A NATURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY OF SANGO RANCH, SAVE VALLEY CONSERVANCY, ZIMBABWE

by

CHARLES JAMES HIN

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

MAGISTER SCIENTIAE in Wildlife Management

Centre for Wildlife Management
Faculty of Natural, Agricultural and Information Sciences
University of Pretoria
Pretoria

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. J. du P. Bothma Co-supervisor: Prof. Dr. N. van Rooyen

NOVEMBER 2000

A NATURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY OF SANGO RANCH, SAVE VALLEY CONSERVANCY, ZIMBABWE

by

CHARLES JAMES HIN

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. J. du P. Bothma Co-supervisor: Prof. Dr. N. van Rooyen

Centre for Wildlife Management
Natural, Agricultural and Information Sciences
University of Pretoria
Pretoria

Magister Scientiae (Wildlife Management)

ABSTRACT

Research was conducted to describe the natural resources of Sango Ranch, Zimbabwe, in terms of soils, vegetation and animal populations. A phytosociological and structural classification facilitated the identification of plant communities and management units. Veld condition and responses of the common grass species to grazing pressure were determined with a DECORANA ordination. The grasses were allocated to various ecological categories according to their responses along the grazing gradient so obtained. Herbaceous biomass for each management unit was determined with the disc pasture meter. The browsing capacity was determined by calculating the available leaf biomass of the woody vegetation. The grazing capacity was calculated using GRAZE. Ecological capacity was calculated as the sum of the grazing and browsing capacities. Phenological characteristics of 23 plant species were collected for 12 months. It followed a typical pattern. Recommendations are made on the ecological management of Sango Ranch and a monitoring programme is presented.

CONTENTS

0
1
3
3
3
6
0
0
1
3
6
6
7
7
7
7
8
6
8
8
8
9
0
0
4
5
5
В
В
3
0
_
5
1

	1.4 The Sporobolus nitens-Urochloa mosambicensis Sho	ort
	Closed Woodland	68
2.	The Colophospermum mopane-Brachiaria deflexa	
	Short Thicket // Short Closed Woodland	73
	2.1 The Commiphora edulis-Colophospermum mopane	
	Short Thicket	78
	2.2 The Indigofera praticola–Colophospermum mopane	
	Short Closed Woodland	83
	2.3 The Thilachium africanum—Colophospermum mopani	
	Short Thicket	88
		00
	2.4 The Ruellia patula–Colophospermum mopane Tall	00
_	Closed Woodland	88
3.	The Combretum apiculatum subsp. apiculatum-	
	Colophospermum mopane Short Closed Woodland	94
4.	The Combretum apiculatum subsp. apiculatum–Digitaria	
	milanjiana Tall Closed Woodland	99
	4.1 The Dalbergia melanoxylon–Combretum apiculatum	
	subsp. apiculatum Short Closed Woodland	104
	4.2 The Commiphora africana-Digitaria milanjiana	
	Tall Closed Woodland	110
	4.3 The Kirkia acuminata-Panicum maximum Tall	
	Closed Woodland	119
5.	The Millettia usumarensis subsp. australis-Brachiaria def	lexa
	Short Koppie Thicket	125
6.	The Acacia tortilis subsp. heteracantha-Panicum maximu	ım
	Tall Closed Woodland	131
7.	The Dalbergia arbutifolia-Diospyros mespiliformes High	
	Riverine Forest	131
	7.1 The Strychnos potatorum-Panicum maximum High	
	Closed Woodland // Short Thicket	137
	7.2 The Albizia glaberrima var. glabrescens-Panicum	
	maximum High Forest	143
	7.3 The Faidherbia albida–Eriochloa meyeriana Tall	
	Closed Woodland Sub-community	152
8.	The Phragmites mauritianus Tall Closed Reedbeds	157
9.	The Echinochloa colona–Cyperus digitatus subsp. aurico	
٥.	Tall Open Wetland	157
	9.1 The Paspalidium obtusifolium–Echinochloa colona Ta	
	Closed Wetland	163
	9.2 The Acacia xanthophloea– Echinochloa colona Tall	100
	Closed Woodland	163
	Ordination	169
	Identification of management units	174
	Description of the management units of Sango Ranch	174
	Description of the management units of Sango Ranch	1/4

Conclusion	176
6. HERBACEOUS SPECIES COMPOSITION AND VELD	
CONDITION ASSESSMENT	179
Introduction	179
Methods	180
Species composition of the herbaceous layer	180
Veld condition	181
Results and discussion	183
Grass species composition	183
Degradation gradient	186
Ecological status categories	190
Veld condition	190
7. HERBACEOUS BIOMASS ASSESSMENT	193
Introduction	193
Methods	194
Selection of sample sites	194
Measurement of settling height	195
Calculation of herbage yield	195
Results and discussion	195
Conclusion	197
8. AVAILABLE BROWSE	198
Introduction	198
Methods	199
Results and discussion	200
Conclusion	209
9. ECOLOGICAL CAPACITY	210
Introduction	210
Methods	212
Grazing capacity	212
Browsing capacity	212
Results and discussion	212
Grazing capacity	212
Browsing capacity	214
10. PHENOLOGY	217
Introduction	217
Methods	218
Results and discussion	219
Conclusion	222
11. WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS	223
Introduction	223
Adaptive management	224
Veld management	224
Fire management	225
Grazing and browsing management	229
Stocking rate	230

Placing and control of waterpoints	235
The harvesting of selected plant species	238
Monitoring program	241
Biotic factors	242
Abiotic factors	244
General factors	245
SUMMARY	246
OPSOMMING	249
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	252
APPENDIX A - PLANT SPECIES LIST	253
APPENDIX B - LIST OF MAMMAL SPECIES	268
REFERENCES	269