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Appendices

Appendix 1  Letter of Introduction for research student – Ms Nthabiseng Taole

Dear Library Director

Ms Nthabiseng Taole is a PhD student in the Department of Information Science at the University of Pretoria. She is conducting research on the INNOPAC library system in GAELIC and FRELICO, with a special focus on the Lesotho Library Consortium (LELICO).

She has now reached the stage where she wishes to administer the questionnaires and conduct interviews. I shall sincerely appreciate your assistance to her in this study, and thank you in anticipation.

Sincerely,

Professor Archie L Dick
(Promoter)

.
Appendix 2

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR LIBRARY MANAGEMENT

Research Topic: Performance evaluation of the INNOPAC library system in a consortium in a developing country: implications for the Lesotho Library Consortium

Researcher: Nthabiseng Taole

The aim of this research is to examine the value of the INNOPAC library system for GAELIC and FRELICO, and to find out to what extent this system is applicable to small consortia like the Lesotho Library Consortium. Please give the true picture of the situation in your library. Feel free to express your views and please do not write your name on the questionnaire.

Basic information:
Name of the library: ____________________________________________
Pre-merger name, if applicable: ____________________________________________
Date: ____________________________________________

1. When did the library join GAELIC?

2. Please list factors that motivated the library to join GAELIC

3. What have been the benefits of GAELIC membership for your library?

4. How strongly do you agree/disagree that the following factors contribute to the successful management of a consortium? Please tick the appropriate box.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. How long has the library been using the INNOPAC library system?

5. What system was used in the library before the INNOPAC library system?

6. What were the reasons for changing to the INNOPAC library system?

7. Please estimate costs relating to the system since its installation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Installation costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running costs (hardware, software, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment (servers, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. What have been the benefits of the INNOPAC library system for your library?

9. Please comment on the cost of the system against the benefits mentioned above
10. How would you rate the system’s impact on the following, on the scale of 1 to 5?  
1=lowest/poorest and 5=highest/best

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased productivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved customer service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to GAELIC members’ holdings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost savings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ...........................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................

11. What problems relating to the INNOPAC library system has your library encountered?
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................

12. How did you deal with those problems?
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
.....................................................................................................................
14. GENERAL COMMENTS

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

THANK YOU!
Appendix 3

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR LIBRARY PROFESSIONALS

Research Topic: Performance evaluation of the INNOPAC library system in a consortium in a developing country: implications for the Lesotho Library Consortium

Researcher: Nthabiseng Taole

The aim of this research is to examine the value of the INNOPAC library system for GAELIC and FRELICO, and to find out to what extent this system is applicable to small consortia like the Lesotho Library Consortium. Please give the true picture of the situation in your library. Feel free to express your views and please do not write your name on the questionnaire.

Basic information:

Name of the library: .................................................................
Section: ----------------- ............................................................
Date: ----------------- ...............................................................

LIBRARY OPERATIONS

1. Please tick the INNOPAC library system module(s) that you use on a regular basis?
   - Acquisitions
   - Cataloguing
   - Circulation
   - Course Reserves
   - OPAC
   - Serials

2. Rate the performance of the module(s) you use:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataloguing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course reserves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ..............................................................................
..............................................................................
..............................................................................
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3. Please rate system’s **functionality** according to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................

4. Please rate the system’s **usability**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User-friendliness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................

**SUPPORT AND TRAINING**

5. Rate system’s Support and training in terms of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User manuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutorials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New releases/updates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................

5. Rate the quality of **system management** in terms of:
6. Rate the **vendor** (Innovative) in terms of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpfulness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................

7. Is your library a member of the following user groups?

a) Innovative User Group (Please tick) Yes  No
If the answer is ‘Yes’, how useful it to your section/department?
(Please tick the answer)

Useful Average Not useful

If the answer is ‘No’, why?

........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................

b) Do you subscribe to the Innovative User Group listserv?
If you do, please comment on its value to your section/department
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................
If you don’t, why?

………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
c) Is your library a member of the INNOPAC User Group: Southern Africa Yes   No
If the answer is ‘Yes’ – how useful is it to your section/department?
Useful   Average   Not useful
If the answer is ‘No’, why?
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………

8. GENERAL COMMENTS:  
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------------------------------------------------

THANK YOU!
Appendix 4

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

Research Topic: Performance evaluation of the INNOPAC library system in a consortium in a developing country: implications for the Lesotho Library Consortium

Researcher: Nthabiseng Taole

The aim of this research is to examine the value of the INNOPAC library system for GAELIC and FRELICO, and to find out to what extent this system is applicable to small consortia like the Lesotho Library Consortium. Please give the true picture of the situation in your library. Feel free to express your views and please do not write your name on the questionnaire.

Basic information:
Name of the library: _____________________________________________________________
Date: ________________________________________________________________________

1. For how long has the library been using the INNOPAC library system?
   _____________________________________________________________

2. Which system was in operation before the INNOPAC library system?
   _____________________________________________________________

3. What modules are available in your system?
   _____________________________________________________________
   _____________________________________________________________
   _____________________________________________________________
   _____________________________________________________________
   _____________________________________________________________

4. Which modules are not yet installed? And Why?

   ____________________________

225
5. Rate the system’s performance in terms of:

a) Library operations (please tick the appropriate box)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataloguing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others(specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

........................................................................................................................................

b) System’s functionality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to integrate with other systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to customise to own needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgradeability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c) Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User-friendliness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................

6. Support and training
Rate system’s support and training in terms of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User manuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutorials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New releases/updates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................
............................................................................................................................

7. Vendor
Rate the vendor (Innovative) in terms of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpfulness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Do you subscribe to the Innovative User Group listserv? Yes  No
If yes, comment on its value to your Library

If not, why?

9. Is your library a member of the following user groups?

a) Innovative User Group (please tick) Yes  No
If ‘Yes’ Comment on its value to your library
If ‘No’, why?

b) INNOPAC User Group: Southern Africa  Yes  No
If ‘Yes’ Comment on its value to your library
If ‘No’, why?

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Activity} & \textbf{Costs (in Rands)} \\
\hline
Installation & \\
Initial training & \\
Ongoing training & \\
Licence per annum & \\
Others (specify) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{10. COMMENTS – Pitfalls and what to look out for during before during and after implementation}

......
11. GENERAL COMMENTS

THANK YOU!
Appendix 5

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SYSTEM MANAGEMENT – OTHER SOUTHERN AFRICAN LIBRARIES

Research Topic: Performance evaluation of the INNOPAC library system in a consortium in a developing country: implications for the Lesotho Library Consortium

Researcher: Nthabiseng Taole

Basic information:

Name of the library: ---------------------------------------------------------------

Date: ------------------------------------------------------------------

1. For how long has the library been using the INNOPAC library system?
   --------------------------------------------------------------------------

2. Which system was in operation before the INNOPAC library system?
   --------------------------------------------------------------------------

3. What modules are available in your system?
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4. Which modules are not yet installed? And Why?
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
5. Rate the system’s performance in terms of:

a) Library operations (please tick the appropriate box)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataloguing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................

b) System’s functionality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to integrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with other systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to customise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to own needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgradeability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................

C) Usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Ease of use
User-friendliness
Error messages
Help messages

Comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................

6. Support and training
Rate system’s support and training in terms of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User manuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutorials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-going training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New releases/updates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................

7. Vendor
Rate the vendor (Innovative) in terms of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpfulness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................

8. Do you subscribe to the Innovative User Group listserv? Yes No
If yes, comment on its value to your Library
If not, why?

9. Is your library a member of the following user groups?

   a) Innovative User Group (Please tick) Yes  No
   If ‘Yes’ Comment on its value to your library
   If ‘No’, why?

   b) INNOPAC User Group: Southern Africa  Yes  No
   If ‘Yes’ Comment on its value to your library
   If ‘No’, why?
c) Please comment on the value of the GAELIC INNOPAC System Workgroup to your Library.

9. Costs
Please estimate the following costs on the INNOPAC System:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount in Rands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Installation costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running Costs (hardware, software, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment (servers, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licence per annum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. COMMENTS – Pitfalls and what to look out for before, during and after implementation
11. GENERAL COMMENTS

THANK YOU!
Appendix 6

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR LELICO LIBRARY HEADS

Research topic: Performance evaluation of the INNOPAC library system in a consortium in a developing country: implications for the Lesotho Library Consortium

Researcher: Nthabiseng Taole

The aim of this research is to examine the value of the INNOPAC library system for GAELIC, and to find out to what extent this system is applicable to small consortia like the Lesotho Library Consortium. Please give the true picture of the situation in your library. Feel free to express your views.

Name of the library: --------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Type of Library (please circle): Academic Special School Other (specify) ----------

Date: -------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Please list the benefits that your library has derived from LELICO membership

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

2. Which other benefits would you like LELICO to provide?

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

3. Prioritise the following proposals for LELICO’s future plans, so that each proposal has a different value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Install a common library system for all members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand membership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Is your library automated? (please circle the answer)
Yes  No
If Not, why?

5. Which system is currently installed in your library?

6. Please tick modules used in your library
Acquisitions
Cataloguing
OPAC
Management Information
Serials
Others (please specify)

7. Which modules would you recommend for the LELICO common library system?
(please tick)
Acquisitions
Archives
Cataloguing
8. Please list any problems that you have encountered with your current system:

9. Rate the importance of the following for a common library system’s **functionality**:
(On the scale of 1 to 5, where 1=least important, 5= extremely important)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to customise to own needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security of the system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibility for upgrading</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Rate the importance of the following for a common library system’s **usability**:
(On the scale of 1 to 5, where 1=least important, 5= extremely important)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User-friendliness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. Rate the importance of the following for a common library system’s **support**:  
(On the scale of 1 to 5, where 1=least important, 5= extremely important)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User manuals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutorials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Rate the importance of the following for a common library system’s **vendor**:  
(On the scale of 1 to 5, where 1=least important, 5= extremely important)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpfulness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. What has been the budget of the library for the past three years?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount in Maloti*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1 loti = 1 Rand

14. Has the money allocated to the library been enough for its needs? (please tick)  
Yes    No
14. GENERAL COMMENTS:

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

THANK YOU!
Appendix 7

OBSERVATION SCHEDULE

Research topic: **Performance evaluation of the INNOPAC library system in a consortium in a developing country: implications for the Lesotho Library Consortium**

Researcher: Nthabiseng Taole

1. Check the availability of the following modules:
   - Acquisitions
   - Cataloguing
   - Circulations
   - Management Information
   - OPAC
   - Serials
   - Other

2. Check the availability of internet services

3. Check the availability of inter-library lending services

3. Observe any other electronic services available in libraries

4. Check how consortia members access other members’ holdings

5. Check staffing in the systems section
Appendix 8

INTERVIEW SCHEDULE
SYSTEM MANAGERS

Background information:
Name of respondent:
Position held in your library:
Position held in consortium (if any):
Name of institution:
Date of interview:

1. General information:
When did your institution join the consortium?

How many institutions are members of the consortium?

Give reasons why your consortium/institution decided to use the INNOPAC library system.

2. Performance of the INNOPAC/Millennium Pac
Which modules have been installed in your system?

Comment on their performance

Comment on the general performance of the system in regards to:
Functionality (availability, accessibility, reliability, security)
Usability (ease of use, user friendliness, error messages, help messages)
Support and training (manuals, tutorials, initial training, ongoing training)
Vendor (accessibility, availability, helpfulness, response rate)
Comment on the management of the system?
Comment on the value of Innovative user groups and listserv?
Innovative User Group
Innovative User Group listserv
GAELIC INNOPAC Work Group
INNOPAC User Group: Southern Africa

How has the system contributed towards the performance of consortium member libraries?

Have you had any problems during and after implementation of the system?

If you had, how did you deal with those problems?

3. Decentralised server model
What has been your experience in using multiple servers within consortia?

What are the advantages and disadvantages of decentralised servers in your consortium?

What problems have you encountered this model?

What have done to solve those problems?

Comment on the cost versus the benefits of a central server model within a consortium?

What pitfalls should one look out for when implementing multiple servers within a consortium?

4. Staffing
How many people manage the system in your institution?

How are they funded?

6. Funding
Who funded the installation of the INNOPAC/Millennium library system in consortium/institution?

What was the cost (estimate) of implementing the INNOPAC library system?

Who takes care of the running costs of the system?

Have there been any unexpected costs?

If there have been, how have these been funded?

7. Resource sharing
Are there any resource sharing activities among consortium members?

Please describe them.

How has the common library system contributed towards resource sharing within your consortium?

Are any other resource sharing activities you would like the consortium to engage in?

Thank you very much for your time!
Appendix 9

INTERVIEW SCHEDULE
SEALS PROJECT MANAGER

Background information:
Name of respondent:
Position held in your library:
Position held in SEALS:
Name of institution:
Date of interview:

1. SEALS information:
When was SEALS formed?

How many institutions are members of SEALS?

Please name them and their type (academic, special, school, etc.)

Please describe the automation status of SEALS libraries before they converted to the INNOPAC/Millennium Pac library system

Give reasons why SEALS decided to use the INNOPAC library system.

2. Performance of the INNOPAC/Millennium Pac in SEALS
Which modules have been installed in your system?

Comment on their performance

Comment on the general performance of the system in regards to:
Functionality (availability, accessibility, reliability, security)
Usability (ease of use, user friendliness, error messages, help messages)
Support and training (manuals, tutorials, initial training, ongoing training)
Vendor (accessibility, availability, helpfulness, response rate)
Comment on the management of the system?

Comment on the value of Innovative user groups and listserv?
Innovative User Group
Innovative User Group Listserv
INNOPAC User Group: Southern Africa

Do you have any linkages with the GAELIC INNOPAC System Workgroup?

How has the system contributed towards the performance of consortium member libraries?

Have you had any problems during and after implementation of the system?

If you had, how did you deal with those problems?

3. Central server model
Where is the server located?

What has been your experience in using a central server?

What are the advantages of a central server model for SEALS?

Have you had any problems in using a central server for SEALS libraries?

What have done to solve those problems?
Comment on the cost versus the benefits of a central server model within a consortium?

What pitfalls should one look out for when implementing a central server within a consortium?

4. Staffing
How many people manage the server?

Are they employed by SEALS or by a member institution?

Who funds them?

5. Governance of SEALS and the common library system
Describe the governance of SEALS structure?

Is there any structure within SEALS that is responsible for the common library system?

If there is, describe its composition.

6. Funding
Who funded the installation of the INNOPAC/Millennium library system in SEALS?

What was the cost (estimate) of implementing the INNOPAC library system?

Who takes care of the running costs of the system?

Have there been any unexpected costs that relate to the central server?
If there have been, how have these been funded?

7. Resource sharing
Are there any resource sharing activities among SEALs libraries?

Please describe them.

How has the common library system contributed towards resource sharing within SEALs?

Are any other resource sharing activities you would like the consortium to engage in?

8. General
Is the any relevant document that you would like me to look at?

I appreciate the time you took for this interview. Is there anything else you think would be helpful for me to know about the central server model in a consortium?

Would it be alright to call you if I have more questions?

Thank you very much for your time!