



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

Compositional and lithological variation of the Platreef on the farm
Nonnenwerth, northern lobe of the Bushveld Complex: Implications
for the origin of Platinum-group elements (PGE) mineralization

By

Tawanda Darlington Manyeruke

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In the Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Sciences

Geology Department

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

Supervisor: Prof. W.D. Maier

March 2007



Acknowledgements

I would like to thank first and foremost my supervisors Prof. W.D. Maier and Dr James Roberts for guiding me through this project. Dr. Thomas Oberthür and Dr. Frank Melcher are thanked for their help during PGM analyses in Hannover, Germany and for all the help and guidance during the review process and J. Lodziak for his enduring work on the microprobe during PGM analysis. I also thank P. Sibiya and M. Claassen (University of Pretoria) for thin section preparation, P. Gräser (University of Pretoria) for his help during microprobe analysis, M. Loubser (University of Pretoria) for assistance with the XRF analysis, Patrice Gingras and Dany Savard (University of Quebec) for the PGE and REE analyses, and E.M. Ripley for performing S-isotope analysis.

This research was funded by the Centre for Research on Magmatic Ore Deposits (University of Pretoria). Pan Palladium and Impala Platinum Limited are thanked for providing the core material and for granting permission to publish the results. The PGM analyses were funded by the Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources, Hannover, Germany, the DAAD, and the University of Pretoria postgraduate study abroad bursary programme.

I shall always be grateful and deeply indebted to my parents, brothers Modling and Walter and the rest of the family, your strength and willpower was something I could always rely on. To my beautiful wife Trish and daughter Tinotenda Melody, thank you for bearing with me, on my every beg and call, thank you for having been there when



I had no one to turn to and giving me moral strength and support to go through each day.

However my greatest strength lies in the Lord, who has faithfully been with me throughout the project. Thank you Lord for the gift of life you have bestowed upon me.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. | Statement of the problem | 1 |
| 1.2. | Aims of the study | 2 |
| 1.3. | Previous Work | 3 |
| 1.4. | Methodology | 10 |
| 2. | OVERVIEW OF THE BUSHVELD COMPLEX | 12 |
| 2.1. | General | 12 |
| 2.1.1. | <i>Rustenburg Layered Suite</i> | 13 |
| 2.1.2. | <i>Parental magmas</i> | 18 |
| 2.1.3. | <i>PGE Mineralization</i> | 18 |
| 2.2. | General geology of the Platreef | 22 |
| 3. | GEOLOGY OF THE PLATREEF ON NONNENWERTH | 27 |
| 3.1. | General | 27 |
| 3.2. | Borehole 2121 | 29 |
| 3.2.1. | <i>Platreef</i> | 29 |
| 3.2.2. | <i>Main Zone</i> | 40 |
| 3.3. | Borehole 2199 | 42 |
| 4. | GEOLOGY OF THE PLATREEF ON TOWNLANDS | 46 |
| 5. | PETROGRAPHY | 52 |
| 5.1. | Platreef | 53 |
| 5.1.1. | <i>Gabbronorite</i> | 53 |
| 5.1.2. | <i>Norite</i> | 55 |
| 5.1.3. | <i>Recrystallized gabbronorite and norite</i> | 57 |
| 5.1.4. | <i>Anorthosite</i> | 61 |
| 5.1.5. | <i>Gabbro</i> | 63 |
| 5.1.6. | <i>Serpentinized peridotite</i> | 64 |
| 5.2. | Main Zone | 65 |
| 6. | WHOLE ROCK CHEMISTRY | 68 |
| 6.1. | CIPW Norms | 68 |
| 6.2. | Lithophile geochemistry | 70 |
| 6.2.1. | <i>Major and minor elements</i> | 70 |
| 6.2.2. | <i>Trace elements</i> | 73 |
| 6.3. | Concentrations of sulphur and chalcophile elements | 85 |
| 6.4. | Summary | 98 |



| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 7. | COMPOSITION OF THE SILICATE MINERALS AT NONNENWERTH | 100 |
| 7.1. | Plagioclase | 100 |
| 7.2. | Orthopyroxene | 103 |
| 7.3. | Clinopyroxene | 108 |
| 7.4. | Summary | 112 |
| 8. | OCCURRENCE, DESCRIPTION AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE OPAQUE MINERALS | 113 |
| 8.1. | Sulphide and oxide minerals on Nonnenwerth | 113 |
| 8.1.1. | <i>Platreef gabbronorite</i> | 113 |
| 8.1.2. | <i>Recrystallized gabbronorite</i> | 116 |
| 8.1.3. | <i>Anorthosite</i> | 120 |
| 8.2. | Sulphide minerals and oxides on Townlands | 125 |
| 8.2.1. | <i>Upper Platreef</i> | 125 |
| 8.2.2. | <i>Middle Platreef</i> | 129 |
| 8.3. | Compositions of major base metal sulphides and spinel | 134 |
| 8.3.1. | <i>Pentlandite</i> | 134 |
| 8.3.2. | <i>Pyrrhotite</i> | 137 |
| 8.3.3. | <i>Pyrite</i> | 137 |
| 8.3.4. | <i>Chalcopyrite</i> | 138 |
| 8.3.5. | <i>Millerite</i> | 138 |
| 8.3.6. | <i>Spinel</i> | 138 |
| 8.4. | Summary and discussion | 141 |
| 9. | Platinum-group minerals (PGM), tellurides and trace minerals | 150 |
| 9.1. | Nonnenwerth | 150 |
| 9.1.1. | <i>Recrystallized gabbronorite</i> | 151 |
| 9.1.2. | <i>Anorthosite</i> | 156 |
| 9.2. | Townlands | 161 |
| 9.2.1. | <i>Upper Platreef</i> | 162 |
| 9.2.2. | <i>Middle Platreef</i> | 162 |
| 9.3. | Summary and discussion | 168 |
| 10. | S-ISOTOPE GEOCHEMISTRY | 172 |
| 10.1. | Summary | 179 |
| 11. | O-ISOTOPE GEOCHEMISTRY | 180 |
| 11.1. | Summary | 184 |
| 12. | DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS | 185 |



| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 12.1. | Compositional and lithological variation of the Platreef in the northern lobe | 185 |
| i | <i>Nature of the floor rocks to the Platreef</i> | 185 |
| ii | <i>Platreef Lithologies</i> | 186 |
| iii | <i>Nature of xenoliths</i> | 187 |
| iv | <i>Mineral compositions</i> | 188 |
| v | <i>Lithophile whole rock data</i> | 189 |
| vi | <i>Sulphides and chalcophile elements</i> | 189 |
| vii | <i>Platinum-group mineral, tellurides and trace minerals</i> | 195 |
| viii | <i>S and O- isotopes</i> | 200 |
| 12.2. | Magmatic Lineage of the Platreef | 201 |
| 12.3. | Origin of the mineralization | 203 |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 206 |
| | REFERENCES | 208 |
| | List of Tables | 236 |
| | List of illustrations | 237 |
| | APPENDIX I: Analytical methods | |
| | Appendix Ia: <i>Sample preparation</i> | |
| | Appendix Ib: <i>X-Ray Fluorescence analysis</i> | |
| | Appendix Ic: <i>Silicate mineral microprobe analysis</i> | |
| | Appendix Id: <i>PGE analysis</i> | |
| | Appendix Ie: <i>Platinum group mineral analyses</i> | |
| | Appendix If: <i>S-isotope analyses</i> | |
| | APPENDIX II: Polished thin section sample list | |
| | APPENDIX III: XRF sample list | |
| | APPENDIX IV: Analytical results on mineral chemistry, whole rock major elements, trace elements, PGE, sulphides and PGM. | |



List of Tables

- Table 1. Whole rock major, trace element and PGE contents in Nonnenwerth and Townlands rocks.
- Table 2a. Selected Plagioclase Analyses from Nonnenwerth
- Table 2b. Selected Orthopyroxene Analyses from Nonnenwerth
- Table 2c. Selected Clinopyroxene Analyses from Nonnenwerth
- Table 3a. Selected electron microprobe analyses of pentlandite on Nonnenwerth and Townlands
- Table 3b. Selected electron microprobe analyses of pyrrhotite on Nonnenwerth and Townlands
- Table 3c. Selected electron microprobe analyses of pyrite on Nonnenwerth and Townlands
- Table 3d. Electron microprobe analyses of chalcopyrite on Nonnenwerth
- Table 4. Cr-bearing magnetite analyses on samples from Nonnenwerth
- Table 5. Estimated abundance of sulphides, oxides and PGM in polished thin sections from Nonnenwerth and Townlands.
- Table 6a. Composition (wt. %) of PGE-bismuthotellurides, Bi- and Te-complexes, Au and trace minerals in samples from Nonnenwerth
- Table 6b. Composition (wt. %) of PGE-bismuthotellurides, Bi- a- Te-complexes, Au a- trace minerals in samples from Townlands
- Table 7a. S-isotopic analyses of the Platreef along strike from south to north.
- Table 7b. S-isotopic analyses of samples from the Platreef at Nonnenwerth.
- Table 8. S-isotopic analyses of samples from the Platreef at Nonnenwerth and Townlands. SMOW = standard mean ocean water.

List of illustrations

- Fig. 2.1: Geologic map of the Bushveld Complex showing the different limbs (Modified after Reczko *et al.*, 1995).
- Fig. 2.2: Stratigraphy of the Rustenburg Layered Suite in the western Bushveld Complex (from Mitchell, 1990)
- Fig. 2.3: Geological map of the Northern limb of the Bushveld Complex. (modified after Ashwal, *et al.*, 2005).
- Fig. 2.4: Schematic section through the Rustenburg Layered Suite in different limbs of the Bushveld Complex (from Cawthorn *et al.*, 2002). Lateral correlation after Buchanan *et al.* (1981).
- Fig. 3.1: Map of the northern sector of the Platreef (modified from www.panpalladium.com). Note the localities of boreholes 2121 and 2199.
- Fig. 3.2: Stratigraphic log of borehole 2121. Numbers on right side of log indicate samples that were analysed by XRF.
- Fig. 3.3: Medium-grained, pinkish-grey granite gneiss containing dark green melanosomes that define rhythmic layering. 330m depth, borehole 2121. Pen is shown for scale.
- Fig. 3.4: Sharp contact at 311.30m depth (indicated by stippled line) between granite gneiss (above) and fine-grained (chilled) norite (below). Pen is shown for scale. Borehole 2121.
- Fig. 3.5: Sharp contact (at 300.93m) between medium-grained, sulphide-bearing melagabbronite and fine-grained, poorly mineralized to barren norite. Stippled line represents the contact. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Pen is shown for scale. Borehole 2121.
- Fig. 3.6: Sharp contact (at 296.62 m and 296.50 m depth) between medium-grained, sulphide-bearing melagabbronite and fine-grained, poorly mineralized to barren norite. Stippled lines represent the contact. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Pen is shown for scale. Borehole 2121.
- Fig. 3.7: Medium-grained peridotite with serpentine veins at 305.50m depth, borehole 2121. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Pen is shown for scale.
- Fig. 3.8: Leucogabbronite in contact (at 285.46m depth) with melagabbronite. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Stippled line represents the contact. Pen is shown for scale. Borehole 2121.



- Fig. 3.9: Anorthosite in contact (at 281.42m depth) with fine-grained melagabbonorite. Note the sharp contact between the two rock types. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Stippled line represents the contact. Pen is shown for scale. Borehole 2121.
- Fig. 3.10: Phlogopite-rich gabbonorite in contact (at 274.15m depth) with leucogabbonorite. Note the sharp contact between the two rock types. Stippled line represents the contact. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Pen is shown for scale. Borehole 2121.
- Fig. 3.11: Coarse-grained leucogabbonorite. At 255.30m depth, borehole 2121. Pen included for scale.
- Fig. 3.12: Medium-grained sulphide-bearing mesogabbonorite in medium-grained leucogabbonorite. 252.81m depth, borehole 2121. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Stippled lines represent the contact. Pen is shown for scale.
- Fig. 3.13: Melagabbonorite xenolith in leucogabbonorite at 221.54m depth, borehole 2121. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up. Pen is shown for scale.
- Fig. 3.14: Dolomite xenolith separating Platreef and Main Zone at 197.47m depth, borehole 2121. Pen is shown for scale.
- Fig. 3.15a: leucocratic gabbonorite at the base of the Main Zone at 171.50m depth, borehole 2121. Stratigraphic up direction is towards the top of the page. Pen is shown for scale.
- Fig. 3.15b: Coarse magnetite (at 161.60m depth) in Main Zone gabbonorite. Pen is shown for scale. Borehole 2121.
- Fig. 3.16: Stratigraphic log of borehole 2199. Numbers on right side of log indicate samples that were analysed by XRF.
- Fig. 3.17: Granite gneiss in sharp contact (at 359.00 m) with fine-grained (chilled) norite. Stippled line represents the contact. Pen included for scale. Borehole 2199.
- Fig. 4.1: Geological map of the Platreef on the farm Townlands and the location of borehole TL01-3. Map from Falconbridge Ventures of Africa (Pty) Ltd.
- Fig. 4.2: Generalized stratigraphic column through the Platreef on the farm Townlands (from Manyeruke, 2003).
- Fig. 5.1: Platreef Gabbonorite (a) Cumulus plagioclase (plag) and orthopyroxene (opx)

with interstitial clinopyroxene (cpx), sample MO 20. (b, c and d) Orthopyroxene moderately altered to uralite along fractures, samples MO 63, MO 65 and MO 68, respectively. Note the patchy alteration to dark brown clays in plagioclase, the intercumulus nature of clinopyroxene, and the poikilitic nature of orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene. (e) Poikilitic orthopyroxene enclosing plagioclase. Clinopyroxene is interstitial and partially encloses orthopyroxene, sample MO 20. (f) Cumulus and intercumulus plagioclase intergrown with clinopyroxene that partially encloses orthopyroxene, sample MO 74. Transmitted cross polarised light.

Fig. 5.2: Norite: (a) Cumulus orthopyroxene (opx) intergrown with interstitial plagioclase (plag), and minor clinopyroxene (cpx), sample MO 67. (b) Orthopyroxene interstitial to plagioclase and containing anhedral inclusions of plagioclase, sample MO 19. (c) Cumulus orthopyroxene with intercumulus plagioclase and clinopyroxene. Note the small, subrounded orthopyroxene enclosed in clinopyroxene. Sample MO 18. (d) Cumulus plagioclase and orthopyroxene highly altered to amphibole and chlorite with interstitial sulphides rimmed by brown biotite, sample MO 75. Transmitted cross polarised light.

Fig. 5.3 Recrystallized gabbronorite (a and b) Recrystallized orthopyroxene (opx) and minor clinopyroxene (cpx) along deformed plagioclase grain boundaries, sample MO 70. (c and d) Recrystallized gabbronorite in contact with coarse clinopyroxene from medium to coarse grained gabbronorite, sample MO 66. Note the bent lamellae in clinopyroxene and plagioclase. (e and f) Recrystallized orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene along strained plagioclase margins and fractures, sample MO 12 and MO 70, respectively. Transmitted cross polarised light.

Fig. 5.3: Recrystallized norite: (g) Subrounded orthopyroxene intergrown with plagioclase and in places enclosed in plagioclase, sample MO 17. (h) Fine-grained recrystallized norite in contact with medium grained gabbronorite, sample MO 84.

Fig. 5.4. Anorthosite a) Plagioclase (plag) crystals patchily altered to dark brown clays, sample MO 8. (b and c) Plagioclase replaced by clinopyroxene (cpx) along cleavage planes and fractures. Also note the patchy alteration to dark brown clays, sample MO 68 and MO 73, respectively. (d) Clinopyroxene rimmed by orthopyroxene (opx) – plagioclase intergrowth when in contact with plagioclase, sample MO 27. Transmitted cross polarised light.

Fig. 5.5: Gabbro (a-d) Clinopyroxene with exsolved blebs and lamellae of orthopyroxene (opx) intergrown with plagioclase. Note the thin orthopyroxene corona around clinopyroxene when in contact with plagioclase in Fig. 5.4b.



Fig. 5.6: Serpentinized peridotite (a and b) Relict, partially serpentinised olivine and orthopyroxene, sample MO 26. Note the biotite flakes intergrown with serpentine in b. Transmitted cross polarised light.

Fig. 5.7 Gabbronorite (a) Deformed and recrystallized plagioclase showing 120° triple junctions and bent twin lamellae. Small corroded plagioclase grains are enclosed in orthopyroxene (opx), sample MO 4. (b) Interstitial clinopyroxene intergrown with cumulus plagioclase. Note the deformed twin lamellae in plagioclase; sample MO 50. (c) Orthopyroxene replacing clinopyroxene, sample MO 51. (d) Interstitial clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene intergrown with cumulus plagioclase that shows patchy alteration to dark brown clays. (e and f) Sub-poikilitic inverted pigeonite with two sets of exsolved augite lamellae, sample MO 2.

Fig. 6.1: CIPW normative compositions of Platreef samples from Nonnenwerth. gn = gabbronorite, rx = recrystallized gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite, Plag = plagioclase, Opx = orthopyroxene, Cpx = clinopyroxene, Ol =olivine. Note: Legend applies to Nonnenwerth samples only.

Fig. 6.2: Binary variation diagrams of (a and b) Al_2O_3 versus MgO, (c and d) CaO versus MgO and (e and f) Na_2O versus MgO in rocks from Nonnenwerth. Also plotted are compositional ranges of tholeiitic (B2/B3) Bushveld parental magma (Davies and Tredoux, 1985), and major rock forming minerals (shaded) in the Platreef on Nonnenwerth to determine which phases control the chemistry of the rocks. plag = plagioclase, cpx = clinopyroxene, opx = orthopyroxene, rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite and mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite.

Fig. 6.2 (contd): Plot of Cr_2O_3 (m and n) versus MgO. Also plotted are major rock forming minerals (shaded). plag = plagioclase, cpx = clinopyroxene, opx = orthopyroxene, gn = gabbronorite, rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite and mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite

Fig. 6.2 (contd): Binary variation diagrams of (g and h) SiO_2 versus MgO, (i and j) FeO versus MgO and (k and l) TiO_2 versus MgO in rocks from Nonnenwerth. Also plotted are compositional ranges of tholeiitic (B2/B3) Bushveld parental magma (Davies and Tredoux, 1985), and major rock-forming minerals (shaded) in the Platreef on Nonnenwerth. plag = plagioclase, cpx = clinopyroxene, opx = orthopyroxene, rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite and mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite.

Fig. 6.3: Plot of (a and b) MgO versus depth, and Cr_2O_3 (c and d), in the Platreef at Nonnenwerth. gn = gabbronorite, rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite and mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite

Fig. 6.4: Binary variation diagrams of (a and b) V versus MgO, (c and d) Zr vesus



MgO and (e and f) Y versus MgO in rocks from Nonnenwerth. Also plotted are compositional ranges of tholeiitic (B2/B3) Bushveld parental magma (Davies and Tredoux, 1985) and major rock forming minerals assuming they have 0 ppm incompatible trace elements. plag = plagioclase, cpx = clinopyroxene, opx = orthopyroxene, rx gn = recrystallized gabbonorite, gn = gabbonorite and mela-gn = mela-gabbonorite.

Fig. 6.4 (contd): Binary variation diagrams of (g and h) Sr versus MgO, (i and j) Sm versus MgO in rocks from Nonnenwerth. Also plotted are compositional ranges of tholeiitic (B2/B3) Bushveld parental magma (Davies and Tredoux, 1985) and major rock forming minerals assuming they have 0 ppm incompatible trace elements. plag = plagioclase, cpx = clinopyroxene, opx = orthopyroxene, rx gn = recrystallized gabbonorite, gn = gabbonorite and mela-gn = mela-gabbonorite.

Fig. 6.5: Chondrite-normalized REE diagrams for Platreef lithologies on Nonnenwerth and from the Main Zone in the western Bushveld Complex (shaded; Maier and Barnes, 1998). Normalization values are from Taylor and McLennan (1985).

Fig. 6.5 contd: Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for Platreef lithologies on Nonnenwerth and from the Main Zone in the western Bushveld Complex (shaded; Maier and Barnes, 1998). Also shown are data from Townlands (Manyeruke *et al.*, 2005) and Rooipoort (Maier *et al.*, 2007). Normalization values are from Taylor and McLennan (1985).

Fig.6.6: Primitive mantle normalized incompatible trace element patterns for Platreef rocks on Nonnenwerth (drillcores 2121 and 2199). Normalization values are from Sun and McDonough (1989).

Fig. 6.6: contd: Primitive mantle normalized incompatible trace elements for Platreef rocks on Nonnenwerth from (2121 and 2199). Also included are the patterns of Platreef rocks from Townlands (Manyeruke *et al.*, 2005) and Rooipoort (Maier *et al.*, 2007). Normalization values are from Sun and McDonough (1989).

Fig. 6.7: Sm versus Ce for Platreef rocks on (a) Nonnenwerth, drillcore 2121. (b) Nonnenwerth, drillcore 2199. (c) Townlands (Manyeruke, 2003; Manyeruke *et al.*, 2005). (d) Rooipoort (Maier *et al.*, 2007). Also shown are the compositions of Bushveld B1 and B2 parental magmas (Curl, 2001), average Critical Zone and Main Zone rocks (Maier and Barnes, 1998), shales and dolomite (Klein and Buikes, 1989). gn = gabbonorite, anor = anorthosite, rx gn = recrystallized Gabbonorite, mela gn = melagabbonorite.

Fig. 6.8: Plot of MgO versus S in drillcore 2121 (top) and 2199 (bottom). Also plotted are compositions of Mg-basaltic and tholeiitic Bushveld parental magmas (Davies and Tredoux, 1985). gn = gabbonorite, rx gn = recrystallized gabbonorite, anor = anorthite, and mela-gn = mela-gabbonorite



Fig. 6.9: PGE binary plots of the Platreef on the farm Nonnenwerth. rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite, mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite.

Fig. 6.9: (contd) PGE binary plots of the Platreef on the farm Nonnenwerth. rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite, mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite.

Fig. 6.10. Plots of a) Pt, b) Pd and c) Ir versus S. rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite, mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite.

Fig. 6.10. (contd) Plots of d) Rh, e) Cu and f) Ni versus S. rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite, mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite.

Fig. 6.11: Concentration of PGE and S in logarithmic scale plotted versus stratigraphic height (m). rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite, mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite, <dl = below detection limit. The shaded bar represents the boundary between Platreef and Main Zone.

Fig. 6.11: (contd) Concentration of PGE and S in logarithmic scale plotted versus stratigraphic height (m). rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, gn = gabbronorite, mela-gn = mela-gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite. The shaded bar represents the boundary between Platreef and Main Zone.

Fig. 6.12: Mantle-normalized PGE patterns for rocks from the Platreef and the Main Zone on the farm Nonnenwerth. Included are PGE concentrations for the Main Zone (Maier and Barnes, 1999 and the Merensky Reef (Barnes and Maier, 2002) in the western Bushveld Complex. (Normalization factors are from Barnes and Maier, 1999).

Fig. 6.12: (Contd) Mantle-normalized PGE patterns for rocks from the Platreef and the Main Zone on the farm Nonnenwerth, Townlands (Manyeruke and Maier, 2003) and B1 and B2 Bushveld parental magmas (Davies and Tredoux, 1985). Included are PGE concentrations for the Main Zone (Maier and Barnes, 1999 Merensky Reef (Barnes and Maier, 2002) in the western Bushveld Complex. (Normalization factors are from Barnes and Maier, 1999).

Fig. 7.1: Composition of plagioclase in Platreef and Main Zone rocks from Nonnenwerth drillcore 2121, b) drillcore 2199

Fig. 7.2: (a and b) An content of plagioclase plotted versus depth. gn = gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite, melagn = melagabbronorite, rx = recrystallized gabbronorite. The shaded bar represents the dolomite layer defining the boundary between the Platreef and the Main Zone.

Fig. 7.3: Composition of orthopyroxene in Platreef and Main Zone rocks from Nonnenwerth a) drillcore 2121, b) drillcore 2199

Fig. 7.4: Variation in orthopyroxene composition with depth at Nonnenwerth. (a and b): NiO. (c and d): Mg#. (e and f): Cr₂O₃. gn = gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite, melagn = melagabbronorite, rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite. The shaded bar represents the boundary between the Platreef and the Main Zone.

Fig. 7.5: Plots of (a and b) MnO versus Mg#, (c and d) TiO₂ versus Mg# and (e and f) Al₂O₃ versus Mg# in orthopyroxenes. gn = gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite, rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, melagN = mela- gabbronorite.

Fig. 7.6: Composition of clinopyroxene in Platreef and Main Zone rocks from Nonnenwerth. a) Borehole 2121, b) borehole 2199

Fig. 7.7: Variation in clinopyroxene composition with depth at Nonnenwerth. NiO (a and b), TiO₂ (c and d) and Mg# (e and f). gn = gabbronorite, anor = anorthosite, rx gn = recrystallized gabbronorite, melagn = melagabbronorite. The shaded bar represents the boundary between Platreef and Main Zone.

Fig. 8.1: Photomicrographs of: (a) Pyrrhotite (po) intergrown with pentlandite (pn) and chalcopyrite (cpy) (sample MOX11). b) Pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite intergrowth. Dark material is silicate. Note the pentlandite flames in pyrrhotite and the fine pyrrhotite injections into adjacent silicate (sample MOX15). (c) Pyrrhotite intergrown with minor pentlandite and chalcopyrite (sample MOX15). (d & e) Anhedral pyrrhotite intergrown with ilmenite (ilm). Minor chalcopyrite and pentlandite occur along the grain margin of pyrrhotite. Note the fine disseminations of chalcopyrite in adjacent silicates (sample MOX15). (f) Fractured magnetite (mt) intergrown with ilmenite. Magnetite encloses anhedral chalcopyrite intergrown with pentlandite (sample MOX14). In reflected light, plane polarised light, in oil.

Fig. 8.2: Photomicrographs of: (a & b) Pyrrhotite (po) intergrown with minor chalcopyrite (cpy) and pentlandite (pn). Note the pentlandite and chalcopyrite lamellae in pyrrhotite (sample MOX12). (c & d) Anhedral chalcopyrite with an aureole of disseminated chalcopyrite intergrown with alteration silicate minerals (mainly chlorite after plagioclase) (sample MOX9). (e) Anhedral pyrrhotite intergrown with pentlandite along fractures and chalcopyrite along its margins (sample MOX12). (f) Fractured pyrrhotite enclosing fragmented, vaguely round pentlandite that is intergrown with pyrite (py) and chalcopyrite on its margin. Note also chalcopyrite enclosed in pyrrhotite (sample MOX10). In reflected light, plane polarised light, in oil.

Fig. 8.2 contd: Photomicrographs of (g & h) Pyrite enclosing fragmented pentlandite and intergrown with disseminated chalcopyrite. Note the rim of fine chalcopyrite intergrown with pyrite around the coarse, fragmented, composite sulphide

(sample MOX10), (i & j) Pyrite in fractures within altered plagioclase (alt. plag). Disseminated chalcopyrite is intergrown with secondary silicates (sample MOX9 and 10, respectively). (k) Magnetite (mt) intergrown with ilmenite (ilm). Magnetite contains pyrrhotite along cleavage planes (sample MOX12). In reflected light, plane polarised light, i and j in air and g, h and k in oil.

Fig. 8.3: Photomicrographs of (a) Chalcopyrite intergrown with amphibole (am) laths along its margin, and enclosing subhedral zircon (sample MOX29). (b) Aggregate of pyrrhotite rimmed by chalcopyrite which is in turn rimmed by pyrite (sample MOX32). (c) Chalcopyrite intergrown with minor pentlandite at its margin and rimmed by pyrite. Note streaks of pyrite in adjacent altered plagioclase (Sample MOX32). (d) Pyrrhotite enclosing an intergrowth of pentlandite, pyrite and chalcopyrite. The corona around pyrrhotite consists of pyrite intergrown with minor chalcopyrite (sample MOX32). (e) Pyrrhotite enclosing vaguely round blebs of an intergrowth of pyrite and chalcopyrite. Chalcopyrite is concentrated towards the rims whereas pyrite is concentrated in the core. Pyrrhotite additionally contains flame-like exsolutions of pentlandite (sample MOX32). (f) Intergrowth of pyrrhotite (po) pyrite (py), minor chalcopyrite (cpy), and pentlandite (pn). (sample MOX27). opx = orthopyroxene. In reflected light, plane polarised light, in oil.

Fig. 8.3 continued: (g) Interstitial pentlandite intergrown with chalcopyrite. Disseminated chalcopyrite replaces adjacent altered plagioclase (alt. plag) along cleavage planes (sample MOX27). (h) Violarite (viol) rimming pentlandite and intergrown with pyrite and chalcopyrite sample (MOX29). (i) Violarite with minor relictic pentlandite is intergrown with pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite (sample MOX29). (j & k) Pyrite occurs interstitial to plagioclase and clinopyroxene (cpx) and replaces the silicates along fractures (sample MOX32). (l) Interstitial pyrite replaces clinopyroxene along cleavage planes (sample MOX 32). In reflected light, plane polarised light, in oil.

Fig. 8.4: Photomicrographs of (a) Chalcopyrite (cpy) and pyrite (py) intergrown with acicular amphibole actinolite (am) (sample P7). (b) An aggregate of intergrown anhedral magnetite (mt) and chalcopyrite in altered plagioclase (alt. plag) (sample P7). (c & d) Coarse pyrite intergrown with magnetite that encloses millerite (mil). Magnetite is also intergrown with anhedral, disseminated chalcopyrite (sample P7). (e & f) Coarse pyrite cut by veinlets of intergrown chalcopyrite and millerite (sample P7). In reflected light, in oil, (a, b & e) plane polarised light and (d & f) cross polarised light.

Fig. 8.4 continued: g & h) Chalcopyrite cut by covellite (cov) veins and rimmed by magnetite. Note minor pentlandite in h (sample P2). (i & j) Patches of violarite intergrown with pentlandite, minor chalcopyrite, covellite and cut by magnetite veinlets (sample P2). (k & l) Intergrowth of orthopyroxene (opx) and ilmenite, as well as granular, subhedral and anhedral ilmenite (ilm), orthopyroxene (opx) and minor anhedral hematite (hem) (sample P4). (m & n) Pentlandite and



chalcopyrite show extensive alteration to covellite and magnetite along irregular fractures (sample P2). In reflected light, plane polarised light except l, in oil.

Fig. 8.5: Photomicrographs of (a) Plagioclase (plag) containing vermicular network of pyrite. Pyrite also forms coronas around chalcopyrite (sample P19). (b) Skeletal pyrite and pyrite replacing plagioclase along cleavage planes (sample P19). (c) Pyrite cut by pentlandite-rich veins. Also note fine disseminated chalcopyrite in pyrite (sample P19). (d) Pentlandite replacing plagioclase along cleavage planes. Matrix is pyrrhotite with disseminated fine chalcopyrite (sample P19). (e) Pyrite enclosing millerite. Note fine disseminated chalcopyrite intergrown with pyrite (sample P15). (f & g) Millerite replacing chalcopyrite along fractures. Minor pyrite (py) grains occur at the contact between chalcopyrite and millerite, or are enclosed in millerite (sample P14). (h) Magnetite (mt) is intergrown with chalcopyrite, with minor chalcopyrite being remobilised into adjacent silicates (sample P11). In reflected light, cross polarised light, in oil.

Fig. 8.5 continued: (i) Anhedral chalcopyrite intergrown with anhedral pyrite (sample P11). (j) Massive, twinned, subhedral millerite (mil) intergrown with interstitial chalcopyrite (cpy) (sample P106). (k) Anhedral, twinned chalcopyrite intergrown with, and enclosing, vaguely round millerite (sample P106). (l & m) Fragmented pyrite intergrown with millerite and chalcopyrite (sample P15). (n) Subhedral magnetite with ilmenite lamellae (sample P15). (o & p) Radiating, skeletal ilmenite vaguely round chromite (cr) and enclosing an intergrowth of chalcopyrite and millerite (sample P15). In reflected light, plane polarised light (except i, l, n and o), in oil.

Fig. 8.6: Variation of a) Pd, b), Se with depth in pentlandite, and Se versus Pd. MP = Middle Platreef (from Townlands), anor = anorthosite, rx gn = recrystallized gabbroite (both from Nonnenwerth).

Fig. 8.7a: Cr # versus Fe # of the analysed Cr-bearing spinels from serpentinised peridotite (MO 26) and from melagabbroites (MO 27).

Fig. 8.8. fO_2 vs fS_2 (a) diagrams for the Fe-S-O system at 1270C, after Holland (1959). (b) fO_2 vs fS_2 diagram for the Fe-Ni-S-O system at about 1270C. Reaction boundaries for the Fe-S-O sub-system are shown as solid lines; others for which thermodynamic data are unavailable or unreliable are shown in dashed lines. (c) Approximate fO_2 vs fS_2 diagram for the Fe-Ni-S-O system under conditions of common hypogene equilibrium in altered ultramafic rocks, probably less than 2000C. Assemblages noted in the Platreef on Townlands are shown by circled numbers on the appropriate field of the boundary. Assemblage 1 is composed of pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, pentlandite \pm magnetite, assemblage 2 is characterized by pyrite, pentlandite, chalcopyrite and minor pyrrhotite and assemblage 3 is characterized by pyrite, millerite, chalcopyrite \pm pentlandite \pm galena, molybdenite and magnetite. Figures modified after Eckstrand (1975).

Fig. 9.1: Back-scattered electron images showing various textures and associations of PGM and gold. Rectangle (where present) represents area enlarged in the image to the immediate right. (a) PGM (in rectangle) enclosed in plagioclase (plag) that has been altered to amphibole (am), in the vicinity of chalcopyrite (cpy). The other highly reflective phase at the top of the image is Pb derived from polishing. Sample MOX9. (b) Enlarged rectangle from a). Anhedrals moncheite (mon) is associated with disseminated anhedrals kotulskite (kot) in amphibole (black). Sample MOX9. (c) Kotulskite and moncheite enclosed in altered portions of plagioclase. Moncheite is in contact with pyrite. orth = orthoclase, alb = albite. Sample MOX9. (d) Euhedral to subhedral kotulskite and moncheite. Altered plagioclase is shown in black. Sample MOX9. (e) Composite grain of pyrrhotite (po), pentlandite (pn) and chalcopyrite, with PGM (in rectangle) situated in the periphery of the grain. Sample MOX10. (f) Enlarged rectangle from e). Subhedral to anhedrals moncheite and associated kotulskite occur included in altered plagioclase (black background). Sample MOX10. (g) A composite grain of kotulskite, gold (Au) and sperrylite in altered plagioclase (black background). Sample MOX9

Fig. 9.1 continued: Back-scattered electron images showing various textures and associations of PGM and gold. Rectangle (where present) represents area enlarged for image to the immediate right. (h) Chalcopyrite and PGM associated with quartz (qtz) and chlorite (chl), interstitial to plagioclase. Anhedrals moncheite is highlighted by rectangle. The other PGM are subhedral kotulskites (kot) Sample MOX9. (i) Enlargement of rectangle from h). Anhedrals moncheite is enclosed in chlorite (black background). Sample MOX9. (j) Subhedral moncheite forming a trail from the margin of chalcopyrite (cpy) into adjacent quartz and amphibole (after plagioclase). Sample MOX9. (k) Enlargement of rectangle from j. (l) Intergrown pyrrhotite and pentlandite, with braggite (in rectangle) occurring near margin of sulphide. Sample MOX10. (m) Enlargement of rectangle from l). Zoned subhedral grain of braggite. Increase in brightness corresponds to increase in Pt content. The numbers correspond to analyses e.g. 11 represents 11_MOX10 in Table 6 (Sample MOX10). (n) Grain of gold (Au) (in rectangle) located near fracture in orthopyroxene (opx). Sample MOX12. (o) Enlargement of rectangle from n). Subhedral grain of gold is partly corroded. Sample MOX12. (p) Gold (in rectangle) located at the contact between quartz (qtz) and chalcopyrite (cpy). Sample MOX9. (q) Enlargement of rectangle in p). Sample MOX9.

Fig. 9.2: Mineral chemistry of (Pt,Pd)-bismuthotellurides from Nonnenwerth and Townlands. a) Pd-dominated (Pt,Pd)-bismuthotellurides in the triangular plot (Pt+Pd)- (Bi+Sb)-Te (at. %). b) Pt-dominated (Pt,Pd)-bismuthotellurides in the triangular plot (Pd+Pt)-(Bi+Sb)-Te (at. %). c) (Pt,Pd)-bismuthotellurides in the triangular plot (Bi+Te+Sb)-Pt-Pd (at. %).

Fig. 9.3: Mineral chemistry of braggite from Nonnenwerth (sample MOX10).

Fig. 9.4: Back-scattered electron images showing various textures and associations of

PGM. (a) Merenskyite (mer) enclosed in pyrrhotite (po) and occurring as thin lamellae in chalcopyrite. Note also calcite enclosed in chalcopyrite. Sample MOX29. (b) Merenskyite lamellae in chalcopyrite. Sample MOX29. (c) Merenskyite (in rectangle) intergrown with amphibole, quartz and chalcopyrite within plagioclase. Sample MOX29. (d) Enlargement of rectangle in c). Subhedral merenskyite grains in amphibole and quartz after plagioclase. Sample MOX29. (e) Merenskyite (in rectangle) enclosed in chalcopyrite that is intergrown with acicular amphiboles (actinolite). Chalcopyrite also encloses subhedral zircons (zr). Sample MOX29. (f) Enlargement of rectangle in e). Subhedral merenskyite enclosed in chalcopyrite. Sample MOX29. (g) PGM (in rectangle) in amphibole peripheral to a composite grain of chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite and pentlandite. Sample MOX27. (h) Enlargement of rectangle in g) Anhedral moncheite and merenskyite in amphibole. Sample MOX27. (i) Back scattered electron image of kotulskite enclosed in chalcopyrite, close to a fracture. Sample MOX29.

Fig. 9.5: Back-scattered electron images of isomertieite and its textural setting. Isomertieite (iso) enclosed in chalcopyrite, near contact with pyrite. Sample P7. (b) Enlargement of isomertieite shown in a). Sample P7.

Fig. 9.6: Back-scattered electron images showing various textures and associations of PGM. Rectangle (where present) represents area enlarged for image to the immediate right. (a) PGM (in rectangle) enclosed in chalcopyrite (cpy) adjacent to the margin of the sulphide. Magnetite (mt) is also enriched in the border zone of the sulphide. po = pyrrhotite, pn = pentlandite, opx = orthopyroxene. Sample P13. (b) Enlargement of rectangle in a). Subrounded michenerite (mich) intergrown with merenskyite (mer). Sample P13. (c) Subrounded merenskyite enclosed in millerite. Sample P106. (d) A subrounded and an elongate merenskyite grain are enclosed in pyrite (py), associated with a fracture. Sample P15. (e) PGM (in rectangle) enclosed in pyrite along internal cracks. The PGM are associated with amphibole (am) that is intergrown with pyrite, also along cracks. Sample P15. (f) Enlargement of rectangle in e). Anhedral merenskyite intergrown with hessite (hes). Sample P15. (g) Kotulskite (kot) (in rectangle) enclosed in millerite (mil). Note chalcopyrite that is interstitial to millerite. Sample P106. (h) Enlargement of rectangle in g). Subrounded kotulskite enclosed in millerite. Sample P106.

Fig. 9.6 continued: Back scattered electron images showing various textures and associations of PGM and Bi-,Te- phases. Rectangle (where present) represents area enlarged for image to the immediate right. (i) Sperrylite (sp) (in rectangle) located at the contact between pyrrhotite and orthopyroxene (opx). Pyrrhotite is intergrown with pentlandite and also contains minor flame-like exsolutions of pentlandite. Sample P13. (j) Enlargement of rectangle in c). Subhedral sperrylite and smaller anhedral sperrylite at the contact between pyrrhotite and orthopyroxene. Sample P13. (k) Pyrite enclosing intergrowths of tetradymite-type (tet) minerals and chalcopyrite. Sample P15. (l) Pyrite enclosing a composite grain of chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite, pilsenite (pil), Fe-emplectite? (Fe-emp?) and the

unnamed phase UN 1133 with a calculated chemical formula $[\text{Bi}_4\text{Te}_2\text{Se}]$. Sample P15. (m) Altaite (alt) grains (in rectangle) are enclosed in secondary amphibole adjacent to pyrrhotite showing rims of magnetite. Sample P13. (n) Enlargement of rectangle in m). One grain of altaite is intergrown with galena (gal). Sample P13. (o) Gold (Au) grains (in rectangle) enclosed in pyrite or located near the contact between pyrite and enclosed chalcopyrite. Sample P106. (p) Enlargement of rectangle in o). Anhedronal gold grains in pyrite. Sample P106.

Fig. 10.1: Regional geological map of the northern limb with results of S isotope analyses superimposed. Data from Townlands are from Manyeruke *et al.* (2005), data from Macalakaskop, Rietfontein and Turfspruit are from Sharman-Harris *et al.* (2005), data from Tweefontein are from Buchannan *et al.* (1981), data from Rooipoort are from Maier *et al.* (2007), data from Uitloop are from Tuovila (in preparation), data from Overysel are from Holwell *et al.* (2005) and data from Zwartfontein are from Liebenberg (1968). (Map modified after Ashwal *et al.*, 2005).

Fig. 10.2: $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values of sulphidic rocks and sulphides in selected mafic/ultramafic intrusions (modified from Ripley, 1999). Kabanga and Kunene data are from Maier (unpublished), Uitloop data are from Touvila, (personal communication) and Townlands data are from Manyeruke (2003).



ABSTRACT

The present study documents the nature of the Platreef on the farm Nonnenwerth, northern Bushveld Complex and compares the lithologies and composition of the mineralized interval to those on the farm Townlands. The Platreef on Townlands was the subject of a previous study (Manyeruke 2003, MSc thesis, University of Pretoria), but in the course of the present investigation, additional data were collected. Nonnenwerth is located more than 70 km to the north of the well characterized occurrences of the Platreef at Sandsloot, Overysel and Drenthe. At Nonnenwerth, the Platreef rests on Archaean granite gneiss floor rocks whereas at Townlands, the Platreef rests on pelitic rocks of the Transvaal Supergroup.

At Nonnenwerth, the basal rocks of the Bushveld Complex may be sub-divided into two lithologically and compositionally distinct units. At the base is a relatively heterogeneous sequence of gabbronorite with minor amounts of norite, anorthosite and igneous ultramafic rocks containing several calc-silicate xenoliths. It is some 110 m thick and is enriched in Platinum-group element (PGE)-Cu-Ni bearing sulphides (<ca. 3 modal %). Layering is mostly weakly defined and discontinuous. The mineralized interval is overlain by the Main Zone that is made up of some 170 m of relatively homogenous gabbronorite, containing several large dolomite xenoliths. The two units are separated by an up to 25m thick dolomite xenolith. In many aspects, the composition of the Platreef and the Main Zone broadly overlap ($Mg\#_{\text{opx}}$ 57 – 72, An_{plag} 40 – 75, $MgO_{\text{whole rock}}$



7 – 15 wt. %, similar incompatible trace element patterns). However, the Platreef has distinctly higher Cr contents ($Cr_{\text{whole rock}}$ up to 1813 ppm as opposed to 280 ppm in the Main Zone) as well as concentrations of chalcophile elements (N, Cu, PGE) and sulphur.

The Platreef and the Main Zone have relatively unfractionated REE patterns with Ce/Sm between 5.7 and 10.6 (averaging 8). This suggests that the Platreef on Nonnenwerth is genetically related to Bushveld tholeiitic magma (B2/B3 of Sharpe, 1981). In contrast, Platreef rocks on the farm Townlands, south of Mokopane, are more primitive in terms of mineral and whole rock composition (Mg# opx 77, An plag 68, presence of olivine) and have higher and more fractionated REE contents (Ce/Sm 8 – 14.2, averaging 11.6). They show certain similarities to Upper Critical Zone cumulates and appear to have crystallised either from B1 – B2 hybrid magmas, or from B2 magma contaminated with crust upon emplacement. This implies that Platreef PGE mineralization cannot be correlated with specific stratigraphic units or magma types, but that it formed in response to several different processes.

Platinum-group element (PGE) contents of Platreef samples from Nonnenwerth reach 10 ppm, whereas PGE contents in the Platreef at Townlands reach 4.4 ppm. PGE distribution patterns at Nonnenwerth are more fractionated than at Townlands, with Pd/Ir mostly $\gg 100$ at Nonnenwerth compared to < 100 at Townlands. At both localities, there is a broad positive correlation between the



concentrations of those PGE that could behave in a mobile manner (in particular Pd, Hsu *et al.* 1991) and those that are believed to be immobile under most conditions (e.g., Pt and Ir) and between individual PGE and S (for samples with > 0.1 % S), suggesting that sulphides were the primary PGE collector. At Nonnenwerth, this model is supported by a typical magmatic sulphide assemblage composed mostly of pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite and pentlandite and the close spatial relationship between platinum-group minerals (PGM) and base metal sulphides. However, at Townlands, the presence of pyrite and millerite attests to some secondary mobility of sulphur due to assimilation of floor rock shale.

Pd, Pt and Rh are below the detection limits of the electron microprobe in the sulphides analysed from Nonnenwerth and Townlands except for pentlandite from Nonnenwerth which hosts Pd in solid solution. Pd in pentlandites from Nonnenwerth constantly contain appreciable amounts of Pd (range from ~ 140 – 700 ppm). This finding is in accordance with literature data (e.g. Gervilla *et al.*, 2004) that pentlandite may carry even up to some % of Pd (substituting for Ni) in its crystal lattice. Accordingly, the Pd contents in Nonnenwerth pentlandite probably reflect a primary magmatic signature. The lack of measurable Pd contents in pentlandite on Townlands may be due to (ii) mobilization of Pd-bearing PGM during replacement of 'primary' sulphides by pyrite dominated assemblages into the surrounding silicates (Prichard *et al.*, 2001), (iii) syn-



post-magmatic modification of the 'primary' sulphides or (iii) the results may not be representative as Pd in pentlandite was analysed in one sample only.

The platinum-group minerals (PGM) on Nonnenwerth are dominated by Pd-rich followed by Pt-rich bismuthotellurides and rare braggite and sperrylite. In contrast, mineral proportions of the PGM on Townlands are dominated by Pd-rich bismuthotellurides, minor sperrylite, rare stibiopalladinite and isomertieite. One obvious difference, however, is the wide compositional range of Pt-Pd bismuthotellurides and the presence of Pt-rich bismuthotellurides at Nonnenwerth only, whereas at Townlands, only Pd-rich bismuthotellurides are present. The significance of this finding cannot be evaluated conclusively. The variability may be related to local factors like different host rocks; footwall lithologies, down-temperature re-equilibration, activity of fluids, and other possible causes. The PGM at Nonnenwerth occur predominantly at the contact between sulphide (mostly chalcopyrite, minor pyrrhotite and rare pyrite) and secondary silicate (mostly chlorite and albite after plagioclase) or enclosed in sulphides. Importantly, Pd-rich PGM (Pd-bismuthotellurides) are mostly enclosed in silicates. However, even these PGM enclosed in silicates retain a strong spatial relationship with the base metal sulphides, mostly chalcopyrite, and are associated with secondary minerals (mostly chlorite and albite which replace plagioclase, or rarely amphibole which replaces orthopyroxene and base metal sulphides). The above observation may result from dissolution of the base metal sulphides hosting Pd, and leaving isolated insoluble Pd-PGM behind (Barnes *et*



al., 2007), or Pd may have been remobilized from the sulphides into the surrounding silicates. Based on textural evidence, the latter model is preferred. In contrast, on Townlands the PGM occur predominantly enclosed in sulphides (mostly pyrite and minor chalcopyrite and millerite), or locally at the contact between sulphide and secondary silicate (amphibole after orthopyroxene).

Sulphur isotopic ratios on Nonnenwerth range from $d^{34}\text{S}$ 0 to +2 ‰ suggesting that the sulphur in the Platreef is of mantle origin or that any S that may have been assimilated from the floor rocks was unfractionated. A similar value of $d^{34}\text{S}$ has been found by previous workers in the Platreef at Overysel which is equally located above granite gneiss. As both the basement granite and the Transvaal dolomites contain little sulphides, these results suggest that most of the S in the Platreef is of primary magmatic derivation. In contrast, Townlands sulphides have $d^{34}\text{S}$ between +4 and +8 ‰ suggesting addition of crustal sulphur. These data indicate that the formation of the PGE mineralisation in the Platreef was not controlled by assimilation of external sulphur. Instead, sulphide saturation may have been reached due to assimilation of dolomite, and/or due to differentiation and cooling of the magma upon emplacement. Subsequently, assimilation of S may have merely modified already existing sulphide melt particularly in areas where the floor rocks consisted of sulphidic shales e.g. at Townlands.

The study therefore indicates i) that contact-style PGE mineralization extends along most of the strike length of the northern lobe of the Bushveld Complex



despite variable floor rocks of different composition underlying the northern Bushveld Complex from south to north, ii) that contact-style PGE mineralization in the northern lobe of the Bushveld Complex cannot be correlated with specific stratigraphic units i.e. the Upper Critical Zone that hosts the Merensky Reef and the UG2 Reef or magma types, but that it formed due to several different processes, iii) that base metal sulphides were the primary PGE collector, iv) that Pd and Pt occur mostly as PGM with close spatial relationship with base metal sulphides. At Nonnenwerth, Pd additionally occur dissolved in pentlandite, v) that they was minimal S assimilation from floor rocks at Nonnenwerth compared to localities further south i.e. at Townlands, and vi) a dominant Bushveld B2/B3 magma source/lineage for the Platreef at Nonnenwerth.