Determination Of The Mercury Content Of Lichens and Comparison To Atmospheric Mercury Levels In The South African Highveld Region
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Abstract
The concentration of mercury vapour in ambient air is routinely determined using specialised instruments. As an economical alternative, actively pumped Hopcalite sorbent tubes can be used to trap atmospheric mercury, which is subsequently analysed by cold vapour atomic absorption spectroscopy. Plant materials are also readily available in most regions and can be analysed to obtain information on time averaged atmospheric mercury levels.

Lichen and tree bark samples were collected in the cities of Pretoria and Witbank, dried and acid digested with subsequent cold vapour atomic absorption spectroscopy. Average mercury concentrations ranging from 74 to 193 μg.kg⁻¹ were found in lichens from three Pretoria suburbs, whilst average Hg levels of 228 μg.kg⁻¹ were determined in lichens collected in Witbank. The average mercury concentration in tree bark was consistently lower than in lichens, with concentrations between 28 and 72 μg.kg⁻¹ determined in samples from three Pretoria suburbs and 75 μg.kg⁻¹ determined in samples taken in Witbank. This study is the first in South Africa to determine mercury levels in lichens and tree bark.

Average total gaseous mercury concentrations in ambient air at the three Pretoria suburban sites, as determined by a semi-continuous spectroscopic method using Hopcalite sampling, ranged between 1.6 and 2.5 ng.m⁻³, while an average of 1.7 ng.m⁻³ was measured in Witbank over the sampling interval.
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Introduction
Anthropogenic activity is a major contributor to the atmospheric mercury burden. Sources include coal fired power plants, incinerators, chloralkali plants and battery production plants (Pacyna & Pacyna, 2002). Background atmospheric mercury levels of 1.3 ng.m⁻³ have been measured in remote, non-exposed areas, with the volatility and relative inertness of the element contributing to its distribution in the atmosphere (Carpi, 1997). On the other hand, values above 30 ng.m⁻³ have been reported in suburbs of the highly polluted city of Beijing during adverse weather conditions (Liu et al., 2002). Ambient total gaseous mercury (TGM) concentrations in background air average at around 1.5 ng.m⁻³ worldwide (European Communities, 2002). South Africa has been rated as one of the largest emitters of mercury in the world with an estimated emission of 256 tons of mercury per annum (Pacyna et al., 2006), although a lower estimate of 62 tons was determined for 2006 (Masekoameng et al., 2010). These emissions are largely the result of the country's use of coal as a primary energy source, and the relative density of coal fired power plants in the Highveld region would thus be suggestive of a potentially high atmospheric mercury burden in this area. It is therefore important that local atmospheric Hg levels be determined to aid in the assessment of potential environmental impacts.

Semi-continuous emissions monitors (SCEMs), based on the amalgamation principle, are well established for the determination of atmospheric mercury. They are capable of almost real time measurements and their high sensitivity allows for the detection of atmospheric mercury even in remote, non-exposed areas (Ebinghaus et al., 1999). A combination of mercury pre-concentration by amalgamation and detection by atomic fluorescence spectroscopy ensure high specificity of mercury SCEMs. Due to the complexity and size of such systems, analysers are difficult to move to new positions for short-term monitoring and are usually installed in fixed monitoring stations.
Hopcalite type sorbent tubes have long been used for monitoring of mercury vapours in the workplace where mercury concentrations in air far exceed environmental levels (Rathje et al., 1974). Actively pumped sorbent tubes are in use for personal monitoring, typically during an eight hour shift in industrial environments where mercury concentrations of up to 50 μg.m⁻³ are permissible (NIOSH, 1994). The combination of sorbent tube and pump can easily be moved and installed and is stable to changes in the environment. Since the flow rate through a sorbent tube is limited (NIOSH, 1994), an increase in sensitivity to ambient environmental atmospheric mercury concentrations in the low ng.m⁻³ range (Ebinghaus et al., 1999) would have to be achieved through extending the exposure time. Due to the strong bond between mercury and the sorbent material (Health and Safety Executive, 2002), breakthrough effects are not likely to impede increasing the exposure time and thus the volume of air sampled.

The analysis of biological matrices provides time averaged levels of air pollutants as a result of long term exposure and may therefore be used as useful indicators of air pollution. Lichens are well studied biomonitor for atmospheric mercury because their slow growth, large surface area and lack of a root system make them good absorbers of airborne pollutants (Conti & Cechetti, 2001). Lichens absorb nutrients and pollutants mainly through wet and dry deposition (Becnel et al., 2004), but may also lose the absorbed pollutants over time. It has been calculated that once pollution has subsided, metals that have been absorbed by lichens may take up to four years to be released from the lichen thallus until a new equilibrium is reached (Walther et al., 1990). A point source, such as a chloralkali production plant, has been shown to result in a clear mercury concentration gradient in lichens harvested at an increasing distance from the source (Sensen & Richardson, 2002), although the mercury content in lichens may vary according to the host tree. It is therefore important that lichens are collected from the same host tree species. Monitoring of environmental pollution may be performed by either analysing lichens growing naturally in polluted areas or by transplanting lichens from non-exposed areas to polluted areas for a certain time. It has been suggested that transplanted lichens should be protected against direct rain exposure to avoid leaching of trapped heavy metals (Machado et al., 2006). In South Africa, lichens are starting to gain importance as environmental monitors with lead and manganese levels having been determined in lichens following the introduction of organo-

manganese compounds in petrol as a replacement for lead additives (Forbes et al., 2009 and Monna et al., 2006). Heavy metals associated with dust originating from metal smelters have also been determined in lichens, tree bark and dust (Panichev & McCrindle, 2004).

This study serves to determine the Hg levels in lichens growing in areas of the South African Highveld region for the first time. Samples were taken in areas which were expected to have higher Hg levels in ambient air, as well as in potentially less impacted areas. Total gaseous Hg concentrations were also determined in the sampling areas using a semi-continuous spectroscopic method based on sorbent sampling (Trüe et al., 2010) for comparative purposes.

1. Materials and Methods

1.1 Sampling sites

The Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) is located in Pretoria's eastern suburb of Brummeria (Table 1). The premises are in an area with little vehicle traffic but highways are located approximately 0.5 km to the west and 1 km to the north and east of the sampling site. Mercury sorbent tubes (Anasorb C300, SKC International, Eighty-Four, PA, USA) protruded from a partially opened window approximately 10 m above ground level. It should be noted that ideal sampling sites at appropriate distances from buildings could not be realised due to the power supply needs of sampling pumps and for security reasons.

The suburb of Hatfield is located approximately 5 km to the west of the CSIR towards the city centre in an area with very high vehicle traffic volumes. Hatfield and the city centre are in a valley with mountain ridges to the north and south. Mercury sorbent tubes and pumps were installed on a flat rooftop, approximately 10 m above ground level.

The air monitoring station in Pretoria West, adjacent to a coal-fired power station, is situated a further 9 km to the west in an area with moderate vehicle traffic. Mercury sorbent tubes were installed in a secure shelter approximately 2 m above ground level.

The city of Witbank lies approximately 100 km to the east of Pretoria and its industry is dominated by steelworks and electricity production by coal fired power plants. Steel production sites are located approximately 6 km to the northwest and 14 km to the west of the sampling site in the city centre, while the closest of a number of coal-fired
power plants in the area is situated approximately 10 km from the city limits to the south east, as seen in Figure 1. Lichen and bark samples were taken at the Witbank Golf Course situated centrally, but surrounded by schools, sports grounds and a cemetery. Mercury sorbent tubes were installed at the golf course's club house, approximately 1 m above ground. The coordinates of the sampling sites and further details are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Coordinates of lichen and tree bark sampling and atmospheric mercury monitoring sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Site</th>
<th>Lichen / Bark Sampling Dates</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretoria - CSIR</td>
<td>Jul. 09</td>
<td>10 km east of city centre</td>
<td>S 25°44'58&quot; N 28°16'51&quot; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretoria - Hatfield</td>
<td>Nov. 07; Feb. 09; Jul. 09</td>
<td>5 km east of city centre</td>
<td>S 25°44'45&quot; N 28°14'21&quot; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretoria West</td>
<td>Feb. 09</td>
<td>4 km west of city centre</td>
<td>S 25°45'18&quot; N 28°08'49&quot; E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witbank</td>
<td>Aug. 09; Nov. 09</td>
<td>City centre</td>
<td>S 25°52'54&quot; N 29°12'58&quot; E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1 Collection and preparation of lichen and tree bark samples

Lichens of the genus Parmotrema austrosinense (Zahlbr.) Hale as well as tree bark were collected from Jacaranda mimosifolia trees at a height of at least 1.5 m to avoid contamination by soil. Samples were collected in the three suburbs of Pretoria as well as one site in the industrial city of Witbank (refer to Table 1). On arrival in the laboratory, samples were washed briefly with ultra pure water to remove dust, as described in literature (Walther et al., 1990 and Mitchell et al., 2000), heated at 60°C for 30 min to remove excess water and then dried at room temperature in a desiccator containing silica gel for 48 hr. Since temperatures up to 80 °C have been reported for drying of lichens to be analysed for mercury content after washing (Sensen & Richardson, 2002), 60 °C was considered to be a safe temperature to avoid volatilisation of absorbed mercury (Trüe et al., 2009). After grinding to a fine powder in an agate mortar, lichen and tree bark samples were stored frozen until analysis. Approximately 0.1 g of each sample was acid digested with 1 mL nitric acid (65%, mercury analysis grade, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) and 1 mL hydrogen peroxide (30%, puriss. p.a. ACS grade, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) using a MARS microwave digestion oven with 30 mL XPRESS digestion vessels. In order to optimise the digestion procedure, a stock of ground lichens was prepared and aliquots were prepared by microwave digestion at different holding temperatures (120, 160 and 200 °C), as well as by acid leaching at room temperature for 24 hr. A temperature control program of 20 min ramp time from room temperature to 200 °C and 20 min hold time at 200 °C was then applied for subsequent analyses. At the end of the digestion process, 1 mL hydrochloric acid (37%, mercury analysis grade, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) was added and the volume was made up to 10 mL with ultrapure water. One reaction vessel per batch was processed without lichen or tree bark addition as a laboratory blank, and after every 10 samples in a batch a BCR 482 (Sigma-Aldrich) lichen standard with a certified mercury concentration of 480 μg.kg⁻¹ was analysed as a quality control.

1.2 Exposure and preparation of Anasorb C300 active sampling tubes

Sealed glass Anasorb C300 tubes, containing 200 mg of a Hopcalite-type metal oxide mixture, were broken open at the ends and connected to vacuum pumps by silicone tubing. The pumps were calibrated with a flow meter to operate at approximately 12 L.hr⁻¹ and the amount of air drawn through each sorbent tube was measured by a gas meter. After exposure, the sorbent was poured into a plastic screw cap vial and 1 mL nitric acid and 1 mL hydrochloric acid were added. The vial was warmed slightly until a continuous stream of formed bubbles was visible. After dissolution of the sorbent granules, the sample volume was made up to 10 mL with...
water and the solution was sonicated for 30 min to remove elemental chlorine which had formed during dissolution of the Hopcalite. The limit of detection of the CVAAS method had been determined as 0.1 μg.L⁻¹, which made it necessary to collect at least 1 ng of mercury in a sorbent tube to achieve this concentration after dissolution of the tube contents in 10 mL of acids. At an assumed atmospheric mercury concentration of 1 ng.m⁻³, at least 1 m³ had to be drawn through a trap. A volume of 2 m³ was thus chosen, which was obtained during a seven day sampling period. The performance of sorbent tube sampling has been assessed by comparison to an Opsis HG200 mercury analyser in a separate study (True et al., 2010).

1.3 Mercury analysis by CVAAS

Analysis of digested plant samples as well as dissolved Hopcalite granules by cold vapour atomic absorption spectrometry (CVAAS) was performed using a Perkin Elmer Aanalyst 700 atomic absorption spectrometer with a FIAS 100 cold vapour generation unit. The carrier solution was 3.7% hydrochloric acid and the reduction solution contained 5% tin (II) chloride (Purum, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany), dissolved in the carrier solution. Mercury calibration solutions with concentrations between 0.1 and 50 μg.L⁻¹ were made from a 1003 ± 3 μg.L⁻¹ NIST traceable standard (Spectrascan Teknolab AB). To match the standards to the sample matrix, each 10 mL of calibration standard solution contained 1 mL of nitric acid and hydrochloric acid, respectively. The concentration of atmospheric TGM was then calculated by dividing the amount of mercury absorbed in a sorbent tube by the recorded volume of air which passed through the tube during exposure.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1 Lichen digestion parameter optimisation

From the digestion optimisation experiments, a temperature of 200 ºC during microwave heating was found to yield the highest mercury recovery in subsequent CVAAS analyses of the digested lichen stock (Fig. 2). Higher digestion temperatures were not tested to avoid damage to the microwave vessels and possible loss of mercury vapour. Leaching of plant material in acid at room temperature was not considered for further experiments as the recovery of mercury was poor compared to microwave assisted digestion, as shown in Figure 2.

Table 2. Average mercury concentrations with standard deviations found in lichens and tree bark from various locations. The number of samples analysed in each case is included in brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Mercury Concentration Lichens (μg.kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mercury Concentration Tree Bark (μg.kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSIR</td>
<td>165 ± 21 (n=11)</td>
<td>72 ± 24 (n=11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatfield</td>
<td>74 ± 14 (n=14)</td>
<td>28 ± 11 (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretoria West</td>
<td>193 ± 49 (n=9)</td>
<td>50 ± 14 (n=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witbank Golf Course</td>
<td>228 ± 53 (n=6)</td>
<td>75 ± 50 (n=7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Lichens and tree bark

A total of 29 samples of BCR 482 lichen standard were analysed together with lichen and bark samples. The average of 484 μg.kg⁻¹ was in good agreement with the target value of 480 μg.kg⁻¹. A 2 SD limit of 66 μg.kg⁻¹ or 14% was calculated.

The foliose lichen Parmotrema Austrosinense (Zahlbr.) Hale was found to be the predominant species in Pretoria and the Highveld area and it offered the benefit of being relatively easy to separate from tree bark. The tree species Jacaranda mimosifolia was chosen as the host tree for lichen collection since it is prolific in the Highveld area and is easy to identify.

At all sampling sites across Pretoria and Witbank, the mercury concentration in lichens was consistently higher than in Jacaranda tree
bark, as shown in Table 2. This may be due to the high surface area of lichens and resulting high air exchange, as well as their dependence on the atmospheric environment as the main source of nutrients. Tree bark was therefore considered to be a less sensitive indicator for atmospheric mercury pollution and was not included in further evaluations. It is important to note, however, that the mercury concentrations in tree bark followed the geographical trend of those determined in lichens. Lichen and bark samples were collected on the dates shown in Table 2.

Lichen samples collected in Hatfield showed a significantly lower concentration (p<0.01, ANOVA single factor, 99% confidence limit) of mercury than both those collected in Pretoria West and at the CSIR. This was surprising, since the sampling sites in Hatfield were lying between Pretoria West and the CSIR, only a few kilometres apart, and the concentrations of mercury in lichen samples from Pretoria West and the CSIR premises did not differ significantly (p=0.1, ANOVA single factor, 99% confidence limit). The reason for the low mercury concentrations in plant material in Hatfield is unknown, although it is hypothesised that vehicle exhaust gases may affect lichen physiology since lichens are known to be sensitive to atmospheric pollutants (Conti & Cechetti, 2001). It should be noted that lichen Hg levels relate to historical, long term ambient Hg levels, which may differ from current, short term ambient Hg levels. The difference in sampling heights may also have had an effect, especially in the city centre, as buildings impact on the diffusion of air pollutants. Witbank had the highest average mercury concentration in both lichens and tree bark, but the standard deviation was also high.

2.3 Atmospheric mercury analysis in Pretoria and Witbank

Sampling with Anasorb C300 tubes was performed for seven days per tube over several weeks at all sites. Each site was equipped with two pumps and sorbent tubes. Due to the limited number of pumps available, they had to be moved between locations, resulting in differing exposure dates. Therefore, results obtained from sampling in Witbank were compared only to those obtained at the CSIR, where the pumps were operated continuously. Results are shown in Figures 3 and 4. Average TGM concentrations in ambient air at the three Pretoria suburban sites, as determined by this semi-continuous spectroscopic method, ranged between 1.6 and 2.5 ng.m⁻³, while an average of 1.7 ng.m⁻³ was measured in Witbank over the sampling interval.
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5. References


3. Conclusions

During atmospheric mercury monitoring from November 2009 to February 2010, air was found to be less polluted with atmospheric mercury in Pretoria and Witbank than in two large cities worldwide, namely Toronto (Song et al., 2009) and Beijing (Liu et al., 2002). Whilst neither the number of sampling locations nor the length of the monitoring interval allow deductions to be drawn regarding the atmospheric total gaseous mercury concentration in South Africa as a whole, the relatively low concentrations found in the industrial centre of Witbank (1.7 0.4 ng.m\(^{-3}\)), as well as in the suburbs of Pretoria, namely Hatfield (2.6 0.6 ng.m\(^{-3}\)), Pretoria West (2.0 0.4 ng.m\(^{-3}\)) and Brummeria (1.8 0.4 ng.m\(^{-3}\)), suggest that mercury emissions may not be as high as published values based on calculated emissions factors, which list South Africa as the country with the second highest Hg emissions in the world (Pacyna & Pacyna, 2002) and may be more in line with those published later (Masekoameng, 2010).

The use of actively pumped Anasorb C300 mercury sorbent tubes made simultaneous atmospheric mercury monitoring at various locations possible, which could not have been achieved with a single semi-continuous mercury analyser. Our study is the first in South Africa to determine mercury levels in lichens and tree bark. Further studies should be undertaken to encompass a wider geographical area in order to further assess any spatial trends in this regard.
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