How Well Does the PCA3-incorporated Chun Nomogram Perform in Predicting Prostate Biopsy Outcome Among South African Men?

The incidence of prostate cancer among South African men is just as significant as it is worldwide [1,2]. Although the role of the prostate cancer antigen 3 (PCA3) assay in predicting biopsy outcome has proven beneficial in a South African context [3], the assessment of its role incorporated into a prostate cancer risk calculator has not yet been explored on the continent of Africa. We aimed to assess the performance of the PCA3-incorporated Chun nomogram [4] and to compare its performance with other contemporary risk calculators.

We prospectively evaluated 107 consecutive South African men that were already scheduled for a 13-core transrectal ultrasound prostate biopsy at two referral centres in the South African capital city, Pretoria. The urine PCA3 assay was always performed prior to biopsy and was evaluated using the Progensa assay (GenProbe, San Diego, CA, USA). Performance of the following four calculators was compared:

- Chun nomogram [4]
- Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial (PCPT) risk calculator [5]
- Updated (PCA3 incorporated) PCPT risk calculator [5]
- European Randomised Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer (ERSPC) risk calculator [6]

Histologic evidence of cancer in the prostate biopsy specimen was set as the primary end point. The
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**Fig. 1 – Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of the four prostate cancer risk calculators in a South African setting.**
The discriminating ability of each risk calculator was assessed and compared using the concordance index (c-index). The mean patient age was 67 yr. A positive cancer outcome was present in 46 of 107 men, with only 19 of 107 scheduled for a repeat biopsy. Ultimately, 97 patients were assessed because 10 patients were excluded from the comparison data set due to inconclusive PCA3 assay scores (2 of 10) and patient age <55 yr (8 of 10).

The four calculators had the following c-indexes: Chun nomogram, 0.93 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.88–0.97); PCPT, 0.80 (95% CI, 0.72–0.89); updated PCPT, 0.77 (95% CI, 0.68–0.86); ERSPC, 0.91 (95% CI, 0.85–0.97) (Fig. 1).

The Chun nomogram outperformed the other calculators assessed. Because the initial development of the Chun nomogram involved multiple centres in Europe and included both Canada and the United States [4], our findings may further support the universality of this PCA3-incorporated risk calculator.

The PCPT risk calculators do not have a specific option within the racial category for the local black African man, so the option other was used for this racial group. This may have underestimated the risk for a certain racial subset in our study and may explain the poorer performance of the PCPT and updated PCPT risk calculators.

Because the ERSPC risk calculator also performed exceptionally well, the cost–benefit ratio of incorporating the PCA3 assay into a risk calculator may be questioned. The role of a more recent PCA3-incorporated, initial-biopsy-specific nomogram [7] may be of added value within the initial biopsy subset of patients in our context. Further evaluation in this regard is needed. For now, the PCA3-incorporated Chun nomogram has outperformed other risk calculators in this setting.
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