reverse line blot hybridisation	128
6.3.5. Sequencing	128
6.3.6. Phylogenetic analysis	130
<b>6.4. Results</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>6.5. Discussion</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>6.6. Conclusion</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>6.7. Figures and Tables</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>6.8. References</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>Chapter 7: Molecular characterization of <i>Babesia gibsoni</i> infection from a pit-bull terrier pup recently imported into South Africa</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>7.1. Abstract</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>7.2. Introduction</b>	<b>145</b>
7.2.1. Case history	147
<b>7.3. Materials and Methods</b>	<b>149</b>
7.3.1. Collection of samples	149



7.3.2. DNA extraction	149
7.3.3. PCR	149
7.3.4. Reverse line blot hybridisation	150
7.3.5. Sequencing	150
7.3.6. Phylogenetic analysis	150
<b>7.4. Results</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>7.5. Discussion</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>7.6. Conclusion</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>7.7. Figures and Tables</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>7.8. References</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>Chapter 8: General discussion</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>8.1. General discussion</b>	<b>165</b>
8.1.1. <i>Babesia rossi</i>	165
8.1.2. <i>Babesia vogeli</i>	166
8.1.3. <i>Babesia gibsoni</i>	166
8.1.4. <i>Babesia canis</i>	167
8.1.5. <i>Theileria</i> sp.	169
8.1.6. <i>Ehrlichia</i> / <i>Anaplasma</i> species	169
8.1.7. Multiple infections	171
<b>8.2. Conclusion</b>	<b>172</b>
8.2.1. Control measures	173
8.2.2. Scope for future research	175
<b>8.3. References</b>	<b>178</b>

**Scientific publications connected with this thesis**

**181**

---

## List of Figures

---

Figure 3.1: Map of South Africa, indicating provinces where blood samples were collected..... 66

Figure 4.1: Field polymorphism of *B. rossi* strains in South Africa evidenced by the PCR amplification of *BrEMA1* repeats region with primers Frep*BrEMA1*/Rrep*BrEMA1*. PCR products were loaded on a 1.5% agarose gel. PCR profiles of the most encountered genotypes are indicated on the picture..... 90

Figure 4.2: Cluster algorithm tree, showing the phylogenetic relationship between various genotypes based on the *BrEMA1* gene sequences..... 91

Figure 4.3: Occurrence of *B. rossi BrEMA1* genotypes among all samples (141 dogs)..... 92

Figure 4.4: Occurrence of *B. rossi BrEMA1* genotypes among (a) total (b) complicated (c) S.O.C. and (d) fatal cases..... 93

Figure 4.5: Relationship between occurrence of fatalities and occurrence of SOC-cases..... 94

Figure 5.1: Map of the Netherlands indicating the two locations where cases of canine babesiosis were detected..... 114

Figure 5.2: RLB results displaying 11 species-specific oligonucleotides of the 18S rRNA gene in the horizontal lanes and PCR products in the vertical lanes. From left to right are shown: 18 canine blood samples from clinical cases, *Babesia* plasmid positive control, 4 tick specimens, 11 positive *Babesia* sp. DNA controls and a second *Babesia* plasmid positive control..... 115

Figure 6.1: Neighbor-joining tree, with the Kimura two-parameter distance (Kimura, 1980) calculation showing the phylogenetic relationship of BC281, 295 & VT12 to related species based on the 18S rRNA gene sequences. Relationships are presented as an unrooted tree with branch lengths being proportional to the estimated genetic distance between the strains. The scale bar represents the % nucleotide difference. The GenBank accession numbers are indicated in parentheses..... 137

Figure 7.1: Giemsa-stained blood smears showing infected erythrocytes..... 156

Figure 7.2: Neighbor-joining tree, based on the Kimura two-parameter distance calculation, showing the phylogenetic relationship of *B. gibsoni* (pit-bull terrier) to other *Babesia* sp. Relationships are presented as an unrooted tree with branch lengths being proportional to the estimated genetic distance between the strains. The scale bar represents the % nucleotide difference. *Hepatozoon canis* was used as an outgroup..... 157

---

## List of Tables

---

Table 2.1: Dogs positive for <i>Babesia vogeli</i> and <i>Babesia rossi</i> in South Africa by reverse line blot.....	50
Table 2.2: Species-specific oligonucleotides from large canine <i>Babesia</i> species.....	51
Table 3.1: The number of samples collected from dogs, by province and locality, in South Africa.....	67
Table 3.2: List of organisms and their corresponding probe sequences used to detect pathogen DNA.....	68
Table 3.3: Pathogen species detected from domestic dogs using the RLB.....	70
Table 4.1: Consensus amino acid sequence of the 13 <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes. Sequencing of the repetitive region of the gene was performed on 141 dogs diagnosed with <i>B. rossi</i> infections.....	95
Table 4.2: Frequency of <i>B. rossi</i> <i>BrEMA1</i> genotype identified from blood samples and clinical outcomes of dogs presented at OVAH.....	97
Table 4.3: Clinical signs from complicated cases with solid organ complications and their associated <i>BrEMA1</i> genotypes.....	98
Table 5.1: Twenty-three confirmed cases of autochthonous babesiosis caused by <i>Babesia canis</i> in the Netherlands in 2004.....	116
Table 5.2: Composition of the <i>Babesia</i> plasmid control with three fragments (A, B and C) each containing four RLB-probe sequences flanked by the restriction enzyme recognition sequence for sticky-end cloning.....	117
Table 5.3: RLB-probes incorporated in the <i>Babesia</i> plasmid control.....	118

Table 6.1: Reverse line blot hybridization results of dogs positive for only *Theileria* sp. and for mixed infections of *Theileria* sp. and *E. canis*..... 138

Table 7.1: Haematological report indicating full blood counts 2 weeks prior to treatment of the dog with a combination of atovaquone and azithromycin..... 158



---

## Thesis summary

---

This thesis focuses on the molecular characterization of tick-borne parasites of dogs in South Africa. Emphasis is placed on *Babesia*, *Ehrlichia*, incidental and novel parasite infections that may cause morbidity or mortality in infected dogs. An outbreak of canine babesiosis in the Netherlands is also reported in this thesis. Molecular techniques were employed to isolate, amplify and characterize genomic DNA of these parasites to species level. During preliminary screening of blood samples collected from various sites in the country, that included the Onderstepoort Veterinary Academic Hospital, SPCAs and private clinics throughout seven provinces in South Africa, it was discovered that domestic dogs harboured a wide variety of tick-borne pathogens.

The most frequently encountered parasites in South Africa were *Babesia rossi*, a novel *Theileria* species of dogs, *B. vogeli* and *Ehrlichia canis* respectively. The parasites occurred as single or mixed infections. Incidental infections that included *B. gibsoni* and *Trypanosoma congolense* were also detected using PCR. Although it was anticipated that zoonotic Ehrlichial infections of dogs would be detected, none were found. *Babesia vogeli* was reported for the first time in South Africa although, without any clinical significance. An outbreak of autochthonous canine babesiosis in the Netherlands was confirmed to have been caused by *Babesia canis*. *Dermacentor reticulatus* was implicated in the transmission of the parasite to naïve dogs. Clinical significance of *B. rossi* and the novel *Theileria* sp. of dogs was evaluated. *Babesia rossi* was found to be of significant clinical importance. Genotyping of *B. rossi* isolates revealed that parasite

genotypes could be correlated to disease phenotype. Additionally, specific genotypes could also be associated with fatalities. Although the characterization of the *Theileria* sp. in dogs was a first report in South Africa, the clinical significance of this infection in dogs appeared to be poorly resolved. The dangers of having non-endemic species becoming established in South Africa was highlighted with the incidental finding of a *B. gibsoni* infection in an imported dog.

The results of this thesis have shown therefore that populations of dogs that live in tick-endemic areas are exposed to single or multiple tick-borne pathogens. These pathogens continue to cause morbidity and mortality in susceptible dogs. Correct diagnosis (supported by molecular diagnostic tools) followed by appropriate treatment offers a better understanding and management of these tick-borne pathogens. Preventative measures should be fully evaluated and applied to prevent these tick-borne pathogens from adversely affecting the canine population in South Africa and elsewhere.