APPENDIX 1. FLAC MODELS AND DERIVATIONS

A1.1 Applied models for FLAC code

A1.1.1 Model for the vertical stress comparison between the FLAC ubiquitous joints model and the theoretical development in Jaeger and Cook (1979)

title
Compressive strength of a shale specimen with a plane of weakness
g 5 10
set mess off
def hsol
  loop k (0,18)
    beta=90.0*(18.0-k)/18.0
    alfa=90-beta
  command
    mo null
    mo ubi
  pro den 2700 bulk 4.5e9 she 2.3e9 fric 19 co 1.4e5 ten
3.5e5
  pro jco 1e5 jfric 8 jang alfa jten 1e6
  fix y j 1
  fix y j 11
  ini yvel -1e-7 j 11
  ini yvel 1e-7 j 1
  set st_damp comb
  step 4000
  print beta
  print sigmav
  print anal
  end_command
end_loop
end ;
def sigmav
  sum=0.0
  loop i (1,igp)
    sum=sum+yforce(i,jgp)
  end_loop
  sigmav=sum/(x(igp,jgp)-x(1,jgp))
end

def ve
  ve=(ydisp(3,1)-ydisp(3,11))/(y(3,11)-y(3,1))
end
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```
def anal
    mc=cohesion(1,1)
mfi=friction(1,1)*degrad
jc=jcohesion(1,1)
jfi=jfriction(1,1)*degrad
sm=2.0*mc*cos(mfi)/(1.0-sin(mfi))
if beta=90*int(beta/90) then
    sj=-1
else
    divsj=((1.0-tan(jfi)*tan(beta*degrad))*sin(2.0*beta*degrad))
    if divsj=0.0 then
        sj=-1
    else
        sj=2.0*jc/divsj
    end_if
end_if
if sj<0 then
    anal=sm
else
    anal=min(sj,sm)
end_if
end

hist nstep 100
hist unbal
hist sigmav
hist anal
hist beta
hist ve
hist yv i 1 j 1
hsol
save UCT.sav
plot hold grid
plot hold his 2 3 cross vs 4 begin 4000 skip 40
return

A1.1.2 Model for homogeneous sandstone profile with undulated ground surface – 15° inclination at the limb’s surface

g 250,100
m m
prop s=5.2e9 b=5.9e9 d=2600 fri=21 coh=1e10 ten=1e10
def mon
    rj=1.0/jzones
    sum=0.0
    loop i (130,235)
        y_change=-1.1*sin(igp*degrad)
        y(i,1)=y(i-1,1)+0.9*y_change
```
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\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sum} &= \text{sum} + (y(i-1,1) - y(1,1)) \\
y(i,1) &= y(i,1) - 0.2 \cdot \text{sum}/i \\
\text{loop} &\ j \ (2,j\text{gp}-1) \\
y(i,j) &= y(i,1) + (y(i,j\text{gp}) - y(i,1)) \cdot (j-1) \cdot rj \\
\text{end\_loop} \\
\text{end\_loop} \\
\text{end} \\
\text{end}\_\text{loop} \\
\text{end}\_\text{mon} \\
\text{fix} &\ x \ i=1 \\
\text{fix} &\ x \ i=251 \\
\text{fix} &\ y \ j=1 \\
\text{hist} &\ \text{unbal} \\
\text{set} &\ \text{large} \\
\text{solve} \\
\text{;}; \\
\text{title} \\
k=2.0; \ 15 \ \text{deg incl.} \\
\text{prop} \ s=5.2e9 \ b=5.9e9 \ \text{ten}=5.5e6 \ \text{fri}=21 \ \text{d}=2600 \\
\text{def} \ k0\_\text{set} \\
\text{loop} &\ i \ (1,i\text{zones}) \\
\text{loop} &\ j \ (1,j\text{zones}) \\
sxx(i,j) &= 2.0 \cdot syy(i,j) \\
\text{end\_loop} \\
\text{end\_loop} \\
\text{end}}
\]

k0_set

set grav=9.81
ini xdis=0 ydis=0
solve
save k15-sst.sav

A1.1.3 FLAC model for 2m thick embedded shale layer at 30m depth and 5° inclination at the limb’s surface

\[
g 250,100 \\
m \ m \ j \ 1 \ 70 \\
m \ u \ j \ 71 \ 72 \\
m \ m \ j \ 73 \ 100 \\
\text{prop} \ s=5.2e9 \ b=5.9e9 \ \text{d}=2600 \ \text{fri}=21 \ \text{coh}=1e10 \ \text{ten}=1e10 \ j=1,70 \\
\text{prop} \ s=2.3e9 \ b=4.5e9 \ \text{d}=2700 \ \text{fri}=14 \ \text{coh}=1e10 \ \text{ten}=1e10 \ j=71,72 \\
\text{prop} \ ja=0 \ \text{jc}=1e10 \ \text{jf}=8 \ \text{jt}=1e10 \ j=71,72 \\
\text{prop} \ s=5.2e9 \ b=5.9e9 \ \text{d}=2600 \ \text{fri}=21 \ \text{coh}=1e10 \ \text{ten}=1e10 \ j=73,100 \\
\text{def} \ \text{mon} \\
\text{rj}=1.0/j\text{zones} \\
\text{sum}=0.0 \\
\text{loop} &\ i \ (130,235)
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\[
\begin{align*}
y_{\text{change}} &= -1.1 \sin(\text{igp} \times \text{degrad}) \\
y(i,1) &= y(i-1,1) + 0.3 \times y_{\text{change}} \\
\text{sum} &= \text{sum} + (y(i-1,1) - y(1,1)) \\
y(i,1) &= y(i,1) - 0.2 \times \text{sum} / i \\
\text{loop } j &\ (2, \text{jpgp}-1) \\
&\ y(i,j) = y(i,1) + (y(i,\text{jpgp}) - y(i,1)) \times (j-1) \times \text{rj}
\end{align*}
\]

end_loop
end_loop
end

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{mon} \\
\text{set } \text{grav} &= 9.81 \\
\text{fix } x &= i = 1 \\
\text{fix } x &= i = 251 \\
\text{fix } x &= y \ j = 1 \\
\text{hist } y\text{dis } i &= 76 \ j = 100 \\
\text{solve} \\
\text{;}
\text{;}
\text{title} \\
k &= 2.0; \ 2\ m \ \text{shale}; \ 05 \ deg \\
\text{prop } s &= 5.2e9 \ b = 5.9e9 \ \text{ten} = 5.5e6 \ \text{coh} = 7e5 \ \text{fri} = 21 \ d = 2600 \ j = 1 \\
70 \ ; \text{SST} \\
\text{prop } s &= 2.3e9 \ b = 4.5e9 \ \text{ten} = 3.5e6 \ \text{coh} = 4.4e5 \ \text{fri} = 14 \ d = 2700 \\
\text{prop } j &= a = 0 \ \text{jc} = 1e5 \ \text{jf} = 8 \ \text{jt} = 1e6 \ i = 36 \ 120 \ j = 71, 72 \\
\text{prop } s &= 5.2e9 \ b = 5.9e9 \ \text{ten} = 5.5e6 \ \text{coh} = 7e5 \ \text{fri} = 21 \ d = 2600 \\
\text{prop } j &= 73, 100; \text{SST} \\
\text{def } k_0\_\text{set} \\
\text{loop } i &\ (1, \text{izones}) \\
\text{loop } j &\ (1, \text{jzones}) \\
\text{sxx}(i,j) &= 2.0 \times \text{syy}(i,j)
\end{align*}
\]

end
end_k0_set

ini xdis = 0 ydis = 0
set large
solve

\section*{A1.2 Stress analysis}

Many authors, such as Singh (1979) and Feda (1992), discuss shear stress as the only stress that triggers slope failure. Kulhawy et al. (1973) seem to be the first to mention the difference between the Mohr-Coulomb shear failure criterion and the stress failure criterion developed authors. Using finite element
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analysis, these calculated the safety factor based on stress level after the assumption that the rock is brought to failure by increasing the value of one of the principal stresses $\sigma_1$, while holding the other, $\sigma_3$, constant.

Figure A1.1a shows a flaw or a micro fracture in a two-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system. Let us assume that a pair of stresses acts on the flaw (presented by horizontal and vertical stress components). Their result is the stress normal to the flaw’s plane. Since the flaw is not collinear with one of the principal stress directions, there is some shear stress at the flaw’s plane as well. These stresses in the virgin stress conditions are in equilibrium at the flaw, so there is no flaw extension, propagation or coalescence with the neighbouring ones. These stress conditions are known as a virgin stress state and could be denoted as virgin horizontal ($\sigma_{xx}^V$), virgin vertical ($\sigma_{yy}^V$) and virgin shear ($\sigma_{xy}^V$) stress components. In this case we can denote the stress normal to the flaw’s plane as $\sigma_N^V$.

mining activities (Figure A1.1b) bring about a change in the stress state, known as “resultant stress state”. These stresses could be denoted as resultant horizontal stress ($\sigma_{xx}^R$), resultant vertical stress ($\sigma_{yy}^R$), and resultant shear stress ($\sigma_{xy}^R$). The first two resultant stress components (horizontal and vertical) will form a new resultant state, normal to stress of the flaw’s plane ($\sigma_N^R$).
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Therefore, we can say that any possible changes in the flaw pattern (extension, new flaw propagation, coalescence between microcracks in the rock or even failure) will result from the difference between those two loading conditions. Hence, it follows that:

\[ \Delta \sigma_{XX} = \sigma_{XX}^R - \sigma_{XX}^V \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1.1)

\[ \Delta \sigma_{YY} = \sigma_{YY}^R - \sigma_{YY}^V \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1.2)

Figure A1.1
Stress state in the infinitesimal flaw, in virgin conditions, and b) after excavation
\[ \Delta \sigma_{XY} = \sigma_{XY}^R - \sigma_{XY}^V \] (A1.3)

where \( \Delta \sigma_{XY}, \Delta \sigma_{YY} \) and \( \Delta \sigma_{XY} \) are the stress differences for the horizontal, vertical, and shear stress components. Olson (1993) uses this principle to calculate stress changes caused by tectonic irregularities.

One can easily see that the stress difference in Equations A1.1 – A1.3 has a negative sign in the case when the material relaxes from the virgin stress state or a positive sign in the case of increased loading when using rock mechanics sign conversion.

Normal force to the failure plane is in use as a basal element for the limit equilibrium methods in slope stability analyses. As mentioned in Chapter 1, observed failure planes with embedded anisotropic weaker layers are mainly parallel to the sedimentation.

According to the type of horizontal and vertical stresses (virgin and resultant), the normal to the failure plane induced stress (after Equations A1.1–A1.3) can be a combination of two compressive stresses, a combination of two tensile stresses or a combination of tensile and compressive stress. Figure A1.2 shows the case of biaxial tension applied to the material plane of weakness.

In matrix notation, stress transforms as follows

\[ [\sigma'] = [\lambda] [\sigma] [\lambda]^T \] (A1.4)
where $[\lambda]$ is directional cosine matrix. For the angle $\alpha$ shown in Figure A1.2

$$[\lambda] = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \quad (A1.5)$$

Applying Equation A1.4, we could write

\[ \Delta \sigma_{YY} \]

**Figure A1.2**

Stress state applied to the plane of weakness and remote biaxial loading

\[ \sigma'_{11} = \lambda_{11} \lambda_{11} \sigma_{11} + \lambda_{11} \lambda_{12} \sigma_{12} + \lambda_{12} \lambda_{11} \sigma_{21} + \lambda_{12} \lambda_{12} \sigma_{22} \\
= \sigma_{11} \cos^2 \alpha + \sigma_{12} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \sigma_{21} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \sigma_{22} \sin^2 \alpha \quad (A1.6) \]

\[ \sigma'_{12} = \lambda_{11} \lambda_{21} \sigma_{11} + \lambda_{11} \lambda_{22} \sigma_{12} + \lambda_{12} \lambda_{21} \sigma_{21} + \lambda_{12} \lambda_{22} \sigma_{22} \\
= -\sigma_{11} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \sigma_{12} \cos^2 \alpha - \sigma_{21} \sin^2 \alpha + \sigma_{22} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \\
= \sigma'_{21} \quad (A1.7) \]

\[ \sigma'_{22} = \lambda_{21} \lambda_{21} \sigma_{11} + \lambda_{21} \lambda_{22} \sigma_{12} + \lambda_{22} \lambda_{21} \sigma_{21} + \lambda_{22} \lambda_{22} \sigma_{22} \\
= \sigma_{11} \sin^2 \alpha - \sigma_{12} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha - \sigma_{21} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \sigma_{22} \cos^2 \alpha \quad (A1.8) \]
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Hence, for the new set of the co-ordinate system \((X'Y')\), the normal stress difference \(\Delta\sigma_{Y'} = \Delta\sigma_N\) will have the form of

\[
\Delta\sigma_{Y'} = \Delta\sigma_N = \Delta\sigma_{XX} \sin^2 \alpha - 2\Delta\sigma_{XY} \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \Delta\sigma_{YY} \cos^2 \alpha \quad (A1.9)
\]

where \(\Delta\sigma_{XX} \), \(\Delta\sigma_{YY} \) and \(\Delta\sigma_{XY} \) are the stress differences between the stress state after slope excavation and the virgin stress state for the horizontal, vertical and shear stress components respectively. See Equations A1.1 to A1.3.

A1.3 Equations in Chapter 4

A1.3.1 Equation 4.16

\[
K_i^D = -2\sigma_I \sqrt{\frac{1}{W} \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}} \int_a^c \cos \frac{\pi \xi}{W} \left[ \left( \sin \frac{\pi c}{W} \right)^2 - \left( \sin \frac{\pi \xi}{W} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\xi \quad (A1.10)
\]

Replace \( \sin \frac{\pi \xi}{W} = u \). Then \( du = \frac{\pi}{W} \cos \frac{\pi \xi}{W} d\xi \) and Equation A1.10 has the form:

\[
K_i^D = -2\sigma_I W \sqrt{\frac{1}{W} \tan \frac{\pi c}{W} \sin \frac{\pi c}{W}} \int_{\sin \frac{\pi a}{W}}^{\sin \frac{\pi c}{W}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{\left( \sin \frac{\pi c}{W} \right)^2 - u^2}} \quad (A1.11)
\]
\[ -\frac{2\sigma W}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{W} \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}} \arcsin \frac{u}{\sin \frac{\pi c}{W} \sin \frac{\pi}{W}} = \] 

(A1.12)

\[ -\frac{2\sigma W}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{W} \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}} \left[ \arcsin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) - \arcsin \frac{\pi}{W} \right] = \] 

(A1.13)

\[ -\frac{2\sigma W}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{W} \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin \frac{\pi a}{W} \sin \left( \frac{\pi c}{W} \right) \right] = \] 

(A1.14)

\[ -\sigma \sqrt{\frac{W \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}}{W}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\pi a}{W} \sin \left( \frac{\pi c}{W} \right) \right] \] 

(A1.15)

**A1.3.2 Equation 4.19**

\[ \Delta \sigma \sqrt{\frac{W \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}}{W}} - \sigma \sqrt{\frac{W \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}}{W}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\pi a}{W} \sin \left( \frac{\pi c}{W} \right) \right] = 0 \] 

(A1.16)

Dividing both sides on Equation A1.16 by \( \sqrt{\frac{W \tan \frac{\pi c}{W}}{W}} \):

\[ \Delta \sigma - \sigma \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\pi a}{W} \sin \left( \frac{\pi c}{W} \right) \right] = 0 \] 

(A1.17)
Appendix 1. FLAC models and derivations

\[
\frac{2\sigma_I}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) = \sigma_I - \Delta\sigma_N
\]  
(A1.18)

\[
\arcsin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) \frac{\pi}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi c}{W} \right)} = \frac{\pi(\sigma_I - \Delta\sigma_N)}{2\sigma_I}
\]  
(A1.19)

\[
\frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi c}{W} \right)} = \frac{\sin(\sigma_I - \Delta\sigma_N)}{2\sigma_I}
\]  
(A1.20)

\[
\sin \left( \frac{\pi c}{W} \right) = \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{0.5\pi - 0.5\pi \Delta\sigma_N}{\sigma_I} \right)}
\]  
(A1.21)

\[
\frac{\pi c}{W} = \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{0.5\pi \Delta\sigma_N}{\sigma_I} \right)} \right)
\]  
(A1.22)

\[
c = \frac{W}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{0.5\pi \Delta\sigma_N}{\sigma_I} \right)} \right)
\]  
(A1.23)

Replacing \( c \) with \( c = a + l \)
Appendix 1. FLAC models and derivations

\[
l = \frac{W}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) - a \tag{A1.24}
\]

A1.3.3 Equation 4.21

\[
l_c = \frac{W}{\pi} \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin(\pi a / W)}{\cos(0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_i)} \right) - a \tag{A1.25}
\]

\[
\frac{\pi(l_c + a)}{W} = \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin(\pi a / W)}{\cos(0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_i)} \right) \tag{A1.26}
\]

\[
\sin[\pi(l_c + a)/W] = \frac{\sin(\pi a / W)}{\cos(0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_i)} \tag{A1.27}
\]

\[
\cos(0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_i) = \frac{\sin(\pi a / W)}{\sin[\pi(l_c + a)/W]} = \frac{\sin(\pi a / W)}{\sin[\pi(l_c + a)/W]} \tag{A1.28}
\]

\[
0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_i = \arccos \left( \frac{\sin(\pi a / W)}{\sin[\pi(l_c + a)/W]} \right) \tag{A1.29}
\]

\[
\Delta \sigma_N^p = \frac{2\sigma_i}{\pi} \arccos \left( \frac{\sin(\pi a / W)}{\sin[\pi(l_c + a)/W]} \right) \tag{A1.30}
\]

A1.3.4 Equation 4.24

Substituting Equation 4.19 into Equation 4.23:
\[ 2a + \frac{2W}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\sin(\pi a/W)}{\cos(0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_t)} - 2a = W \] \tag{A1.31}

and

\[ \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\sin(\pi a/W)}{\cos(0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_t)} = 1 \] \tag{A1.32}

\[ \frac{\sin(\pi a/W)}{\cos(0.5\pi \Delta \sigma_N / \sigma_t)} = \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = 1 \] \tag{A1.33}

\[ \sin(\pi a/W) = \cos \left( \frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_t} \right) \] \tag{A1.34}

We can replace \( \sin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) \) at the left hand side of the Equation A1.34 with a \( \cos(f) \), which is shown in Equations A1.35a and A1.35b below.

\[ \sin \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) = \begin{cases} -\cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) \\ \text{or} \\ \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) \end{cases} \] \tag{A1.35a}

\[ \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) \] \tag{A1.35b}

Let us first combine Equations A1.34 and A1.35a. Then we have:

\[ -\cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) = \cos \left( \frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_t} \right) \] \tag{A1.36}
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As the \( \cos \nu = \cos(-\nu) \), then the Equation A1.36 has four possible solutions. If the both arguments are with the same sign ("+" or "-"), then after applying the inverse cosine function the Equation A1.36 can be written as:

\[
-\left( \frac{\pi + a}{2W} + \frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_i} \right) = \frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_i} \quad (A1.37)
\]

Dividing both sides of Equation A1.37 by \( \pi/2 \) we obtain with further manipulation:

\[
\frac{W + 2a}{W} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_N}{\sigma_i} \quad (A1.38)
\]

It is seen that Equation A1.38 is an impossible solution because the left-hand side of the equation always will have negative value (\( W \) and \( a \) are real positive numbers), while the right-hand side always will be positive.

If we assume that both arguments of cosine functions in Equation A1.36 are with opposite signs, then we will have:

\[
\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi a}{W} = \frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_i} \quad (A1.39)
\]

and similar to Equation A1.38 we can write:

\[
\frac{W + 2a}{W} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_N}{\sigma_i} \quad (A1.40)
\]

It is also seen that Equation A1.40 is impossible solution because left-hand side of the equation always will have value bigger than one, while the right-hand side always will have value lower than one.
Let us now combine Equations A1.34 and A1.35b. Then we have again two options: both cosine arguments are either the same or opposite sign. Let us first consider the case with the same sign arguments. Hence, we will have equation in the form of:

\[
\cos\left(\frac{\pi - \pi a}{2 W}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_t}\right) \quad (A1.41)
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{\pi - \pi a}{2 W} = \frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_t} \quad (A1.42)
\]

Dividing both sides of Equation A1.40 by \(\pi/2\), we obtain after further manipulation:

\[
\frac{W-2a}{W} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_N}{\sigma_t} \quad (A1.43)
\]

Equation A1.43 has only meaning if \(W-2a>0\) (particularly, when \(W>2a\)) and can be used in the further because its both sides are with the same sign. After assuming that \(2a\) is smaller than \(W\), both sides of Equation A1.43 are positive and smaller than one.

If we assume that the cosine arguments in Equation A1.41 have different signs, then we can write:

\[
\frac{\pi a}{W} - \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{\pi \Delta \sigma_N}{2 \sigma_t} \quad (A1.44)
\]

and

\[
\frac{2a-W}{W} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_N}{\sigma_t} \quad (A1.45)
\]

It can be seen that Equation A1.45 is also possible solution because both sides of the equation are the
same sign and smaller than one in cases where $2a > W$.

On the other hand the condition $2a > W$ does not comply
with Equation 4.23 and Figure 4.9, Chapter 4. Therefore, the only possible solution is the equation A1.43. If $2a = W$, then we will have pre-existing
tensile fracture propagation and consequently, $\Delta \sigma_N = 0$.

Hence we can write

$$\sigma_N^p = \sigma_t \frac{W - 2a}{W}$$

(A1.46)

### A1.4 Equations in Chapter 5

**Equation 5.16**

$$\tan \delta = \tan \phi + \frac{c_l}{R \cos \delta}$$

(A1.47)

Multiply both sides of Equation A1.47 by $\cos \delta$, we will have

$$\sin \delta = \tan \phi \cos \delta + \frac{c_l}{R}$$

(A1.48)

Substituting $\cos \delta = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \delta}$ in Equation A1.48:

$$\sin \delta = \tan \phi \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \delta} + \frac{c_l}{R}$$

(A1.49)

If we transfer the coefficient $\frac{c_l}{R}$ from right-hand side of Equation A1.48 to the left-hand side and square both sides:

$$\sin^2 \delta - 2 \frac{c_l}{R} \sin \delta + \left( \frac{c_l}{R} \right)^2 = \tan^2 \phi - \sin^2 \delta \tan^2 \phi$$

(A1.50)
Equation A1.50 becomes after simplification:

\[
\sin^2 \delta \left(1 + \tan^2 \phi \right) - 2 \frac{c_l}{R} \sin \delta + \left( \frac{c_l}{R} \right)^2 - \tan^2 \phi = 0 \tag{A1.51}
\]

This is a quadratic equation in \(\sin \delta\) in which there is a real solution only if:

\[
4 \left( \frac{c_l}{R} \right)^2 - 4 \left(1 + \tan^2 \phi \right) \left( \frac{c_l^2}{R^2} - \tan^2 \phi \right) \geq 0 . \tag{A1.52}
\]

Equation A1.51 simplifies to

\[
\sec^2 \phi - \left( \frac{c_l}{R} \right)^2 \geq 0 \tag{A1.53}
\]

where

\[
\sec \phi \geq \frac{c_l}{R} \tag{A1.54}
\]

and

\[
\phi \geq \sec^{-1} \left( \frac{c_l}{R} \right) \tag{A1.55}
\]