

**Sorghum dry-milling processes and their influence on meal  
and porridge quality**

**By**

**Martin Mosinyi Kebakile**

**Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree**

**PhD (Food Science)**

**In the**

**Department of Food Science  
Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences  
University of Pretoria  
Pretoria  
Republic of South Africa**

**July 2008**

## DECLARATION

I declare that the dissertation herewith submitted for the degree of PhD (Food Science) at the University of Pretoria, has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at any other university or institution of higher education.



Martin Mosinyi Kebakile

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my thanks to my supervisors - Prof John Taylor, Prof Lloyd Rooney and Dr Henriette de Kock, who tirelessly guided and motivated me throughout, and to staff and post-graduate students of the Department of Food Science, University of Pretoria, who contributed in different ways to my success in this research work. I would also like to thank Prof Hennie Groenwald and Dr Mike van de Linde for their statistical input, Mr Alan Hall for his expert advice with microscopy work, and Dr Mats Thunwall of Chalmers University of Technology (Sweden) for performing the x-ray diffraction analysis.

I am greatly indebted to the National Food Technology Research Centre of Botswana and the International Sorghum and Millet Collaborative Research Support Program (INTSORMIL CRSP) whose financial support made this research possible.

Finally, my warm and very special thanks to my wife Thuli, my mother Margaret, family and friends, who continuously encouraged me to persevere, especially during periods when morale was very low.

## ABSTRACT

### **Sorghum dry-milling processes and their influence on meal and porridge quality**

By

Martin Mosinyi Kebakile

Supervisor: Professor J. R. N. Taylor

Co-supervisors: Professor L. W. Rooney

Dr H. L. De Kock

*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench is an important staple cereal in Africa, where it ranks second after maize. Despite its importance, the sorghum food industry remains non vibrant, constrained in part by inadequate milling technology. Presently, Prairie Research Laboratory (PRL) type abrasive dehullers and hammer mills, which apparently produce meals of inconsistent quality and low output, are generally used for industrial milling of sorghum. Efforts to improve sorghum milling require an in-depth understanding of how milling process and grain type affect the sensory characteristics of the final food products. Such knowledge is currently lacking. Therefore, this study investigated the effects of milling process and sorghum type on the quality of sorghum meal and porridge.

Twelve sorghum types with diverse physico-chemical properties were milled by roller milling (RM), abrasive decortication-hammer milling (ADHM) and hand pounding (HP), and the effects on meal extraction and meal quality were evaluated. Porridges were prepared using standardised Botswana recipe, and their sensory profiles were characterised using Descriptive Sensory Analysis. Additionally, factors that affect the texture of sorghum porridge were investigated, and suggestions for improving the sorghum milling process are given.

Both the sorghum type and the milling process affected the quality of the meal and the sensory characteristics of the porridge, but the milling process was found to have more effects on these characteristics than the sorghum type, because of the diverse milling principles of the milling processes. RM gave far better extraction rate and had

substantially higher throughput than HP and ADHM. However, meals obtained with RM had slightly more ash and were a little darker, and gave porridges which were correspondingly darker in colour, had slightly more branny aroma, more astringency and bitter taste, than meals obtained with the other two milling processes, indicating higher bran contamination of the meals, presumably caused by fragmentation of the pericarp. Clearly, even with tempering the pericarp was still friable, and hence, requires indepth sorghum tempering studies. Grain hardness proved to be important for milling, as it correlated positively with extraction rate with ADHM and HP, but not with RM. Hard grains generally gave coarser and better refined meals, and produced porridges that were firmer, compared to soft grains. Weathered and pigmented pericarp sorghums produced dark and specky meals, and gave porridges with apparently undesirable sensory qualities, because of staining caused by the pericarp pigments, showing that these characteristics affect the quality of sorghum foods negatively. When used with hard and light coloured sorghums, ADHM gave more appealing meal and porridge qualities (light coloured, firm texture and enhanced cereal aroma), indicating that dry abrasive decortication is advantageous for production of sorghum products with superior sensory qualities.

Firmness varied considerably among the porridges, caused by differences in the meal particle sizes, which was predominantly a consequence of the milling process. An increased proportion of coarse endosperm particles, as was the case with HP meals, caused increased porridge firmness. The coarse particles absorbed water slowly, thus restricting swelling of the starch granules, such that a high proportion of non-ruptured gelatinised starch granules that reinforce the porridge matrix resulted. The sorghum type also influenced porridge firmness, whereby the corneous sorghum types with high protein content produced firmer porridges, owing to presence of the hard and less water-permeable protein-starch matrix in the endosperm meal particles.

Because abrasive decortication gave meals and porridges with superior sensory qualities, while roller milling produced high throughputs, a roller milling system that is preceded by a dry abrasive decortication process is recommended as a versatile milling process for industrial processing of diverse sorghum products that have superior sensory qualities.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TITLE PAGE</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>3</b>
2.1 Sorghum kernel structure and its relation to milling performance and porridge quality .....	3
2.1.1 Pericarp .....	5
2.1.2 Endosperm .....	7
2.1.3 Germ .....	10
2.2 Sorghum chemical composition as related to milling and product quality.....	10
2.2.1 Starch .....	10
2.2.2 Protein .....	12
2.2.3 Oil .....	13
2.2.4 Dietary fibre .....	14
2.2.5 Minerals .....	14
2.3 Dry milling processes for sorghum grain.....	15
2.3.1 Traditional hand pounding.....	16
2.3.2 Dry Abrasive decortication.....	18
2.3.3 Roller Milling.....	22
2.4 Quality evaluation procedures .....	27
2.4.1 Grain evaluation and quality standards.....	27
2.4.2 Meal (flour) evaluation and quality standards .....	31
2.5 Conclusions.....	32
2.6 Hypotheses.....	32
2.7 Objectives .....	33

<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>34</b>
3.1	Effects of Hand Pounding, Abrasive Decortication-Hammer Milling, Roller Milling and Sorghum Type on Sorghum Meal Extraction and Quality .....	34
	ABSTRACT.....	35
3.1.1	INTRODUCTION .....	36
3.1.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	38
3.1.2.1	Grain .....	38
<b>3.1.2.2</b>	<b>Grain characterisation.....</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1.2.3.	Hand pounding.....	39
3.1.2.4.	Abrasive decortication and hammer milling.....	39
3.1.2.5.	Optimising the roller milling process for sorghum.....	40
3.1.2.6.	Roller milling.....	41
3.1.2.7.	Estimation of the amount of endosperm in ‘bran’.....	43
3.1.2.8.	Analysis of meals.....	43
3.1.2.9.	Statistical analysis.....	43
3.1.3.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	44
3.1.3.1.	Grain characterisation.....	44
3.1.3.2.	Optimisation of roller milling.....	47
3.1.3.3.	Comparative evaluation of hand pounding (HP), abrasive decortication-hammer milling (ADHM), and roller milling (RM) .....	57
3.1.3.3.1.	Extraction rates .....	57
3.1.3.3.2.	Colour of the meals.....	62
3.1.3.3.3.	Ash content of the meals.....	63
3.1.3.3.4.	Oil content of the meals .....	66
3.1.3.3.5.	Protein content of the meals.....	69
3.1.3.3.6.	Particle size distribution of the meals .....	69
3.1.4.	CONCLUSIONS.....	69
3.1.5	LITERATURE CITED .....	71
3.2	Effects of Sorghum Type and Milling Process on the Sensory Characteristics of Sorghum Porridge.....	74
	ABSTRACT.....	75
3.2.1	INTRODUCTION .....	76
3.2.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	77

3.2.2.1	Sorghum Meal Samples .....	77
3.2.2.2	Porridge Preparation .....	77
3.2.2.3	Descriptive Sensory Analysis .....	77
3.2.2.4	Statistical analyses .....	79
3.2.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	81
3.2.3.1	Differences Between the Attributes .....	81
3.2.3.2	Panelist and Session Effects.....	81
3.2.3.3	Sorghum Type and Milling Process Effects .....	85
3.2.3.4	Principal Component Analysis .....	86
3.2.4	CONCLUSIONS.....	91
3.2.5	LITERATURE CITED .....	92
3.3	Influence of Sorghum Grain Characteristics and Milling Process on the Textural Properties of Sorghum Porridge.....	96
	ABSTRACT.....	97
3.3.1	INTRODUCTION .....	98
3.3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	99
3.3.2.1	Samples .....	99
3.3.2.2	Meal particle size index (PSI).....	99
3.3.2.3	Water Absorption Index (WAI).....	99
3.3.2.4	Water Solubility Index (WSI).....	99
3.3.2.5	Damaged starch and amylose content.....	100
3.3.2.6	Pasting properties.....	100
3.3.2.7	Textural properties .....	101
3.3.2.8	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) .....	101
3.3.2.9	Wide angle X-ray diffraction .....	102
3.3.2.10	Statistical analysis.....	102
3.3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	103
3.3.3.1	Damaged starch.....	103
3.3.3.2	Water absorption index (WAI) .....	106
3.3.3.3	Water solubility Index.....	106



3.3.3.4	Effects of milling method and sorghum type on pasting properties of sorghum meals .....	107
3.3.3.5	Effects of milling method and sorghum type on porridge texture (firmness and stickiness) .....	113
3.3.3.6	Principal Component Analysis (PCA) .....	121
3.3.4	CONCLUSIONS.....	124
3.3.5	LITERATURE CITED .....	125
<b>4</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>128</b>
4.1	Methodologies: A critical review.....	128
4.1.1	Sampling of sorghum types .....	128
4.1.2	Selected milling processes .....	129
4.1.3	Descriptive sensory analysis .....	130
4.1.4	Differences in the solids concentrations of porridges for sensory and instrumental texture analysis.....	132
4.1.5	Porridge microstructure .....	134
4.2	Comparative performance of the milling processes.....	135
4.2.1	Extraction rates .....	135
4.2.2.	Throughput.....	139
4.2.3.	Energy efficiency.....	140
4.2.4	Comparison of meal and porridge quality .....	141
4.2.4.1	Effects of the milling process .....	141
4.2.4.3	Effects of the sorghum type .....	146
4.3	Suggested improvements for sorghum milling processes.....	149
4.3.1	Small-scale service and/or semi-commercial milling.....	149
4.3.2	Commercial milling plant .....	150
4.4	Recommendations for future research .....	153
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>LITERATURE CITED .....</b>	<b>157</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table I.	Grain quality parameters for sorghum and their optimal ranges for milling	28
Table II.	Physical characteristics of kernels of twelve selected sorghum types grown in Botswana	46
Table III.	Protein, oil and ash content of twelve selected sorghum types grown in Botswana	47
Table IV.	Effect of sorghum type and milling process on the extraction rate of sorghum meal	58
Table V.	Significant Pearson correlation coefficients between sorghum type characteristics and the characteristics of the meals obtained by hand pounding, abrasive decortication-hammer milling and roller milling	60
Table VI.	Effect of sorghum type and milling process on the colour properties of sorghum meal	61
Table VII.	Effects of sorghum type and milling process on the ash content of sorghum meal	64
Table VIII.	Effects of sorghum type and milling process on the oil content of sorghum meal	65
Table IX.	Effects of sorghum type and milling process on the protein content of sorghum meal	67
Table X.	Descriptive Sensory Attributes and their Definitions Used in the Descriptive Analysis of Sorghum Porridges	78
Table XI.	Mean Scores of Sensory Attributes of Porridges Prepared from Abrasively Decorticated and Hammer Milled, Roller Milled and Hand Pounded Sorghum Meals	82
Table XII.	General Linear Model Coefficients of Multiple Determination and Sensory Attribute F-values for Sources of Variations and Interactions Obtained for 13 Sensory Attributes Rated for 36 Sorghum Porridge Samples Prepared from 12 Sorghum Types Milled by 3 Milling Processes	84
Table XIII.	Effects of Sorghum Type and Milling Process on The Particle Size Index of Meals Produced from Four Selected Sorghum Types Milled By Hand Pounding, Abrasive Decortication and Hammer Milling, and Roller Milling	103
Table XIV.	Effects of Sorghum Type and Milling Process on The Level of Damaged Starch of Meals Produced By Milling Four Selected Sorghum Types using Hand Pounding, Abrasive Decortication and Hammer Milling, and Roller Milling	103
Table XV.	Starch Amylose Content, Protein Content, Oil Content, and	104

Endosperm Texture of Four Selected Botswana Sorghum Grain Types Used for Pasting, Textural and Microstructural Studies

Table XVI. Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between the Pasting Properties of Sorghum Meals Produced from Four Sorghum Grain Types Milled using Hand Pounding, Roller Milling and Abrasive Decortication Followed by Hammer Milling, and the Meal Composition and Whole Sorghum Grain Characteristics	105
Table XVII. Effects of Sorghum Grain Type and Milling Process on the Water Absorption Index of the Sorghum Meal Produced by Milling Four Selected Sorghum Types using Hand Pounding, Abrasive Decortication and Hammer Milling, and Roller Milling	106
Table XVIII. Effects of Sorghum Variety and Milling Process on the Water Solubility Index of the Sorghum Meal Produced by Milling Four Selected Sorghum Types using Hand Pounding, Abrasive Decortication and Hammer Milling, and Roller Milling	106
Table XIX. Peak Viscosity and Hot Paste Viscosity of Sorghum Meals Obtained from Four Sorghum Types Milled by Hand Pounding, Abrasive Decortication-Hammer Milling and Roller Milling	111
Table XX. Cool Paste Viscosity and Setback Viscosity of Sorghum Meals Obtained from Four Sorghum Types Milled by Hand Pounding, Abrasive Decortication-Hammer Milling and Roller Milling	112
Table XXI. Effects of Milling Process (Hand Pounding, Roller Milling, Abrasive Decortication – Hammer Milling) and Sorghum Type on Sorghum Porridge Firmness and Stickiness	117
Table XXII. Effect of the Milling Process on the Sorghum Meal Output	140

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1.	Section through a sorghum kernel	4
Fig. 2.2.	Haworth representation of amylose and amylopectin molecules	11
Fig. 2.3.	Typical traditional African wooden mortar and pestle used for decortication, and a winnowing basket used for separation of bran after hand pounding.	18
Fig. 2.4.	PRL type dehuller used to decorticate sorghum	20
Fig. 2.5.	Schematic diagram of the PeriTech VCW debranning machine	21
Fig. 2.6.	A typical double roll roller mill designed to process sorghum	26
Fig. 2.7.	Illustration of a 3-point rating system for evaluating sorghum endosperm texture	29
Fig. 2.8.	Illustration of a 5-point rating system for evaluating sorghum endosperm texture	30
Fig. 3.1.1.	PRL-type Abrasive decorticator and hammer mill similar to those used to produce abrasive decortication-hammer mill meal samples.	40
Fig. 3.1.2.	Two roll roller mill used to produce roller milled meal samples	42
Fig. 3.1.3.	Cross sectional micrographs of the kernels of the twelve selected sorghum types grown in Botswana	45
Fig. 3.1.4.	Effect of tempering sorghum grain to 16% for 15 min and 18 hr on the moisture content of sorghum meal produced by two-stage roller milling	48
Fig. 3.1.5.	Effect of tempering sorghum grain to 16% for 15 min and 18 hr on the ash content of sorghum meal produced by two-stage roller milling	49
Fig. 3.1.6.	Effect of tempering sorghum grain to 16% for 15 min and 18 hr on the oil content of sorghum meal produced by two-stage roller milling	50
Fig. 3.1.7.	Effect of roll gap size and sieve opening on the extraction rates of corneous and intermediate endosperm sorghum types milled using a two-stage roller mill	52
Fig. 3.1.8.	Effect of roll gap size and sieve opening on the ash content of meals produced from corneous and intermediate endosperm sorghum types milled using a two-stage roller mill	53
Fig. 3.1.9.	Effect of roll gap size and sieve opening on the oil content of meals produced from corneous and intermediate endosperm sorghum types milled using a two-stage roller mill	54
Fig. 3.1.10.	Effect of milling process on the particle size distribution of sorghum meals produced from corneous endosperm sorghum by hand pounding, hammer milling and roller milling, using different roll gap settings	56
Fig. 3.1.11.	Effect of abrasive decortication and hand pounding on the composition	59

of sorghum “bran” (mixture of bran and endosperm particles) produced.

Fig. 3.1.12. Effect of milling process on the particle size distribution of sorghum meals produced from corneous and intermediate endosperm grains by hand pounding, abrasive decortication-hammer milling and roller milling	68
Fig. 3.2.1. PCA plots of 36 sorghum porridge samples prepared from 12 sorghum types milled by hand pounding, abrasive decortication-hammer milling, and roller	87
Fig. 3.2.2. PCA plots of 36 sorghum porridges on PC1 and PC 3, prepared from 12 sorghum types milled by hand pounding, abrasive decortication-hammer milling, and roller milling.	88
Fig. 3.3. 1. Effects of hand pounding, abrasive decortication-hammer milling and roller milling on the pasting profiles of sorghum meal samples obtained with Town sorghum type	108
Fig.3.3. 2. Scanning electron micrographs of meals obtained by milling three sorghum types (BSH1, Town, and Kanye Standard) by hand pounding, roller milling, and abrasive decortication followed by hammer milling	109
Fig. 3.3. 3. Scanning electron micrographs of sorghum meals produced from sorghum type Phofu using abrasive decortication - hammer milling free starch granules in a soft endosperm meal particle, and starch granules compactly bound with protein matrix in a hard endosperm meal particle	110
Fig. 3.3. 4. Effect of milling process (hand pounding, abrasive decortication-hammer milling, and roller milling) on the textural properties of sorghum meal obtained with sorghum type Kanye std	114
Fig. 3.3. 5. Scanning electron micrographs of cryofrozen and freeze-dried sorghum porridges showing starch granule remnants in porridges prepared from abrasively decorticated and hammer milled Phofu and Town sorghum types	115
Fig. 3.3.6. X-ray diffractograms of raw sorghum meals, and freeze-dried porridges prepared therefrom, obtained with BSH1 sorghum type milled by hand pounding, abrasive decortication-hammer milling and roller milling	118
Fig. 3.3.7. Scanning electron micrographs of cryofrozen and freeze-dried components of sorghum porridges prepared from meals obtained by milling three sorghum types (BSH1, Town, and Kanye Standard) by hand pounding, roller milling, and abrasive decortication followed by hammer milling	119
Fig. 3.3. 8. Principal component analysis (PCA) plots of sorghum porridge samples prepared from four sorghum types (BSH1, Phofu, Kanye Std and Town) milled by hand pounding, abrasive decortication – hammer	122

	milling, and roller milling	
Fig. 3.3. 9.	Principal component analysis (PCA) plots of sorghum porridge samples prepared from four sorghum types (BSH1, Phofu, Kanye Std and Town) milled by hand pounding, abrasive decortication – hammer milling, and roller milling	123
Figure 4.1.	Distribution plots (Histogram, Box and Whisker plot, and Normal probability plot) of residuals for cereal aroma of 36 sorghum porridges prepared from 12 sorghum grain types milled by hand pounding, roller milling and abrasive decortication - hammer milling	133
Fig. 4.2.	Micrograph of roller milled meal of a red sorghum type (SNK) showing bran fragments attached to endosperm particles and loose bran particles	138
Fig. 4.3.	Diagrammatic illustration of the proposed large scale commercial sorghum milling plant	152