AN OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR A COAL MINING PRODUCTION UNIT

RENE VISSER

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

MASTER OF ENGINEERING (INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING)

in the

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

March 2004

SUMMARY

Title:

An operational management model for a coal mining production unit.

Author:

Rene Visser

Promotor:

Professor SJ Claasen

Department:

Industrial and Systems Engineering

University:

University of Pretoria

Degree:

Master of Engineering (Industrial Engineering)

It is expected from highly technical first line supervisors to manage an underground coal mining production unit and to reach stretched targets when they have very little operational management skills. A myriad of operational management philosophies are available that were not developed for a mining environment as such. These philosophies are focused on company level implementation and not on production unit level. To be used in the mining production unit is therefore necessary to adapt these philosophies. The first line supervisor needs an operational management business model that will assist and guide him/her in managing the production unit in order that production, cost and quality targets can be achieved. The first line supervisor must also be able to adapt quickly to changing requirements. The answer lies in the application of current world-class operational management philosophies to the management of the coal mining production unit. In the thesis an operational management model to assist the first line supervisor is derived from current operational management philosophies.

The research approach that was followed is:

- Current world-class operational management philosophies were investigated.
- The management philosophies to be analysed in detail were identified as Total Quality Management, Just-in-Time and Theory of Constraints.
- From these philosophies the key elements to be used in the development of an operational management model for an underground mining production unit were determined.
- These key elements were used as building blocks to develop the mining model.
- The developed model was illustrated utilising real data from a production section.

The developed mining model provides a framework for managing the production unit on a day-to day basis, but with a long-term vision. To achieve this a strategic and operational

level exist in the model, with different focus areas. The purpose of the strategic level is to focus the unit on the requirements of the customer, and to plan for a longer time horizon. The operational level assists the first line supervisor in managing the day-to-day operations of the production unit. The model also provides tools to continuously monitor and improve the performance of the unit. For any new initiative to be successful proper planning, training and an implementation plan are necessary. The planning phase includes change management and creating awareness of the mining model. Training consists of various training modules, presented over the implementation period. Each production unit that is introduced to the mining model follows a predetermined implementation plan with constant feedback on the progress made. The support from top management is actively demonstrated during the implementation period. A phased in rollout of the mining model is prescribed, i.e. not all production units will be introduced to the model at once.

The research highlighted the similarities that exist between the three operational management philosophies, leading to a cohesive set of building blocks for the mining model. Where differences between the three philosophies existed the most appropriate approach was selected, based on the evaluation of the elements. This highlights the impact that the evaluation process has on the design of the mining model, and forces one to scrutinise the process for ambiguity and partiality. This is one area where the research can be refined. It is suggested that a cross-functional team is used in the evaluation and design process.

To conclude, the mining model developed and illustrated provides the coal mining company with a tool that will assist a highly skilled technical first line supervisor with operational management practices based on world class operational management philosophies.

Key words: Just-in-Time; Theory of Constraints; Total Quality management; mining; operational; management; model; strategic; continuous; improvement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		TABLES	
L	IST OF	FIGURES	i\
1	INTE	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	RESEARCH ENVIRONMENT	1
	1.2	CHALLENGES FACING THE FIRST LINE SUPERVISOR	···· 1
2	500000	DBLEM STATEMENT AND RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	1
3	SEL	ECTION OF MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHIES	4
	DEF	INING THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE MINING OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT MODE	/
4	4.1		
		OBJECTIVE & PURPOSE OF THE OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT MODEL	. 10
	4.2	OBJECTIVE MATRIX	. 10
	4.3	FUNCTIONS	. 12
	4.4	FUNCTION EVALUATION	14
5	TOT	AL QUALITY MANAGEMENT	. 17
	5.1	INTRODUCTION	. 17
	5.2	OVERALL MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHY	. 17
	5.3	THE KEY VALUES OF THE PHILOSOPHY	19
	5.4	IMPACT ON FUNCTIONS	25
	5.5	PROBLEM SOLVING & MEASUREMENT TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES	29
	5.6	IMPLEMENTATION	
	5.7	CONCLUSION	42
6	JUS	T IN TIME	43
	6.1	INTRODUCTION	43
	6.2	OVERALL MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHY	43
	6.3	THE KEY VALUES OF THE PHILOSOPHY	44
	6.4	IMPACT ON FUNCTIONS	47
	6.5	PROBLEM SOLVING AND MEASUREMENT TOOLS & TECHNIQUES	5/
	6.6	IMPLEMENTATION	56
	6.7	CONCLUSION	58
7		ORY OF CONSTRAINTS	60
	7.1	INTRODUCTION	60
		OVERALL MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHY	60
	7.3	THE KEY VALUES OF THE PHILOSOPHY	61
	7.4	IMPACT ON FUNCTIONS	01
	7.5	PROBLEM SOLVING AND MEASUREMENT TOOLS & TECHNIQUES	70
	7.6	IMPLEMENTATION	70
		DNCLUSION	
3	U. UCL	I LEVEL COMPARISON BETWEEN MINING AND MANUFACTURING	83
	8.1	INTRODUCTION	84
		INTRODUCTION	84
	8.3	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	84
		INVENTORY	
		STRATEGIC PLACING	86
	8.5	SUSTAINABILITY OF RESERVES	87
	8.6	CONCLUSION	87
9	EVAL	LUATING THE OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHIES	88
	9.1	INTRODUCTION	88
	9.2	RATING THE ELEMENTS	91
	9.3	ANALISING THE RESULTS	95
	9.4	CONCLUSION	99
0		E MINING OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT MODEL	00
	10.1	INTRODUCTION	00
	10.2	OVERVIEW 1	00

10.3	TEAM FOCUS	101
10.4	STRATEGIC LEVEL	102
10.5	OPERATIONAL LEVEL	109
10.6	IMPLEMENTATION	113
10.7	SUMMARY	117
11 MII	NING MODEL - APPLICATION	118
11.1	INTRODUCTION	118
11.2	UNIT INFORMATION	118
11.3	TEAM FOCUS	119
11.4	STRATEGIC LEVEL	
11.5	OPERATIONAL CYCLE	
11.6	CONCLUSION	131
12 RE	VIEW	132
	ICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: List of available management philosophies	
Table 2: Result of evaluation of management philosophies	9
Table 3: Objective matrix	11
Table 4: Core values of awards (Hellsten & Klefsjö, 2000:240)	19
Table 5: Customer satisfaction levels (Zultner, 1993:81)	20
Table 6: Improvement process	22
Table 7: The 3 tool kits of TQM (Adapted from Bhote (1997: 29) & Zultner (1993:85))	29
Table 8: Summary of control chart patterns (Gitlow et.al, 1989:347)	33
Table 9: Process capability indices (Gitlow et.al. 1989:452)	34
Table 10: Theory of Constraints impact on bottom line(Goldratt, 1986, p31)	65
Table 11: Summary of the elements of JIT, TOC & TQM	88
Table 12: Weighing the functions	91
Table 13: Scoring of key values	
Table 14: Scoring of impact on functions	93
Table 15: Scoring of Problem solving tools & techniques	94
Table 16: Summary of scores	96
Table 17: Statistical analysis of data set	98
Table 18: Training modules detail	115
Table 19: Implementation plan for mining model	116
Table 20 : Section organisational structure	119
Table 21: Budget for Unit 1 for the financial year	123
Table 22: Top 15 downtimes recorded over three months	126
Table 23: Summary of deviation	128

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Evaluation matrix	14
Figure 2: Evaluation of functions	15
Figure 3: Continuous improvement cycle (Zultner, 1993: 81)	23
Figure 4: The 14 quality control and management tools	30
Figure 5: Structure of a control chart (Gitlow et.al., 1989:p167)	31
Figure 6: Sample House of Quality (adapted from Bhote (1997: 34))	36
Figure 7: Theory of Constraints five focusing steps	64
Figure 8: A basic outline of a current reality tree (Sapics, 1994)	
Figure 9: Example of a CRT	72
Figure 10: A conceptual outline of an evaporating cloud (Sapics, 1994)	72
Figure 11: Example of an EC	73
Figure 12: A conceptual outline of a FRT (Sapics, 1994)	
Figure 13: Example of a FRT	74
Figure 14: A conceptual outline of a PRT (Sapics, 1994)	75
Figure 15: Example of a PRT	76
Figure 16: An outline of a TT (Sapics, 1994)	76
Figure 17: Example of a TT	77
Figure 18: Graphic outline of use of tree's	78
Figure 19: Graphical representation of score values	97
Figure 20: Histogram of scored ratings	98
Figure 21: Overview of mining model	
Figure 22: Template for quality control charts	105
Figure 23: Cumulative tons template	106
Figure 24: Tons versus time bucket template	107
Figure 25: Actual versus budget template	
Figure 26 : Process map Unit 1	
Figure 27: Fine coal % control chart	
Figure 28: Actual versus budget figures	
Figure 29: Production figures	124
Figure 30: Average tons per shift per equipment	125
Figure 31: Analysis of production data	
Figure 32: Fishbone diagram of possible reasons for the deviation	100