

Survey and characterisation of sweet potato viruses in South Africa

by

Mapula Julia Domola

**Submitted in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the
degree of
M. Inst Agrar (Plant Protection)**

**In the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science
Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology
University of Pretoria**

Pretoria

December 2003



DECLARATION

I, Mapula Julia Domola, hereby declare that the dissertation/thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree M. Inst Agrar (Plant Protection) at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for the degree at this or any other tertiary institution.

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to be 'M.J. Domola', written over a horizontal line.

M.J. Domola

Dated this 03 day of December 2003.

SUMMARY

Sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* Lam.) is a crop which has been used for human consumption in South African rural communities for many years. The crop is widely grown on small-scale, primarily to help ensure the food security and as a cash crop for rural households. The ability of the crop to grow on marginal and degraded soil also adds to its advantages. A baseline survey on production and utilisation of sweet potato by small scale-farmers was conducted during 2001 to 2003 in six provinces of South Africa (Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Eastern Cape, Western Cape, KwaZulu Natal and Northwest). This revealed that farmers mostly harvested enough sweet potato to feed their families but under the small-scale production the yield was very low. During the baseline survey of the current study, sweet potato was ranked the third most important food crop of rural families in South Africa.

Virus diseases have been identified as one of the major constraints to sweet potato production. A survey to determine the incidence of pests and diseases of sweet potatoes grown by small-scale farmers was conducted in seven provinces of South Africa, namely Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Limpopo, KwaZulu Natal, North West, Western and Eastern Cape. Damage caused by insects was found to be more prevalent than any disease in all provinces. Plants showing symptoms of virus diseases were not frequently observed in fields. Representative samples of each province were selected randomly during surveys and cuttings were taken for virus analysis. Samples were indexed to *Ipomoea setosa* Kerr. and serological analysis were carried out aimed at detecting nine viruses, namely sweet potato feathery mottle potyvirus (SPFMV), sweet potato mild mottle ipomovirus (SPMMV), sweet potato latent potyvirus (SPLV), sweet potato chlorotic stunt crinivirus (SPCSV), sweet potato chlorotic fleck potyvirus (SPCFV), sweet potato caulimovirus (SPCaLV), sweet potato mild speckling potyvirus (SPMSV), C-6 virus and cucumber mosaic cucumovirus (CMV). Two other potyviruses, SPV G and SPV II, were later included when the antisera was made available by the Institut für Biochemie und Pflanzenvirologie, Braunschweig, Germany. Three types of enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were conducted: nitrocellulose membrane based enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (NCM-ELISA), triple antibody sandwich ELISA (TAS-ELISA) and double antibody sandwich ELISA (DAS-ELISA), using polyclonal (PAb's) and monoclonal (MAb's) antibodies, kindly supplied by the International

Potato Center (CIP) Lima, Peru and the Institut für Biochemie und Pflanzenvirologie, Braunschweig, Germany. CMV antiserum was obtained from the ARC-Roodeplaat.

Serological analysis showed that viruses are the biggest threat to sweet potato production in South Africa. Nine viruses were identified serologically and approximately 80% of sweet potato cuttings taken from the fields were found to be virus infected. SPFMV was found in 63%, SPMMV in 3% and SPLV in 5% of the samples. Five viruses were found for the first time in South Africa: SPCFV, SPMSV, SPCSV (East and West African strains), SPV II and SPV G. SPV II and SPV G were found in nearly 30% of the samples. Cucumber mosaic virus was found in a single sample. Mixed infections were detected in most samples and only 10% of the samples were infected by a single virus. The effects of virus infection on the yield of nine sweet potato cultivars and advanced breeding lines were studied over two seasons. The plants were infected with two combinations of viruses A) SPFMV, SPV II and SPV G and B) SPFMV, SPMMV, SPV II and SPV G. Healthy plants were infected by grafting to infected cuttings, multiplied and planted randomly in three replicated blocks. Plants from the first trial were kept and planted in the second year to determine the long-term effect of viruses on yield. Average total yield was significantly reduced by between 12 and 22% while the marketable yield was reduced by 21 to 38%. This was mainly caused by the increase in cracking (41-82%). Some cultivars were highly sensitive to virus infection while others showed a degree of tolerance. This study confirmed that cultivars that had been infected for more than one season showed a greater decrease in yield and increase in cracking than newly infected cultivars. This is the first comprehensive study to determine the effect of viruses on sweet potato yield in South Africa.

These findings will contribute to developing programmes aimed at controlling virus diseases that are feasible and more sustainable. It will also be of paramount importance that this valuable information be disseminated among small-scale farmers, so that some of the problems encountered can be minimised.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my grandmother who God has spared to live to see the fruits of her hard work, unending love, sincere moral supports and words of wisdom. To my little girl, Thendo, hoping that this work will be a motivation and inspiration in her future life. Lastly, to my parents and my two siblings Ndivhuho and Happy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr G.J. Thompson, ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, for accepting me as his student and giving me the chance to prove myself. For his excellent supervision, his involvement in my surveys and most importantly, his constructive criticisms. For his unending patience and moral support that has encouraged me carry out the work that I thought was never easy to do.

Prof. T.A.S. Aveling, Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, for her excellent supervision and critically reading my manuscripts and showing different perspective of things.

Mrs H.D. Strydom, Virology, ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, for her excellent technical assistance with serological analysis of viruses. Most importantly, for her moral support and being a good listener when I felt like pouring my heart out. She always had the correct answer for my silly queries.

Mrs S.M. Laurie, for her involvement with the yield assessment trials, seeing that all experiments were carried out and analysed, also helping with the analysis of the baseline survey study and most importantly, for critically reading my manuscripts.

Mr A.A. van den Berg and his team, for kindly conducting and maintaining the yield assessment experiments. It was so much hard work and I sincerely thank you for making it possible.

Dr R Gibson, Natural Resources International/Natural Resources Institute, Chatham Maritime, U.K. for his active interest in my research and his encouragement.

European Union Commission for funding our project as part of project ICA4-CT-2000-30007.

Mr E. Thobejane, ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, for the maintenance of experiments in the glasshouse.

Mrs R. Moloto, ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, for kindly helping with grinding of samples used for serological assays.

Mr. P.V. Nkosi, ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, for teaching me how to index plants and assisting me with indexing of sweet potato cuttings used for yield assessment experiments.

Mr A.H. Thompson, ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, for his assistance with identification of fungal diseases.

Mr L. Malemela, Mr C. Kgonyane and Mr D. Magoro, ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, for being so patient with me when I needed to go to survey farmers in the provinces that they were visiting.

Mrs T. Zondo, ARC-Roodeplaat, Kruger National Park, for being a wonderful friend, and accompanying me to some places I needed to visit to carry out my surveys. Your contribution has made this study a success.

Mrs R. Burges, ARC-Infruitec, for her invaluable assistance with conducting the survey in Western Cape.

Dr H.J. Vetten and Dr E. Barg, Institut für Biochemie und Pflanzenvirologie, Braunschweig, Germany, for supplying us with antisera against sweet potato viruses.

CIP, Lima, Peru, for the gift of antisera against sweet potato viruses.

ARC-Roodeplaat, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, and the wonderful people who played an important role by accepting me as part of their team.

Ms R. van Niekerk, for assisting with the editing/polishing of the thesis. I sincerely thank you for your time and effort.

Farmers in rural communities, for patiently sharing their sweet potato production knowledge with me and most of all, for kindly supplying me with sweet potato cuttings.

My Mother for playing the biggest role in Thendo's life. I wouldn't have achieved this if she was not there for her.

Thomas, for your love and support and always being there for me to make this possible. Your patience was tested and you proved to stand by me against all the odds.

Ms L. Matsaunyane and Ms. M. Visser, for their wonderful company, emotional support and words of encouragement.

All my friends and family, for their wonderful company and always being there for me.

Contents

	Page
SUMMARY.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
List of Tables.....	xii
List of Figures.....	xiii
Chapter 1: Production and utilisation of sweet potato	
1.1 General introduction to the sweet potato crop	1
1.2 References	5
Chapter 2: A review of viruses infecting sweet potatoes	
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Aetiology of sweet potato viruses	10
2.2.1 Sweet potato feathery mottle potyvirus (SPFMV)	10
2.2.2 Sweet potato chlorotic stunt crinivirus (SPCSV)	12
2.2.3 Sweet potato virus disease (SPVD)	13
2.2.4 Sweet potato mild mottle ipomovirus (SPMMV)	14
2.2.5 Cucumber mosaic cucumovirus (CMV)	15
2.3 Other viral diseases of sweet potato	15
2.3.1 Sweet potato leaf speckling luteovirus (SPLSV)	16
2.3.2 Sweet potato latent potyvirus (SPLV)	16
2.3.3 Sweet potato ring spot nepovirus (SPRSV)	17
2.3.4 Sweet potato caulimovirus (SPCaLV)	17
2.3.5 Sweet potato yellow dwarf ipomovirus (SPYDV)	18
2.3.6 Sweet potato vein mosaic potyvirus (SPVMV)	18
2.3.7 Sweet potato leaf curl badnavirus (SPLCV)	19
2.3.8 <i>Ipomoea</i> crinkle leaf curl geminivirus (ICLCV)	19
2.3.9 Sweet potato leaf curl virus-US	19
2.3.10 Sweet potato (?) phytoreovirus	20

2.4 Sweet potato virus detection and diagnosis	22
2.4.1 Biological methods.....	22
2.4.1.1 Mechanical inoculation.....	22
2.4.1.2 Grafting (Indexing)	23
2.4.2 Insect transmission	24
2.4.3 Serological detection	24
2.4.4 Electron microscopy (EM) and immunosorbent electron microscope (ISEM)	26
2.4.5 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and hybridisation	27
2.5 Management of sweet potato viruses	28
2.6 Conclusion	30
2.7 References	33

Chapter 3: Survey of utilisation, constraints and perception of sweet potato among small-scale farmers in South Africa

3.1 Introduction	46
3.2 Materials and methods	47
3.2.1 Survey of farmer's knowledge.....	47
3.2.1.1 Approach	47
3.2.1.2 Analysis	48
3.3 Results	
3.3.1 Area surveyed	48
3.3.2 Socio economic importance	49
3.3.2.1 Biographic profile	49
3.3.2.2 Production objectives	50
3.3.2.3 Importance of sweet potato, compared to other crops	50
3.3.2.4 Income	51
3.3.2.5 Yield	52
3.3.2.6 Marketing	52
3.3.2.7 Consumption and utilisation	53
3.3.3 Cultivation practices	53
3.3.3.1 Planting material	53



3.3.3.2 Land preparation	55
3.3.3.3 Irrigation	55
3.3.3.4 Fertilisation	55
3.3.3.5 Beds types	56
3.3.3.6 Weeding and plant protection	56
3.3.3.7 Intercropping and crop rotation	56
3.3.4 Virus diseases	56
3.3.5 Constraints to production and utilisation	57
3.4 Discussion and conclusion	57
3.5 References	60

Chapter 4: Incidence of diseases and pests in sweet potato fields

4.1 Introduction	62
4.2 Materials and methods	63
4.3 Analysis	63
4.4 Results	64
4.5 Discussion and conclusion	66
4.6 References	69

Chapter 5: Detection and characterisation of sweet potato viruses

5.1 Introduction	70
5.2 Materials and methods	71
5.2.1 Collection of samples	71
5.2.2 Biological indexing	71
5.2.2.1 Indexing	71
5.2.2.2 Host range study	72
5.2.3 Serological characterisation	72
5.2.3.1 Antisera	72
5.2.3.2 NCM- ELISA	73



5.2.3.3 DAS-ELISA and TAS-ELISA	74
5.3 Results	76
5.3.1 Indexing	76
5.3.2 Host range study	78
5.3.3 NCM, DAS- and TAS-ELISA	79
5.4 Discussion and conclusion	82
5.5 References	87

Chapter 6: Assessment of the effects of virus infection on the yield of sweet potato cultivars

6.1 Introduction	90
6.2 Materials and methods	91
6.2.1 Source of material	91
6.2.2 Inoculation	91
6.2.3 Field trial	93
6.2.4 Statistical analysis.....	93
6.3 Results	94
6.4 Discussion and conclusion	98
6.5 References	100

Chapter 7: General discussion

7.1 References	105
-----------------------------	------------

Appendices

Chapter 3:

Appendix 3.1 A questionnaire form that was used during the baseline survey interviews of farmers in the communities.....	106
---	------------



Chapter 4:

Appendix 4.1 Pests and diseases of sweet potato-survey results..... 113

Chapter 5:

Appendix 5.1 ELISA results of sweet potato samples from seven provinces of South Africa..... 115

Appendix 5.2 Sweet potato plants that were grafted on *I. setosa* and showed no symptoms..... 138

Appendix 5.3 Sweet potato samples that showed symptoms on *I. setosa*, but tested negative with serological analysis..... 140

List of Tables

	Page
Chapter 2:	
Table 2.1 A summary of sweet potato viruses, their distribution and vectors	21
Chapter 3:	
Table 3.1 Areas included during the baseline survey of farmers growing sweet potatoes in South Africa	48
Table 3.2 Reasons for farmers to grow sweet potato	50
Table 3.3 Purpose of sweet potato in the household	50
Table 3.4 Comparison of sweet potato with other crops as food/cash crop in percentages	51
Table 3.5 Potential income that can be obtained from sweet potato production	51
Table 3.6 Yield in t/ha obtained from sweet potato production	52
Table 3.7 Selling price for sweet potato	53
Table 3.8 Sources of sweet potato planting materials	55
Table 3.9 Major constraints in production of sweet potato	57
Chapter 4:	
Table 4.1 A summary of the average incidence of pests and diseases of sweet potato for the provinces and the country	65
Chapter 5:	
Table 5.1 Symptoms induced by virus-infected sweet potatoes on host plants selected for host range study	79
Table 5.2 Summary of serological analysis of sweet potatoes collected in South Africa	81
Table 5.3 Summary of viruses found infecting sweet potatoes in each surveyed province of South Africa	82
Chapter 6:	
Table 6.1 The effect of virus infection on the yield of sweet potato cultivars	96

List of Figures

	Pages
Chapter 3:	
Figure 3.1 Julia conducting a baseline survey of production and utilisation of sweet potato in Western Cape province.....	49
Chapter 4:	
Figure 4.1 Sweet potato plants/leaves showing chlorotic spots, vein clearing and stunting symptoms	65
Figure 4.2 Whiteflies on sweet potato leaf during field survey in the Western Cape province	66
Chapter 5:	
Figure 5.1 Typical potyvirus symptoms shown by grafted <i>I. setosa</i>	77
Figure 5.2 Sweet potato plants exhibiting symptoms after they have been kept in the glasshouse	78