

**TOWARDS A CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF THE
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST
AND CORPORATE REPUTATION**

by

Wesselina Andria Johanna van der Merwe

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

PHD COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT

in the

FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

at the

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

Supervisor:

Prof. Gustav Puth

February 2013

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis, which I submit for the degree PhD Communication Management in the Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not been submitted by me for a degree at another university.

WAJ van der Merwe

11 February 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To my heavenly Father God, for His endless love and the abundant grace and mercy that He continues to bestow on me – I am eternally grateful.

I offer my heartfelt gratitude and appreciation:

To my mom and late dad, Sheila and Coenraad van Blerk, for sacrificing so much to give me what they also should have had – a tertiary education;

To my husband, Chris, for teaching me to believe in myself, for his constant love, support and encouragement. Thank you for giving me the space and opportunity to grow in so many ways;

To my daughter, Jenni, for her love, inspiration, support and for being the pride of my life. Thank you for 'being of the understanding';

To my brothers and sisters, and Jannie in particular, for their selfless love and support of my studies;

To Vicky, Nicky, Jenna, Gryskat, Jamie, Grace and Phia – my constant companions through all the years of studying in the early hours of the morning;

To Francisca du Randt, for her assistance with the editing of this thesis and Megan Nolan, for her assistance with the graphics;

To the University of Pretoria, the academic staff in the Communication Division and the administrative staff in the Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences;

To my supervisor, Prof. Gustav Puth, who has been my mentor and trusted advisor through all the years that I have worked and studied with him, who has always believed in me and gently urged and guided me to extend myself.

I am forever in your debt. Thank you.

Adri

ABSTRACT

This study endeavours to conceptualise the corporate trust construct and its relationship with corporate reputation more holistically, to address the current perceived lack of conceptual clarity of the relationship between these two constructs.

The key premise of this study is that a for-profit organisation's ability to generate sustainable wealth over time and ensure its own long-term economic sustainability is related to its relationship with its entire stakeholder network. Since an organisation is dependent on its stakeholders' approval, commitment and supportive behaviour, it is important to understand what influences and drives their perceptions and assessment of an organisation, and their decision to support it. This highlights the importance of understanding the relationship between corporate reputation and corporate trust.

For this purpose this researcher has developed a conceptual model founded on basic, theoretical research, with the aim of clarifying current and existing theory and providing a new theoretical perspective. A conceptual model is a simplified and systematic representation of reality, which is made explicit in some abstract form. The descriptive and explicative properties of a model delineate the complex elements of the system more clearly, which fosters systematic thinking and enhances understanding.

The model developed as the result of this study suggests an inverse direction to the generally accepted view in current literature of the relationship between corporate trust and corporate reputation. Where trust is usually regarded as an attribute or antecedent of corporate reputation, this study has conceptualised trust as an outcome of corporate reputation and as the more comprehensive construct in the relationship.

Corporate reputation has been conceptualised as being merely a means to an end – to earn stakeholders' trust and thus their commitment and continued support – and not as an end in itself.

Trustworthiness, and not trust, has been identified as the key driver of corporate reputation. Seven key areas in which an organisation should display its trustworthiness

have been identified. These are proposed as the new antecedents of corporate reputation in order to build a reputation that will lead to stakeholders' trust and support.

Finally, recommendations have been made and the areas requiring further research have been identified.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

ORIENTATION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

1	INTRODUCTION: TITLE AND OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT	1
1.1	BACKGROUND: THE PROBLEM AND ITS SETTING	1
1.1.1	Problem orientation.....	4
1.1.2	Purpose statement.....	8
1.1.3	Methodological and theoretical orientation.....	8
1.1.4	Research objectives	10
1.1.5	Importance and potential contribution of the study	11
1.1.6	Delimitations and assumptions	14
2	DEFINITION OF KEY TERMS.....	16
2.1	KEY TERMS TO BE DEFINED.....	16
2.1.1	Trust.....	17
2.1.2	Trustworthiness	18
2.1.3	Corporate.....	18
2.1.4	Corporate trust.....	19
2.1.5	Stakeholders.....	20
2.1.6	Corporate sustainability	20
2.1.7	Corporate reputation.....	22
2.1.8	Corporate ethics	23
2.1.9	Corporate values	24
2.1.10	Corporate governance	24
2.1.11	Responsible corporate citizenship	25
3	TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	26
4	CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR LITERATURE REVIEW.....	26
4.1	OUTLINE OF CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....	28

4.1.1	The meta-theoretical framework as overall conceptual foundation	28
4.1.2	The important role of and need for trust in a for-profit organisation	29
4.1.3	Trust and trust-building in a corporate environment.....	29
4.1.4	Corporate reputation, identity and the relationship with corporate trust.....	30
4.1.5	An overview of the content of Chapters 6, 7 and 8	30
4.2	DEMARCATIION OF STUDY	31

CHAPTER 2

THE META-THEORETICAL FOUNDATION: FROM SYSTEMS THEORY TO STAKEHOLDER THEORY

1	INTRODUCTION: TRUST A PREREQUISITE FOR SUSTAINABLE SYSTEMIC BEHAVIOUR	32
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT	33
3	SYSTEMS THEORY AS MACRO-THEORETICAL FOUNDATION.....	36
3.1	OVERVIEW OF THE SYSTEMS THEORY DISCUSSION	36
3.2	BASIS OF THE GENERAL SYSTEMS THEORY	36
3.2.1	Definition of a system in systems theory.....	36
3.2.2	Classical versus functional systems theory.....	37
3.3	KEY CONCEPTS OF GENERAL SYSTEMS THEORY	37
3.3.1	Open versus closed systems.....	37
3.3.2	Structure of a system	38
3.3.3	Permeable boundaries of an open system.....	38
3.3.4	A social versus biological system as an open system	39
3.3.5	Transformative characteristics of an open, social system.....	40
3.4	AN ORGANISATION AS A COMPLEX SOCIAL SYSTEM	41
3.4.1	Organisational theory versus a theory of organisations	42
3.4.2	An organisation as a contrived, imperfect social system	42
3.4.3	Benefits and limitations of the theory of an organisation as a system.....	45

3.5	CONCLUSION: SYSTEMS THEORY AS MACRO-THEORY	46
4	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE CORPORATE CONSTRUCT	46
4.1	OVERVIEW OF THE CORPORATE CONSTRUCT DISCUSSION	46
4.2	INTRODUCTION: THEORY OF ORGANISATIONS.....	47
4.3	THEORY OF BUREAUCRACY/ORGANISATION: EARLY THEORISTS	48
4.3.1	The bureaucratic organisation as a rational structure	48
4.3.2	Early foundations of the theory of organisations	50
4.3.3	A connection with societal issues: early theorists	54
4.3.4	Key implications of these early theorists for this study.....	55
4.3.5	Closing remarks on early organisational theorists	58
4.4	LATE TWENTIETH-CENTURY VIEWS ON THE THEORY OF ORGANISATIONS	58
4.4.1	A disconnection with societal issues: 1970s-1980s	59
4.4.2	A reconnection with societal issues: 1990 onwards.....	62
4.5	NEW STRUCTURALISM, ECONOMIC SOCIOLOGY AND LINK TO TRUST.....	64
4.5.1	Culture and institutional logics in new structuralism.....	64
4.5.2	Institutionalised values, norms and beliefs direct organisational action	65
4.5.3	New economic sociology	66
4.5.4	Organising process theory tradition	67
4.6	CONCLUSION: THEORETICAL BASIS FOR CORPORATE CONSTRUCT	68
5	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE TRUST CONSTRUCT	69
5.1	OVERVIEW OF THE TRUST CONSTRUCT DISCUSSION	69
5.2	SOCIAL THEORY	70
5.2.1	Trust as a social event.....	70
5.2.2	Trust in an organisational environment.....	72
5.3	AN ORGANISATION AS A SOCIAL ACTOR.....	73

5.3.1	The external attribution assumption	74
5.3.2	The intentionality assumption	77
5.4	THEORY OF ACTION: A SPECIFIC APPROACH TO SOCIAL THEORY	79
5.4.1	The social versus the functional theory of action	80
5.4.2	Purposive actions of individuals and organisations.....	81
5.5	DECISION THEORY: A SPECIFIC APPROACH TO THE THEORY OF ACTION	81
5.5.1	Introduction to decision theory	81
5.5.2	Principles of decision theory and its relevance to organisational behaviour	82
5.5.3	Ethics as a guide to consistent organisational decisions and behaviour	84
5.5.4	Trust as the connecting link between theory of organisations and ethics	85
5.6	CONCLUSION: THEORETICAL BASIS FOR THE TRUST CONSTRUCT.....	86
6	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT	87
6.1	OVERVIEW OF THE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT DISCUSSION.....	87
6.1.1	Legitimacy and identity of the organisation as social actor	88
6.1.2	Social identity theory and its link to corporate identity	90
6.1.3	Corporate identity as the backbone of corporate reputation	95
6.1.4	Manner in which messages and symbols are processed.....	98
6.2	CONCLUSION: THEORETICAL BASIS FOR THE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT	100
7	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE STAKEHOLDER CONSTRUCT	104
7.1	OVERVIEW OF THE STAKEHOLDER THEORY DISCUSSION	104
7.2	OVERVIEW OF STAKEHOLDER THEORY	105
7.2.1	Background on stakeholder theory	105
7.2.2	Key tenet of stakeholder theory	108
7.2.3	Key approaches to stakeholder theory.....	112
7.2.4	The concept of stakeholder capitalism.....	113

7.3	DEFINITION AND RELEVANCE OF STAKEHOLDERS.....	116
7.4	ORGANISATIONAL ACTIONS AND RESPONSES	119
7.4.1	Nature of for-profit organisations	119
7.4.2	Stakeholder theory from an organisational perspective	120
7.4.3	Relevance of stakeholder theory to organisational performance	122
7.5	STAKEHOLDERS' ACTIONS, RESPONSES AND THEORY.....	125
7.5.1	Sources of stakeholders' power	125
7.5.2	Sources of stakeholders' support.....	126
7.6	THE IMPORTANCE OF ETHICS, VALUES AND TRUST	127
7.6.1	Power and the principle of fairness.....	127
7.6.2	Ethics and values form the core of stakeholder theory	128
7.6.3	The link with trustworthiness and trust.....	130
7.7	CONCLUSION: FOUNDATION OF THE STAKEHOLDER CONSTRUCT ...	130
8	CONCLUSION: TRUST A PREREQUISITE FOR SUSTAINABLE SYSTEMIC BEHAVIOUR	131

CHAPTER 3

SUSTAINABILITY WITHIN A CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT

1	INTRODUCTION: FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT REQUIRED IN BUSINESS.....	134
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT	137
3	DEFINING CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY	137
4	AN OVERVIEW OF KING III	138
4.1	INTRODUCTION	138
4.1.1	Philosophy of King III.....	139
4.1.2	Corporate governance	140
4.1.3	The nature and meaning of fiduciary duty.....	141
4.1.4	Inclusive stakeholder approach	144
4.1.5	A good reputation needed to earn stakeholders' trust	146

5	CORPORATE CITIZENSHIP AND RESPONSIBILITY	149
5.1	CONCEPT OF A CORPORATE CITIZEN.....	149
5.1.1	Corporate social responsibility (CSR)	150
5.1.2	Corporate social investment (CSI)	151
6	SUSTAINABILITY AND THE LINK TO CORPORATE TRUST	152
5.1	TRUST AN ESSENTIAL PREREQUISITE FOR SUSTAINABILITY	152
6.1.1	The development of the sustainability construct	152
6.1.2	Corporate sustainability: more than balancing the triple bottom line	154
6.2	ETHICS AS THE UNIFYING RATIONALITY OF CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY	158
6.2.1	The concept of the “experienced world”	158
6.2.2	Rational ordering of human experience through the lens of ethics	159
6.2.3	Case study: Johnson & Johnson and its ethical conduct framework	160
6.3	SUSTAINABILITY: A SOCIAL, STAKEHOLDER-DEPENDENT CONTEXT	161
6.4	CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY: A PROACTIVE ETHICAL APPROACH ..	163
6.4.1	Primary role of the leadership of a for-profit organisation	164
6.4.2	A proactive ethical stance required towards sustainability	165
6.4.3	A strategic shift towards long-term corporate sustainability	167
7	CONCLUSION: TRUST PROPELS THE FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT	169

CHAPTER 4

TRUST, TRUSTWORTHINESS AND TRUST-BUILDING IN A CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT

1	INTRODUCTION: TRUST AS AN ECONOMIC IMPERATIVE	171
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT	172
3	DEFINING TRUST AS A GENERAL CONSTRUCT	173
3.1	A WORKING DEFINITION OF TRUST IN A SOCIOLOGICAL CONTEXT ...	173

3.2	CLARIFYING THE OPERATIONALISATION OF THE TRUST CONSTRUCT	174
3.2.1	Two individuals in a trustor/trustee relationship in some respect and in some context.....	174
3.2.2	Presence of dependency, vulnerability or risk, impacting one individual.....	175
3.2.3	Acting on the belief to trust the other individual	176
3.2.4	Expectation of moral element in the trustee's intent and behaviour	177
3.2.5	Mutual vulnerability and risk, as well as a duty to protect the trustor	178
3.2.6	Importance of context for trust behaviour for both individuals.....	179
4	NATURE OF TRUST	180
5	DIMENSIONS OF TRUST	181
5.1	A MULTI-DIMENSIONAL CONSTRUCT.....	181
5.1.1	Cognitive dimension	181
5.1.2	Emotional dimension.....	184
5.1.3	Behavioural dimension.....	187
6	TRUST AND DISTRUST	188
7	CONFIDENCE, COOPERATION, PREDICTABILITY, RELIABILITY	191
7.1	TRUST: PRESENCE OF RISK, UNCERTAINTY AND DEPENDENCY	192
7.1.1	Trust and confidence	192
7.1.2	Trust and cooperation.....	193
7.1.3	Trust and predictability.....	193
7.1.4	Trust and reliability.....	193
8	HIGH-LEVEL TRUST CONCEPTS: COLLECTIVE ATTRIBUTE.....	194
8.1	EXISTING HIGH-LEVEL TRUST CONCEPTS	194
8.1.1	Institution-based trust as a high-level form of trust	194
8.1.2	Systems trust as a second high-level form of trust	196
8.2	CORPORATE TRUST AS ANOTHER HIGH-LEVEL FORM OF TRUST.....	200
9	DEFINING CORPORATE TRUST	201

9.1	A WORKING DEFINITION OF CORPORATE TRUST	202
9.2	CLARIFYING THE OPERATIONALISATION OF THE CORPORATE TRUST CONSTRUCT.....	203
9.2.1	Multiple actors involved in a trustee/trustor relationship	203
9.2.2	Presence of dependency; vulnerability in a less familiar context	204
9.2.3	Acting on the belief to trust the organisation, for or against	206
9.2.4	Expectation of moral duty in the organisation's behaviour	208
9.2.5	Mutual vulnerability and a duty to protect stakeholders' interests	209
10	NATURE OF CORPORATE TRUST.....	211
10.1	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NATURE OF CORPORATE TRUST.....	211
10.1.1	Symbiotic, adaptive and complex corporate trust	211
10.1.2	Fragile and robust corporate trust.....	212
10.1.3	Optimal level of corporate trust	213
10.1.4	Trust as both a moral quality and an economic benefit.....	214
11	ANTECEDENTS OF TRUST IN A CORPORATE CONTEXT.....	214
11.1	TRUSTWORTHY BEHAVIOURAL ANTECEDENTS OF CORPORATE TRUST	215
11.1.1	Ability	216
11.1.2	Benevolence	217
11.1.3	Integrity	218
11.1.4	Ethical behaviour	220
11.1.5	Identification.....	222
11.1.6	Transparency.....	225
11.1.7	Emotional attraction as an affective antecedent of trust	227
11.2	INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN KEY ANTECEDENTS.....	228
11.2.1	Interrelated and inclusive relationship, existing along a continuum	228
11.2.2	All the antecedents need to be present for trustworthiness to exist	229
11.2.3	Integrity, ethics more pronounced in unfamiliar circumstances.....	230
12	BENEFITS OF TRUST IN A CORPORATE CONTEXT.....	231
12.1	DOMINANT PERSPECTIVE OF BENEFITS OF TRUST.....	231

12.2	ALTERNATIVE PERSPECTIVE ON BENEFITS OF TRUST.....	232
12.2.1	The indirect benefits of trust.....	234
12.2.2	Trust as an organising principle	235
12.2.3	Structuring as a pathway to influence organising.....	237
12.2.4	Mobilising as a pathway to influence organising	243
12.2.5	Closing remarks on the benefits of trust.....	247
13	BARRIERS TO TRUST IN A CORPORATE CONTEXT	249
13.1	FROM SUSPICION TO OPPORTUNISTIC PROFIT MOTIVE.....	249
13.1.1	Suspicion and distrust.....	249
13.1.2	Breach of the psychological contract	251
13.1.3	Fragility of trust	252
13.1.4	Negative media coverage	253
13.1.5	Technology	253
13.1.6	Legal rules and contracts.....	254
13.1.7	An opportunistic profit motive.....	256
14	SOURCES OF TRUST AND TRUSTWORTHY BEHAVIOUR.....	256
14.1	WHY WOULD A TRUSTOR VOLUNTARILY EXPOSE HIMSELF TO RISK?.....	256
14.1.1	Legal sanctions.....	257
14.1.2	Market sanctions.....	257
14.1.3	Internalised trust	258
15	FUNCTIONS OF CORPORATE TRUST	260
15.1	A NUMBER OF KEY FUNCTIONS	260
15.1.1	A mechanism to reduce complexity in relationships	260
15.1.2	An expression of stakeholders' expectations	262
15.1.3	A mechanism to reduce mutual risk and vulnerability	263
15.1.4	An effective way to increase voluntary cooperation	265
15.1.5	A prerequisite for success that is difficult to enforce	266
15.1.6	An assumption of an acknowledged duty to protect.....	267
15.1.7	An evaluation of the organisation's trustworthiness	268

16	TRUST AND TRUSTWORTHINESS: TWO SIDES OF THE SAME COIN.....	269
16.1	AN INTRICATE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO CONCEPTS.....	269
16.1.1	Trustworthiness in a personal context.....	270
16.1.2	Trustworthiness in a corporate context	271
17	THE IMPORTANCE OF CORPORATE TRUST	274
17.1	FIVE REASONS FOR TAKING CORPORATE TRUST SERIOUSLY.....	275
17.1.1	Stakeholders have the power to act, for or against.....	275
17.1.2	Stakeholders are reclaiming their role as citizens.....	277
17.1.3	Stakeholders demand a different approach to business	278
17.1.4	Ethics to be brought back into the world of business	279
17.1.5	Role of trust in a corporate crisis	282
17.2	LINK BETWEEN TRUSTWORTHINESS AND SUSTAINABILITY.....	286
18	CONCLUSION: TRUST AS AN ECONOMIC IMPERATIVE	287

CHAPTER 5

CORPORATE REPUTATION, IDENTITY AND TRUST

1	INTRODUCTION: REPUTATION DEPENDS ON CHARACTER	288
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT	291
3	DEFINING CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	293
3.1	A WORKING DEFINITION OF CORPORATE REPUTATION	293
3.2	CLARIFYING THE OPERATIONALISATION OF THE CORPORATE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT	295
3.2.1	Developments in defining corporate reputation.....	295
3.2.2	Existing approaches to conceptualise and define corporate reputation.....	298
3.2.3	A new proposed approach to conceptualise and define corporate reputation.....	300

3.2.4	What corporate reputation is not.....	302
3.2.5	Where corporate communication fits in.....	304
4	THE RELEVANCE OF CORPORATE REPUTATION	304
4.1	STRATEGIC BENEFITS OF A STRONG CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	304
4.1.1	Current views on the benefits of a strong corporate reputation.....	304
4.1.2	A strong corporate reputation without trust is not enough.....	307
4.1.3	Growing awareness of relevance of reputation and trust.....	308
4.1.4	Relationship between reputation and trust: sustainability	309
5	CORPORATE IDENTITY AND STAKEHOLDER IDENTIFICATION	315
5.1	AN ORGANISATION'S SUSTAINABILITY LINKED TO ITS IDENTITY	315
5.1.1	An organisation's identity needs to be value-based.....	316
5.1.2	Stakeholders' identification with an organisation's identity.....	317
6	KEY DIMENSIONS OF CORPORATE REPUTATION	324
6.1	VARIOUS MODELS WITH DIFFERENT DRIVERS AND VIEWS ON TRUST.....	324
6.2	REPUTATION DRIVERS AND THE ISSUE OF TRUSTWORTHINESS/TRUST.....	325
6.2.1	Trustworthiness as a key antecedent of reputation underestimated	326
6.2.2	Traditional reputation drivers becoming blurred, fusing with trust drivers	328
6.2.3	New antecedents/drivers for reputation proposed	334
6.3	CORPORATE IDENTITY/REPUTATION: MULTIPLE OR SINGLE?.....	337
7	THE ROLE OF COMMUNICATION IN IDENTITY/REPUTATION.....	341
7.1	CORPORATE COMMUNICATION AS FOUNDATION AND PRIMARY MECHANISM.....	341
7.1.1	Communication as the foundation of corporate identity	341
7.1.2	Role of communication in corporate reputation.....	346
8	THE LINK BETWEEN IDENTITY AND REPUTATION	348
8.1	THE <i>VCI ALIGNMENT MODEL</i> AS POINT OF DEPARTURE.....	351

9	KEY ELEMENTS OF NEW STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT APPROACH.....	354
9.1.1	Reputation promise: ‘Who we set out to be’.....	355
9.1.2	Culture: ‘How we are seen to behave’	359
9.1.3	Image: ‘What we say and present about our organisation’	365
9.1.4	Corporate identity: ‘Who we really are’	369
10	ESTABLISHING A SUSTAINABLE CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	370
11	CONCLUSION: REPUTATION DEPENDS ON CHARACTER.....	378

CHAPTER 6

THE METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK: ROLE OF MODELS IN THEORY CONSTRUCTION

1	INTRODUCTION	382
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT	384
3	KEY METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	384
3.1	BASIC VERSUS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	384
3.2	THE MEANING AND NATURE OF THEORY CONSTRUCTION	386
3.2.1	The meaning of theory	386
3.2.2	The functions of theory	387
3.2.3	The elements of theory construction.....	388
3.2.4	The dimensions of theory.....	389
3.3	ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF THEORY-BUILDING RESEARCH.....	390
3.3.1	Role of theory-building research in social sciences	391
3.3.2	Some approaches to theory building	392
3.3.3	Plausibility as a substitute for validation.....	393
3.4	RESEARCH STRATEGY CHARACTERISTICS	394
3.5	DIMENSIONS OF RESEARCH	396
3.5.1	The breadth dimension of research	396
3.5.2	The height dimension of research.....	397

3.5.3	The depth dimension of research	397
3.6	MODES OF THEORY CONSTRUCTION	398
3.6.1	Model development construction	399
3.6.2	Inductive theory construction	400
3.6.3	Deductive theory construction.....	400
3.6.4	Functional theory construction	401
4	THE ROLE OF MODELS IN THEORY CONSTRUCTION.....	401
4.1	THE MEANING OF MODEL	401
4.2	THE FUNCTIONS OF MODELS.....	403
4.2.1	Models provide a frame of reference for scientific enquiry.....	403
4.2.2	Models clarify the structure of complex phenomena.....	403
4.2.3	Models provide new ways to conceive ideas and relationships	404
4.2.4	Specific functions related to theory construction.....	404
4.3	THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF MODELS.....	406
4.3.1	Scale models	407
4.3.2	Conceptual models	407
4.3.3	Mathematical models.....	408
4.4	THE LIMITATIONS OF AND MISCONCEPTIONS REGARDING MODELS.....	408
4.4.1	Oversimplification	408
4.4.2	Confusion with reality.....	409
4.4.3	Premature closure	409
4.4.4	Misconceptions or misuse of the term 'model'	409
4.5	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING MODELS.....	410
4.5.1	What is new?	411
4.5.2	So what?.....	411
4.5.3	Why so?.....	412
4.5.4	Well done?.....	412
4.5.5	Other factors to be considered in judging the merits of a theory/model.....	412

5	CONCEPTUAL RESEARCH METHODS.....	413
5.1.1	Using a basic conceptual model as a research method.....	414
5.1.2	Explanatory conceptual frameworks	416
5.1.3	Final objective of conceptual methods theory: meta-frameworks	417
5.1.4	Conclusion of conceptual research methods	417
6	CONCLUSION: ROLE OF MODELS IN THEORY CONSTRUCTION.....	418

CHAPTER 7

A CONCEPTUALISATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION

1	INTRODUCTION: TOWARDS A CONCEPTUAL MODEL.....	420
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT	421
3	SYSTEMS/STAKEHOLDER THEORY: THE CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION	423
3.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	423
3.2	SUMMATION OF THE CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION OF THIS STUDY	423
3.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURE PRESENTED AS A SUMMARY ILLUSTRATION	425
4	THE IMPORTANT ROLE OF AND NEED FOR TRUST.....	427
4.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK.....	427
4.2	SUMMATION OF THE VIEW ON THE IMPORTANT ROLE OF AND NEED FOR TRUST.....	427
4.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURES PRESENTED AS SUMMARY ILLUSTRATIONS.....	428
5	TRUST/TRUST-BUILDING IN A CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT.....	431
5.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK.....	431
5.2	SUMMATION OF CORPORATE TRUST/TRUSTWORTHINESS CONCEPTS.....	431

5.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURES PRESENTED AS SUMMARY ILLUSTRATIONS.....	433
6	CORPORATE REPUTATION, IDENTITY AND TRUST	436
6.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	436
6.2	SUMMATION OF CONCEPTION OF REPUTATION, IDENTITY AND TRUST.....	436
6.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURES PRESENTED AS SUMMARY ILLUSTRATIONS.....	442
7	A CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	448
7.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK.....	448
7.2	SUMMATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	448
7.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURE PRESENTED AS CONCEPTUAL MODEL	449
8	EVALUATING THE PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL MODEL/STUDY	449
9	CONCLUSION: A CONCEPTUALISATION OF CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION	453

CHAPTER 8

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1	INTRODUCTION: OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT.....	455
2	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	455
2.1	PRIMARY RESEARCH OBJECTIVE.....	455
2.2	SECONDARY RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	457
2.2.1	Research objective 1	458
2.2.2	Research objective 2	460
2.2.3	Research objective 3	463

2.2.4	Research objective 4	466
2.2.5	Research objective 5	468
2.2.6	Research objective 6	472
3	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	472
3.1.1	Recommendation 1	473
3.1.2	Recommendation 2.....	473
3.1.3	Recommendation 3.....	473
3.1.4	Recommendation 4.....	474
3.1.5	Recommendation 5.....	474
4	LIMITATIONS	475
4.1.1	Limitation 1: Research cycle incomplete.....	475
4.1.2	Limitation 2: Model does not demonstrate systemic interrelationships ..	475
4.1.3	Limitation 3: Focus on trust/reputation only in a for-profit organisation ..	476
4.1.4	Limitation 4: Strategic corporate communication approach to be extended.....	476
4.1.5	Limitation 5: Practical application of corporate elements/processes	477
5	CONCLUSION.....	477
	LIST OF REFERENCES.....	482

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Abbreviations used in this document.....	26
Table 2: Antecedents of reputation becoming blurred, merging with trust drivers	330
Table 3: Demarcating existing approaches/proposing a new strategic alignment approach to the corporate reputation paradigm.....	443
Table 4: Compliance with the criteria of a good model.....	451
Table 5: Compliance with the criteria of a good theoretical contribution.....	452

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The conceptual framework of this study	27
Figure 2: A summary outline of the meta-theoretical framework of this study	35
Figure 3: The three pillars of sustainable development	153
Figure 4: The interlocking-circles approach to sustainable development	154
Figure 5: Split trust continuum	190
Figure 6: Key antecedents of corporate trust	228
Figure 7: The <i>VCI Alignment model</i> , as developed by Hatch and Schultz	352
Figure 8: Towards a new <i>Strategic Alignment Reputation Framework</i>	375
Figure 9: An outline of the meta-theoretical framework of this study	426
Figure 10: Different organisational approaches towards corporate sustainability	429
Figure 11: A new interlocking-circles approach to corporate sustainability	430
Figure 12: Difference between trust and trustworthiness/key antecedents of trust	434
Figure 13: Continuum of corporate trustworthiness, based on trust antecedents	435
Figure 14: The new <i>Strategic Alignment Reputation Framework</i>	444
Figure 15: Disaggregating the key elements of corporate reputation, in relation to the corporate identity/trust relationship	445
Figure 16: Delineating the high-level process of establishing a sustainable, trustworthy reputation that will earn stakeholders' trust and support	446
Figure 17: Framework outlining the corporate identity/reputation/trust process	447
Figure 18: A conceptual model of the relationship between corporate trust and corporate reputation	450